

1 ABOUT η

The equations we have for the function g and h ($V(x, s, q) = g(x, q) \times h(s)$) are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 g_{xx}(x, q) + \kappa(\alpha - x)g_x(x, q) - (\beta + \eta)g(x, q) &= 0 \\ f(s)h'(s) + \eta h(s) &= 0 \quad h(0) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The solution to the second ODE is

$$h(s) = \exp\left(-\eta \int_0^s \frac{1}{f(y)} dy\right) \quad (1.1)$$

Last time, we mentioned that

$$0 = \frac{\partial V(x, s, q)}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial g(x, q; \eta)}{\partial \eta} h(s; \eta) + g(x, q; \eta) \frac{\partial h(s; \eta)}{\partial \eta}$$

By equation (1.1), we have

$$\frac{\partial g(x, q; \eta)}{\partial \eta} h(s; \eta) - g(x, q; \eta) h(s; \eta) \int_0^s \frac{1}{f(y)} dy = 0$$

Equation (1.1) tells us $h > 0$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g(x, q; \eta)}{\partial \eta} - g(x, q; \eta) \int_0^s \frac{1}{f(y)} dy &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\frac{\partial g(x, q; \eta)}{\partial \eta}}{g(x, q; \eta)} &= \int_0^s \frac{1}{f(y)} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Right hand side is a function of s while the left hand is not. Contradiction!

- $\frac{\partial V(x, s, q)}{\partial \eta} = 0$ doesn't mean that the function V is not a function of η . Instead, we choose η to maximize V .
- It is also impossible to have two different η s to have the same V . If not, assume

$$g(x, q; \eta_1)h(s; \eta_1) = g(x, q; \eta_2)h(s; \eta_2).$$

In this situation,

$$\frac{g(x, q; \eta_1)}{g(x, q; \eta_2)} = \frac{h(s; \eta_1)}{h(s; \eta_2)}.$$

The left hand side is a function of x and q while the right hand side is a function of s (because η_1 and η_2 are given constants). It is impossible to happen. As a result, it is not that

$$V(x, s, q) = g(x, q; \eta) \times h(s; \eta)$$

holds for all η .

2 BOUNDARY CONDITION

The boundary conditions are

$$V_q(x, s, q) = \exp(x + s) - \mu(q)$$

and

$$V_q(x, s, q) = \exp(x + s) + \lambda(q).$$

The first one is at the buying boundary while the second one is at the selling boundary. Plug g and h into previous equations to have

$$g_q(x, q) = \frac{\exp(x + s) - \mu(q)}{h(s)}$$

on the selling boundary and

$$g_q(x, q) = \frac{\exp(x + s) + \lambda(q)}{h(s)}$$

on the buying boundary. For a fixed s and any η , the problem becomes the same type as the non-seasonality problem which we can solve effectively. However, two different s_1 and s_2 are super likely to give different $g(x, q)$ which contradicts the separation of variables at the first place.

It is unlikely to satisfy the boundary condition with all different s with only one η . As a result, I propose

$$V(x, s, q) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x, q; \eta) h(s; \eta) t(\eta) d\eta.$$

I want to use the boundary condition to find an approximation of the function t , but I don't know how.

3 SEPARATION VARIABLE METHOD IN HEAT EQUATION

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Separation_of_variables