CLRS Exercise

Tongda Xu

November 19, 2018

- 1 The Role of Algorithms in Computing
- 2 Getting Started
- 3 Growth of Functions
- 4 Divide-and-Conquer
- 5 Probabilistic Analysis and Randomized Algorithms
- 6 Heapsort
- 7 Quicksort
- 7.1 7.3

7.1.1 a

This is certain concerning the Randomized procedure, the probability of any index i is chosen from [0, n-1] is:

$$Pr(pivot = i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$E(X_i) = 1 * Pr(pivot = i) + 0 * Pr(pivot \neq i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

7.1.2 b

It is certain that if *ith* element is chosen as pivot, Random-Parition cost $\Theta(n)$ time, and it will call QuickSort[1, q-1], QuickSort[q+1, n] recursively.

Concerning only the first *Parition*, this would be the result:

$$\begin{split} E(T(n)) &= \Sigma_{i=1}^n Pr(pivot=i)(T(i-1) + T(n-i) + \Theta(n)) \\ &= \Sigma_{i=1}^n X_i(T(i-1) + T(n-i) + \Theta(n)) \end{split}$$

7.1.3 c

Concerning
$$X_i = \frac{1}{n}$$

 $E(T(n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} (T(i-1) + T(n-i) + \Theta(n))$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} T(i-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} T(n-i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \Theta(n)$
 $= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T(i) + \Theta(n)$

7.1.4 d

$$\begin{split} & \Sigma_{k=2}^{n-1} k l g k \\ & \leq l g \frac{n}{2} \Sigma_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{2}} k + l g n \Sigma_{k=\frac{n}{2}}^{n-1} k \\ & = l g n \Sigma_{k=2}^{n-1} k - l g 2 \Sigma_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{2}} k \\ & = l g n \frac{(n+1)(n-2)}{2} - \frac{(\frac{n}{2}+2)(\frac{n}{2}-1)}{2} \\ & \leq l g n \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n^2}{8} \\ & \text{by Calculus, we have:} \\ & (\frac{1}{2} x^2 l g x - \frac{1}{4} x^2)|_1^{n-1} \leq E(T(n)) \leq (\frac{1}{2} x^2 l g x - \frac{1}{4} x^2)|_2^n \end{split}$$

7.1.5 e

Proof of
$$E(T(n)) = O(nlgn)$$
:
Assume that $\forall k \in [1, n-1], \exists c, E(T(k)) \leq cklgk - \Theta(k)$
For $k = n, E(T(n)) \leq \frac{n}{2}c(lgn\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n^2}{4} - \Theta(n^2)) + \Theta(n) \leq cnlgn - \Theta(n)$
Proof of $E(T(n)) = \Omega(nlgn)$:
Assume that $\forall k \in [1, n-1], \exists c, E(T(k)) \geq cklgk + \Theta(k)$
For $k = n, E(T(n)) \geq \frac{n}{2}c(lgn\frac{(n-1)^2}{2} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} + \Theta(n^2)) + \Theta(n) \geq cnlgn + \Theta(n)$
 $\rightarrow E(T(n)) = \Theta(nlgn)$

7.2 7.5

7.2.1 a

From counting Theorem, it could be noticed that: $p_i=\frac{(i-1)(n-i)}{C_n^3}=\frac{6(i-1)(n-i)}{n(n-1)(n-2)}$

7.2.2 b

$$\begin{split} & Pr(i = medium)(normal) = \frac{1}{n} \\ & Pr(i = medium)(3part) = \frac{6(\frac{1}{2}n - 1)(n - \frac{1}{2}n)}{n(n - 1)(n - 2)} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{n} \\ & Pr(3part) - Pr(normal) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{n} \end{split}$$

7.2.3 c

Consider
$$f_{diff} = \int_{\frac{n}{3}}^{\frac{2}{3}n} \left(\frac{6(i-1)(n-i)}{n(n-1)(n-2)} - \frac{1}{n} \right) di$$

= $\frac{(-2i^3 + 3(n+1)i^2 - 6ni - (n-1)(n-2)i)\Big|_{i=\frac{1}{3}n}^{i=\frac{2}{3}n}}{n(n-1)(n-2)}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{diff} = \frac{4}{27}$$

7.2.4 d

Consider we are so lucky that each partition we choose the median:

In the Iteration tree, we have:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & n = 1\\ 2T(\frac{1}{2}n) + n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$
 The $\Omega(nlgn)$ is kept even in best case.

Sorting in Linear Time

8.1 8.1-1

n-1 times, since we need n elements to formulate

8.2 8.1-2

$$\Sigma_{1}^{n}lgk < \int_{1}^{n+1}lgkdk = (klgk-k)_{1}^{n} = (nlgn-n) - (0-1) = nlgn-n+1$$

8.3 8.1-3

 \leftrightarrow proof at least half of branch is longer than h

Consider a decision tree with n!/2 elements

 \leftrightarrow proof at least half of branch is longer than h

Consider a decision tree with n!/n elements

 \leftrightarrow proof at least half of branch is longer than h

Consider a decision tree with $n!/2^n$ elements, this is not significant enough and could leave only $\Omega(lg\frac{n!}{2^n}) = \Omega(nlgn - n) = \Omega(nlgn)$ elements

8.4 8.2-4

Consider a trim version of counting sort, build the C map up and query directly:

Counting-sort-trim(A, k)

```
1 C[]
  for i = 0 to k
       C[i] = 0
  for j = 1 to A.length
4
       C[A[j]] + +
  for m = 1 to k
       C[m] + = C[m-1]
  return C[m]
```

```
DIRECT-QUERT(A, k, a, b)

1 C = \text{COUNTING-SORT-TRIM}(A, k)

2 if a < 1

3 return C[b]

4 else return C[b] - C[a-1]
```

8.5 8.3-2

Heapsort is not stable

The scheme would be very similar to counting sort and takes $\Theta(n)$ time

8.6 8.3-4

First, with O(n) time: convert n numbers k_{10} into k_n which has 3 digits. Second, with O(d(n+n)) time (Lemma 8.3): Radix sort n 3-digit numbers with each digits take up to n possible values.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{DIGITSCONVERT}(X) \\ 1 & \operatorname{result}[] \\ 2 & \mathbf{for} \ i = 2 \ \mathbf{downto} \ 0 \\ 3 & \operatorname{result}[i] = X/n^i \\ 4 & X = X \ \operatorname{mod} n^i \\ 5 & \mathbf{return} \ \operatorname{result} \\ \\ \operatorname{SORT}(A, x) \\ 1 & \operatorname{result}[] \\ 2 & \mathbf{for} \ \operatorname{each} \ S \ \operatorname{in} \ A \\ 3 & S = \operatorname{DIGITSCONVERT}(S) \\ 4 & \operatorname{RADIX-SORT}(A, x) \end{array}
```

9 Medians and Order Statistics

9.1 9.2-1

once p == r, the function return and recursion end.

$9.2 \quad 9.2-2$

It is because $\forall k, X_k = \frac{1}{n}$, giving information on which k would not effect observation

9.3 9.2-3

 $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{RANDOMIZED-SELECT-ITER}(A,p,r,i) \\ 1 & \mathbf{while} \ 1 \\ 2 & \mathbf{if} \ i == k \\ 3 & \mathbf{return} \ A[i] \\ 4 & \mathbf{else} \\ 5 & q = \operatorname{RANDOM-PARTITION}(A,p,r) \\ 6 & \mathbf{if} \ i < k \\ 7 & r = q-1 \end{array}$

else p = q + 1, i = i - k

9.4 9.2-4

The worst case is reverse side: pivot = 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0

9.5 9.1

9.5.1 a

Sorting: MERGE-SORT(A) in worst case O(nlgn) Query: Call-by-rank(A,k) i times in worst case O(i), here we assume manipulating O(n) space cost O(n) time.

9.5.2 b

Building: BUILD-MAP-HEAP(A) in worst case O(n) Query: calling Extra-max(A,k) i times in worst case O(ilgn)

9.5.3 c

Selecting: Select(A, i) in worst case O(n)Sorting: MERGE-SORT(A') in worst case O(ilgi)

9.6 9.2

9.6.1 a

$$\Sigma_1^{k-1} w_i = \Sigma_1^{k-1} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{k-1}{n} < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Sigma_{k+1}^n = \frac{n-k}{n} \le \frac{1}{2}$$

9.6.2 b

```
WEIGHT-MEDIAN(A)
1 w[] = SORT(A).weight
   n = w.length
   for i = 1 to n
         w[i] = w[i] + w[i-1]
   return FIND(w[], \frac{1}{2})
9.6.3 c
SUM(w_1, w_i, lasti, lastsum)
1 if i > lasti
         \mathbf{return}\ last sum + \ \text{NORMAL-SUM}(\ w_{last i,i}\ )
   else return lastsum - NORMAL-SUM(w_{i,lasti})
WEIGHT-MEDIAN-LINEAR (A)
1
    while 1
2
         if sum[w_1, w_i, lasti, lastsum] < \frac{1}{2}, sum[w_1, w_{i+1}, lasti, lastsum] > \frac{1}{2}
3
               \mathbf{return}\ i
4
         else
5
               lastsum = sum[w_1, w_i, lasti, lastsum], lasti = i
               if sum[w_1, w_i] < \frac{1}{2}

i = \text{MEDIAN}(A, i, r)
6
7
8
               else i = MEDIAN(A, p, i)
```

We will experience logn literation, but the load is decreasing logarithmically, so the result is linear. Notice the sum is special here, calculating the difference only.

9.7 9.4

9.7.1 a

$$\begin{array}{l} k \leq i \text{ or } k \geq j : 0 \\ i < k < j : \frac{2}{j-i+i} \end{array}$$

9.7.2 b

9.7.3 c

9.7.4 d

Elementary Data Structures 10

Hash Tables 11

11.1 11.1-2

Consider vector < bool > A, a.size() = m, just store the bool value of key = mexist or not.

SEARCH(A, key)

if A(key)

return key

else return NIL

INSERT(A, key)

 $1 \quad A(key) = 1$

DELETE(A, key)

 $1 \quad A(key) = 0$

11.211.2

11.2.1 a

Consider for a ball i fall into a specific bucket $Pr(i) = \frac{1}{n}$ Then consider Binomial Distribution, $Pr(k) = C_n^k Pr(i)^k (1 - Pr(i))^{n-k}$

11.2.2 b

Consider random picking a slot, the probability of that slot is maximum is $Pr_{max} = \frac{1}{n}$, and it contains k elements Q_k . for conditional probability, we

have:
$$P_k = Pr_{i=k|max} = \frac{Pr(i=k\cap max)}{Pr_{max}} \le \frac{Pr(i=k)}{Pr_{max}} = nQ_k$$

11.2.3 c

Proof:

$$Q_{k} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{n-k} C_{n}^{k}$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)^{n-k}}{n^{n}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{k-1} n-k}{k!}$$

$$\leq \frac{n^{n}}{n^{n}} \frac{1}{k!}$$

$$=\frac{e^k}{k^k}\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+\Theta(\frac{1}{n}))} \\ \leq \frac{e^k}{k^k}$$

11.2.4 d

Proof for
$$Q_{k_0}$$
:
$$Q_{k_0} = \frac{e^{(\frac{clgn}{lglgn})}}{(\frac{clgn}{lglgn})^{\frac{clgn}{lglgn}}}$$

$$= \frac{n^{\frac{clg\frac{e}{c}}{lglgn}}}{\frac{clglgn}{clglgn}} = n^{\frac{clg\frac{e}{c} + clglglgn}{lglgn} - c}$$

It would not take effort to notice that since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{clg\frac{e}{e}+clglglgn}{lglgn}=0$ $\begin{array}{l} \forall c>3+\epsilon, Q_{k_0}=O(\frac{1}{n^3})\\ \text{And } P_k\leq nQ_k\to P_k=O(\frac{1}{n^2}) \end{array}$

11.2.5 e

$$\begin{split} E(M) &= \Sigma_{M=1}^n M Pr(M) < n Pr(M > \frac{clgn}{lglgn}) + \frac{clgn}{lglgn} Pr(M \leq \frac{clgn}{lglgn}) \\ \text{A stronger conclusion to note:} \\ E(M) &= \Sigma_{M=1}^n M Pr(M) < M Pr(M > \frac{clgn}{lglgn}) + \frac{clgn}{lglgn} Pr(M \leq \frac{clgn}{lglgn}) \\ &\leq \int_{\frac{clgn}{lglgn}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} dn + 1 * \frac{clgn}{lglgn} \\ &= lg(\frac{clgn}{lglgn}) + \frac{clgn}{lglgn} \\ &= O(\frac{clgn}{lglgn}) \end{split}$$

- Binary Search Trees 12
- **Red-Black Trees** 13
- **Augmenting Data Structures** 14
- **Dynamic Programming** 15

15.115.1 - 1

$$2^n - 1 = \Sigma_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^j$$

15.2 15.1-2

Do not know how!

15.3 15.1-3

 ${\tt BOTTOM\text{-}UP\text{-}CUT\text{-}ROD}(p,n,c)$

```
\begin{array}{lll} 1 & r[] = c \\ 2 & \textbf{for } j = 1 \textbf{ to } n \\ 3 & \textbf{for } i = 1 \textbf{ to } j \\ 4 & r[i] \leftarrow max(p[i] + r[j-i] - c) \\ 5 & \textbf{return } r[n] \end{array}
```

15.4 15.1-4

MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD(p, n, m, s)

```
\begin{array}{lll} 1 & \textbf{if} \ m[n] > -1 \\ 2 & \textbf{return} \ m[n] \\ 3 & \textbf{else} \\ 4 & \textbf{for} \ i \leftarrow 1 \ \textbf{to} \ n \\ 5 & m[n] \leftarrow max(p[i] + r[n-i]) \\ 6 & s[n] \leftarrow i \\ 7 & \textbf{return} \ m[n] \end{array}
```

15.5 15.1-5

See Code

15.6 15.2-1

See Code

15.7 15.2-2

See Code

15.8 15.2-3

```
Assume that \forall k \leq n-1, T(k) \geq c2^k
Then T(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} T(k) T(n-k) = (n-1)c^22^n > c2^n
So T(n) = \Omega(n), \omega(n)
```

15.9 15.2-4

See Figure 1

15.10 15.2-5

```
For each level h(i) = i(n-i)
For tree T(n) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(n-i)
```

Ex 15.2.4




Figure 1: 15.2-4

$$= \frac{3n^3 + 3n^2}{3} - \frac{2n^3 + 3n^2 + n}{3}$$
$$= \frac{n^3 - n}{3}$$

15.11 15.2-6

Assume that
$$\forall k \leq n-1, N(k) = k-1$$

Then $N(n) = N(n-1) + 1$
So $N(n) = n-1$

15.12 15.3-1

running through: $T(n)=n*P_n^n=n*n!>4^n$ running recursion: $T(n)=2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}4^i+n=\frac{8}{3}4^{n-1}+n\leq 4^n$ running through takes longer

15.13 15.3-2

no overlapping subproblem call

15.14 15.3-3

Yes

15.15 15.3-4

Do not know how!

15.16 15.4-1

See code

15.17 15.4-2

See code

LCS(X,Y)

15.18 15.4-3

```
1 DP \leftarrow [][]
2 return LSC-AID(X.length, Y.length)
LSC-AID(i, j)
 1 if i = 0 or j = 0
 2
         DP[i][j] \leftarrow 0
 3
    else
         if X[i] = Y[j]
 4
              if DP[i-1][j-1] = NIL
 5
                   DP[i-1][j-1] = LSC-AID(i-1, j-1)
 6
 7
              DP[i][j] \leftarrow DP[i-1][j-1] + 1
 8
         else
              \mathbf{if}\ DP[i-1][j] = NIL
 9
                   DP[i-1][j] = LSC-AID(i-1,j)
10
              if DP[i][j-1] = NIL
11
12
                   DP[i][j-1] = LSC-AID(i, j-1)
13
              DP[i][j] = max\{DP[i][j-1], DP[i-1][j]\}
14 return DP[i][j]
```

15.19 15.4-5

This is easy to construct from bottom to top, and straightforward to see a time complexity of $\Theta(n^2)$:

Longest-Mono-Increase(s)

```
\begin{array}{lll} 1 & DP \leftarrow [][] \\ 2 & DP[1] \leftarrow s[1] \\ 3 & \textbf{for } i \leftarrow 1 \textbf{ to } n \\ 4 & \textbf{for } j \leftarrow i-1 \textbf{ downto } 1 \\ 5 & \textbf{if } DP[j].end < s[i] \\ 6 & DP[i] \leftarrow DP[i].length < DP[j].length + 1?DP[j] + s[i] : DP[i] \\ 7 & \textbf{else } DP[i] \leftarrow DP[i].length < DP[j].length?DP[j] : DP[i] \\ 8 & \textbf{return } DP[s.length] \end{array}
```

15.20 15.5-1

A Preorder Traverse of BST

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{Pre-Order-Print-Aid}(i,j,root) \\ & 1 \quad \text{if } root[i,j]-1-i \geq 0 \\ & 2 \quad & \text{k} \ root[i,root[i,j]-1] \ \text{is the left child of k} \ root[i,j] \\ & 3 \quad & \text{Pre-Order-Print-Aid}(i,root-1,root[i,root[i,j]-1]) \\ & 4 \quad \text{else d} \ i-1 \ \text{is the left child of k} \ root[i,j] \\ & 5 \quad \text{if } j-root[i,j]-1 \geq 0 \\ & 6 \quad & \text{k} \ root[root[i,j]+1,j] \ \text{is the right child of k} \ root \\ & 7 \quad & \text{Pre-Order-Print-Aid}(root+1,j,root[root[i,j]+1,j]) \\ & 8 \quad \text{else d} \ i-1 \ \text{is the right child of} \ root \\ & 7 \quad & \text{Pre-Order-Print}(root) \\ & 1 \quad & \text{k} \ root[1,n] \ \text{is the root} \\ & 2 \quad & \text{Pre-Order-Print-Aid}(1,n,root) \end{aligned}
```

15.21 15.5-3

Asymptotically there would be no change to the running time, just the constant cn^3 increase

Time spent on w would increase from $\Theta(n^2)$ to $\Theta(n^3)$

$15.22 \quad 15.1$

It is easy to implement a memorized recursive algorithm, but very hard to build from down to top:

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{Longest-simple-path}(s,t) \\ & 1 \quad DP[] \leftarrow -1 \\ & 2 \quad \mathbf{return} \ \text{Longest-simple-path-aid}(s,t) \\ & \text{Longest-simple-path-aid}(s,t) \\ & 1 \quad \mathbf{if} \ s \neq t \\ & 2 \quad \quad \mathbf{if} \ DP[s] = -1 \\ & 3 \quad \quad DP[s] \leftarrow \max_{v \in s.adjList} \{ \text{Weight}(s,v) + \text{Longest-simple-path-aid}(v,t) \} \\ & 4 \quad \quad \mathbf{return} \ DP[s] \\ & 5 \quad \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{return} \ 0 \end{aligned}
```

the DP[s] is a array with length V, all overlapping subproblem is solved by memory, so DP[s] cost $\Theta(V)$ time to construct. In each query, it cost s.adjList.length() time, and in total it cost O(E) time. So Longest-simple-path cost O(E+V) time to compute.

16 Greedy Algorithms

16.1 16.1-1

This process fill a grid of $\frac{1}{2}n^2$ and take space and time of $\Theta(n^2)$. Greedy is one-pass and take only $\Theta(n)$.

```
AS-ADI(a)
1 DP = [][]
2 return AS-ADI(0, a.length)
AS-ADI(i, j)
    for m \leftarrow j-1 downto i+1
          if a[m].f \leq a[j].s and a[m].s \geq a[i].f
3
                 S[i][j].push(a[m])
    if S[i][j] = \emptyset
4
5
          DP[i][j] \leftarrow 0
6
    else
          \mathbf{if}\ DP[i][j] = NIL
7
                 \widehat{DP[i]}[j] \leftarrow \max_{a[k] \in S[i][j]} \{ \operatorname{AS-AdI}(i,k) + 1 + \operatorname{AS-AdI}(k,j) \}
8
    return DP[i][j]
```

It is easy to find that as we remove an edge from adjacent list once we find it, and we traverse every edge, the time complexity would be $\Theta(E)$

17 Amortized Analysis

17.1 17.1-1

No, the sequence could produce $\frac{1}{2}nk$ push and take $\Theta(nk)$ time

17.2 17.1-2

Consider we shift between 2^{k-1} and $2^{k-1}-1$, which means 100000000 to 0111111111 $\frac{1}{2}n$ times, would cost $\Theta(nk)$ time.

17.3 17.1-3

$$\Theta(i)=i-lgi+\tfrac{2^{lgi}-1}{2-1}=2i-ogi=i$$

17.4 17.2-1

Assign $push \leftarrow 2$ and $pop \leftarrow 1$

17.5 17.2-2

- 18 B-Trees
- 19 Fibonacci Heaps
- 20 van Emde Boas Trees
- 21 Data Structures for Disjoint Sets
- 22 Elementary Graph Algorithms

22.1 22.1-1

for both out-degree and in-degree $\Theta(V+E)$ time both take $\Theta(V)$ memory

22.2 22.1-2

```
\begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow NIL \\ 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow NIL \\ 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow NIL \\ 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow NIL \\ 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow NIL \\ 6 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow NIL \\ 7 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow NIL \\ 0 - 1 - 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \\ 1 - 0 - 0 - 1 - 1 - 0 - 0 \\ 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 1 - 1 \\ 0 - 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \\ 0 - 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \\ 0 - 0 - 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \\ 0 - 0 - 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \\ 0 - 0 - 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \end{array}
```

22.3 22.1-3

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Transpose}(Adjlist) \\ 1 & \operatorname{new}\ AdjlistPrime \\ 2 & \textbf{for}\ \operatorname{each}\ node\ \operatorname{in}\ Adjlist \\ 3 & \textbf{for}\ \operatorname{each}\ subnode\ \operatorname{in}\ Adjlist(node) \\ 4 & AdjlistPrime(subnode).insert(node) \\ 5 & Adjlist = AdjlistPrime \end{array}
```

For adjacent list: just traverse every node and rebuild one $\Theta(E+V)$ for time and space complexity, hard to do it inplace

```
TRANSPOSE (Adjmatrix)

1 for each pair(i,j) in upper left Adjmatrix
2 SWAP (Adjmatrix[i,j], Adjmatrix[j,i])

For adjacent matrix: just transpose the matrix
```

22.4 22.1-4

use an adjacent matrix as aid.

 $\Theta(V^2)$ for time and $\Theta(1)$ for space

$22.5 \quad 22.1-5$

For adjacent list, it is hard. We should regard it as a Breadth-first-search(G) end at d=2:

```
SQUARE(G)
   for each u in G.vertices
        G.reset()
3
        list = \emptyset
        u.adjlist' = BFS-AID(G, u, list, 0)
4
BFS-AID(G, u, list, dist)
   for each v in u.adjlist
2
        if v.color = white and dist < 2
3
              list.insert(u)
4
              BFS-AID(G, v, list, dist + 1) =
   return list
```

This could cost $\Theta(V^2 + VE)$ time and $\Theta(V + E)$ space (if optimized).

For adjacent matrix, the square process would be simple. for each index m of matrix row, if matrix[m][n] exist, calculate bool union of matrix[m] and matrix[n]:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{SQUARE}(G) \\ 1 & \textbf{for } \operatorname{each} \ m \ \operatorname{in} \ G.adjMatrix \\ 2 & \textbf{for } \operatorname{each} \ n \ G.adjMatrix[m] \\ 3 & \textbf{if} \ G.adjMatrix[m][n] == 1 \\ 4 & G^{'}.adjMatrix[m] = \operatorname{AND}(G.adjMatrix[m], G.adjMatrix[n]) \\ 5 & \textbf{return} \ G^{'} \end{array}
```

The SQUARE(G) cost $\Theta(V^3)$ time and $\Theta(V)$ space (if optimize)

22.6 22.2-3

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{line } 2 \rightarrow u.ifgrey = 0 \\ \text{line } 5 \rightarrow s.ifgrey = 1 \\ \text{line } 14 \rightarrow v.ifgrey = 1 \end{array}
```

22.7 22.2-4

take $\Theta(V^2)$ time and $\Theta(V^2)$ space, since we need to search every column to find adjacent list.

```
line 12 \rightarrow \mathbf{for} : each \quad v \in M[u]
line 13 \rightarrow \mathbf{if} : v == \mathbf{true} \quad \mathbf{and} \quad v.color == white
```

22.8 22.2-5

```
SQUARE(AdjList)

1 for each u in vertices

2 for each v in AdjList(u)

3 AdjList(u).append(AdjList(v))
```

For adjacent list, for each vertex u, append the adjacent list of each adjacent vertex v to adjacent list of u.

line3 would be execute $\Theta(E)$ times in total,

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{SQUARE}(Adjmatrix) \\ 1 & \textbf{for} \ \text{each} \ pair(i,j) \ \text{in upper left Adjmatrix} \\ 2 & \text{SWAP}(Adjmatrix[i,j], Adjmatrix[j,i]) \end{array}
```

22.9 Edge traverse of undirected graph

According to Theorem 22.10, all edges are either tree edge or back edge. Modify the DFS-VISIT(G,u), add a PRINT-PATH(G,u) would do it. Assume a root=u is selected:

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{DFS-Visit}(G, u) \\ & 1 \quad u.color = grey \\ & 2 \quad dict[(Vertex, Vertex), edgeType] = \emptyset \\ & 3 \quad \text{for each } v \text{ in } u.adjList \\ & 4 \quad \text{if } v.color == white \\ & 5 \quad \qquad dict(u, v) = treeEdge \\ & 6 \quad \qquad \text{DFS-Visit}(G, v) \\ & 7 \quad \qquad \text{else } dict(u, v) = backEgde \\ & 8 \quad \text{PRINT-PATH}(G, u) \end{aligned}
```




Figure 2: 22.2-6

```
PRINT-PATH(G, u)
   PRINT ("u")
   for each v in u.adjList
         if (u, v) == treeedge
3
4
              PRINT (" \rightarrow ")
5
              PRINT-PATH(G, v)
6
         else print (" \rightarrow v")
```

line 4,6 cost same level of time as the comparison in line 3, would not change the $\Theta(V+E)$ time complexity of DFS(G)

the print path function as:

This procedure cost $\Theta(V+E)$ as well

22.1022.2 - 6

```
Consider the following condition in Figure 2:
E_{\pi} = \langle s, u1 \rangle, \langle u1, v1 \rangle, \langle s, u2 \rangle, \langle u2, v2 \rangle
In BFS Tree, \delta(s, v1), \delta(s, v2) is either < s, u1, v1 >, < s, u1, v2 > or < s, u2, v1 >
, < s, u2, v2 >
```

22.1122-3.12

7

Tweak the DFS-VISIT(G, u) and DFS(G) would be enough:

```
DFS(G)
1 for each u in G.V
        u.color = white
4
   for each u in G.V
5
        \mathbf{if}\ u.color = white
             DFS-VISIT(G, u, c)
6
```

c++

DFS-VISIT(G, u, c)

```
\begin{array}{ll} 1 & u.color = grey \\ 2 & u.cc = c \\ 3 & \textbf{for } each \ v \ in \ u.adjList \\ 4 & \textbf{if} \ v.color == white \\ \end{array}
```

DFS(G) could be tweaked to do it as well

DFS-VISIT(G, v)

22.12 22.4-1

5

```
\begin{array}{l} p[27:28] \rightarrow n[21:26] \rightarrow o[22:25] \rightarrow s[23:24] \rightarrow \\ m[1:20] \rightarrow r[6:19] \rightarrow y[9:18] \rightarrow v[10:17] \rightarrow x[15:16] \rightarrow \\ w[11:14] \rightarrow z[12:13] \rightarrow u[7:8] \rightarrow q[2:5] \rightarrow t[3:4] \end{array}
```

22.13 22.4-3

A DFS(G)/BFS(G) returns false when a back edge is found, easy to proof it is $\Theta(V)$

$22.14 \quad 22.1$

22.14.1 a-1

Suppose (v, u) is a backedge. u is ancestor elder than parent of v. This means (s, u) + forwardEdge is shorter than (s, v) produced by BFS which is $\delta(s, v)$ by **Theorem 22.5**. Same reason for forward edge.

22.14.2 a-2

By Theorem 22.5 $\delta(s,v) = \delta(s,v.parent) + (v.parent,v) = \delta(s,u) + (u,v) \rightarrow v.d = u.d + 1$

22.14.3 a-3

 $v.d \leq u.d+1$: Same as a-1, if $v.d > u.d+1, \, \delta(s,v) = (s,u) + cross$ instead of (s,v).

 $v.d \ge u.d$: If v.d < u.d, (v,u) should be find out first, since this is undirected graph.

22.14.4 b-1

Same as a-1, the (s, u) + backEdge would be shorter than (s, v)

22.14.5 b-2

Same as a-2, By **Theorem 22.5** $\delta(s,v) = \delta(s,v.parent) + (v.parent,v) = \delta(s,u) + (u,v) \rightarrow v.d = u.d + 1$

22.14.6 b-3

Only the first half of a-3. if v.d > u.d + 1, $\delta(s,v) = (s,u) + cross$ instead of (s,v).

22.14.7 b-4

By Corollary 22.4 and By Theorem 22.5, we know that if v is an ancestor of u $\delta(s,u) = \delta(s,v) + k \to \delta(s,u) > \delta(s,v) \to u.d > v.d$, I did not see how u.d = v.d but the statement is correct.

$22.15 \quad 22.3$

22.15.1 1. proof

Euler tour exist \rightarrow in-degree == out-degree: Suppose the cycle through i vertex n times would be $E-cycle=\{v_i,v_j,v_k,...,v_i\}$. The in-degree of v_j would be the time of v_j appears with element in front, and out-degree of v_j would be the time of v_j appears with element in the back. If v_j is not head or tail, this is obvious that every time v_j appear, there is element in front and tail. If v_j is head, it must also be tail, which balance the in-degree and out-degree again.

in-degree == out-degree \rightarrow Euler tour exist

22.15.2 2. implement

This is very similar to SCC, we find closed cycle first then join them with other edge set. This procedure would return a cycle, which is a list of vertex. closed cycle has cycle.begin() = cycle.end(), open cycle(path, not a cycle) do not has it. But if Euler tour exist, open cycle would join close cycle into a big cycle.

```
CIRCLEFIND(cycle, u, v)
   ClosedCycleSet, OpenCycleSet = \emptyset
1
   while alladjList! = \emptyset
3
         for v in Vertex with adjList! = \emptyset
4
              if v.adjList! = \emptyset
                    new cycle = \emptyset
5
6
                    CIRCLEFINDAID(cycle, u, NIL)
7
                    if cycle.type == closed
8
                         ClosedCycleSet.push(cycle)
9
                    else OpenCycleSet.push(cycle)
```

```
CIRCLEFINDAID(cycle, u, v)
 1 \quad cycle.insert(u)
 2
    \mathbf{if} \ v! = NIL
 3
         v.adjList.erase(u)
 4 if u == NIL
 5
         cycle.type = open
 6
         return
 7
    \mathbf{elseif}\ u == cycle.start
 8
         cycle.type = close
 9
         return
10 else v = u
         u = u.adjList.begin()
11
12
         CIRCLEFIND(CYCLE, U, V)
```