

# 1 INVERSE LAPLACE TRANSFORMATION

If we consider the costs are proportional to the price, then our boundary conditions,

$$V_q(x, s, q) = \exp(x + s) - \exp(x + s)\mu(q) = \exp(s) (\exp(x) - \exp(x)\mu(q)),$$

and

$$V_q(x, s, q) = \exp(x + s) + \exp(x + s)\lambda(q) = \exp(s) (\exp(x) + \exp(x)\lambda(q)),$$

can be separated as  $s$  and  $x, q$  too.

It is reasonable to assume that

$$V(x, s, q) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x, q; \eta) h(s; \eta) t(\eta) d\eta. \quad (1.1)$$

We can solve the equation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(s; \eta) t(\eta) d\eta = \exp(s),$$

to have  $t(\eta)$ . Then solve

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x, q; \eta) t(\eta) d\eta = \exp(x) + \exp(x)\lambda(q).$$

to have  $g(x, q; \eta)$ . **This is wrong because I can't separate the integral of (1.1) as**

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x, q; \eta) h(s; \eta) t(\eta) d\eta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(s; \eta) t(\eta) d\eta \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x, q; \eta) t(\eta) d\eta$$