

# Notes on NCSq

Taichi Uemura

## 1. Implementation

### 1.1. Notation

For a cell  $c$ , we write  $((l(c), b(c)), (r(c), t(c)))$  for the bounding rectangle of  $c$ . Let  $w = r - l$  be the width and  $h = t - b$  the height.

### 1.2. Automatic stretching

Given a matrix of cells  $(c_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ , we want to arrange them satisfying the following:

- (1) cells do not overlap each other;
- (2) arrows are sufficiently long to match their labels.

To achieve this, we have to determine the boundary  $(b_i, t_i)$  of  $i$ -th row and the boundary  $(l_j, r_j)$  of  $j$ -th column. These boundaries must satisfies the following:

- (1) if  $c_{ij}$  is an object, then  $b_i \leq b(c_{ij})$ ,  $t(c_{ij}) \leq t_i$ ,  $l_j \leq l(c_{ij})$ , and  $r(c_{ij}) \leq r_j$ ;
- (2) if  $c_{ij}$  is a horizontal arrow, then  $b_i \leq b(c_{ij})$  and  $t(c_{ij}) \leq t_i$ ;
- (3) if  $c_{ij}$  is a vertical arrow, then  $l_j \leq l(c_{ij})$  and  $r(c_{ij}) \leq r_j$ ;
- (4) if  $c_{ij}$  is a horizontal arrow with source  $c_{i\sigma}$  and target  $c_{i\tau}$  ( $1 \leq \sigma < j < \tau \leq n$ ), then

$$w(c_{ij}) \leq (r_\sigma - r(c_{i\sigma})) + (l(c_{i\tau}) - l_\tau) + \sum_{\sigma < j' < \tau} w_{ij'}$$

- (5) if  $c_{ij}$  is a vertical arrow with source  $c_{\sigma j}$  and target  $c_{\tau j}$  ( $1 \leq \sigma < i < \tau \leq m$ ), then

$$h(c_{ij}) \leq (b(c_{\sigma j}) - b_\sigma) + (t_\tau - t(c_{\tau j})) + \sum_{\sigma < i' < \tau} h_{i'j}$$

Observe that horizontal adjustment and vertical adjustment are independent of each other, so we may first determine the boundary  $(b_i, t_i)$  of  $i$ -th row satisfying the following:

- (1) if  $c_{ij}$  is either an object or a horizontal arrow, then  $b_i \leq b(c_{ij})$  and  $t(c_{ij}) \leq t_i$ ;  
(2) if  $c_{ij}$  is a vertical arrow with source  $c_{\sigma j}$  and target  $c_{\tau j}$ , then

$$h(c_{ij}) \leq (b(c_{\sigma j}) - b_\sigma) + (t_\tau - t(c_{\tau j})) + \sum_{\sigma < i' < \tau} h_{i'j}$$

and then determine the boundary  $(l_j, r_j)$  of  $j$ -th column satisfying the dual conditions. The first condition is immediately solved:

$$b_i \leq \min \{ b(c_{ij}) \mid c_{ij} \text{ is either an object or a horizontal arrow} \}$$

$$t_i \geq \max \{ t(c_{ij}) \mid c_{ij} \text{ is either an object or a horizontal arrow} \}$$

Let  $b_i^{(1)}$  and  $t_i^{(1)}$  be the right sides of these inequalities respectively. Put  $y_i = b_i^{(1)} - b_i$  and  $x_i = t_i - t_i^{(1)}$ . Then the second condition is equivalent to that, if  $c_{ij}$  is a vertical arrow with source  $c_{\sigma j}$  and target  $c_{\tau j}$ , then

$$d_{ij} \leq y_\sigma + x_\tau + \sum_{\sigma < i' < \tau} x_{i'} + y_{i'} \quad (\text{A}_{ij})$$

where

$$d_{ij} = h(c_{ij}) - \left( (b(c_{\sigma j}) - b_\sigma^{(1)}) + (t_\tau^{(1)} - t(c_{\tau j})) + \sum_{\sigma < i' < \tau} h_{i'j}^{(1)} \right)$$

is a constant. Therefore, the goal becomes to find  $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_m, y_m \geq 0$  satisfying  $(\text{A}_{ij})$  for every vertical arrow  $c_{ij}$  with source  $c_{\sigma j}$  and target  $c_{\tau j}$ .

Clearly, sufficiently large  $(x_i, y_i)$ 's satisfy inequations  $(\text{A}_{ij})$ 's, but it will be better if we choose  $(x_i, y_i)$ 's as small as possible. It will be much better if  $(x_i, y_i)$ 's are balanced. This will be achieved by minimizing the sum of squares

$$x_1^2 + y_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2 + y_m^2$$

Thus, vertical arrow stretching is reduced to the following constrained least-squares problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{minimize} && x_1^2 + y_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 + y_m^2 \\
& \text{subject to} && d_{i_k j_k} \leq y_{\sigma_k} + x_{\tau_k} + \sum_{\sigma_k < i' < \tau_k} x_{i'} + y_{i'} \text{ for each } k = 1, \dots, p \\
& && x_i, y_i \geq 0 \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, m
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, c_{i_p j_p}$  is the list of vertical arrows and  $c_{\sigma_{i_k} j_k}$  and  $c_{\tau_{i_k} j_k}$  are the source and the target, respectively, of  $c_{i_k j_k}$ .

### 1.2.1. Active-set methods

Since the number of variables will not be so large ( $2m$  where  $m$  is the number of rows of the given diagram), active-set methods will be preferable to solve this least-squares problem. We refer the reader to [1] for details of active-set methods.

We first write the problem in a standard form. Let  $m' = 2m$ ,  $z_i = x_{i'}$  if  $i = 2i'$  and  $z_i = y_{i'}$  if  $i = 2i' + 1$ . Let  $(A')^T = (a_{ki})_{k,i}$  be the  $p \times m'$  matrix defined by  $a_{ki} = 1$  if  $2\sigma_k + 1 \leq i \leq 2\tau_k$  and  $a_{ki} = 0$  otherwise. We set  $d = (d_{i_k j_k})_{1 \leq k \leq p}$  and  $p' = p + m$ . Let  $A^T$  be the  $p' \times m'$ -matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} (A')^T \\ I \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $b$  be the  $p'$ -vector  $\begin{bmatrix} d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then the problem is formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2} z^T z \\
& \text{subject to} && A^T z \geq b
\end{aligned}$$

An active-set method is an iterative method for solving minimization problems by guessing what the active set

$$\mathcal{A}(z^*) = \{k \in \{1, \dots, p'\} \mid a_k z^* = b_k\}$$

at the optimal point is. At each step, we are given a current point  $z$  and a subset  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}(z)$  called a working set. The current point  $z$  is required to be a feasible point, that is, to satisfy  $A^T z \geq b$ . The working set  $\mathcal{W}$  is required to satisfy that the vectors  $\{a_k \mid k \in \mathcal{W}\}$  are linearly independent.

We first find a direction  $\zeta$  to which the objective function  $\frac{1}{2}z^T z$  is decreasing. Such a  $\zeta$  is found by solving the equality-constrained problem in  $\zeta$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2}\zeta^T \zeta + z^T \zeta \\ & \text{subject to} && A_{\mathcal{W}}^T \zeta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{\mathcal{W}}^T$  denotes the submatrix of  $A^T$  consisting of the  $k$ -th rows for  $k \in \mathcal{W}$ . It is known that one can find the optimizer for such an equality-constrained problem by solving the KKT condition

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & -A_{\mathcal{W}} \\ A_{\mathcal{W}}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

in  $\zeta$  and new variables  $\lambda = (\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathcal{W}}$ . This is equivalent to solving  $(A_{\mathcal{W}}^T A_{\mathcal{W}})\lambda = A_{\mathcal{W}}^T z$  first ( $A_{\mathcal{W}}^T A_{\mathcal{W}}$  is positive definite since  $a_k$ 's for  $k \in \mathcal{W}$  are linearly independent) and then obtaining  $\zeta = A_{\mathcal{W}} \lambda - z$ .

Suppose that  $\zeta$  is 0. Then we check if  $\lambda_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{W}$ . If so, then we are done, and  $z$  is the optimal solution. If not, choose  $k \in \mathcal{W}$  minimizing  $\lambda_k$ , and proceed the next step with  $z \leftarrow z$  and  $\mathcal{W} \leftarrow \mathcal{W} \setminus \{k\}$ . Of course, the new working set  $\mathcal{W} \setminus \{k\}$  satisfies the linear-independence condition.

Suppose that  $\zeta$  is not 0. In this case, we want to choose a step-length  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  as large as possible keeping  $z + \alpha\zeta$  feasible. We set

$$\alpha = \min \left( 1, \min_{k \notin \mathcal{W}, a_k^T \zeta < 0} \frac{b_k - a_k^T z}{a_k^T \zeta} \right)$$

The indices  $k$  minimizing  $\alpha$ , if exist, are called the blocking constraints. We then proceed the next step with  $z \leftarrow z + \alpha\zeta$  and  $\mathcal{W} \leftarrow \mathcal{W} \cup \{k\}$  if  $k$  is the first blocking constraint and  $\mathcal{W} \leftarrow \mathcal{W}$  if there are no blocking constraints. For a blocking constraint  $k$ , the new working set  $\mathcal{W} \cup \{k\}$  satisfies the linear-independence condition because  $a_k^T \zeta < 0$  while  $a_{k'}^T \zeta = 0$  for  $k' \in \mathcal{W}$ .

### 1.2.2. Updating decomposition

The most expensive part in the active-set method is to solve the equation

$$(A_{\mathcal{W}}^T A_{\mathcal{W}}) \lambda = A_{\mathcal{W}}^T z$$

This equation can be solved using a QR decomposition of  $A_{\mathcal{W}}$

$$A_{\mathcal{W}} = Q_{\mathcal{W}} \begin{bmatrix} R_{\mathcal{W}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $Q_{\mathcal{W}}$  is an orthogonal matrix and  $R_{\mathcal{W}}$  is an upper triangular matrix. Indeed, we have

$$A_{\mathcal{W}}^T A_{\mathcal{W}} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{\mathcal{W}}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q_{\mathcal{W}}^T Q_{\mathcal{W}} \begin{bmatrix} R_{\mathcal{W}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = R_{\mathcal{W}}^T R_{\mathcal{W}}$$

and then

$$(R_{\mathcal{W}}^T R_{\mathcal{W}}) \lambda = A_{\mathcal{W}}^T z$$

is easily solved because  $R_{\mathcal{W}}$  is an upper triangular matrix.

To calculate a QR decomposition  $(Q_{\mathcal{W}}, R_{\mathcal{W}})$  efficiently, we note that the matrix  $A_{\mathcal{W}}$  at a step only differs in one column from the previous step: one column is inserted or deleted. In either case, updating a QR decomposition is more efficient than recalculating. We refer the reader to [2] for details.

Let  $\mathcal{W}'$  be the working set in the previous step and suppose that the current working set is  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}' \setminus \{k\}$ . Let  $R$  be the matrix obtained from  $R_{\mathcal{W}'}$  by removing the  $k$ -th column. We have  $A_{\mathcal{W}} = Q_{\mathcal{W}'} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , but  $R$  need not be upper triangular. Let  $(Q, R_{\mathcal{W}})$  be a QR decomposition of  $R$  and let  $Q_{\mathcal{W}} = Q_{\mathcal{W}'} \text{diag}(Q, I)$ . Then  $(Q_{\mathcal{W}}, R_{\mathcal{W}})$  is a QR decomposition of  $A_{\mathcal{W}}$ . For a QR decomposition of  $R$ , we note that  $R$  is almost upper triangular: it is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & \cdots & * & \cdots & * & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & \cdots & * & * & * & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & * & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & * & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we obtain a QR decomposition by repeated plain rotation.

Suppose that the current working set is  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}' \cup \{k\}$ . We set  $A_{\mathcal{W}} = [A_{\mathcal{W}'} \ a_k]$ . Split  $Q_{\mathcal{W}'} = [Q_1 \ Q_2]$  with  $Q_1$  the first  $\mathcal{W}'$  columns. Find a Householder transformation  $H$  and a scalar  $\rho$  such that  $H(Q_2^T a_k) = \rho \mathbf{e}_1$ . Then  $R_{\mathcal{W}} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{\mathcal{W}'} & Q_1^T a_k \\ 0 & \rho \end{bmatrix}$  and  $Q_{\mathcal{W}} = Q_{\mathcal{W}'} \text{diag}(I, H)$ . A Householder transformation is a matrix of the form  $H = I - uu^T$  such that  $\|u\|_2 = \sqrt{2}$ . For a given vector  $x$ , one can find a Householder transformation  $H$  such that  $Hx = \|x\|_2 \mathbf{e}_1$  or  $Hx = -\|x\|_2 \mathbf{e}_1$ .

- [1] Jorge Nocedal and Stephen J. Wright, “Numerical Optimization,” ser. Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering. Springer, New York, NY, 2006.
- [2] G. W. Stewart, “Matrix Algorithms: Volume 1: Basic Decompositions,” Philadelphia, PA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), 1998.