

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

### **Computers & Industrial Engineering**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/caie



#### Survey

## Hub location problems: A review of models, classification, solution techniques, and applications

Reza Zanjirani Farahani <sup>a</sup>, Masoud Hekmatfar <sup>d,\*</sup>, Alireza Boloori Arabani <sup>b</sup>, Ehsan Nikbakhsh <sup>c</sup>

- a Department of Informatics and Operations Management, Kingston Business School, Kingston University, Kingston Hill, Kingston Upon Thames, Surrey KT2 7LB, UK
- <sup>b</sup> Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, USA
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

# Article history: Received 18 July 2012 Received in revised form 22 January 2013 Accepted 25 January 2013 Available online 9 February 2013

Keywords: Facility location Hub network Mathematical formulation Survey

#### ABSTRACT

Hub location problem (HLP) is a relatively new extension of classical facility location problems. Hubs are facilities that work as consolidation, connecting, and switching points for flows between stipulated origins and destinations. While there are few review papers on hub location problems, the most recent one (Alumur and Kara, 2008. Network hub location problems: The state of the art. *European Journal of Operational Research, 190, 1–21*) considers solely studies on network-type hub location models prior to early 2007. Therefore, this paper focuses on reviewing the most recent advances in HLP from 2007 up to now. In this paper, a review of all variants of HLPs (i.e., network, continuous, and discrete HLPs) is provided. In particular, mathematical models, solution methods, main specifications, and applications of HLPs are briefly introduced. At the end, future research directions and trends will be presented.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

#### 1. Introduction

Hub location problem (HLP) is one of the novel and thriving research areas in location theory. In order to satisfy a demand, HLP involves the movement of people, commodities, or information between required origin–destination pairs. Hubs are applied to decrease the number of transportation links between origin and destination nodes. For example, a fully connected network with k nodes and with no hub node has k(k-1) origin–destination links. However, if a hub node is selected to connect all other nodes (nonhub nodes, also known as spokes) with each other, there will be only 2(k-1) connections to serve all origin–destination pairs. This idea can be extended to a network with more than a hub node, called a multiple-hub network. Thus, by using fewer resources, demand pairs can be served more efficiently with a hub network than with a fully connected structure.

Costs of a hub network depend on its structure. The total distance of arcs connecting the whole network might be less in a hub network, but the total travel distance may be greater since there is no guarantee that the number of people, merchandise, or information moving on the hub-to-hub connections be greater than those moving between hub and spokes. Therefore, it will be very complicated to determine location of hub facilities as well as allocation scheme of clients to them (Campbell, Lowe, & Zhang, 2007).

It seems that the telecommunication industry is originally one of the oldest users of hub network concept. However, in logistical systems, airline industry and postal companies are one of the main users of this concept. Today, there are many other areas that can take advantage of hub concept like maritime industry, freight transportation companies, public transit, and message delivery networks.

Originally, Hakimi (1964) published the first paper in the area of node optimality which was motivated by similar concepts to HLP. Later, Toh and Higgins (1985) discussed application of the hub location networks for airlines and aviation industry as the first pertinent research. The first papers in the HLP field, presenting the first mathematical formulation and solution method, were due to efforts of O'Kelly (1986a, 1986b). Since then, many papers have been published over recent years with a significant increasing trend. Regarding this trend, the focus of papers was on modeling in the late 1980s, on optimizing and modeling in the 1990s and, eventually, on advanced models and solution methods in recent years.

Concerning the most important preliminary studies in the area of HLPs, O'Kelly had a key position to develop the first quadratic mathematical formulation for HLP (O'Kelly, 1987, 1992). Later, Campbell proposed multiple mathematical formulations for HLP to consider similar objective functions as several classical facility location problems (Campbell, 1994b, 1996). Moreover, Aykin and Klincewicz had also important roles in advancing the field (Aykin, 1994, 1995a, 1995b; Klincewicz, 1991, 1992). Recently, Campbell and O'Kelly (2012) have recently discussed the origins and motivations of HLP as well as some of the shortcomings in this field.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 21 8752 4022; fax: +98 21 8851 2596. E-mail address: masoudhekmatfar@gmail.com (M. Hekmatfar).

The first surveys of HLP were offered by Campbell (1994a), O'Kelly and Miller (1994). In addition, Klincewicz (1998) and Bryan and O'Kelly (1999) reviewed applications of HLP for the telecommunication and air transportation industries, respectively. More recently, Alumur and Kara (2008) surveyed and classified papers on HLPs published until 2007. In addition to these reviews, one can refer to Campbell, Ernst, and Krishnamoorthy (2002) and Farahani and Hekmatfar (2009) for fundamental definitions, classification, mathematical models, and solution methods of HLPs. Even though these studies have dealt with HLPs from various viewpoints, there have been some motivations for this review paper as follows:

- Over the past 5 years, many researchers have modeled and solved numerous variants of hub location problems in their research
- Alumur and Kara (2008) put their emphasis on network hub location models and not the HLP from a general aspect.
- More particularly, Alumur and Kara (2008) did not consider any studies addressing continuous HLPs, in which a network will be replaced by a plane.
- Some new recent trends need to be taken into account such as the implementation of reliability, sustainability, global logistics, and multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) in HLPs.

Therefore, the significance of this review is to not only consider previously analyzed papers, but also shed light on some uncovered aspects of HLPs that have been published after 2007.

#### 2. Mathematical models and classification of HLPs

In order to classify HLPs, some definitions and classifications will be given as follows:

- Solution domain: Network (the domain of candidate hub nodes is all of the network nodes), discrete (the domain of candidate hub nodes is a series of particular nodes) and continuous (the domain of hub nodes is a plane or a sphere).
- Criterion: Mini-Max (the maximum transportation cost from origin nodes to destination nodes is minimized) and Mini-Sum (the total cost incurred by locating hub nodes and allocation of non-hub nodes to hub nodes is minimized).
- Source determining the number of hubs to locate: Exogenous (the number of hubs to locate is primarily specified) and endogenous (the number of hubs to locate is not pre-specified but is determined as part of the solution).
- The number of hub nodes: single hub and multiple hubs.
- Hub capacity: uncapacitated (unlimited) and capacitated (limited).
- The cost of locating hub nodes: No cost, fixed cost, and variable cost.
- The allocation of a non-hub node to hub nodes: To one hub (single allocation) and to more than one hub (multiple allocation).
- The cost of connecting non-hub nodes to hub nodes: No cost, fixed cost, and variable cost.

By considering this classification, various mathematical models for hub network design can be formulated. The most common formulations, which have been widely applied by the literature, are introduced in this section.

#### 2.1. Single-HLP

O'Kelly (1987) represented this problem in which the criterion is *Mini-Sum*, the solution domain is *network*, the non-hub nodes are connected to the hub node, the number of hub nodes to locate

is defined *exogenously* and is equal to one, there is no cost for establishing the hub facility, the hub facility to locate has *unlimited* capacity, and the problem is a single allocation as only one hub is to be located.

The inputs of the problem are as follows:  $h_{ij}$  is the amount of flow between nodes i and j,  $C_{ij}$  is the unit cost of transferring from non-hub node i to hub node j. Furthermore, the outputs of the model are binary, in which  $Y_{ij}$  is equal to 1 if node i is allocated to a hub located at node j (and 0, otherwise). If  $Y_{ij}$  is equal to one, it means that node j is allocated to itself and in fact it is a hub node. Considering this notation, the mathematical formulation of single-HLP is as follows:

$$\min \sum_{i} \sum_{k} \sum_{k} h_{ik} (C_{ij} + C_{jk}) Y_{ij} Y_{kj}$$
 (1)

Subject to

$$\sum_{i} Y_{ij} = 1 \tag{2}$$

$$Y_{ij} - Y_{jj} \leqslant 0 \quad \forall \ i,j \tag{3}$$

$$Y_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ i,j \tag{4}$$

Eq. (1) minimizes the total transfer cost via the hub. Eq. (2) stipulates that there is only one hub. Eq. (3) stipulates that node i can only be linked to a hub node at j. Eq. (4) defines decision variable type to be binary.

To linearize the objective function, if non-hub node i is allocated to a hub at node j, we will have only one hub and all other non-hub nodes must be allocated to that hub as well. Therefore, Eq. (1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} h_{ik} (C_{ij} + C_{jk}) Y_{ij} Y_{kj}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} C_{ij} Y_{ij} \left( \sum_{k} h_{ik} \right) + \sum_{j} \sum_{i} C_{ji} Y_{ij} \left( \sum_{k} h_{ki} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} C_{ij} Y_{ij} (O_{i} + D_{i})$$
(5)

where  $O_i$  is the entire outgoing flow from node i, and  $D_i$  is the entire incoming flow to node i. Furthermore, after replacing Eq. (1) with Eq. (5), the optimal single-HLP is presented, in which the Mini-Sum criterion should be minimized; meanwhile, the number of decision variables is of the order of  $O(N^2)$ .

#### 2.2. p-HLP

In this problem, each non-hub node must be allocated to just one hub node and hence is considered as a single allocation *p*-hub location problem as, originally proposed by O'Kelly (1987). In this model, the criterion is *Mini-Sum*, the solution domain is *network*, the hub nodes are completely linked together, and every non-hub node is linked to a single hub node. The number of hubs to locate is defined *exogenously* and denoted by *p*, and at least one or at most two hub nodes have to be traversed for traveling between two non-hub nodes. Furthermore, there is no cost for establishing hubs, the hubs are *uncapacitated*, and the model outputs are binary.

In addition to all inputs introduced for the single-HLP,  $\alpha$  is the discount factor denoting economies of scale for transferring between hub nodes ( $0 \le \alpha < 1$ ). To compute the transfer cost between hub nodes  $C_{ij}$  is multiplied by  $\alpha$ . This is due to the fact that the transportation cost between hub nodes is smaller than the transportation cost between hubs and non-hub nodes. Moreover, the output of the model is similar to that of the previous

model. Therefore, the criterion and model constraints for p-HPL are defined as follows:

$$\min \sum_{i} \sum_{k} C_{ik} Y_{ik} \left( \sum_{j} h_{ij} \right) + \sum_{k} \sum_{i} C_{kj} Y_{ik} \left( \sum_{j} h_{ji} \right)$$

$$+ \alpha \sum_{i} \sum_{k} \sum_{m} \sum_{m} h_{ij} C_{km} Y_{ik} Y_{jm}$$
(6)

Subject to

$$\sum_{i} Y_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall \ i \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{i} Y_{jj} = P \tag{8}$$

$$Y_{ij} - Y_{jj} \leqslant 0 \quad \forall \ i,j \tag{9}$$

$$y_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ i,j \tag{10}$$

Eq. (6) minimizes the total transportation cost between network nodes. The first term in Eq. (6) is the connection cost of all transportations from non-hub node i to hub node k when i is allocated to k. Moreover, the second term is the connection cost of all travels predetermined for non-hub node i to hub node k when non-hub node i is allocated to hub node k. In addition, the third phrase is the transportation cost of inter-hub flows. Furthermore, Eq. (7) stipulates that non-hub node i is allocated to precisely one hub node. Eq. (8) stipulates that exactly p hub nodes are selected. Eq. (9) enforces that node i is allocated to a hub node at j only if a hub is located at node j. Finally, Eq. (10) is defines decision variables to be binary.

#### 2.3. p-Hub median location problem (multiple allocation p-HLP)

While the criterion of the p-HLP is quadratic, Campbell (1991) proposed a linear mathematical formulation. The formulation of this problem is similar to the p-median formulation and is named p-hub median location problem. Because every non-hub node could be allocated to one hub node or more in p-hub median location problems, this model is named multiple allocation p-HLP. This model has similar assumptions to those of the p-HLP except that the allocation variables, here denoted by  $Z_{ij}^{km}$ , are assumed to be non-negative ( $Z_{ij}^{km} \geqslant 0$ ), and non-hub nodes could be allocated to several hub nodes.

All inputs in p-HLP are also used here. Moreover,  $C_{ij}^{km}$  is defined as the unit transportation cost between start node i, end node j, and hub nodes k and m (note that this is in order  $i \to k \to m \to j$ ).

$$C_{ii}^{km} = C_{ik} + \alpha C_{km} + C_{mj} \tag{11}$$

The outputs of the problem are as follows:  $X_j$  which is 1 when a hub facility is located at node j (and 0, otherwise), and  $Z_j^{km}$  which is the flow from the origin node i to the destination node j via hub facilities located at nodes k and m. Therefore, the mathematical formulation of the multiple allocation p-Hub median location problem is defined as follows:

$$\min \quad \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \sum_{m} C_{ij}^{km} h_{ij} Z_{ij}^{km} \tag{12}$$

Subject to

$$\sum_{k} X_k = P \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{k} \sum_{m} Z_{ij}^{km} = 1 \quad \forall \ i, j \tag{14}$$

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \leqslant X_m \quad \forall \ i,j,k,m \tag{15}$$

$$Z_{ij}^{km} \leqslant X_k \quad \forall \ i, j, k, m \tag{16}$$

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \geqslant 0 \quad \forall \ i,j,k,m \tag{17}$$

$$X_k \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ k \tag{18}$$

Regarding the objective function, Eq. (12) minimizes the total transportation cost. Eq. (13) ensures that exactly p hubs are selected. Eq. (14) stipulates that each origin–destination pair (i,j) is allocated to one pair of hub nodes (k,m). Note that the origin–destination pair (i,j) could be allocated to a single hub facility as indices k and m could be the same. Eqs. (15) and (16) ensure that demand from origin node i to destination node j cannot be allocated to a hub pair (k,m) unless both nodes (k,m) are selected as hub facilities. Furthermore, Eqs. (17) and (18) define the decision variable types. Daskin (1995) reconsidered this formulation assuming single allocation non-hub nodes to hub nodes, known as the single allocation p-hub median location problem.

One of the main difficulties with this formulation is that the number of allocation decision variables  $(Z_{ij}^{km})$  can be extremely large. As a matter of fact, in network hub location problems with every origin and destination node as a candidate hub node, there are variables of size  $O(N^4)$ . The size of such model grows rapidly as the number of network nodes is increasing, unless that the set of candidate hub nodes are reduced beforehand as in discrete location models (Hekmatfar & Pishvaee, 2009). The use of the quadratic formulation decreases the number of decision variables remarkably, however it does not always ensure a fast solution of the problem. Ernst and Krishnamoorthy (1998) introduced a 3-index formulation which has been used for developing other advanced models. Hamacher, Labbé, Nickel, and Sonneborn (2004) represented a tighter formulation for polyhedral multiple allocation p-HLP to allow larger problems to be solved in most applications of HLP. Marín, Cánovas, and Landete (2006) introduced new formulations for this problem that generalized the basic models with providing tighter LP bounds. Note that in case of using decomposition techniques (e.g., Lagrangian relaxation and benders' decomposition), formulation resulting from Eqs. (12)–(18) would be a better choice as it provides tighter linear programming relaxation bounds.

#### 2.4. p-HLP with fixed link cost

As non-hub nodes have to be allocated to a hub, a fixed cost can be considered for all connections of non-hub nodes to hub nodes. However, to connect a non-hub node to a hub node, a fixed cost must be considered. Campbell (1994b) suggested that the basic models can be extended with a fixed cost for connecting non-hub nodes to hub nodes. Problem inputs and outputs are similar to those of the p-hub median location problem model. Moreover,  $g_{ik}$  is the fixed cost of connecting non-hub node i to a hub facility located at node k. In addition,  $W_{ik}$  is binary variable denoting selection of link (i, k) if it is equal to one. The criterion of this problem is similar to the criterion of the p-hub median location problem as well as the cost term provided below:

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{k} g_{ik} W_{ik} \tag{19}$$

#### 2.5. Minimum-value flow on links model

Instead of imposing that each non-hub node should be allocated to a single hub node, it is sometimes more applied to specify that the flow between any non-hub node and hub node must be greater than or equal to some minimum flow threshold value. Campbell (1994b) proposed this problem that is similar to *p*-HLP. This

problem can be analogously formulated as p-HLP with a minimum threshold for flow on each non-hub node to hub node link. The inputs of the problem are similar to those of the p-hub median location problem. Furthermore,  $L_{ik}$  is the minimum threshold value for flow between non-hub node i and hub node k. The outputs of the problem are similar to those of the p-hub median location problem. Additionally, the following equations should be added to the criterion and constraints of the p-hub median location problem:

$$Y_{ik} + Y_{jm} - 2Z_{ii}^{km} \geqslant 0 \quad \forall i, j, k, m$$
 (20)

$$\sum_{m} \sum_{i} h_{ij} Z_{ij}^{km} + \sum_{p} \sum_{s} h_{pi} Z_{pi}^{sk} \geqslant L_{ik} Y_{ik} \quad \forall i, k$$
 (21)

In Eq. (20), the demand from the origin node i to the destination node j could only be routed via hub facilities located at nodes k and m if: (1) non-hub node i is allocated to a hub facility located at node k and (2) non-hub node j is allocated to a hub located at node m. On the other hand, Eq. (21) ensures the minimum flow thresholds on non-hub node to hub node connection links. Its first term computes total flow on a non-hub node to a hub node link. The second term calculates total flow from every origin-hub node pair destined for a specific non-hub node through a specific hub node. The sum of these two terms should be more than the prespecified threshold if the link connecting the non-hub node to the hub node is established.

#### 2.6. p-HLP with limited capacity

Campbell (1994b) proposed a problem in which capacities of hub facilities limit the flows in the network. In fact, the incoming and outgoing flows for each hub facility must be smaller than or equal to that hub facility capacity. The problem was formulated similar to p-hub median location problem with similar inputs and outputs to those of p-hub median location problem. In addition,  $\theta_k$  denotes the capacity of a hub facility located at node k. Moreover, the following constraint should be considered along the original criterion and constraints of the p-hub median location problem:

$$\sum_{m}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}h_{ij}Z_{ij}^{km}+\sum_{s}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}h_{ij}Z_{ij}^{sk}\leqslant\theta_{k}X_{k}\quad\forall\ k \tag{22}$$

In this constraint, the first and second terms denote the incoming and outgoing flows for the considered hub node, respectively.

#### 2.7. Continuous p-HLP

HLPs are usually modeled as either a network or a discrete facility location problem. However, there are some studies considering a continuous solution domain. In continuous HLPS, the domain of hub nodes is not a series of particular nodes on a graph, but a plane or a sphere (Aykin, 1988, 1995b; Aykin & Brown, 1992; O'Kelly, 1986a, 1986b). In this problem, every non-hub node could be allocated to only one hub as in single allocation p-HLP. Special cases of this problem involving only one or two hub nodes were first considered by O'Kelly (1986a, 1986b). Afterwards, Aykin and Brown (1992) extended this model to consider p hub nodes. In this model, the criterion is Mini-Sum, the solution domain is a plane and is continuous, the hub nodes are completely linked together, and every non-hub node is linked to only one hub facility. The number of hubs to locate is exogenous, and at least one or at most two hub nodes have to be visited for traveling between two non-hub nodes. In addition, the fixed cost of opening hub facilities is not considered, the hubs are uncapacitated, and the decision variables are binary.

The inputs of the problem are similar to p-HLP, while  $N_i$  the vector location of non-hub node i. Moreover, the outputs of the model are similar to p-HLP while decision variable  $P_k$  denotes the vector location of hub node k ( $k = 1, \ldots, p$ ). Considering d(a, b) as the Euclidian distance between two nodes a and b, the criterion and model constraints are as follows:

$$\min \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \sum_{m} h_{ij} Y_{ik} Y_{jm} (d(N_i, P_k) + \alpha d(P_k, P_m) + d(N_j, P_m))$$
(23)

Subject to

$$\sum_{i} Y_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall \ i \tag{24}$$

$$P_k = (a_k, b_k) \quad k = 1, \dots, p \tag{25}$$

$$Y_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ i,j \tag{26}$$

Eq. (23) minimizes the total transportation cost in the hub network. The first term is the connection cost of all transportations originating from non-hub nodes to their respective hub nodes. Moreover, the second term is the transportation cost between hub nodes, while the third term is the transportation cost of all travels between hub nodes to non-hub node. Eq. (24) stipulates that every non-hub node i is allocated to precisely one hub node. Eventually, Eqs. (25) and (26) define types of decision variables. In this model, the interaction between hub nodes are specified by non-hub nodes allocated to them. Hence, without the second cost term of Eq. (23), the problem reduces to a location-allocation (LA) problem.

#### 2.8. Multi-objective p-HLP

Costa, Captivo, and Climaco (2008) proposed a multi-objective HLP in which the first objective minimizes the total transportation cost, while the second one minimizes the maximum time that the hub nodes take to process the flow (i.e., minimizes the maximum service time of the hub nodes). In this problem, each non-hub node is allocated to only one hub node like as in *p*-HLP. In this model, the criteria are *Mini-Sum* and *Mini-Max*, the solution domain is *net-work*, the hub nodes are completely linked together, and every non-hub node is linked to a single hub facility. The number of hubs to locate is *exogenous*, and at least one or at most two hub nodes have to be traversed for traveling between two non-hub nodes. In addition, the fixed costs to initiate service at hub nodes are not considered, the hubs are *uncapacitated*, and the decision variables are binary.

The inputs of the problem are similar to p-HLP in addition to  $T_k$ , which is the time that hub node k takes to process one unit of flow. Moreover, the outputs of the model are similar to p-HLP. The criterion and model constraints are as follows:

min 
$$\sum_{i} \sum_{k} \sum_{m} h_{ij} Y_{ik} Y_{jm} (C_{ik} + \alpha C_{km} + C_{jm})$$
 (27)

$$\min \quad \max_{k} \left\{ T_k \sum_{i} \sum_{j} h_{ij} Y_{ik} + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} h_{ji} Y_{jm} Y_{ik} \right\}$$
 (28)

Subject to

$$\sum_{k} Y_{ik} = 1 \quad \forall i \tag{29}$$

$$\sum_{k} Y_{kk} = p \tag{30}$$

$$Y_{ik} - Y_{kk} \leqslant 0 \quad \forall \ i,k \tag{31}$$

$$Y_{ik} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ i,k \tag{32}$$

Eq. (27) considers the total transportation cost in the hub network. Eq. (28) minimizes the maximum service time that hub node k takes to process the total incoming and outgoing flow. Eq. (29) stipulates that each non-hub node i should be allocated to precisely one hub node. Eq. (30) enforces that p hub nodes are selected. Eq. (31) ensures that no non-hub node can be linked to a hub node until that hub node is selected. Moreover, Eq. (32) defines the decision variable type to be binary.

#### 2.9. p-Hub center location problem

One of the most important variations of facility location problems is the *p*-center location problem. For example, this problem is useful for emergency facility location problem. For a problem similar to *p*-center, one can refer to the *p*-hub center location problem. Assume that there are origin–destination pairs in HLP, which could be represented as demand nodes in the *p*-center problem. A *p*-center hub location problem is defined based on the *Mini-Max* criterion in which the maximum cost of origin–destination pairs is minimized. Originally proposed by Campbell (1994b), *p*-Hub center location problem is applicable to situations involving perishable commodities in transportation networks. Notation of this problem is similar to *p*-hub median location problem except *that* the criterion is *Mini-Max*. Moreover, the criterion and model constraints are as below:

$$\min \quad \max_{i,j,k,m} \left\{ C_{ij}^{km} h_{ij} Z_{ij}^{km} \right\} \tag{33}$$

Subject to

$$\sum_{k} X_k = p \tag{34}$$

$$\sum_{k}\sum_{m}Z_{ij}^{km}=1 \quad \forall \ i,j \tag{35}$$

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \leqslant X_k \quad \forall \ i,j,k,m \tag{36}$$

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \leqslant X_m \quad \forall \ i, j, k, m \tag{37}$$

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \geqslant 0 \quad \forall \ i, j, k, m \tag{38}$$

$$X_k \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ k \tag{39}$$

In Eq. (33), the maximum transportation cost from origin nodes to destination nodes is minimized. In addition, Eqs. (34)–(39) are alike those of the p-hub median location problem. It should be noted that a novel improvement of p-hub center location problems was proposed by Campbell et al. (2007). Ernst, Hamacher, Jiang, Krishnamoorthy, and Woeginger (2009) developed new mathematical formulations for p-hub center location problem and demonstrated the superiority of this formulation to the one by Kara and Tansel (2000) for single allocation p-hub center location problems. Finally, Yaman and Elloumi (2012) also proposed new formulations for star p-hub center location problem to minimize the length of the longest origin–destination path.

#### 2.10. p-Hub covering location problem

*p*-Hub covering location problems are extensions of to the classical covering location problems. These problems tires to locate hub facilities in a way that the origin–destination pair of two non-hub nodes is covered by a pair of hub nodes. In fact, origin–destination pairs are covered only if there are hub facilities in pre-specified distances from their links. For this sake, the cost of

transportation from start nodes to end nodes through the selected hub nodes must be greater than or equal to a pre-specified value

$$C_{ij}^{km} \leqslant \gamma_{ij} \tag{40}$$

Originally proposed by Campbell (1994b), this problem could be further developed into two other HLPs, namely hub set covering location and hub maximal covering location problems, which would be discussed next. Kara and Tansel (2003) and Wagner (2008b) proposed new mathematical formulations for the single allocation *p*-hub covering location problem and the *p*-hub covering location problem with bounded path lengths, respectively.

#### 2.11. Hub set covering location problem

Hub set covering location problem is a particular extension of hub covering location problems, which is formulated similar to the p-hub median location problem with an exceptions. Before solving the problem, the number of hub nodes to locate is not known. Hence, a *fixed cost* of establishing hub facilities is considered. The inputs of this problem are  $F_k$ , the fixed cost of establishing a hub facility in node k;  $C_{ij}^{km}$ , transfer cost from the origin node i to the destination node j via hub nodes located at nodes k and m;  $\gamma_{ij}$ , maximum cost for covering links connecting demand nodes i to j, and  $V_{ij}^{km}$  that is equal to one if hubs located at nodes k and m could cover demand pair (i,j). The outputs of the model are similar to those of the p-hub median location problem. Furthermore, the criterion and model constraints of hub set covering location problem are as below:

$$\min \quad \sum_{k} F_{k} X_{k} \tag{41}$$

Subject to

$$\sum_{k} \sum_{m} V_{ij}^{km} Z_{ij}^{km} \geqslant 1 \quad \forall \ i, j$$
 (42)

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \leqslant X_k \quad \forall \ i, j, k, m \tag{43}$$

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \leqslant X_m \quad \forall \ i, j, k, m \tag{44}$$

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \geqslant 0 \quad \forall \ i, j, k, m \tag{45}$$

$$X_k \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ k \tag{46}$$

The total cost of opening new hub facilities is minimized by Eq. (41). Moreover, Eq. (42) stipulates that each demand pair is covered at least one time by a hub pair. The other constraints are similar to those of the previous problems.

#### 2.12. p-Hub maximal covering location problem

Hub maximal covering location problem is a particular case of hub covering location problem. The proposed formulation for this problem is similar to the one for *p*-hub median location problem as the number of hub nodes to locate is determined *exogenously*. In addition, the fixed cost of establishing hub facilities is not regarded. The criterion and model constraints of this problem are as follows:

$$\max \quad \sum_{i} \sum_{l} \sum_{k} \sum_{m} h_{ij} V_{ij}^{km} Z_{ij}^{km}$$
 (47)

Subject to

$$\sum_{k} X_k = p \tag{48}$$

$$\sum_{k}\sum_{m}Z_{ij}^{km}=1 \quad \forall \ i,j \tag{49}$$

$$Z_{ij}^{km} \leqslant X_k \quad \forall \ i,j,k,m \tag{50}$$

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \leqslant X_m \quad \forall \ i,j,k,m \tag{51}$$

$$Z_{ii}^{km} \geqslant 0 \quad \forall \ i, j, k, m \tag{52}$$

$$X_k \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ k \tag{53}$$

Eq. (47) maximizes the amount of transportation demand covered. The other constraints of the problem are alike those of the p-hub median location problem.

#### 2.13. HLP with star network structure

Suppose we have a set of nodes with a central hub node, and we intend to select *p* hub nodes such that hub nodes are connected to the central hub node with direct links. In addition, each non-hub node should be connected to a hub node. The resulting hub network structure will be a star/star network. Yaman (2008) proposed new formulations for star *p*-hub median location problem in which the total cost for selecting capacitated links was minimized. Yaman and Elloumi (2012) also developed formulations for the star *p*-hub median location problem with bounded path lengths in which the total routing cost was minimized subject to some upper bound constraints on the path lengths.

#### 3. Solution approaches and algorithms for HLPs

A large variety of solution algorithms have been proposed to solve different types of HLPs during the past two decades. In this part, the relevant research is reviewed, and some of represented algorithms and solution approaches are introduced. Most of the HLPs are modeled as network location problems, but some studies have been done in discrete and continuous domains. Solution methods for this kind of problems are also represented in this section.

To introduce various kinds of HLPs properly, some notations are listed in Table 1. For example, when the hub nodes are capacitated, every non-hub node can be assigned to several hub nodes, and the problem is set covering, the notation will be C-MA-SC-HLP. If one of the categories of Table 1 is not applicable to any case, this part will be eliminated from the notation.

#### 3.1. Application of exact algorithms in HLPs

In this section, we represent studies in which exact optimization methods have been used for solving the problems. Table 2 shows this category.

According to Table 2, most of the papers on HLPs have considered uncapacitated cases, and also all articles with limited capacity of hub nodes are published in recent years. It reveals that solving

capacitated HLPs by exact solution algorithms have attracted more consideration among researchers recently.

#### 3.2. Application of heuristic and meta-heuristic algorithms in HLPs

Even though integer programming optimization approaches are applied to solve small hub problems, larger instances of HLPs need to be solved by heuristic procedures or meta-heuristic procedures. As a matter of fact, while large-size instances can be dealt with specialized exact methods (e.g., benders decomposition and branch and price methods), development of meta-heuristics has helped many real-world applications, in which optimal/near-optimal solutions can even be obtained in less computational time. Some of relevant studies are summarized in Table 3.

Based on Table 3, we can see the trend of heuristic and metaheuristic algorithms in HLPs similar to the exact solution algorithms. First, the majority of studies have dealt with uncapacitated cases of HLPs. Second, most of the capacitated HLPs have been investigated in recent years. Another observation is that hub median location problems have attracted more attention than hub covering location problems and hub center location problems in the HLP literature. In fact, most of the studies on hub covering location problems and hub center location problems are published in recent 3 years. Finally, this table shows that all investigations of continuous HLPs have assumed uncapacitated hub facilities with single allocation. In addition, these problems have barely attracted attention in recent years.

#### 4. Applications and real-life case studies

In this section, we go through the HLP literature in terms of its applications as well as the relevant case studies. Table 4 classifies the literature based on applications, in which the industrial context of a specific area (for which the HLP concept has been applied) will be pointed out. Meanwhile, the literature will be categorized based on case studies, for which some real-world instances of HLPs will be mentioned along with their related applications.

According to Table 4, the most studied application areas in HLP are airlines and airport industries and transportation systems. The difference between these two areas is that the former has been primarily used in the literature, but the latter has attracted more attention in the recent 5 years (76% of studies on transportation networks that have been reviewed are published after 2007). Another observation from Table 4 is that supply chain management and logistics application area is a novel domain in HLPs that has been considered in recent years. Finally, we can find out from this table that most of the real-world case studies surveyed in the literature have been done in the recent 7 years.

#### 5. Conclusions and future trends

In this paper, we shed light on basic classifications and important mathematical models and formulations for different variants of hub location problems. Then, we delivered a categorization of solution approaches and algorithms including exact methods as

**Table 1**Notations for different type of HLPs.

Capacity of hub node	Assignment of non-hub node to hub nodes	Type of the HLP	Number of hub nodes
Capacitated (C)	Single allocation (SA)	Median (M) Center (T) Covering (V) Set covering (SV) Maximum covering (MV)	Single (1)
Uncapacitated (U)	Multiple allocation (MA)		More than one (P)

**Table 2** Exact solution algorithms in HLPs.

Problem	Article	Solution algorithm	Efficiency (# of nodes)	# of hubs
C-MA-p-HLP	Marín (2005) Gelareh and Pisinger (2011)	Integer Linear Programming Mixed Integer Programming	40 15	- -
C-SA-p-HLP	Costa et al. (2008) Correia, Nickel, and Saldanha-da-Gama (2010a) Correia, Nickel, and Saldanha-da-Gama (2010b) Kratica, Milanovic, Stanimirovic, and Tosic (2011) de Camargo and Miranda (2012) Taghipourian et al. (2012)	Bi-criteria Integer Linear Programming Mixed Integer Programming Linear Programming Mixed Integer Programming Generalized Benders decomposition method Fuzzy Integer Linear Programming	40 50 - - 100 20	- - - - 20 4-7
U-MA-p-HLP	Skorin-Kapov, Skorin-Kapov, and O'Kelly (1996) Gelareh and Nickel (2007) de Camargo, Miranda, and Luna (2008) de Camargo, Miranda, and Luna (2009) de Camargo, Miranda, Ferreira, and Luna (2009) Contreras, Cordeau, and Laporte (2011c) Gelareh and Nickel (2011) Vasconcelos, Nassi, and Lopes (2011) Vidovic et al. (2011) Alumur, Nickel, et al. (2012)	Linear Programming Relaxation Bender decomposition method Benders decomposition Benders decomposition Nonlinear MIP- Generalized Benders decomposition algorithm Enhanced Bender decomposition method Bender decomposition method Integer Programming Mixed Integer Programming Stochastic programming approach	- - 200 50 81 500 50 12 - 25	- - - 4 - 20 - - 4
U-SA-p-HLP	Alumur, Kara, and Karasan (2009) O'Kelly (2009) Contreras, Fernandez, and Marín (2010) Lin (2010) Alumur, Nickel, et al. (2012)	Integer Programming Linear Programming (Mixed Integer Programming) MIP Integer Linear Programming Stochastic programming approach	- 550 25 - 25	- 8 - 5
U-SA-1-HLP	Yang (2009)	Mixed Integer Programming	10	1
U-MA-M-p-HLP	Campbell (1991) Campbell (1994a, 1994b) Campbell (2009) García, Landete, and Marín (2012)	Integer Programming Integer Programming Mixed Integer Programming Integer Programming-Branch and Cut	- - 40 200	- 16 190
U-SA-M- <i>p</i> -HLP	Ebery (2001) He, Chen, Chaovalitwongse, and Liu (2009) Yaman (2009) Puerto, Ramos, and Rodriguez-Chia (2011) Yaman and Elloumi (2012)	Mixed integer Linear Programming Quadratic Programming Mixed Integer Programming Mixed Integer Programming Mixed Integer Programming	- 25 81 20 70	- 4 12 10 20
U-MA-T-p-HLP U-SA-T-p-HLP	Kara and Tansel (2000) Ernst et al. (2009) Ernst et al. (2009)	Mixed Integer Programming Mixed Integer Programming Mixed Integer Programming	25 100 100	- 10 10
•	Yaman and Elloumi (2012)	Mixed Integer Programming	50	10

well as heuristics and meta-heuristics. Afterwards, some applications and case studies of HLPs were reviewed. This section intends to open new insights for further research considering gaps and trends of hub location problems.

#### 5.1. Gaps in HLP

- When a hub is installed, it may have some influences in the traffic that is generated, known as hubbing effect. Without considering effect of hubs on the generated traffic, there is no reason to take advantages of economies of scale. For instance, in airline networks, the effect of hubbing on passenger welfare is a critical issue for decision makers. As there is no research in this subject in the previous articles, this could be an important issue for future works.
- In the hub location area, criterion of proposed models usually deals with costs. However, in most of them a discount factor is considered just for the flow traversing between the hub nodes (e.g., Bryan, 1998; Cunha & Silva, 2007; O'Kelly & Bryan, 1998; Racunicam & Wynter, 2005). In fact, there is no investigation on factors affecting flow between non-hub nodes and hub nodes. This is important as there is no guarantee that one non-hub/hub connection will not have more traffic than some hub links.
- In some HLP applications such as international airlines, rapid delivery packing systems and other global distribution and communication systems (e.g. communication satellites sending signals to a large number of ground receivers that have been

- scattered widely), the existing facilities are supposed to be cover a comparatively wide service zone. Hence, network and discrete assumptions of solution domain are not applicable anymore. Therefore, formulating the problem on the plane is an important assumption to make these cases more realistic. To the best of our knowledge, there is no research on continuous HLP in recent years.
- Hub location with MCDM (e.g., Costa et al., 2008) has not been considered extensively in the previous studies. Most of the current literature has focused on maximizing profit or minimizing cost (or time and travel distance) criteria as traditional objective functions. However, in some applications like airline industries, we are dealing with other objective functions such as like maximization of market share. By considering more conflicting objectives, more real-world problems can be analyzed more efficiently.
- In addition to finding optimal location and allocation schemes, there are other decisions that can be potentially considered in the hub network design phase to model a more realistic problem. Some examples include but not limited to the following:
  - When liner shipping companies try to locate their favorite hub ports, at the same time, they need to consider competition between alternative hub ports in the region. Competitive HLP with application in maritime industries can be an example of considering other decision levels. An example of considering HLP in a competitive environment can be seen in Wagner (2008a).

**Table 3** Heuristic and meta-heuristic solution algorithms in HLPs.

Problem	Article	Solution algorithm	Efficiency (# of nodes)	# of hubs
C-MA-p-HLP	Ebery, Krishnamoorty, Ernst, and Boland (2000)	Nearest distance heuristic – branch and bound	200	_
	Rodriguez, Alvarez, and Barcos (2007)	Simulated annealing	52	_
	Rodriguez-Martin and Salazar-Gonzalez (2008)	Mixed integer linear programming with branch and cut based on decomposition method	25	10
C-SA-p-HLP	Ernst and Krishnamoorthy (1999)	Simulated annealing	=	_
•	Yaman, Kara, and Tansel (2007)	Tabu search (with greedy algorithm) – branch and cut	49	_
	Chen (2008)	Heuristic method	200	_
	Randall (2008)	Ant colony optimization (ACO)	50	_
	Contreras, Diaz, and Fernandez (2009)	Lagrangian relaxation – a heuristic algorithm	200	_
	Randall, Hendtlass, and Lewis (2009)	Extremal optimization (a meta-heuristic algorithm)	_	_
	Lin and Lee (2010)	Lagrangian relaxation	_	_
	de Camargo, Miranda, and Ferreira (2011)	Outer-approximation/benders decomposition method	200	_
	Contreras, Diaz, and Fernandez (2011)	Branch and price – Lagrangian relaxation	200	_
C-MA-M-p-HLP	Lin, Lin, and Chen (2012)	Genetic algorithm	_	_
C-MA-MV-p-HLP	Bollapragada, Camm, Rao, and Wu (2005)	Greedy algorithm	_	_
C-SA-V-p-HLP	Mohammadi, Jolai, and Rostami (2011)	Imperialist competitive algorithm and genetic algorithm	70	_
II MA » III D	O'Kally (1097)	Nearest distance housistic quadratic integer		
J-MA- <i>p</i> -HLP	O'Kelly (1987)	Nearest distance heuristic-quadratic integer	_	-
	Klincewicz (1991)	Clustering heuristic	_	-
	Klincewicz (1992)	Tabu search and greedy random algorithm	_	-
	Klincewicz (1996)	Dual ascent and dual adjustment techniques within a branch and bound scheme	-	_
	Pamuk and Sepil (2001)	Tabu search (with greedy local algorithm)	25	5
	Mayer and Wagner (2002)	Dual ascent approach	-	_
	Marianov and Serra (2003)	Tabu search	50	4–5
	Rodriguez-Martin and Salazar-Gonzalez (2006)	A heuristic approach based on linear programming relaxation	50	_
	Canovas, García, and Marín (2007)	Dual ascent technique – branch and bound	120	_
	Thomadsen and Larsen (2007)	Branch and price (combination of column generation and branch and bound)	25	_
	Wagner (2007)	Clustering (genetic algorithm and Tabu search)	-	-
	Gelareh, Nickel, and Pisinger (2010)	MILP – Lagrangian decomposition method	20	7
	Contreras et al. (2011a)	Monte Carlo simulation based algorithm/benders decomposition method	50	-
U-SA- <i>p</i> -HLP	Aykin (1988)	Nearest distance heuristic	Plane	_
	Aykin and Brown (1992)	Location-allocation heuristics	Plane-Sphere	-
	O'Kelly (1992)	Heuristic algorithm	-	-
	Aykin (1995a)	Simulated annealing (greedy interchange)/branch and bound		
	Aykin (1995b)	Location-routing heuristic algorithms	Plane	20
	O'Kelly et al. (1995)	Heuristic algorithm	25	-
	Abdinnour-Helm (1998)	Genetic algorithm and Tabu search (GATS)	-	-
	Abdinnour-Helm and Venkatavamanan (1998)	Genetic algorithm	-	-
	Pirkul and Schilling (1998)	Lagrangian relaxation	25	_
	Labbe, Yaman, and Gourdin (2005)	Branch and cut	-	-
	Topcuoglu, Corut, Ermis, and Yilmaz (2005)	Genetic algorithm	200	5
	Chen (2007)	Tabu search and simulated annealing	200	_
	Cunha and Silva (2007)	Genetic algorithm	25	-
	Contreras, Fernandez, and Marín (2009)	Lagrangian relaxation	100	8
	Filipovic, Kratica, Tosic, and Dugosija (2009)	Genetic algorithm inspired heuristic	200	-
	Iwasa, Saito, and Matsui (2009)	Randomized approximation algorithms based on LP relaxation (nearest neighbor algorithms)	-	-
	Silva and Cunha (2009)	Multi start Tabu search heuristic	200	-
	Han (2010)	Integer programming – Tabu search	50	11
	Catanzaro, Gourdin, Labbe, and Ozsoy (2011)	Branch and cut	20	_
U-SA-2-HLP	Ostresh (1975)	Location-allocation (shortest route)		-
	O'Kelly (1986a, 1986b)	Nearest distance heuristic	Plane	2
U-MA-M-p-HLP	Campbell (1996)	Greedy-interchange heuristic	_	_
J-IVIA-IVI-D-ULL		January Communication Communic		
U-IVIA-IVI-p-TLP	Ernst and Krishnamoorthy (1998)	Heuristic methods	_	_

#### Table 3 (continued)

Problem	Article	Solution algorithm	Efficiency (# of nodes)	# of hubs
	Sasaki, Suzuki, and Drezner (1999)	Branch and bound algorithm and greedy algorithm	50	2-4
	Sung and Jin (2001)	Clustering (dual-based approach)	_	_
	Klincewicz (2002)	Tabu search – Greedy Random Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP)	25	5
	Wagner (2008a)	LP relaxation – heuristic	50	5
	Eiselt and Marianov (2009)	Heuristic concentration procedure	50	5
	Cetiner, Sepil, and Sural (2010)	Iterative heuristic	81	6
	Ishfaq and Sox (2011)	Tabu search	100	6
	Ishfaq and Sox (2012)	Tabu search	25	5
U-SA-M-p-HLP	Aykin (1994)	Branch and bound (Lagrangian relaxation)	_	_
-	Skorin-Kapov and Skorin-Kapov (1994)	Tabu search	_	-
		Branch and bound (Lagrangian relaxation)	_	_
	Smith, Krishnamoorthy, and Palaniswami (1996)	Simulated annealing	20	4
	Kratica, Stanimirovic, Tosic, and Filipovic (2007)	Genetic algorithm	200	20
	Yaman (2008)	Lagrangian relaxation and local search	81	25
	Limbourg and Jourquin (2009)	Flow based approach	20	10
	Takano and Arai (2009)	Genetic algorithm	25	4
	Ilić, Urošević, Brimberg, and Mladenovic (2010)	General variable neighborhood search	1000	20
U-MA-MV-p-HLP	Qu and Weng (2009)	Evolutionary approach based on path relinking	82	4
U-MA-V-p-HLP	Karimi and Bashiri (2011)	Heuristic algorithms	37	6
U-SA-V-p-HLP	Calik, Alumur, Kara, and Karasan (2009)	Tabu search	_	_
•	Karimi and Bashiri (2011)	Heuristic algorithms	37	6
U-MA-T-p-HLP	Ernst, Hamacher, Jiang, Krishnamoorthy, and Woeginger (2002)	Innovative heuristic methods	_	_
U-SA-T-p-HLP	Ernst et al. (2002)	Innovative heuristic methods	_	_
	Calik et al. (2009)	Tabu-search based heuristic	-	-
	Gavriliouk (2009)	Heuristic based on aggregation	1000	20
	Meyer, Ernst, and Krishnamoorthy (2009)	Branch and bound algorithm and heuristic algorithm based on ant colony optimization (ACO)	1000	20
	Sim et al. (2009)	Heuristic methods	40	5

**Table 4** Applications for HLP.

Application area	Real-life case study	Place	Article (Year)
Airlines and airport industries	_	-	Toh and Higgins (1985)
	-	-	Shaw (1993)
	-	-	Aykin (1995a)
	-	_	Jaillet, Song, and Yu (1996)
	-	-	Bania, Bauer, and Zlatoper (1998)
	- Cargo delivery systems	-	Sasaki et al. (1999)
	Cargo delivery systems	_	Kara and Tansel (2000) Martin and Roman (2003)
	Airlines	21 Middle East airports	Alder and Hashai (2005)
	Air passenger traffic	United States	Campbell, Ernst, and Krishnamoorthy (2005)
	Flight routes planning	Taiwan and China	Yang (2009)
	Location of aerial freight flows	China	Ou and Weng (2009)
	Airport hubs – tourism	Brazil	Costa, Lohmann, and Oliveira (2010)
	Dual express services (air and	Taiwan	Lin (2010)
	ground) Centralize multimodal cargo	A Moroccan airport hub	Menou, Benallou, Lahdelma, and Salminen (2010)
	Airport hubs – tourism and industrial	37 cities in Iran	Karimi and Bashiri (2011)
	Air cargo network	China	Lin et al. (2012)
Emergency services	-	-	Hakimi (1964)
	-	-	Berman, Drezner, and Wesolowsky (2007)
Post delivery services and rapid delivery packing systems	-	-	Kuby and Gra (1993)
	-	-	Krishnamoorthy, Mills, and Sier (1994
	-	-	Ernst and Krishnamoorthy (1996)
	-	-	Smith et al. (1996)
	-	-	Ebery et al. (2000)
	Postal services	Turkey	Cetiner et al. (2010)
Supply chain management – logistics	_	_	Campbell et al. (2002)
	Freight transport	Hong Kong	Wang and Cheng (2010)
	Freight flows	United States	Ishfaq and Sox (2010)
	Road-rail transport system	A 25-city in United States	Ishfaq and Sox (2012)
Telecommunication services and message delivery networks	-	=	Lee, Lim, and Park (1996)
delivery liceworks	_	_	Klincewicz (1998)
		_	Carello et al. (2004)
		_	Bollapragada, Li, and Rao (2006)
		_	Rodriguez-Martin and Salazar-Gonzal
	_	·-	(2006)
	=	-	Kim and O'Kelly (2009)
ransportation systems	- -	-	Don, Harit, English, and Whicker (199 Lumsden, Dallari, and Ruggeri (1999)
	Transportation hub port	East coast of South America	Aversa, Botter, Haralambides, and Yoshizaki (2005)
	-	-	Baird (2006)
	Trucking companies	Brazil	Cunha and Silva (2007)
	Public transport	_	Gelareh and Nickel (2007)
	- Siting of landfills	– Brunswick, Canada	Yaman et al. (2007) Eiselt (2007)
	-	_	Lin and Chen (2008)
	Containerized cargo transport	Asian hub ports with Los Angeles and Rotterdam	Takano and Arai (2009)
	Truck transportation	North America	Campbell (2009)
	Intermodal transport (truck and rail)	European transfer terminals	Limbourg and Jourquin (2009)
	Container transshipment hub location	Southeastern Asia	Chou (2010)
	Truckload freight industry	Taiwan	Lin and Lee (2010)
	Freight transport (truck and rail)	Serbia	Vidovic et al. (2011)
	Fleet deployment	North America and Europe network ports	Gelareh and Pisinger (2011)
	Intermodal general cargo	Brazil	Vasconcelos et al. (2011)

 Considering dynamic aspect of lower level decisions (tactical and operational planning levels) in addition to HLP in airline industries in postal companies (for example, dynamic pricing and revenue management) can be another potential research area.

 While most of the literature has focused mostly on networktype problems, there are other applications of HLP in plane and discrete space. Maritime industries, political districting, and military systems can be examples of such applications.

#### 5.2. Future trends in HLP

- Considering facility location problem with reliability in order to resist against unforeseen disasters (manmade or natural disasters) is a new trend. This can also be applied on HLP considering possible disasters and disruptions on hubs or on spokes. For modeling reliability in HLPs, one can refer to Kim and O'Kelly (2009) in which flows transmit between origins and destinations without failing for a particular time period. In addition, one can refer to Carello, Della Croce, Ghirardi, and Tadel (2004) and An, Zhang, and Zeng (2011) because of considering backup hub nodes.
- Modeling sustainability and paying special consideration to social and environmental impacts in addition to classic economic aspect of HLP can be an applicable research area. Application of such problems in hazardous material handling and contamination of logistics systems (air, ground, marine and urban logistics) is evident. However, a major challenge in this area is quantifying social and environmental impacts in models.
- Concerning reviewing the literature, it should be noted that studies have done on a wide range of hub location modeling and solution methods. However, some of the novel formulations and their solution techniques are not pertinent to real-world problems and are not really applicable formulations. For instance, dynamic hub location is a more realistic potential area as various studies show that after several years, the located hubs are no longer optimal locations due to various changes in initial data over time (Alder & Hashai, 2005). In dynamic hub location area, we can refer to two recent articles: Contreras, Cordeau, and Laporte (2011b) and Taghipourian, Mahdavi, Mahdavi-Amiri, and Makui (2012).
- Considering aspect of global logistics and supply chain (like tariff, exchange rate, etc.) in global hub logistic network design is
  another application that can be an appropriate area for more
  investigation in the near future. For instance, we can refer the
  readers to Wang and Cheng (2010) and Ishfaq and Sox (2012).
- Another new subject in the hub location area is the multimodal HLPs in which the HLP is considered from a network design perspective. In these types of networks not only the usual locationallocation decisions are considered, but also the decision on how the hub networks must be designed is analyzed. For example, Alumur, Kara, and Karasan (2012) considered transportation costs and travel times in a multimodal HLP and proposed adding the pricing issues and market competition in future works.
- In accordance with literature, there is a new trend towards further research on combination of HLP with other location problems such as hierarchical location problem and obnoxious facility location problem. To our best knowledge, the only two papers dealing with hierarchical hub networks are Yaman (2009) and Lin (2010).
- Most of the models applied in HLPs literature are considering deterministic parameters, while stochastic and uncertain cases are more realistic. In fact, many attributes of HLPs such as demand and setup costs for the hubs have inherent uncertainty in real-world applications. The work of Alumur, Nickel, and Saldanha-da-Gama (2012) is a good instance for this category. Another papers dealing with uncertainty in HLP are Marianov and Serra (2003), Yang (2009), Sim, Lowe, and Thomas (2009), and Contreras, Cordeau, and Laporte (2011a). Thus, focusing

- on developing stochastic programming and robust optimization models and solution methods for HLPs is an important trend for future research.
- Even though we discussed the necessity of heuristics and metaheuristics when it came into solution methods, they might not be able to completely deal with large-size problem. In other words, there is a lack of solution approaches for solving large problems; therefore, researchers can use hybrid methods, especially hybrid meta-heuristics, to solve HLPs with large number of hubs. Moreover, exact methods like Benders' decomposition, column generation, branch and cut, etc. are still important. Furthermore, HLP has applications in very large-scale systems that require large amount of investments. Hence, ignoring gaps of even 1% by using imprecise methods is not really acceptable.
- Even if exact or heuristic optimization methods cannot solve large-size instances properly, some bounding techniques can be implemented to better analyze these methods. Therefore, development of some relaxation methods in integer programming (e.g. Lagrangian relaxation) in HLPs can still attract more interest.

#### References

- Abdinnour-Helm, S. (1998). A hybrid heuristic for the uncapacitated hub location problem. *European Journal of operational Research*, 106, 489–499.
- Abdinnour-Helm, S., & Venkatavamanan, M. A. (1998). Solution approaches to hub location problems. *Annals of Operational Research*, 78, 31–50.
- Alder, N., & Hashai, N. (2005). Effect of open skies in the Middle East region. *Transportation Research*, 39, 878–894.
- Alumur, S., & Kara, B. Y. (2008). Network hub location problems: The state of the art. European Journal of Operational Research, 190(1), 1–21.
- Alumur, S. A., Kara, B. Y., & Karasan, O. E. (2009). The design of single allocation incomplete hub networks. *Transportation Research Part B*, 43, 936–951.
- Alumur, S. A., Kara, B. Y., & Karasan, O. E. (2012). Multimodal hub location and hub network design. *Omega*, 40(6), 927–939.
- Alumur, S. A., Nickel, S., & Saldanha-da-Gama, F. (2012). Hub location under uncertainty. Transportation Research Part B, 46(4), 529–543.
- An, Y., Zhang, Y., & Zeng, B. (2011). The reliable hub-and-spoke design problem: Models and algorithms. <a href="http://www.optimization-online.org/DB\_FILE/2011/05/3043.pdf">http://www.optimization-online.org/DB\_FILE/2011/05/3043.pdf</a> Accessed 25.09.11.
- Aversa, R., Botter, R. C., Haralambides, H. E., & Yoshizaki, H. T. Y. (2005). A mixed integer programming model on the location of a hub port in the East Coast of South America. *Maritime Economics and Logistics*, 7, 1–18.
- Aykin, T. (1988). On the location of hub facilities. *Transportation Science*, 22(2), 155–157.
- Aykin, T. (1994). Lagrangian relaxation based approaches to capacitated hub-andspoke network design problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 79, 501–523.
- Aykin, T. (1995a). Networking policies for hub-and-spoke systems with application to the air transportation system. *Transportation Science*, 29(3), 201–221.
- Aykin, T. (1995b). The hub location and routing problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 83, 200–219.
- Aykin, T., & Brown, G. F. (1992). Interacting new facilities and location–allocation problem. *Transportation Science*, 26(3), 212–222.
- Baird, A. J. (2006). Optimizing the container transshipment hub location in northern Europe. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 14(3), 195–214.
- Bania, N., Bauer, P., & Zlatoper, T. U. (1998). Air passenger service: A taxonomy of route network, hub location, and competition. *Logistics and Transportation Review*, 34, 53–74.
- Berman, O., Drezner, Z., & Wesolowsky, G. O. (2007). The transfer point location problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 179(3), 978–989.
- Bollapragada, R., Camm, J., Rao, U. S., & Wu, J. (2005). A two-phase greedy algorithm to locate and allocate hubs for fixed-wireless broad bound access. *Operations Research Letters*, 33, 134-142.
- Bollapragada, R., Li, Y., & Rao, U. S. (2006). Budget-constrained, capacitated hub location to maximize expected demand coverage in fixed-wireless telecommunication networks. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, 18(4), 422–432.
- Bryan, D. (1998). Extensions to the hub location problem: Formulations and numerical examples. *Geographical Analysis*, 30(4), 315–330.
- Bryan, D. L., & O'Kelly, M. E. (1999). Hub and spoke networks in air transportation: An analytical review. *Journal of Regional Sciences*, 39(2), 275–295.
- Calik, H., Alumur, S. A., Kara, B. Y., & Karasan, O. E. (2009). A Tabu-search based heuristic for the hub covering problem over incomplete hub networks. Computers and Operations Research, 36, 3088–3096.
- Campbell, J. F. (1991). Hub location problems and the *p*-hub median problem. Center for Business and Industrial Studies, University of Missouri St. Louis, St. Louis, MO.

- Campbell, J. F. (1994a). A survey of network hub location. Studies in Locational Analysis. 6, 31–49.
- Campbell, J. F. (1994b). Integer programming formulations of discrete hub location problems. European Journal of Operational Research, 72, 387–405.
- Campbell, J. F. (1996). Hub location and p-hub median problem. Operations Research, 44(6), 923–935.
- Campbell, J. F. (2009). Hub location for time definite transportation. *Computers and Operations Research*, 36, 3107–3116.
- Campbell, J. F., Ernst, A. T., & Krishnamoorthy, M. (2005). Hub arc location problems: Part I – Introduction and results. *Management Science*, *51*, 1540–1555.
- Campbell, J. F., Ernst, A. T., & Krishnamoorthy, M. (2002). Hub location problems. In Z. Drezner & H. Hammacher (Eds.), Facility location: Applications and theory. Berlin: Springer.
- Campbell, A. M., Lowe, T. J., & Zhang, L. (2007). The p-hub center allocation problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 176(2), 819–835.
- Campbell, J. F., & O'Kelly, M. E. (2012). Twenty-five years of hub location research. Transportation Science, 46(2), 153–169.
- Canovas, L., García, S., & Marín, A. (2007). Solving the uncapacitated multiple allocation hub location problem by means of a dual-ascent technique. European Journal of Operational Research, 179, 990–1007.
- Carello, G., Della Croce, F., Ghirardi, M., & Tadel, R. (2004). Solving the hub location problem in telecommunications network design: A local search approach. *Networks*, 44(2), 94–105.
- Catanzaro, D., Gourdin, E., Labbe, M., & Ozsoy, F. A. (2011). A branch-and-cut algorithm for the partitioning-hub location-routing problem. *Computers and Operations Research*, 38, 539–549.
- Cetiner, S., Sepil, C., & Sural, H. (2010). Hubbing and routing in postal delivery systems. Annals of Operations Research, 181, 109–124.
- Chen, J. F. (2007). A hybrid heuristic for the uncapacitated single allocation hub location problem. Omega, 35(2), 211–220.
- Chen, J. F. (2008). A heuristic for the capacitated single allocation hub location problem. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, Advances in Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, 5(1), 185–196.
- Chou, C. C. (2010). Application of FMCDM model to selecting the hub location in the marine transportation: A case study in southeastern Asia. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 51, 791–801.
- Contreras, I., Cordeau, J. F., & Laporte, G. (2011a). Stochastic uncapacitated hub location. European Journal of Operational Research, 212, 518–528.
- Contreras, I., Cordeau, J. F., & Laporte, G. (2011b). The dynamic uncapacitated hub location problem. *Transportation Science*, 45, 18–32.
- Contreras, I., Cordeau, J. F., & Laporte, G. (2011c). Benders decomposition for large-scale uncapacitated hub location. *Operations Research*, 59(6), 1477–1490.
- Contreras, I., Diaz, J. A., & Fernandez, E. (2009). Lagrangian relaxation for the capacitated hub location problem with single assignment. *OR Spectrum*, 31, 483-505
- Contreras, I., Diaz, J. A., & Fernandez, E. (2011). Branch and price for large-scale capacitated hub location problems with single assignment. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, 23(1), 41–55.
- Contreras, I., Fernandez, E., & Marín, A. (2009). Tight bounds from a path based formulation for the tree of hub location problem. Computers and Operations Research, 36, 3117–3127.
- Contreras, I., Fernandez, E., & Marín, A. (2010). The tree of hubs location problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 202, 390–400.
- Correia, I., Nickel, S., & Saldanha-da-Gama, F. (2010a). Single-assignment hub location problems with multiple capacity levels. *Transportation Research Part B*, 44, 1047–1066.
- Correia, I., Nickel, S., & Saldanha-da-Gama, F. (2010b). The capacitated singleallocation hub location problem revisited: A note on a classical formulation. European Journal of Operational Research, 207, 92–96.
- Costa, M. G., Captivo, M. E., & Climaco, J. (2008). Capacitated single allocation hub location problem A bi-criteria approach. *Computers and Operations Research*, 35(11), 3671–3695.
- Costa, T. F. G., Lohmann, G., & Oliveira, A. V. M. (2010). A model to identify airport hubs and their importance to tourism in Brazil. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 26, 3–11.
- Cunha, C. B., & Silva, M. R. (2007). A genetic algorithm for the problem of configuring a hub-and-spoke network for a LTL trucking company in Brazil. European Journal of Operational Research, 179, 747–758.
- Daskin, M. S. (1995). Network and discrete location. Northwestern University.
- de Camargo, R. S., & Miranda, G. (2012). Single allocation hub location problem under congestion: Network owner and user perspectives. Expert Systems with Applications, 39(3), 3385–3391.
- de Camargo, R. S., Miranda, G., Jr., & Ferreira, R. P. M. (2011). A hybrid outer-approximation/benders decomposition algorithm for the single allocation hub location problem under congestion. *Operations Research Letters*, 39, 329–337.
- de Camargo, R. S., Miranda, G. Jr., Ferreira, R. P. M., & Luna, H. P. L. (2009). Multiple allocation hub-and-spoke network design under hub congestion. *Computers and Operations Research*, 36, 3097–3106.
- de Camargo, R. S., Miranda, G., Jr., & Luna, H. P. L. (2008). Benders decomposition for the uncapacitated multiple allocation hub location problem. *Computers and Operations Research*, 35(4), 1047–1064.
- de Camargo, R. S., Miranda, G., Jr., & Luna, H. P. L. (2009). Benders decomposition for hub location problems with economies of scale. *Transportation Science*, 43, 86–97.

- Don, T., Harit, S., English, J. R., & Whicker, G. (1995). Hub and spoke networks in truckload trucking: Configuration testing and operational concerns. *Logistics* and Transportation, 31, 209–237.
- Ebery, J. (2001). Solving large single allocation *p*-hub problems with two or three hubs. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 128, 447–458.
- Ebery, J., Krishnamoorty, M., Ernst, A. T., & Boland, N. (2000). The capacitated multiple allocation hub location problem: Formulation and algorithms. European Journal of operational Research, 120, 614–631.
- Eiselt, H. A. (2007). Locating landfills—Optimization vs. reality. European Journal of Operational Research, 179(3), 1040–1049.
- Eiself, H. A., & Marianov, V. (2009). A conditional p-HLP with attraction functions. Computers and Operations Research, 36, 3128–3135.
- Ernst, A. T., Hamacher, H., Jiang, H., Krishnamoorthy, M., & Woeginger, G. (2002). Uncapacitated single and multiple allocation p-hub center problems. Technical report, CSIRO, Australia.
- Ernst, A. T., Hamacher, H., Jiang, H., Krishnamoorthy, M., & Woeginger, G. (2009). Uncapacitated single and multiple allocation p-hub center problems. *Computers and Operations Research*, 36, 2230–2241.
- Ernst, A. T., & Krishnamoorthy, M. (1996). Efficient algorithms for the uncapacitated single allocation *p*-hub median problem. *Location Science*, *4*, 139–154.
- Ernst, A. T., & Krishnamoorthy, M. (1998). Exact and heuristic algorithms for the uncapacitated multiple allocation *p*-hub median problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 104, 100–112.
- Ernst, A. T., & Krishnamoorthy, M. (1999). Solution algorithms for the capacitated single allocation hub location problem. *Annals of Operations Research*, 86, 141–159.
- Farahani, R. Z., & Hekmatfar, M. (2009). Facilities location: Concepts, models, algorithms and case studies. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Filipovic, V., Kratica, J., Tosic, D., & Dugosija, D. (2009). GA inspired heuristic for uncapacitated single allocation hub location problem. In J. Mehnen et al. (Eds.), Applications of soft computing. AISC (Vol. 58, pp. 149–158). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- García, S., Landete, M., & Marín, A. (2012). New formulation and a branch-and-cut algorithm for the multiple allocation p-hub median problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 220(1), 48–57.
- Gavriliouk, E. O. (2009). Aggregation in hub location problems. *Computers and Operations Research*, 36, 3136–3142.
- Gelareh, S., & Nickel, S. (2007). A benders decomposition for hub location problems arising in public transport. Operations Research Proceedings Part VI, 1, 129–134.
- Gelareh, S., & Nickel, S. (2011). Hub location problems in transportation networks. *Transportation Research Part E*, 47, 1092–1111.
- Gelareh, S., Nickel, S., & Pisinger, D. (2010). Liner shipping hub network design in a competitive environment. *Transportation Research Part E*, 46, 991–1004.
- Gelareh, S., & Pisinger, D. (2011). Fleet deployment, network design and hub location of liner shipping companies. *Transportation Research Part E, 47*, 947–964.
- Hakimi, S. L. (1964). Optimum location of switching centers and the absolute centers and medians of a graph. Operations Research, 12, 450–459.
- Hamacher, H. W., Labbé, M., Nickel, S., & Sonneborn, T. (2004). Adapting polyhedral properties from facility to hub location problems. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 145(1), 104–116.
- Han, J. (2010). A traffic grooming problem considering hub location for synchronous optical network-wavelength division multiplexing networks. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 59, 1–8.
- He, X., Chen, A., Chaovalitwongse, W. A., & Liu, H. (2009). On the quadratic programming approach for hub location problems. In W. Chaovalitwongse et al. (Eds.), Optimization and logistics challenges in the enterprise. Springer optimization and its applications (Vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 211–228).
- Hekmatfar, M., & Pishvaee, M. (2009). In R. Z. Farahani, M. Hekmatfar (Eds.), Hub location problem, in facilities location: Concepts, models, algorithms and case studies. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
   Ilić, A., Urošević, D., Brimberg, J., & Mladenovic, N. (2010). A general variable discrete discrete allocation rebuilt.
- llic, A., Uroševic, D., Brimberg, J., & Mladenovic, N. (2010). A general variable neighborhood search for solving the uncapacitated single allocation *p*-hub median problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 206, 289–300.
- Ishfaq, R., & Sox, C. R. (2010). Intermodal logistics: The interplay of financial, operational and service issues. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 46(6), 926–949 (Original Research Article).
   Ishfaq, R., & Sox, C. R. (2011). Hub location-allocation in intermodal logistic
- Ishfaq, R., & Sox, C. R. (2011). Hub location–allocation in intermodal logistic networks. European Journal of Operational Research, 210, 213–230.
- Ishfaq, R., & Sox, C. R. (2012). Design of intermodal logistics networks with hub delays. European Journal of Operational Research, 220(3), 629-641.
- Iwasa, M., Saito, H., & Matsui, T. (2009). Approximation algorithms for the single allocation problem in hub-and-spoke networks and related metric labeling problems. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 157, 2078–2088.
- Jaillet, P., Song, G., & Yu, G. (1996). Airline network design and hub location problems. Location Science, 4(3), 195-212.
  [Vol. 70, 8, 70, 10, 10]
- Kara, B. Y., & Tansel, B. C. (2000). On the single assignment *p*-hub center problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 125(3), 648–655.
- Kara, B. Y., & Tansel, B. C. (2003). The single-assignment hub covering problem: Models and linearizations. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 54(1), 59-64.
- Karimi, H., & Bashiri, M. (2011). Hub covering location problems with different coverage types. Scientia Iranica, 18(6), 1571–1578.

- Kim, H., & O'Kelly, M. E. (2009). Reliable p-hub location problems in telecommunication networks. Geographical Analysis, 41(3), 283-306.
- Klincewicz, J. G. (1991). Heuristics for the p-hub location problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 53, 25-37.
- Klincewicz, J. G. (1992). Avoiding local optima in the p-hub location problem using Tabu search and grasp. Annals of Operational Research, 40, 283-302.
- Klincewicz, J. G. (1996). A dual algorithm for the uncapacitated hub location problem. Location Science, 4(3), 173-184.
- Klincewicz, J. G. (1998). Hub location in backbone tributary network design: A review. Location Science, 6, 307-335.
- Klincewicz, J. G. (2002). Enumeration and search procedures for a hub location problem with economies of scale. Annals of Operations Research, 110(1-4),
- Kratica, J., Milanovic, M., Stanimirovic, Z., & Tosic, D. (2011). An evolutionary-based approach for solving a capacitated hub location problem. Applied Soft Computing, 11, 1858-1866.
- Kratica, J., Stanimirovic, Z., Tosic, D., & Filipovic, V. (2007). Two genetic algorithms for solving the uncapacitated single allocation p-hub median problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 182, 15–28.
- Krishnamoorthy, M., Mills, G., & Sier, D. (1994) Strategic configuration of the mail processing network: Location-allocation modeling-stage1, CSIRO, Technical report DMS-C94/9. Division of Mathematics and Statistics, CSIRO, Australia.
- Kuby, M. J., & Gra, R. G. (1993). Hub network design problem with stopovers and feeders: Case of federal express. Transportation Research, 27, 1-12.
- Labbe, M., Yaman, H., & Gourdin, E. (2005). A branch and cut algorithm for hub location problems with single assignment. Mathematical Programming, 102(2), 371-405.
- Lee, Y., Lim, B., & Park, J. (1996). A hub location problem in designing digital data service networks: Lagrangian relaxation approach. Location Science, 4, 183-194.
- Limbourg, S., & Jourquin, B. (2009). Optimal rail-road container terminal locations on the European network. Transportation Research Part E, 45, 551-563.
- Lin, C. C. (2010). The integrated secondary route network design model in the hierarchical hub-and-spoke network for dual express services. International Journal of Production Economics, 123, 20–30.
- Lin, C. C., & Chen, S. H. (2008). An integral constrained generalized hub-and-spoke network design problem. Transportation Research Part E, 44, 986-1003.
- Lin, C. C., & Lee, S. C. (2010). The competition game on hub network design. Transportation Research Part B, 44, 618-629.
- Lin, C. C., Lin, J. Y., & Chen, Y. C. (2012). The capacitated p-hub median problem with integral constraints: An application to a Chinese air cargo network. Applied Mathematical Modeling, 36(6), 2777–2787.
- Lumsden, K., Dallari, F., & Ruggeri, R. (1999). Improving the efficiency of the hub and spoke system for the SKF European distribution network. International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management, 29, 50-64.
- Marianov, V., & Serra, D. (2003). Location models for airline hubs behaving as M/D/c queues. Computers and Operations Research, 30, 983-1003.
- Marín, A. (2005). Formulating and solving splittable capacitated multiple allocation hub location problems. Computers and Operations Research, 32, 3093-3109.
- Marín, A., Cánovas, L., & Landete, M. (2006). New formulations for the uncapacitated multiple allocation hub location problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 172(1), 274-292.
- Martin, J. C., & Roman, C. (2003). Hub location in the South-Atlantic airline market: A spatial competition game. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 37(10), 865-888.
- Mayer, G., & Wagner, B. (2002). Hub locator: An exact solution method for the multiple allocation hub location problem. Computers and Operations Research. 29, 715-739.
- Menou, A., Benallou, A., Lahdelma, R., & Salminen, P. (2010). Decision support for centralizing cargo at a Moroccan airport hub using stochastic multi criteria acceptability analysis. European Journal of Operational Research, 204, 621-629.
- Meyer, T., Ernst, A. T., & Krishnamoorthy, M. (2009). A 2-phase algorithm for solving the single allocation p-hub center problem. Computers and Operations Research, 36. 3143-3151.
- Mohammadi, M., Jolai, F., & Rostami, H. (2011). An M/M/c queue model for hub covering location problem. Mathematical and Computer Modeling, 54, 2623-2638.
- O'Kelly, M. E. (1986a). The location of interacting hub facilities. Transportation Science, 20, 92-106.
- O'Kelly, M. E. (1986b). Activity levels at hub facilities in interacting networks. Geographical Analysis, 18(4), 343–356.
- O'Kelly, M. E. (1987). A quadratic integer program for the location of interacting hub facilities. European Journal of Operational Research, 32, 393-404.
- O'Kelly, M. E. (1992). Hub facility location with fixed costs. Papers in Regional Science, 71, 292-306.
- O'Kelly, M. E. (2009). Rectilinear minimax hub location problems. Journal of
- Geographical Systems, 11, 227–241.
  O'Kelly, M. E., & Bryan, D. (1998). Hub location with flow economies of scale. Transportation Research Part B, 32(8), 605-616.
- O'Kelly, M. E., & Miller, H. (1994). The hub network design problem: A review and synthesis. Journal of Transport Geography, 2(1), 31-40.
- O'Kelly, M. E., Skorin-Kapov, D., & Skorin-Kapov, J. (1995). Lower bounds for the hub location problem. Management Science, 41, 713-721.
- Ostresh, L. M. Jr., (1975). An efficient algorithm for solving the two center locationallocation problem. Journal of Regional Science, 15, 209-216.

- Pamuk, F. S., & Sepil, C. (2001). A solution to the hub center problem via a singlerelocation algorithm with Tabu search. IIE Transactions, 33, 399-411.
- Pirkul, H., & Schilling, D. A. (1998). An efficient procedure for designing single allocation hub and spoke systems. Management Science, 44(12), 235-242.
- Puerto, J., Ramos, A. B., & Rodriguez-Chia, A. M. (2011). Single-allocation ordered median hub location problems. Computers and Operations Research, 38, 559-570
- Qu, B., & Weng, K. (2009). Path relinking approach for multiple allocation hub maximal covering problem. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 57, 1890-1894.
- Racunicam, I., & Wynter, L. (2005). Optimal location of intermodal freight hubs. Transportation Research Part B, 39(5), 453-477.
- Randall, M. (2008). Solution approaches for the capacitated single allocation hub location problem using ant colony optimization. Computational Optimization and Applications, 39, 239-261.
- Randall, M., Hendtlass, T., & Lewis, A. (2009). Extremal optimization for assignment type problems. In A. Lewis et al. (Eds.), Biologically-inspired optimization methods. SCI (Vol. 210, pp. 139-164). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Rodriguez, V., Alvarez, M. J., & Barcos, L. (2007). Hub location under capacity constraints. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 43, 495-505.
- Rodriguez-Martin, I., & Salazar-Gonzalez, J. J. (2006). An iterated local search heuristic for a capacitated hub location problem. DEIOC, Universidad de La Laguna, 38271 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain.
- Rodriguez-Martin, I., & Salazar-Gonzalez, J. J. (2008). Solving a capacitated hub location problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 184(2), 468-479.
- Sasaki, M., Suzuki, A., & Drezner, Z. (1999). On the selection of hub airports for an airline hub-and-spoke system. Computers and Operations Research, 26, 1411-1422
- Shaw, S. L. (1993). Hub structures of major US passenger airline. Journal of Transport Geography, 1(1), 47-58.
- Silva, M. R., & Cunha, C. B. (2009). New simple and efficient heuristics for the uncapacitated single allocation hub location problem. Computers and Operations Research, 36, 3152-3165.
- Sim, T., Lowe, T. J., & Thomas, B. W. (2009). The stochastic p-hub center problem with service-level constraints. Computers and Operations Research, 36,
- Skorin-Kapov, D., Skorin-Kapov, J., O'Kelly, M. E. (1996). Tight linear programming relaxations of uncapacitated p-hub median problems. In Proceedings of the national decision science conference, Boston (Vol. 94, pp. 582-593).
- Skorin-Kapov, D., & Skorin-Kapov, J. (1994). On Tabu search for the location of interacting hub facilities. European Journal of Operations Research, 73, 502-
- Smith, R., Krishnamoorthy, M., & Palaniswami, M. (1996). Neural versus traditional approaches to the location of interacting hub facilities. Location Science, 4(3), 155-171.
- Sohn, J., & Park, S. (1998). Efficient solution procedure and reduced size formulation for p-HLP. European Journal of Operational Research, 108, 118-126.
- Sung, C. S., & Jin, H. W. (2001). Dual-based approach for a hub network design problem under non-restrictive policy. European Journal of Operational Research, 132, 88-105.
- Taghipourian, F., Mahdavi, I., Mahdavi-Amiri, N., & Makui, A. (2012). A fuzzy programming approach for dynamic virtual hub location problem. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 36(7), 3257-3270.
- Takano, T., & Arai, M. (2009). A genetic algorithm for the hub-and-spoke problem applied to containerized cargo transport. Journal of Marine Science and Technology, 14, 256-274.
- Thomadsen, T., & Larsen, J. (2007). A hub location problem with fully interconnected backbone and access networks. Computers and Operations Research, 34, 2520-2531.
- Toh, R. S., & Higgins, R. C. (1985). The impact of hub and spoke network centralization and route monopoly on domestic airline profitability. *Transportation Journal*, 24, 16–27.
- Topcuoglu, H., Corut, F., Ermis, M., & Yilmaz, G. (2005). Solving the uncapacitated hub location using genetic algorithms. Computers and Operational Research, 32, 467-984.
- Vasconcelos, A. D., Nassi, C. D., & Lopes, L. A. S. (2011). The uncapacitated hub location problem in networks under decentralized management. Computers and Operations Research, 38, 1656-1666,
- Vidovic, M., Zecevic, S., Kilibarda, M., Vlajic, J., Bjelic, N., & Tadic, S. (2011). The p-hub model with hub-catchment areas, existing hubs, and simulation: A case study of Serbian intermodal terminals. Networks and Spatial Economics, 11(2), 295-314.
- Wagner, B. (2007). An exact solution procedure for a cluster hub location problem. European Journal of Operational Research, 178, 391-401.
- Wagner, B. (2008a). A note on location of hubs in a competitive environment. European Journal of Operational Research, 184(1), 57-62.
- Wagner, B. (2008b). Model formulations for hub covering problems. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 59, 932-938.
- Wang, J. J., & Cheng, M. C. (2010). From a hub port city to a global supply chain management center: A case study of Hong Kong. Journal of Transport Geography, 18, 104-115
- Yaman, H. (2008). Star p-hub median problem with modular arc capacities. Computers and Operations Research, 35(9), 3009-3019.

- Yaman, H. (2009). The hierarchical hub median problem with single assignment. *Transportation Research Part B, 43*, 643–658.

  Yaman, H., & Elloumi, S. (2012). Star *p*-hub center problem and star *p*-hub median problem with bounded path lengths. *Computers and Operations Research, 39*(11), 2725–2732.
- Yaman, H., Kara, B. Y., & Tansel, B. C. (2007). The latest arrival hub location problem for cargo delivery systems with stopovers. *Transportation Research Part B*, 41, 906–919.
- Yang, T. H. (2009). Stochastic air freight hub location and flight routes planning. Applied Mathematical Modeling, 33, 4424–4430.