

Yohan Lee Lab 5

Examples

Simpson's method

```
In[214]:= f[x_] := 1 + 0 * x;  
a = 0;  
b = 1;  
n = 10;  
  
In[218]:= width := (b - a) / n  
  
In[219]:= width / 3 *  
Dot[Table[f[a + i * width], {i, 0, n}], Flatten[{1, 3 + Table[(-1)^i, {i, 0, n - 2}], 1}]]  
Out[219]= 1
```

Midpoint method

```
In[8]:= f[x_] := 1 + 0 * x;  
a = 0;  
b = 1;  
n = 10;  
width := (b - a) / n;  
  
In[13]:= width * Sum[f[a + (i + 1 / 2) * width], {i, 0, n - 1}]  
Out[13]= 1
```

Trapezoid method

```
In[14]:= f[x_] := 1 + 0 * x;  
a = 0;  
b = 1;  
n = 10;  
width := (b - a) / n;  
  
In[19]:= width * Sum[(f[a + i * width] + f[a + (i + 1) * width]) / 2, {i, 0, n - 1}]  
Out[19]= 1
```

Question 1

```
In[278]:= Clear[f];  
a = 0;  
b = 1;  
n = 1;  
width := (b - a) / n;
```

Compare the Midpoint and Trapezoid methods for integrals of x^p using only one piece ($N=1$).

Midpoint

```
In[283]:= Clear[f];
          f[x_] := 1 + 0 * x;
          width * Sum[f[a + (i + 1/2) * width], {i, 0, n - 1}]
```

Out[285]= 1

```
In[233]:= Integrate[f[x], {x, a, b}]
```

Out[233]= 1

```
In[286]:= Clear[f];
          f[x_] := x;
          width * Sum[f[a + (i + 1/2) * width], {i, 0, n - 1}]
```

Out[288]= $\frac{1}{2}$

```
In[242]:= Integrate[f[x], {x, a, b}]
```

Out[242]= $\frac{1}{2}$

```
In[289]:= Clear[f];
          f[x_] := x^2;
          width * Sum[f[a + (i + 1/2) * width], {i, 0, n - 1}]
```

Out[291]= $\frac{1}{4}$

```
In[236]:= Integrate[f[x], {x, a, b}]
```

Out[236]= $\frac{1}{3}$

Trapezoid

```
In[292]:= Clear[f];
          f[x_] := 1 + 0 * x;
          width * Sum[(f[a + i * width] + f[a + (i + 1) * width]) / 2, {i, 0, n - 1}]
```

Out[294]= 1

```
In[295]:= Clear[f];
          f[x_] := x;
          width * Sum[(f[a + i * width] + f[a + (i + 1) * width]) / 2, {i, 0, n - 1}]
```

Out[297]= $\frac{1}{2}$

```
In[298]:= Clear[f];
          f[x_] := x^2;
          width * Sum[(f[a + i * width] + f[a + (i + 1) * width]) / 2, {i, 0, n - 1}]
```

Out[300]= $\frac{1}{2}$

Midpoint method and Trapezoid method are both exact for order 1. In other words they both diverge from exact for $p > 1$.

Question 2

```
In[42]:= Clear[f];
f[x_] := Exp[x] * Cos[2 * Pi * x];
Integrate[f[x], {x, 0, 5}] // N
Out[44]= 3.64177
```

Simpson's with n=52

```
In[204]:= a = 0;
b = 5;
n = 52;
width := (b - a) / n;
width / 3 * Dot[Table[f[a + i * width], {i, 0, n}],
  Flatten[{1, 3 + Table[(-1)^i, {i, 0, n - 2}], 1}]] // N
Out[208]= 3.63295
```

Trapezoid with n=180

```
In[184]:= a = 0;
b = 5;
n = 180;
width := (b - a) / n;
width * Sum[(f[a + i * width] + f[a + (i + 1) * width]) / 2, {i, 0, n - 1}] // N
Out[188]= 3.65126
```

Simpson's converged more quickly as it required fewer (52 vs. 180) pieces.

```
In[301]:= 3.64177 - 3.6329511609553253`
Out[301]= 0.00881884
```

```
In[302]:= 3.64177 - 3.6512648426577234`
Out[302]= -0.00949484
```