

## Introduction to Tensors

Tensors of a given rank form a finite-dimensional vector space. All vector spaces of the same finite dimension are isomorphic, meaning they are interchangeable. Tensors are defined in terms of a vector space of functions. Tensors are manipulated as abstract vector spaces. Computations among tensors are often carried out using matrix operations, yet another kind of vector space.

To understand tensors, one needs to understand all three of these kinds of vector spaces and be able to switch back and forth between them. For this reason, we begin by providing the requisite background in vectors spaces and matrices.

### 1 Vector spaces

**Definition** An *n*-dimensional vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  over a field  $\mathbf{F}$  is an abstract collection of objects called vectors that is closed under addition and scalar multiplication:

$$a\mathbf{V} + b\mathbf{W} \in \mathcal{V} \text{ if } a \text{ and } b \text{ are scalars and } \mathbf{V} \text{ and } \mathbf{W} \text{ are vectors}$$

The vector space definition also includes several rules that ensure proper behavior of addition and scalar multiplication, such as forming a Abelian group under addition, that will not be listed here.

**Definition** **Scalars** are simply elements of  $\mathbf{F}$ . For quantum mechanics, the field is the complex numbers,  $\mathbb{C}$ . For spacetime,  $\mathbb{R}$  is used.

**Definition** A **basis** is a linearly independent set of vectors that span the vector space. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}\} = \{\mathbf{e}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{(n)}\}$  denote a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$ . Vectors will be displayed in bold face. Parentheses will be used on subscripts to emphasize that  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}$  are vectors.

**Definition** Every vector in  $\mathcal{V}$  can be uniquely expressed as a **linear combination** of basis vectors:

$$\mathbf{V} = V^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} = V^1 \mathbf{e}_{(1)} + \dots + V^n \mathbf{e}_{(n)}.$$

This expression uses the Einstein summation convention to add products of terms having matching upper and lower Greek indices. The lack of parentheses on the superscripts of  $V$  emphasizes that they are components and not vectors. Components will not be put in bold face.

**Notation** To represent the abstract vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  as a vector space of column vectors we identify the basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}$  as column vectors. The remaining vectors  $\mathbf{V}$  then follow naturally:

$$\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mu} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{V} = V^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} = V^{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mu} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V^1 \\ \vdots \\ V^{\mu} \\ \vdots \\ V^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

A vector is sometimes loosely referred to by its components  $V^{\mu}$  whereas it is more correctly expressed as  $\mathbf{V} = V^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}$ . The basis vectors are ignored because they are only place-holder zeros and ones; the information content is carried by the components.

**Definition** A **covector** is a row vector  $\omega = (\omega_1 \ \dots \ \omega_n)$ . As with vectors,  $\omega_{\mu}$  is sometimes loosely referred to as a covector. Note that if  $\mathbf{V}$  is a vector, then its **transpose**,  $\mathbf{V}^T$ , is a covector.

**Notation** Let  $\mathcal{V}^*$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space of covectors and  $\mathcal{B}^* = \{\varepsilon^{(\nu)}\} = \{\varepsilon^{(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon^{(n)}\}$  a basis. Every covector can be expressed as a linear combination  $\omega = \omega_{\nu} \varepsilon^{(\nu)} = (\omega_1 \ \dots \ \omega_n)$  of basis covectors. Unless otherwise specified, we do not assume the basis  $\mathcal{B}^*$  to have any particular relationship to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Theorem** The product of any row vector with any column vector is a scalar:

$$\omega \mathbf{V} = (\omega_1 \ \dots \ \omega_n) \begin{pmatrix} V^1 \\ \vdots \\ V^n \end{pmatrix} = \omega_{\mu} V^{\mu} \in \mathbf{F}. \quad (*)$$

**Definition**  $\omega$  can be regarded as a **linear functional**:

$$\omega : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} : \omega(\mathbf{V}) = \omega \mathbf{V}.$$

A **functional** is a function whose range is  $\mathbf{F}$ . **Linear** means that the vector space operations of addition and scalar multiplication are preserved:

$$\omega(a\mathbf{V} + b\mathbf{W}) = a \omega(\mathbf{V}) + b \omega(\mathbf{W}).$$

As illustrated, we use boldface for covectors like  $\omega$  but non-boldface for functions like  $\omega$ .

**Construction** Since the linear functionals  $\omega$  can be mapped 1-1 with the covectors  $\omega$ , it should not be surprising that the functionals can be structured to form a vector space. We define addition of functions:

$$(\omega + \xi)(\mathbf{V}) \equiv \omega(\mathbf{V}) + \xi(\mathbf{V})$$

and scalar multiplication:

$$(a\omega)(\mathbf{V}) = a[\omega(\mathbf{V})].$$

Then the function  $\omega + \xi$  corresponds to the covector  $\omega + \xi$  and the function  $a\omega$  corresponds to the covector  $a\omega$ . The additive identity is the zero function,  $-\omega$  is the additive inverse of  $\omega$ , and all the remaining vector space rules for addition and scalar multiplication are easily verified. We have proven the following theorem.

**Theorem** The mapping  $\omega \mapsto \omega$  is an **isomorphism**. That is, it is a 1-1 mapping that preserves the vector space structure of addition and scalar multiplication.

If we have a functional,  $\omega$ , we are free to switch on-the-fly and consider it a covector,  $\omega$ , and vice-versa.

**Definition** We have shown that  $\mathcal{V}^*$  can thus be viewed from three perspectives:

(1) an abstract vector space, (2) a vector space of functions, and (3) a vector space of row vectors. In the functional perspective,  $\mathcal{V}^*$  is called the **dual space of  $\mathcal{V}$** . It is the vector space of linear transformations from  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ . In the matrix-algebra perspective, the covectors  $\omega$  of  $\mathcal{V}^*$  are known as **dual vectors**. The abstract perspective simply means to view  $\mathcal{V}^*$  as a set of equations,  $\omega = \omega_\nu \varepsilon^{(\nu)}$ .

**Definition** One can define  $\mathcal{V}^{**}$ , the dual space of  $\mathcal{V}^*$ . This space is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{V}$  via the natural 1-1 mapping  $V^{**}(\omega) \equiv \omega(\mathbf{V})$ , where  $V^{**} \in \mathcal{V}$ . In this way,  $\mathcal{V}$  can be regarded as the dual space of  $\mathcal{V}^*$ , just as  $\mathcal{V}^*$  is the dual space of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

A way to understand the importance of this is as follows. Just as a row vector can be viewed as a linear functional when it acts on a column vector, a column vector can be viewed as a linear functional when it acts on a row vector. A tensor can be a generalization of covectors, vectors, or both. Whatever the tensor kind, we can regard it either as a matrix algebra object or as a linear functional. Both visualizations are needed.

We have learned how to consider a row vector (or a column vector) to be a linear function. Tensors will be defined as linear functionals. It will thus be necessary to perform this process in reverse: start with a vector space of functionals and map them isomorphically to a vector space of matrix objects. Fortunately, this is very easy.

**Construction** Let  $\{T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\}$  be a vector space of linear functionals  $T$  and let  $\{\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}\} = \{\mathbf{e}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{(n)}\}$  be a basis, where  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$  is, of course, a linear functional. First, express  $T$  in terms of the basis elements:  $T = T^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}$ . Next,

define column vectors  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1_\mu \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The collection  $\{\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}\}$  forms a basis for the

vector space of length  $n$  column vectors:  $\mathbf{T} = T^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} = \begin{pmatrix} T^1 \\ \vdots \\ T^n \end{pmatrix}$ . We are free to

think of  $T$  as a linear functional or as  $\mathbf{T}$ , a column vector. We will be careful to use boldface for matrix objects and non-boldface for linear operators.

We have actually just proved that every  $n$ -dimensional vector space is isomorphic to the vector space of length  $n$  column vectors. This, in turn, proves a very important theorem.

**Theorem** All  $n$ -dimensional vector spaces are isomorphic.

In this sense, there is just a single vector space of each finite dimension. For example, the  $nxn$  matrices, the linear functionals, and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are not the same, but in terms of just their vector space properties, they are identical.

**Definition** The **product  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$  of two vector spaces** is defined to be the vector space of pairs  $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W})$ , with addition and scalar multiplication carried over naturally from  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$ . Addition and scalar multiplication are carried out just like on  $(x, y)$  pairs in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) + (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = (\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W} + \mathbf{Y}) \text{ and } \alpha(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) = (\alpha \mathbf{V}, \alpha \mathbf{W}).$$

When we have a linear operator on a product vector spaces, we sometimes prefer to consider the linear operator as a matrix. This identification is the critical

step (9) in Section 4 where we develop rank (1, 1) tensors. We carry out step (9) for a rank (1, 1) tensor, below.

**Construction** Suppose  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  are  $n$ -dimensional vector spaces,  $\mathcal{V}^*$  the dual space of  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\{T : \mathcal{V}^* \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\}$  a vector space of linear functionals, and  $\{\mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu\}$  a basis for  $\{T\}$ . Let  $T = T_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu$ . We can identify the function  $\mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu$  with the matrix

$$\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1_\mu^\nu & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\{\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}\}$  forms a basis for the vector space of  $nxn$  matrices. We can then also identify the linear functional  $T$  with the matrix  $\mathbf{T} = T_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}$ .

While we use boldface to distinguish the matrix  $\mathbf{T}$  from the function  $T$ , notice that the coefficient  $T_\nu^\mu$  is the same. [We will interchangeably refer to the abstract symbol  \$T\_\nu^\mu\$  as a linear functional or a matrix.](#)

In the literature there is no consistent matrix algebra representation of  $T_\nu^\mu$ ,  $T_{\mu\nu}$ , and  $T^{\mu\nu}$ . Often, all three are treated as matrices. We will treat only the first one as a matrix. We prefer to represent the middle one as a  $(1 \times n^2)$  row vector, and the 3<sup>rd</sup> one as a  $(n^2 \times 1)$  column vector. Examples will be provided in Section 2. Because the vector spaces of  $(nxn)$  matrices,  $(1 \times n^2)$  row vectors, and  $(n^2 \times 1)$  column vectors have the same vector space dimension  $n^2$ , they are isomorphic to each other. So, treating all three of  $T_\nu^\mu$ ,  $T_{\mu\nu}$ , and  $T^{\mu\nu}$  as matrices is also legitimate.

It should be mentioned that linear operators that have 3 or more indices can be represented not only as row vectors, column vectors, and 2-dimensional matrices, but also 3-dimensional matrices, and more. We will treat all linear operators as either row vectors (e.g.,  $T_{\mu\nu o}$ ), column vectors (e.g.,  $T^{\mu\nu o\rho}$ ), or 2-D matrices (e.g.,  $T_{\nu o \sigma}^{\mu\rho}$ ).

## 2 Tensor Products of Matrices

**Definition** Equation (\*),  $\omega \mathbf{V} = \omega_\mu V^\mu$ , is an example of an **inner product**, a sum of products of matching elements. Another kind of product is an **outer product**, where every element of  $\mathbf{V}$  is multiplied by every element of  $\omega$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} V^1 \\ \vdots \\ V^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V^1 \omega_1 & \cdots & V^1 \omega_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ V^n \omega_1 & \cdots & V^n \omega_n \end{pmatrix}$$

**Definition** Matrix multiplication is not defined to include outer products. Because it is useful for tensors, we introduce an outer product operation called **tensor product**, denoted by  $\otimes$ . We define  $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}$  where  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are any matrix objects: scalars, row vectors, column vectors, or matrices. We begin by defining  $\otimes$  for a scalar  $k$  and a matrix object  $\mathbf{A}$ , where  $\mathbf{A}$  is either a matrix, a row vector, or a column vector.

$$k \otimes \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \otimes k \equiv k\mathbf{A} \quad (**)$$

For example,  $k \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ka & kb \\ kc & kd \end{pmatrix}$ .

We complete the definition by defining  $\otimes$  for two non-scalar matrix objects:

$$\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \otimes \mathbf{B} & \cdots & A_{1n} \otimes \mathbf{B} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{n1} \otimes \mathbf{B} & \cdots & A_{nn} \otimes \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} \quad (***)$$

We refer to this as a **pattern definition** because the operation is easily carried out by following the pattern (\*\*\*)�.

**Example:** Two matrices:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{21} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} \stackrel{(**)}{=} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \otimes \mathbf{B} & a_{12} \otimes \mathbf{B} \\ a_{21} \otimes \mathbf{B} & a_{21} \otimes \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \otimes b_{11} & a_{11} \otimes b_{12} & | & a_{12} \otimes b_{11} & a_{12} \otimes b_{12} \\ a_{11} \otimes b_{21} & a_{11} \otimes b_{22} & | & a_{12} \otimes b_{21} & a_{12} \otimes b_{22} \\ \hline a_{21} \otimes b_{11} & a_{21} \otimes b_{12} & | & a_{22} \otimes b_{11} & a_{22} \otimes b_{12} \\ a_{21} \otimes b_{21} & a_{21} \otimes b_{22} & | & a_{22} \otimes b_{21} & a_{22} \otimes b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Example:** Column vector and a row vector:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V} \otimes \omega &= \begin{pmatrix} V^1 \otimes \omega \\ \vdots \\ V^n \otimes \omega \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V^1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix} \\ \vdots \\ V^n \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} V^1 \otimes \omega_1 & \cdots & V^1 \otimes \omega_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ V^n \otimes \omega_1 & \cdots & V^n \otimes \omega_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} (V^1, \omega_1) & \cdots & (V^1, \omega_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ (V^n, \omega_1) & \cdots & (V^n, \omega_n) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The tensor symbols and the ordered pairs inside the matrices are simply reminders not to combine the scalars  $V^\mu$  and  $\omega_\mu$ .

**Example:** Two row vectors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \omega_n \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_1 \omega_n & \omega_2 \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_2 \omega_n & \cdots & \omega_n \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_n \omega_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Whereas  $\omega$  is an  $n$  covector,  $\omega \otimes \omega$  is an  $n^2$  covector, just as  $\mathbf{V} \otimes \omega$ , above, is an  $n \times n$  matrix. Similarly, two length  $n$  column vectors would be expressed as a length  $n^2$  column vector.

### 3 Tensors

We now have the machinery to define tensors. Though tensors can be defined for infinite-dimensional vector spaces, we will restrict our scope to finite-dimensional vector spaces. Prior to step 9 we consider tensors strictly as functions. After step 9, we also view them as matrix algebra objects.

**Definition** Let  $\mathcal{V}, \dots, \mathcal{W}$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces, and  $\mathcal{Y}^*, \dots, \mathcal{Z}^*$  be finite-dimensional dual spaces. Let  $k$  and  $\ell$  be non-negative integers. A **rank  $(k, \ell)$  tensor** is a multilinear map  $T$  from a product of dual vector spaces and vector spaces to  $\mathbf{F}$ :

$$T : \underbrace{\mathcal{Y}^* \times \cdots \times \mathcal{Z}^*}_{k \text{ terms}} \times \underbrace{\mathcal{V} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{W}}_{\ell \text{ terms}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}. \quad (1)$$

**Multilinear** means the tensor  $T$  acts linearly in each of its arguments. For example, for a  $(1,1)$  tensor,

$$\begin{aligned} & T\left(a_1 \omega^{(1)} + a_2 \omega^{(2)}, b_1 V_{(1)} + b_2 V_{(2)}\right) \\ &= a_1 b_1 T\left(\omega^{(1)}, V_{(1)}\right) + a_1 b_2 T\left(\omega^{(1)}, V_{(2)}\right) + a_2 b_1 T\left(\omega^{(2)}, V_{(1)}\right) + a_2 b_2 T\left(\omega^{(2)}, V_{(2)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The upper indices are called **contravariant indices** and the lower ones are called **covariant indices**.

As with linear functionals, addition and scalar multiplication of tensor functions are defined naturally, resulting that **the set of  $(k, \ell)$  tensors form a vector space under addition and scalar multiplication**. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & [aT + bS]\left(\omega^{(1)}, \dots, \omega^{(k)}, V_{(1)}, \dots, V_{(\ell)}\right) \\ & \equiv a\left[T\left(\omega^{(1)}, \dots, \omega^{(k)}, V_{(1)}, \dots, V_{(\ell)}\right)\right] + b\left[S\left(\omega^{(1)}, \dots, \omega^{(k)}, V_{(1)}, \dots, V_{(\ell)}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Just as a linear functional  $T$  can be identified with the matrix  $\mathbf{T} = T_{\nu}^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}$ , we will show that a tensor is isomorphic to a matrix. (If  $k = 0$ , it is isomorphic to a row vector. If  $\ell = 0$ , it is isomorphic to a column vector.)

To keep development simple, we begin with the  $(1, 1)$  tensors.

## 4 Rank $(1,1)$ Tensors

Tensors and their properties are developed in 15 steps, summarized below.

- (1)  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^* \equiv \{T : \mathcal{V}^* \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} : T \text{ is bilinear}\}$
- (2)  $\mathcal{B}_V = \left\{ \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \right\}_{\mu=1}^n, \mathcal{B}_W = \left\{ \mathbf{f}_{(\rho)} \right\}_{\rho=1}^m, \mathcal{B}_{V^*} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(\nu)} \right\}_{\nu=1}^n, \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_{W^*} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\sigma)} \right\}_{\sigma=1}^m$
- (3)  $\mathbf{V} = V^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \in \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{W} = W^{\rho} \mathbf{f}_{(\rho)} \in \mathcal{W}, \boldsymbol{\omega} = \omega_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(\nu)} \in \mathcal{V}^*, \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\xi} = \xi_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(\sigma)} \in \mathcal{W}^*$
- (4)  $\mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{\nu} \left( \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(\sigma)}, \mathbf{f}_{(\rho)} \right) \equiv \delta_{\mu}^{\sigma} \delta_{\rho}^{\nu}$
- (5)  $\mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{\nu} : \mathcal{V}^* \times \mathcal{W} : \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{\nu} (\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{W}) = \omega_{\mu} W^{\nu}$
- (6)  $\mathcal{B}_{V \otimes W^*} = \left\{ \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{\nu} \right\}_{\mu=1}^n \left\{ \nu=1 \right\}^m$
- (7)  $T_{\nu}^{\mu} \equiv T\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(\mu)}, \mathbf{f}_{(\nu)}\right)$
- (8)  $T = T_{\nu}^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{\nu}$

(9) There is a 1-1 map between the functionals  $e_\mu^\nu$  and the matrices  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}$

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{V} \otimes \xi \equiv V^\mu \xi_\nu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}$$

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)} = \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}$$

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{T} = T_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)}$$

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^* = \left\{ T_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)} \right\}$$

$$(14) \quad T(\omega, \mathbf{W}) = T_\nu^\mu \omega_\mu \mathbf{W}^\nu$$

$$(15) \quad T(\omega, \mathbf{W}) = \omega \mathbf{T} \mathbf{W}$$

$$(16) \quad \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{(\omega)} \otimes \mathbf{T}^{(W)}$$

(17) If  $\mathbf{R} = R_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)}$  and  $\mathbf{S} = S_\rho^\sigma \mathbf{f}_{(\sigma)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\rho)}$ , we define a  $(2k, 2\ell)$  tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{R} \otimes \mathbf{S} = R_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)} \otimes S_\rho^\sigma \mathbf{f}_{(\sigma)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\rho)} \\ &\equiv R_\nu^\mu S_\rho^\sigma \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \mathbf{f}_{(\sigma)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\rho)} = T_{\nu\rho}^{\mu\sigma} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \mathbf{f}_{(\sigma)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\rho)} \end{aligned}$$

First we give an overview of the steps and then we provide derivation of the equations and proof that the product basis in (6) is indeed a basis.

### Overview of the Steps

(1) Definition of tensor product of a covector space and a vector space in terms of linear functionals. This is the same equation (1) given in Section 3 but tailored for just one covector space and one vector space.

**Note 1.** Pay particular attention to  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*$  on LHS and  $\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \mathcal{W}$  on RHS. This occurs because, as we learned in Section 1, a vector acts as a function on a covector, and a covector acts as a function on a vector. LHS consists of vectors and covectors. RHS consists of functions.

**Note 2.** In Section 2 we defined  $\otimes$  for matrices. Matrices will not be introduced until step (9). Until then,  $T$  should be regarded only as a linear functional. Moreover, in (16) we will explicitly express  $T$  as a tensor product of vectors,  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W}$ . When that happens, it will justify use of the tensor symbol in the vector space name on the LHS of (1). Until then,  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ , too, should be regarded as nothing more than a vector space of functions, unrelated to tensor operations.

**(2–3)** Abstract representation of vectors and covectors in terms of bases. Because there are only two spaces, it is easy enough for now to allow them

different dimensions. When we return to  $k + \ell$  spaces, we will give them all the same dimension  $n$  to keep the notation from getting too complex.

**(4–8)** This section defines a collection of product linear functionals and then proves that they form a basis for  $V \otimes W^*$ . (8) shows how to express a linear functional  $T$  in terms of basis elements.

**(9–13)** The tensor operation has been defined in Section 2 for matrices. This section extends tensors to include linear functionals. Step (9) is the construction of the basis matrix that corresponds to the functional basis. This was carried out in Section 1. Equation (10) defines the tensor product between a vector and covector in terms of the matrix. Equation (11) shows the resulting tensor product between 2 basis elements. Equation (12) expresses a linear functional as a tensor product, justifying that these linear functionals are tensors. The coefficients,  $T_{\nu}^{\mu}$ , were identified in Section 1 to be  $n \times n$  matrices, so from the matrix algebra perspective [a rank \(1, 1\) tensor is a matrix](#).

**(14–16)** Equation (14) at last provides a formula for  $T(\omega, \mathbf{W})$ . It is an abstract vector space representation. Equation (15) provides the equivalent matrix-algebra expression for  $T(\omega, \mathbf{W})$ . Equation (16) states that [the rank \(1, 1\) tensor matrix can also be viewed as a tensor product of a column vector and a row vector](#). This can also be seen in (11).

**(17)** The formula for the tensor product of two (1, 1) tensors.

### Derivation

Equations (1–4) are definitions. Equation (5) extends the definition (4) of  $e_{\mu}^{\nu}$  from basis elements to covector-vector pairs:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mu}^{\nu} : V^* \times W : e_{\mu}^{\nu} (\omega, \mathbf{W}) &= \omega_{\mu} W^{\nu} : \quad (5) \\ e_{\mu}^{\nu} (\omega, \mathbf{W}) &= e_{\mu}^{\nu} \left( \omega_{\sigma} \varepsilon^{(\sigma)}, W^{\rho} f_{(\rho)} \right) \stackrel{(4)}{=} \omega_{\sigma} W^{\rho} \delta_{\mu}^{\sigma} \delta_{\rho}^{\nu} = \omega_{\mu} W^{\nu} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

In defining  $e_{\nu}^{\mu}$ , there are reasons why some books slant the indices NW to SE or SW to NE:

1. Without slanting, one cannot immediately tell in (5) which index operates on  $\omega$  and which operates on  $\mathbf{W}$ . In fact,  $\mu$  acts on  $\omega$  and  $\nu$  acts on  $\mathbf{W}$ . Correct slanting would be from SW to NE.
2. If an operation involves, for example, a tensor transpose, the slant could identify the tensor versus its transpose. Simply reversing indices to indicate the transpose could be confusing since the indices are dummies and we are free to interchange them at any time.

Nonetheless, we have chosen to keep indices vertical because the slant takes up a lot of space when we get to multiple superscripts and subscripts.

To prove that  $\mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*$ , we must show that  $\mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu$  is bilinear:

Suppose  $a\omega + b\xi = (a\omega_\nu + b\xi_\nu)\varepsilon^{(\nu)} \equiv v_\nu \varepsilon^{(\nu)}$  and

$c\mathbf{W} + d\mathbf{V} = (cW^\rho + dV^\rho)\mathbf{f}_{(\rho)} \equiv U^\rho \mathbf{f}_{(\rho)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu(a\omega + b\xi, c\mathbf{W} + d\mathbf{V}) &= \mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu(v_\nu \varepsilon^{(\nu)}, U^\rho \mathbf{f}_{(\rho)}) \stackrel{(5)}{=} v_\mu U^\nu \\ &= (a\omega_\mu + b\xi_\mu)(cW^\nu + dV^\nu) = ac\omega_\mu W^\nu + ad\omega_\mu V^\nu + bc\xi_\mu W^\nu + bd\xi_\mu V^\nu \\ &= ace_\mu^\nu(\omega, \mathbf{W}) + ade_\mu^\nu(\omega, \mathbf{V}) + bce_\mu^\nu(\xi, \mathbf{W}) + bde_\mu^\nu(\xi, \mathbf{V}).\end{aligned}\quad \checkmark$$

Equation (6) defines  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*}$ . In order to show that it is a basis, we must show that it spans  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*$  and is linearly independent.

$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*}$  spans  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*$ :

For  $T \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*$ , define

$$T_\nu^\mu \equiv T\left(\varepsilon^{(\mu)}, \mathbf{f}_{(\nu)}\right). \quad (7)$$

Let  $\omega = \omega_\mu \varepsilon^{(\mu)} \in \mathcal{V}^*$  and  $\mathbf{W} = W^\nu \mathbf{f}_{(\nu)} \in \mathcal{W}^*$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}T(\omega, \mathbf{W}) &= T\left(\omega_\mu \varepsilon^{(\mu)}, W^\nu \mathbf{f}_{(\nu)}\right) \stackrel{\text{(bilinear)}}{=} \omega_\mu W^\nu T\left(\varepsilon^{(\mu)}, \mathbf{f}_{(\nu)}\right) \stackrel{(6)}{=} T_\nu^\mu \omega_\mu W^\nu \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{=} T_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu(\omega, \mathbf{W}) \\ \Rightarrow T &= T_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu \quad \checkmark\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$\{\mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu\}$  is linearly independent:

$$x_\rho^\sigma \mathbf{e}_\sigma^\rho = 0 \Rightarrow x_\rho^\sigma = x_\nu^\mu \delta_\mu^\sigma \delta_\rho^\nu = x_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_\mu^\nu(\varepsilon^{(\sigma)}, \mathbf{f}_{(\rho)}) = 0 \quad \forall \sigma, \rho \quad \checkmark$$

Note that we have proven in passing that  $\dim(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*) = nm$  since that is the size of its basis.

We are at last in a position to define the tensor product. Until now everything has been about linear functionals even though we have used the tensor symbol in some of the names.

Let  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}$  be the  $n \times m$  matrix that corresponds to the linear functional  $e_\mu^\nu$ , as constructed in Section (1). This is the matrix that has a 1 in the  $(\mu, \nu)$  position and zeros elsewhere. The parentheses on the indices are to remind us that  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}$  is a matrix, not the  $(\mu, \nu)$  element of a matrix. Define the product of a vector  $\mathbf{V}$  and covector  $\xi$  to be the matrix

$$\mathbf{V} \otimes \xi \equiv V^\mu \xi_\nu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}. \quad (10)$$

Recall that  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\xi$  were defined in (3).

$$\text{Claim: } \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varphi^\nu = \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)} \quad (11)$$

$\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} = \delta_\mu^\alpha \mathbf{e}_{(\alpha)}$ . Let  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}$ . Then  $\mathbf{V} = V^\alpha \mathbf{e}_{(\alpha)}$ . So,  $V^\alpha = \delta_\mu^\alpha$ . Similarly, let

$\xi = \varphi^{(\nu)}$ . Then  $\varphi^{(\nu)} = \delta_\beta^\nu \varphi^{(\beta)}$ ,  $\xi = \xi_\beta \varphi^{(\beta)}$ , and  $\xi_\beta = \delta_\beta^\nu$ . Thus,

$$\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)} = \mathbf{V} \otimes \xi = V^\alpha \xi_\beta \mathbf{e}_{(\alpha)}^{(\beta)} = \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\beta^\nu \mathbf{e}_{(\alpha)}^{(\beta)} = \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)} \quad \checkmark$$

Combining (11) with  $\mathbf{T} = T_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)}$ , the matrix form of (8), yields

$$\mathbf{T} = T_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)} \quad (12)$$

Combining (12) with (1) gives

$$\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^* = \left\{ T_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varphi^{(\nu)} \right\} \quad (13)$$

This justifies the  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$  designation in (1). We can now explicitly view  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$  as a set of tensor products. Note that a tensor  $T_\nu^\mu$ , which acts on the product of two covectors, is a tensor product of vectors, not of covectors.

From (12): A (1, 1) tensor is a tensor product of a vector with a covector. From (13),  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}^*$  is isomorphic to the  $n^2$  dimensional vector space of tensors  $T_\nu^\mu$ . We know from Section 2 that the tensors  $T_\nu^\mu$  are  $n \times n$  matrices.

One more observation:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\omega, \mathbf{W}) &= T_\nu^\mu \omega_\mu W^\nu \\ T(\omega, \mathbf{W}) &\stackrel{(3)}{=} T\left(\omega_\mu \varepsilon^{(\mu)}, W^\nu \mathbf{f}_{(\nu)}\right) \stackrel{\text{(bilinearity)}}{=} \omega_\mu W^\nu T\left(\varepsilon^{(\mu)}, \mathbf{f}_{(\nu)}\right) \stackrel{(7)}{=} T_\nu^\mu \omega_\mu W^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Because RHS of (14) is a sum of products, the order of the terms does not matter. But, if we were to express RHS of (14) in matrix-algebra terminology, the order very much matters. In what order would we express the product:

$T\omega W$ ,  $\omega WT$ ,  $\omega TW$ , or maybe  $W\omega T$ ?

Since the result must be a scalar, the order is clear. Because  $\omega$  is a row vector, there must be a column vector,  $T_{(\omega)}$ , to its right. Because  $W$  is a column vector, there must be a row vector,  $T^{(W)}$ , to its left. So, we must have that

$$T = T_{(\omega)} T^{(W)}$$

and

$$T(\omega, W) = (\omega \ T_{(\omega)}) (T^{(W)} W) = \omega \ TW.$$

This works in so far as  $\omega T_{(\omega)}$  and  $T^{(W)} W$  are scalars. However, it doesn't work in so far as matrix multiplication is not defined between a column vector  $T_{(\omega)}$  and a row vector  $T^{(W)}$ . In Section 2 we showed that this must be a tensor product. So, the matrix algebra version of equation (14) becomes

$$T(\omega, W) = \omega \ T \ W \quad (15)$$

where

$$T = T_{(\omega)} \otimes T^{(W)}. \quad (16)$$

Observe that while the location of  $\omega$ ,  $W$ , and  $T$  matters, the order of execution does not. One can compute  $\omega T_{(\omega)}$  first, or  $T_{(\omega)} \otimes T^{(W)}$  first, or  $T^{(W)} W$  first.

Finally, (17) is simply a definition, so that concludes this section.

## 5 Lower Rank Tensors

A  $(2, 0)$  tensor space is  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W} = \{T : \mathcal{V}^* \times \mathcal{W}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{F} : T \text{ is bilinear}\}$ .

A  $(2, 0)$  tensor is formed from a tensor product of an  $n$  vector with an  $m$  vector. The result is that a  $(2, 0)$  tensor is an  $nm$  vector. As noted earlier, some books may treat a  $(2, 0)$  tensor as an  $n \times m$  matrix.

A  $(0, 2)$  tensor space is  $\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \mathcal{W}^* = \{T : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} : T \text{ is bilinear}\}$ .

A  $(0, 2)$  tensor is an  $nm$  covector.

A (1, 0) tensor space is  $\mathcal{V} = \{T : \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{F} : T \text{ is linear}\}$ .

**A (1, 0) tensor is a vector** because there is no product involved.

A (0, 1) tensor space is  $\mathcal{V}^* = \{T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} : T \text{ is linear}\}$

**A (0, 1) tensor is a covector.**

For a (0, 0) tensor, there is no product at all.

**A (0, 0) tensor is a scalar.**

## 6 Rank $(k, \ell)$ Tensors

We can develop the equations of  $(k, \ell)$  tensors with each vector space  $\mathcal{V}_i$  and covector space  $\mathcal{W}_j$  distinct, but the notation quickly explodes to unreadability. Therefore, we now assume that all of the vector and covector spaces are copies from a single vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  of dimension  $n$ ; i.e.,  $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{W}_j = \mathcal{V}$  for all  $i, j$ .

We develop rank  $(k, \ell)$  tensors following the pattern used for rank (1, 1). Since all of the proofs are straight-forward extensions of the proofs given in Section 4, they are omitted. We begin with equation (1), definition of a tensor.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{V}_k \otimes \mathcal{W}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell^* \\ & \equiv \{T : \mathcal{V}_1^* \times \cdots \times \mathcal{V}_k^* \times \mathcal{W}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{W}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbf{F} : T \text{ is multilinear}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

To simplify this, we set

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{V}_k,$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{W}_\ell,$$

and

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{V}_k \otimes \mathcal{W}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell^*$$

This enables us to rewrite (1) more closely to how we wrote (1, 1) tensors:

$$\mathcal{T} \equiv \{T : \mathcal{V}^* \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} : T \text{ is multilinear}\} \tag{1'}$$

Since there is only one reference vector space  $\mathcal{V}$ , equations (2) and (3) can be expressed simply. We specify just a single basis for all the  $\mathcal{V}_i$  and just a single basis for all the  $\mathcal{W}_j$ :

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \right\}_{\mu=1}^n \text{ and } \mathcal{B}^* = \left\{ \varepsilon^{(\nu)} \right\}_{\nu=1}^n \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V} &= V^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \in \mathcal{V}, \quad \mathbf{W} = W^\rho \mathbf{e}_{(\rho)} \in \mathcal{W}, \\ \omega &= \omega_\nu \varepsilon^{(\nu)} \in \mathcal{V}^*, \text{ and } \xi = \xi_\sigma \varepsilon^{(\sigma)} \in \mathcal{W}^* \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We next define the linear functionals on the basis elements and then extend them to all members of  $\mathcal{V}^* \times \mathcal{W}$ :

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell} \left( \varepsilon^{(\sigma_1)}, \dots, \varepsilon^{(\sigma_k)}, \mathbf{e}_{(\rho_1)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{(\rho_\ell)} \right) \equiv \delta_{\mu_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots \delta_{\mu_k}^{\sigma_k} \delta_{\rho_1}^{\nu_1} \cdots \delta_{\rho_\ell}^{\nu_\ell}. \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell} : \mathcal{V}^* \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} : \mathbf{e}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell} \left( \omega^{(1)}, \dots, \omega^{(k)}, \mathbf{W}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{(\ell)} \right) = \omega_{\mu_1} \cdots \omega_{\mu_k} W^{\nu_1} \cdots W^{\nu_\ell} \quad (5)$$

The proof of (5) as well as the proofs of (7), (8), and that  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}'}$  constitutes a basis for  $\mathcal{T}'$  are omitted.

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}'} = \left\{ \mathbf{e}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell} : \mu_1 = 1, \dots, n; \dots; \mu_k = 1, \dots, n; \nu_1 = 1, \dots, n; \dots; \nu_\ell = 1, \dots, n \right\} \quad (6)$$

$$T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = T \left( \varepsilon^{(\mu_1)}, \dots, \varepsilon^{(\mu_k)}, \mathbf{e}_{(\nu_1)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{(\nu_\ell)} \right). \quad (7)$$

$$T = T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \mathbf{e}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell} \quad (8)$$

Since the basis has size  $n^{k+\ell}$ ,  $\dim(\mathcal{T}') = n^{k+\ell}$ .

There is a 1-1 map between the functionals  $\mathbf{e}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}$  and the matrices  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu_1) \cdots (\mu_k)}^{(\nu_1) \cdots (\nu_\ell)}$  (9)

Each  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu_1) \cdots (\mu_k)}^{(\nu_1) \cdots (\nu_\ell)}$  is an  $n^k \times n^\ell$  matrix having all zeros except for a "1" in the cell  $(n^k, n^\ell)$ . There are  $n^{k+\ell}$  basis matrices  $\mathbf{e}_{(\mu_1) \cdots (\mu_k)}^{(\nu_1) \cdots (\nu_\ell)}$ . The parentheses on the indices indicate that we are enumerating matrices and elements of a single matrix.

Define the tensor product of vectors and covectors:

$$\mathbf{V}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{V}_{(k)} \otimes \xi^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi^{(\ell)} \equiv V^{\mu_1} \cdots V^{\mu_k} \xi_{\nu_1} \cdots \xi_{\nu_\ell} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_1) \cdots (\mu_k)}^{(\nu_1) \cdots (\nu_\ell)}. \quad (10)$$

As shown for (1, 1) tensors, this leads to the tensor product of basis vectors and covectors:

$$\mathbf{e}_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_k)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_\ell)} = \mathbf{e}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell} : \quad (11)$$

Substituting (11) into (8) yields

$$T = T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_k)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_\ell)} \quad (12)$$

and substituting (12) into (1') yields

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_k)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_\ell)} \right\}. \quad (13)$$

From (12), a  $(k, \ell)$  tensor is a tensor product of  $k$  vectors and  $\ell$  covectors. It is a  $n^k \times n^\ell$  matrix whose elements are the components  $T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_k}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell}$ .

From (13),  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{V}_k \otimes \mathcal{W}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell^*$  is isomorphic to the  $n^{(k+\ell)}$ -dimensional vector space of matrices  $\mathbf{T} = T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_k}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_k)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_\ell)}$ .

Since the basis vectors are composed of all zeroes except for a single "1", all of the information is contained in the components  $T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_k}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell}$ . Thus, we loosely say that  $\mathcal{T}$  is isomorphic to the vector space of  $(n^k \times n^\ell)$  matrices  $T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_k}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell}$ .

As with  $(1, 1)$  tensors, we also have the formula

$$T(\omega^{(1)}, \dots, \omega^{(k)}, \mathbf{W}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{(\ell)}) = T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \omega_{\mu_1} \cdots \omega_{\mu_k} W^{\nu_1} \cdots W^{\nu_\ell} \quad (14)$$

and its matrix form

$$T(\omega^{(1)}, \dots, \omega^{(k)}, \mathbf{W}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{(\ell)}) = \omega^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega^{(k)} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{W}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{W}_{(\ell)}. \quad (15)$$

where  $\mathbf{T}$  is a  $n^k \times n^\ell$  matrix and also  $\mathbf{T}$  can be expressed as the tensor product of  $k$  vectors  $\mathbf{T}_{(i)}$  and  $\ell$  covectors  $\mathbf{T}^{(j)}$ :

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{(k)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{T}_{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{T}^{(\ell)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{T}^{(1)}. \quad (16)$$

This makes sense because  $\omega = \omega^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega^{(k)}$  is a length  $n^k$  row vector,  $\mathbf{T}$  is a  $n^k \times n^\ell$  matrix, and  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{W}_{(\ell)}$  is length  $n^\ell$  column vector. So it is legitimate to perform the matrix operations  $\omega \mathbf{T} \mathbf{W}$  even though  $\omega$ ,  $\mathbf{T}$ , and  $\mathbf{W}$  are themselves tensor products. The indices on  $\mathbf{T}$  in (16) are in reverse order because  $\omega^{(k)} \mathbf{T}_{(k)} \in \mathbf{F}$ , reducing to  $\omega^{(k-1)} \mathbf{T}_{(k-1)} \in \mathbf{F}$ , ...,  $\omega^{(1)} \mathbf{T}_{(1)} \in \mathbf{F}$  and similarly  $\mathbf{T}^{(1)} \mathbf{W}_{(1)} \in \mathbf{F}$ , ...,  $\mathbf{T}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{W}_{(\ell)} \in \mathbf{F}$ . In fact, the various matrix and tensor products can be performed in any order.

**Definition.** If  $\mathbf{R} = R_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_k)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_\ell)}$  is a  $(k, \ell)$  tensor and

$\mathbf{S} = S_{\nu_{\ell+1} \cdots \nu_{\ell+n}}^{\mu_{k+1} \cdots \mu_{k+m}} \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_{k+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{(\mu_{k+m})} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_{\ell+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_{\ell+n})}$  is an  $(m, n)$  tensor, we define a  $(k+m, \ell+n)$  tensor  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R} \otimes \mathbf{S}$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= R \otimes S = R_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} e_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{(\mu_k)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_\ell)} \\
&\quad \otimes S_{\nu_{\ell+1} \cdots \nu_{\ell+n}}^{\mu_{k+1} \cdots \mu_{k+m}} e_{(\mu_{k+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{(\mu_{k+m})} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_{\ell+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_{\ell+n})} \\
&\equiv R_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_{\nu_{\ell+1} \cdots \nu_{\ell+n}}^{\mu_{k+1} \cdots \mu_{k+m}} e_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{(\mu_{k+m})} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_{\ell+n})} \\
&= T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} e_{(\mu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{(\mu_{k+m})} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu_{\ell+n})}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where  $T_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = R_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_{\nu_{\ell+1} \cdots \nu_{\ell+n}}^{\mu_{k+1} \cdots \mu_{k+m}}$ .

## 9 Examples

**Example.** A (2,2) tensor is a quad-linear map

$$T : \mathcal{V}^* \times \mathcal{V}^* \times \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} : T(\omega, \xi, V, W) = {}_{\omega_{\mu_1}} \zeta_{\mu_2} {}^{(5)} T_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} V^{\nu_1} W^{\nu_2}.$$

To neutralize covectors  $\omega$  and  $\xi$  we must multiply them on their right by two vectors. To neutralize vectors  $V$  and  $W$  we must multiply them on the left by two row vectors. Thus, a (2,2) tensor  $T$  is the tensor product of the tensor product of two vectors with the tensor product of two covectors:

$$T(\omega, \xi, V, W) = \omega \otimes \xi T V \otimes W$$

where

$$T = T_{(\xi)} \otimes T_{(\omega)} \otimes T^{(V)} \otimes T^{(W)}.$$

**Example.** Quantum Mechanics.  $F = \mathbb{C}$ .

Alice and Bob each measure the spin state of an electron. Let  $S_A$  represent Alice's state space, the vector space of all her states. Let  $S_B$  represent Bob's state space. Let  $|A\rangle$  and  $|B\rangle$  be state vectors in  $S_A$  and  $S_B$ , respectively, and let  $\{|a\rangle\}$  and  $\{|b\rangle\}$  be bases for  $S_A$  and  $S_B$ . Then  $S_{AB} = S_A \otimes S_B$  is the vector space whose basis is the set of tensor product states  $|ab\rangle \equiv |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle$ . These basis objects are vectors since they are tensor products of vectors. Similarly,

if  $|A\rangle = \sum_a \alpha_a |a\rangle$  and  $|B\rangle = \sum_b \beta_b |b\rangle$ , then

$$|AB\rangle = |A\rangle \otimes |B\rangle = \sum_a \sum_b \alpha_a \beta_b |ab\rangle \in S_{AB}$$

are vectors.

However,  $S_{AB}$  is larger than the set of vectors  $\{|\mathbf{AB}\rangle\}$ . The singlet state is a vector of the form  $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_a \sum_b \psi_{ab} |\mathbf{ab}\rangle \in S_{AB}$  that cannot be expressed as  $|\mathbf{A}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{B}\rangle$ . We will also see this behavior in the next example.

**Example.** General Relativity.  $F = \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{e}_{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  be a basis for spacetime,  $S$ . Let  $\varepsilon^{(\nu)} = \mathbf{e}_{(\nu)}^\top$ . For example,  $\varepsilon^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then  $\{\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu)}\}_{\mu, \nu=0}^3$  is a basis for  $S^2 = S \otimes S$ , where elements of the basis are of the form

$$\mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}^{(\nu)} \equiv \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \mathbf{e}^\mu \varepsilon_\nu & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the metric tensor

$$\eta = (\eta_\nu^\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\eta = \sum_\mu \sum_\nu \eta_\nu^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu)}$  is a member of  $S^2$  that cannot be expressed as a product tensor. That is, let  $\alpha = \sum_\mu \alpha^\mu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)}$  and  $\beta = \sum_\nu \beta_\nu \varepsilon^{(\nu)}$ . Then  $\eta \neq \alpha \otimes \beta$ :

$$\text{Suppose } \eta = \alpha \otimes \beta = \sum_\mu \sum_\nu \alpha^\mu \beta_\nu \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu)} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^0 \beta_0 & \cdots & \alpha^0 \beta_3 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha^3 \beta_0 & \cdots & \alpha^3 \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $-1 = \alpha^0 \beta_0 \Rightarrow \alpha^0 \neq 0$  and  $1 = \alpha^1 \beta_1 \Rightarrow \beta_1 \neq 0$ .

Yet,  $\alpha^0 \beta_1 = 0$ , a contradiction.

So the space  $S^2$  generated by the basis  $\left\{ \mathbf{e}_{(\mu)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(\nu)} \right\}_{\mu, \nu=0}^3$  is larger than the space of product vectors  $\{\alpha \otimes \beta\}$ .

Also, as mentioned, some books may label the metric tensor as the matrix  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ . I prefer to write this as a (1 x 16) covector :

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\eta^{\mu\nu}$  would be a (16 x 1) column vector, and the product would be a scalar, not another matrix.