Exercise 2.2.7 (not in book, but needed). Let  $\Delta$  = det G be the **determinant of** G, where  $G = (g_{ab})$  is the metric tensor matrix. The **minor**,  $m^{ab}$ , for element  $g_{ab}$  is the determinant of the submatrix that excludes row a and column b. The **cofactor** for element  $g_{ab}$  is  $c^{ab} = (-1)^{a+b} m^{ab}$ . Show that

$$\partial_c \Delta = c^{ab} \partial_c g_{ab} = \Delta g^{ab} \partial_c g_{ab} .$$

Solution By definition,  $\Delta$  is the sum of all possible products of the form  $(-1)^{a_1+b_1} g_{a_1b_1} \cdot ... \cdot g_{a_Nb_N}$  where the factors  $g_{a_kb_k}$  are in distinct rows and columns. This definition is somewhat complicated to state and even more complicated to express mathematically, which we do now.

There are two formulas for  $\Delta$  that we use here. The first one is to sum the products  $g_{ab}c^{ab}$  across row a. However, we have to be careful on how to express this in Einstein notation. In non-Einstein notation, we can write

$$\Delta = \sum_{b=1}^{N} g_{ab} c^{ab}.$$

However, in Einstein notation,  $g_{ab} c^{ab}$  sums over not just row a, but over all rows, which results in  $\Delta + ... + \Delta$ , N times. Thus, in Einstein notation we express this sum as

$$g_{ab} c^{ab} = N \Delta. (a)$$

A related formula is:

$$g_{ab} g^{ab} = N$$
 (b) 
$$g_{ab} g^{ab} = g_{ab} g^{ad} \delta_d^b \stackrel{(1.78)}{=} \delta_b^d \delta_d^b = N.$$

Putting these two formulas together we get:

$$c^{ab} = \Delta g^{ab} \qquad : \qquad (c)$$

$$g_{ab} c^{ab} \stackrel{(a)}{=} N \Delta \stackrel{(b)}{=} g_{ab} g^{ab} \Delta \quad \Rightarrow \qquad c^{ab} = g^{ab} \Delta \quad \checkmark$$

The second definition of  $\Delta$  is more convoluted. We express  $\Delta$  mathematically exactly as stated in words, above:

$$\Delta \equiv \epsilon^{b_1 \dots b_N} g_{1b_1} \dots g_{Nb_N}, \tag{d}$$

by taking advantage of the **Levi-Civita symbol**,  $\epsilon^{b_1 \dots b_N}$ , which we now explain.

This formula for  $\Delta$  uses Einstein notation to sum over all of the different combinations of indices  $b_k$ . The indices  $b_k$  range over the integers 1 - N for all k. The symbol  $\epsilon^{b_1 \dots b_N}$  is defined to be zero unless each integer appears exactly once; that is, unless  $b_1, ..., b_N$  is a permutation of 1, 2, ..., N. For permutations, its value is defined as +1 for even permutations and -1 for odd permutations. A permutation is even if the number of pairwise swaps required to restore the natural order is even, and odd, otherwise. For example, 1, 3, 2, 4 is an odd permutation because it requires just one swap. 1, 3, 4, 2 is an even permutation. So, in the determinant for N = 3, the product  $g_{11}$   $g_{22}$   $g_{33}$  is preceded by a plus sign, represented by  $\epsilon^{b_1 b_2 b_3} = \epsilon^{123}$ , and the product  $g_{11} g_{23} g_{32}$  is preceded by a negative sign,  $\epsilon^{132}$ .

We call  $e^{b_1 \dots b_N}$  the Levi-Civita symbol because it is a pseudo-tensor, almost a tensor except that it reverses sign under Jacobian transformations having negative determinant. It is not quite proper to call it the Levi-Civita tensor.

The cofactor, also, can be defined in Levi-Civita terminology since it is a determinant. Consider the following attempt at a cofactor expression:

$$c^{a\,b} \equiv \epsilon^{k_1 \, \ldots \, k_{a-1} \, b \, k_{a+1} \, \ldots \, k_N} \, g_{1 \, k_1} \, \ldots \, g_{a-1 \, k_{a-1}} \, g_{a+1 \, k_{a+1}} \, \ldots \, g_{N \, k_N}.$$

Every row appears in  $g_{1\,k_1}\,\ldots\,g_{a-1\,k_{a-1}}\,g_{a+1\,k_{a+1}}\,\ldots\,g_{N\,k_N}$  except row a. The exponent of  $\epsilon$  ranges over all strings  $k_1 \dots k_{a-1} b k_{a+1} \dots k_N$ , but  $\epsilon$  is non-zero only for strings that are permutations of 1 - N, and so none of the non-zero terms have any  $k_a$  equal to b. So, when the string is a permutation, every column appears in  $k_1 \dots k_{a-1} b k_{a+1} \dots k_N$  and, so, every column except b appears in  $g_{1\,k_1}\dots g_{a-1\,k_{a-1}}\,g_{a+1\,k_{a+1}}\dots g_{N\,k_N}.$  So far, so good. (Note that b must appear in the superscript of  $\epsilon$  because the Levi-Civita symbol is only defined for strings of length N).

Is b in a superscript position that will produce the correct sign for the term  $g_{1\,k_1}\,\ldots\,g_{a-1\,k_{a-1}}\,g_{a+1\,k_{a+1}}\,\ldots\,g_{N\,k_N}$ ? Consider the case where  $k_1$ =1,  $k_2$ =2, ....  $k_N$ =N. Then we have  $e^{1\dots a-1b \ a+1\dots k_N} g_{11} \dots g_{a-1a-1} g_{a+1a+1} \dots g_{NN}$ , and the correct sign should be +1. Note that b is in the ath position of the superscript. If we put it in the bth position, then we would have  $e^{1\times 2...b-1bb+1...N} = +1$ . It requires |b-a|index swaps to move b from position a to position b. So,  $\epsilon^{1 \dots a-1 \ b \ a+1 \dots N} =$  $(-1)^{b-a}$ . In order to give  $g_{11} \dots g_{a-1} g_{a+1} \dots g_{NN}$  a coefficient of +1, we must

precede it by  $(-1)^{b-a} e^{1 \dots a-1b} = a+1 \dots N$ . So, we must modify our first attempt. We try  $c^{a\,b} \equiv (-1)^{b-a} \, \epsilon^{k_1 \, \ldots \, k_{a-1} \, b \, k_{a+1} \, \ldots \, k_N} \, g_{1\,k_1} \, \ldots \, g_{a-1\,k_{a-1}} \, g_{a+1\,k_{a+1}} \, \ldots \, g_{N\,k_N}.$ 

This expression has the correct sign as well as the correct rows and columns for the cofactor  $c^{ab}$ . However, in proper tensor notation, when a and b are superscripts on LHS then they must be superscripts on RHS, but index a appears in the RHS subscripts as well as the RHS superscripts. We can try to remedy this with a Kronecker delta, like

$$(-1)^{b-a} \delta_a^s \, \, \epsilon^{k_1 \, \ldots \, k_{a-1} \, b \, \, k_{a+1} \, \ldots \, k_N} \, g_{1 \, k_1} \, \ldots \, g_{s-1 \, k_{s-1}} \, g_{s+1 \, k_{s+1}} \, \ldots \, g_{N \, k_N}.$$

In this attempt, index a no longer appears among the subscripts of g, but it does appear as a subscript in the Kronecker delta. To solve that problem, we toss in a summation sign:

$$c^{ab} \equiv (-1)^{b-a} \sum_{s=1}^{N} \delta_s^a \epsilon^{k_1 \dots k_{s-1} b k_{s+1} \dots k_N} g_{1 k_1} \dots g_{s-1 k_{s-1}} g_{s+1 k_{s+1}} \dots g_{N k_N}.$$
 (e)

In this summation we throw away all terms except when s = a, making this identical to the prior expression while adhering to the Einstein summation convention.

Example To illustrate cofactor definition (e), let a = 3, b = 5, and N = 7. We can replace the sum by letting s = 3:

$$c^{3\times5} \equiv (-1)^{5-3} \epsilon^{k_1 k_2 5 k_4 \dots k_7} g_{1 k_1} g_{2 k_2} g_{4 k_4} \dots g_{7 k_7}.$$

Einstein summation occurs over every  $k_a$  except  $k_3$  and so includes sequences with repeated numbers, but the only non-zero values of  $\epsilon$  are when the  $k_a$ 's include one each of the values 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 in any order. Thus, as desired,  $g_{1k_1}$   $g_{2k_2}$   $g_{4k_4}$  ...  $g_{7k_7}$  pairs every possible column with every possible row, excluding row 3 and column 5.

This expression is preceded by  $(-1)^2 = +1$  because the number of pairwise swaps for b=5 to be the fifth superscript differs by two swaps from it being the 3rd superscript.

Finally, we can compute  $\partial_c \Delta$  from equation (d), which we re-express here:

$$\Delta \equiv \epsilon^{k_1 \dots k_N} g_{1 k_1 \dots g_{a-1} k_{a-1}} g_{a k_a} g_{a+1 k_{a+1} \dots} g_{N k_N}.$$
 (f)

Then

$$\begin{array}{l} \partial_{c}\Delta \ = \ \partial_{c}g_{1\,b} \ (-1)^{b-1} \ \epsilon^{b\,k_{2}...\,k_{N}} g_{2\,k_{2}}... \ g_{N\,k_{N}} \\ + \ ... \\ + \ \partial_{c}g_{a\,b} \ (-1)^{b-a} \ \epsilon^{k_{1}...\,k_{a-1}\,b\,k_{a+1}...\,k_{N}} \ g_{1\,k_{1}}...g_{a-1\,k_{a-1}} \ g_{a+1\,k_{a+1}}... \ g_{N\,k_{N}} \\ + \ ... \\ + \ \partial_{c}g_{N\,b} \ (-1)^{b-N} \ \epsilon^{k_{1}...\,k_{N-1}\,b} g_{1\,k_{1}}... \ g_{N-1\,k_{N-1}} \\ = \ \sum\limits_{s=1}^{N} \delta_{s}^{a} \ (-1)^{b-s} \ \partial_{c}g_{s\,b} \ \epsilon^{k_{1}...\,k_{s-1}\,b\,k_{s+1}...\,k_{N}} \ g_{1\,k_{1}}...g_{s-1\,k_{s-1}} \ g_{s+1\,k_{s+1}}... \ g_{N\,k_{N}}. \end{array} \tag{g}$$

Terms with factor  $(-1)^{b-s}$  in equation (g) are non-zero only when s = a. To see that we need the factor  $(-1)^{b-s} = (-1)^{b-a}$  in equation (g), again consider  $k_1=1, k_2=2, ..., k_N=N$ . Then

The correct sign for this term is +1, so, in order to move superscript b to position a from position b, the factor  $(-1)^{b-a}$  is needed.

We can simplify equation (g) by moving  $\partial_c g_{sh}$  (-1)<sup>b-s</sup> to the left of the summation sign. It becomes  $\partial_c g_{ab}$  (-1)<sup>b-a</sup> since the summations are all zero except when s = a, and this completes the solution:

$$\partial_{c}\Delta = \partial_{c}g_{ab} (-1)^{b-a} \sum_{s=1}^{N} \delta_{s}^{a} \epsilon^{k_{1}...k_{b-1}b k_{b+1}...k_{N}} g_{1 k_{1}}...g_{s-1 k_{s-1}} g_{s+1 k_{s+1}}...g_{N k_{N}}$$

$$\stackrel{(e)}{=} \partial_{c}g_{ab} c^{ab} \qquad \checkmark$$

$$\stackrel{(c)}{=} \Delta g^{ab} \partial_{c}g_{ab} \qquad \checkmark$$