Assignment 3

 $NO \rightarrow L$ $NO \rightarrow R$ $P \rightarrow R$ $Q \rightarrow N$ $Q \rightarrow O$ $Q \rightarrow P$

```
Task 2
```

```
a)
     We have set A = {LMNOPQRS}.
     And FD's set B = { N\rightarrowM, NO\rightarrowLR, NQR\rightarrowMP, P\rightarrowR, Q\rightarrowNO }
     Initial closures for each FD in B
     N^+ \rightarrow MN
     NO<sup>+</sup> → LMNOR
     NQR<sup>+</sup> → LMNOPQR
     P^+ \rightarrow PR
     Q^+ \rightarrow LMNOPQR
     FD's in standart form, removing redundancy:
     N \rightarrow M
     NO \rightarrow L
     NO \rightarrow R
     NQR \rightarrow M (because N \rightarrow M)
     NQR \rightarrow P (because Q \rightarrow NO and NO \rightarrow R)
     P \rightarrow R
     Q \rightarrow N
     Q \rightarrow 0
     Closures after updation:
     N^+ \rightarrow MN
     NO⁺ → LMNOR
     NQR<sup>+</sup> → LMNOPQR
     P^+ \rightarrow PR
     Q^+ \rightarrow LMNOPQR
     As we seem nothing changed, so minimal basis holds.
     Minimal basis:
     N \rightarrow M
```

```
Searching for key in:
N \rightarrow M (because Q\rightarrowN and N\rightarrowM) – now Q\rightarrow M
NO \rightarrow L (because Q\rightarrowNO and NO\rightarrowR) – now Q\rightarrowL
NO \rightarrow R (because Q\rightarrowNO and NO\rightarrowR) – now Q\rightarrowR
NQR \rightarrow M (because N \rightarrow M)
NQR \rightarrow P (because Q \rightarrow NO and NO \rightarrow R)
P \rightarrow R (because Q\rightarrowNO and NO\rightarrowR and Q\rightarrowP and P\rightarrowR)
Q \rightarrow N
Q \rightarrow 0
Key candidates:
Q^+ \rightarrow LMNOPQR (gives full closure except S)
Q is on the LHS only so it always has to be in key
```

S doesn't appear on LHS or RHS so it also always has to be a key

Therefore, we have a key "QS" and adding other attributes is obviously unnecessary (since they all are already defined by Q)

Key:

QS

c) Recall FD's we have in minimal basis:

```
N \rightarrow M
```

 $NO \rightarrow LR$

 $P \rightarrow R$

 $Q \rightarrow NOP$

Our resulting set of relations would have these attributes:

R1(N,M), R2(N,O,L,R), R3(P,R), R4(Q,N,O,P)

As we see, there is no repetitions in tables, none of them can be eliminated

Since we have a key QS, and there is no S in this relations, we should add RO(Q,S) for storing the key

Eventually, we get such set of relations: RO(Q,S), R1(N,M), R2(N,O,L,R), R3(P,R), R4(Q,N,O,P)

d) It doesn't allow redundancy since the relations are formed from minimal basis FD's, none of the relations was removed during 3NF-decomposition and each FD's LHS is a superkey for relation it formed.