Detecting Online Conversations Going Viral

Time-series aware evaluation of change detection algorithms



Matt Chapman

 $\verb| matthew.chapman@student.uva.nl| \\$

Spring 2017, 16 pages

Supervisor: Evangelos Kanoulas, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Host organisation: Buzzcapture International, http://www.buzzcapture.com

Contents

A	Abstract 2				
1	1.1 F	lem Statement & Motivation Problem Statement	3 3 4		
2	Resea	arch Method	5		
	2.1 E	Evaluation Measures	5		
		Evaluation Pipeline	6		
		2.2.1 Introduction	6		
	2	2.2.2 Changepoint	6		
	2.3 I	Oata Preparation	6		
	2	2.3.1 The Nature of Twitter Data	6		
	2.4 A	Algorithm Configuration	7		
	2	2.4.1 Penalty Scores	7		
	2.5 S	Scoring Metrics	7		
	2	2.5.1 F1 Score	7		
	2	2.5.2 Retrieval score	7		
3	Backg	ground & Context	9		
4	Resea	arch	10		
	4.1 E	Experimental Setup	10		
5	Results 1				
6	6 Analysis & Conclusions				
Ribliography					

Abstract

Write abstract

Problem Statement & Motivation

1.1 Problem Statement

When asserting the veracity of an approach to a particular problem, this is almost always achieved by utilising some sort of metric or measure. To give an example, when evaluating the quality of some new piece of software, this could be done through calculating measures such as McCabe Complexityor unit test coverage.

cite

The problem of detecting change points in some data stream is no different. There exists within this field a number of metrics that may be used to prove the accuracy and effectiveness of a given approach, and these often fall into one of three categories: binary classification (e.g. F1 score), clustering (e.g. BCubed, or the Rand Index), or information retrieval (e.g. TREC retrieval score).

cite this

The purpose of this thesis is twofold: firstly, to conduct a meta analysis of these measures, and how they perform in the domain of change point detection problems. Being that these measures are metrics designed for other problems that are not necessarily change point detection, it stands to reason that there are perhaps situations where families of metrics will disagree with each-other, or disagree with by-eye evaluation by domain experts. The second purpose is to evaluate the veracity of a number of existing change point detection algorithms when they are applied to data from social media.

This thesis will analyse three different change detection algorithms: Pruned Exact Linear Time, Binary Segmentation and Segment Neighbourhoods. These will be referred to as PELT, BinSeg and SegNeigh respectively. The algorithms will be briefly discussed in this thesis, along with the chosen critical values such as minimum segment length, penalty scoring and assumed underlying distribution.

Cite

The algorithms will then be applied to a collection of datasets falling into two categories: real-world conversation volume data taken from Twitter, and simulated data generated according to certain constraints which will also be discussed in § 2.

The results provided by each technique will then be evaluated according to the following measures: Precision, Recall, F1 score, Rand Index, Adjusted Rand Index, Bcubed Precision, Bcubed Recall, Bcubed F-Score, and an information retrieval score based upon those in the $TREC\ 2016$ guidelines. Once these measures have been calculated, a meta-analysis will take place to evaluate the effectiveness of these methods when compared with each other and by-eye analysis from social media domain experts.

oito

This thesis intends to answer the following research questions:

- **RQ1** Are existing change point detection algorithms effective at detecting changes in social media data in a timely fashion?
- **RQ2** Are measures not specifically defined for the purpose of change detection evaluation effective in this domain?
- **RQ3** Do the aforementioned measures agree with by-eye analysis of results from change detection methods?

1.2 Motivation

Change detection first came about as a quality control measure in manufacturing, and methods within this domain are generally referred to as *control charts*. Since the inception of approaches such as CUSUM that provide the possibility for on-line evaluation of continuous data streams, change detection has grown as a field. With applications such as epidemic detection, online reputation management and infrastructure error detection, change detection is hugely useful both as an academic problem and in production systems of myriad application.

This particular research is motivated specifically by the online reputation management sector. The business hosting this research project (Buzzcapture International [http://www.buzzcapture.com]) is a Dutch consultancy that provides online reputation management services to other businesses throughout Europe. Chief among these services is the BrandMonitor application, which, among other features, provides a rudimentary notification system for clients that is triggered once there is an absolute increase in conversation volume (conversation volume being defined as the number of tweets relevant to the client over a given time period).

It is the intention of this thesis to not only answer the research questions set out in § 1.1, but also to provide a robust recommendation and working prototype of a change detection methodology which could then eventually be implemented into the Brand Monitor tool to supply timely and relevant notifications to clients when a conversation concerning their brand exhibits a change in behaviour or otherwise "goes viral".

Research Method

2.1 Evaluation Measures

As briefly discussed in the introduction to this thesis, there are a number of pre-existing approaches for the evaluation of change detection methods. Here, the measures being evaluated are briefly explained:

F1 Score This measure is utilised for testing accuracy in problems of binary classification. It considers two different measures, *precision* and *recall*. The F1 score can be described in general terms as follows:

$$F_1 = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{1}{recall} + \frac{1}{precision}} = 2 \cdot \frac{precision \cdot recall}{precision + recall}$$
 (2.1)

recall is computed as the number of correct positive results, divided by the number of positive results that should have been detected. *precision* is computed as the number of correct positive results divided by the number of all possible positive results.

As the F1 score is a binary classification measure, it can only be used to test the precision of an algorithm in a single domain, that is, was the change detected or not.

Rand Index This measure is for computing the similarity between two clusters of data points. It is used for calculating the overall accuracy of a given clustering approach, when compared against a set of ground truth clusters. The Rand Index is defined as:

$$R = \frac{a+b}{a+b+c+d} \tag{2.2}$$

cite this?

Given a set of data points S, partitioned through two different methods, which we shall refer to as X and Y. Based on this knowledge we can define the following:

- a = total number of pairs that were partitioned into the same subset by both X and Y
- b = total number of pairs that were partitioned into different subsets by both X and Y
- ullet c = total number of pairs that were partitioned into the *same* subset by X and into a different subset by Y
- ullet d = total number of pairs that were partitioned into the *same* subset by Y and into a different subset by X

Intuitively, it can be stated that a+b is the total number of agreements between methods X and Y, while c+d is the total number of disagreements. This calculation will return 0 for completely different clusters and 1 for identical clusters.

Adjusted Rand Index Similar to the Rand Index, but adjusted to take into account the random chance of pairs being assigned to the same cluster by both approaches being compared. While the Rand Index is suited for comparing a segmentation method against a known-good *oracle* method, the adjusted index is more suited to comparing two differing approaches [MJ12]. It is defined as:

$$R_{adjusted} = \frac{R - R_{expected}}{R_{max} - R_{expected}} \tag{2.3}$$

where $R_{expected}$ is defined as the expected Rand Index score for two completely random classifications of the given data and R_{max} is defined as the maximum Rand Index value - generally 1.

cite, https://arxiv

2.2 Evaluation Pipeline

2.2.1 Introduction

The method of evaluating the approaches will be developed using R. R is a combined language and environment created for the purpose of statistical computing [R C15]. Thanks to the availability of the changepoint package in R[KE14], it is possible for me to experiment with different change detection approaches without needing to implement them from scratch, myself.

R also allows for the generation of test data using method calls such as rnorm(), which has considerably sped up my workflow compared to carrying out the same operations in Python. The R package ggplot2 [Wic09] also provides a number of powerful tools for data visualisation directly in R.

2.2.2 Changepoint

changepoint is a powerful R package that provides a number of different change detection algorithms, along with various approaches to penalty values. changepoint offers change detection in mean, variance and combinations of the two, using the AMOC, PELT, Binary Segmentation and Segment Neighbourhood algorithms.

cite these

Changepoint was developed by Rebecca Killick and Idris A. Eckleyand is provided free of charge under the GNU general public license [KE14].

cite

2.3 Data Preparation

There are two data sets that will be used in this research. The first is a collection of 30.9M Dutch language tweets collected between 01/01/2017 and 28/02/2017. The data will be filtered for certain terms in the tweet 'body' to generate collections of tweets that would be considered 'relevant' to a particular business or individual. In this way, I will be able to simulate BrandMonitor queries myself, without the need of the software itself.

Make graph prettier

The final result of the prepared datashall be 5 different subsets of varying size and nature. For example, data sets with large changes in variance (noise), and extremely large/small changes in mean.

finish getting data together

2.3.1 The Nature of Twitter Data

It is important, as part of this research, to understand the nature of the data being studied. The main reason for this is that several of the algorithms being evaluated require one to specify either a test statistic or the distribution of the data (as closely) as possible to give optimal results. For example, for detection of changes in mean using the changepoint package, one must specify whether the data follows a normal distribution, or whether to use the CUSUM (cumulative sum) test statistic that makes no assumptions about the distribution of the data.

Figure ?? shows the distribution of twitter postings mentioning "ING" between 01/01/2017 and 28/02/2017. At first glance, this data has a number of interesting change points that we may wish to detect. Firstly, we shall examine what kind of distribution this data falls under. Carrying out the Shapiro-Wilk normality test gives us a *p-value* of 0.0001037, well below the normally accepted 0.05. We can further see that this data set does not fit a normal distribution by generating a Q-Q plot of the data using R. ?? shows the completed QQ plot, which demonstrates the lack of normal distribution.

The second type of data that will be used is entirely simulated. This will be achieved using R's facilities for generating sets of random data that fits a normal distribution. In this way it will be possible to demonstrate how (if at all) change detection algorithms handle data with different distribution models differently.

2.4 Algorithm Configuration

Change detection is an *unbounded* problem. Left without some system of constraint, the algorithm could theoretically run to infinity. Indeed, one of the algorithms utilised in this research, PELT, when left unbounded, will detect every data point in the time-series as a change point. This result is *technically* correct, but not useful for our purposes. For this reason, the algorithms implement a penalty system, allowing for an optimal number of changepoints to be detected.

citation needed

2.4.1 Penalty Scores

Penalty scores operate as a mechanism for optimising an unbounded problem such as the one being addressed here. Haynes et al. define the problem as follows [HEF14]: Given time series data points y_1, \ldots, y_n , the result of a given algorithm shall be a set of m changepoints such that their locations $\tau_{1:m} = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$, where τ_i is an integer between 1 and n-1 inclusive.

$$Q_m(y_{1:n}) = \min_{\tau_{1:m}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} [C(y_{(\tau_{i-1}+1):\tau_i})] \right\}$$
 (2.4)

There are a number of established approaches to calculating penalty values for unbounded problems, chiefly among which are Schwarz Information Criterion (SIC), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Hannan-Quinn. Of these approaches, it is necessary to experiment to find the scheme that produces the 'correct' number of changepoints for a given dataset.

cite

2.5 Scoring Metrics

2.5.1 F1 Score

The first calculated metric is F1 Score, which is described by the equation 2.1. We calculate F1 score in the following manner:

For a given structure of time series data S such that the points in the series are $(x_{t:0}, \ldots, x_{t:n})$, we create two lists of points: P and T. P represents the set of changepoints predicted by the algorithm being evaluated, and T represents the *ground truth*, the changepoints annotated by a domain expert. Both lists contain only 0 and 1, 0 being a point where no changepoint is predicted, and 1 being a point where a changepoint is predicted. In this manner we frame the changepoint detection problem as a binary classification problem.

further explanation

From these data structures P and T we can easily compute precision as $\frac{\sum (P \wedge T)}{\sum P}$ and recall as $\frac{\sum (P \wedge T)}{\sum T}$. These values can then be inserted into equation 2.1 to obtain the F1 score.

2.5.2 Retrieval score

Example function:

$$f(relevance, temporal_penalty, redundancy_penalty)$$
 (2.5)

start designing scoring methods

Possible relevance measure, where t_0 is the earliest a spike can be detected and t_n is the time that the signal returns to normal. f(x) describes the function of the curve:

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_0} f(x)dx \tag{2.6}$$

start desigining relevance measure

planning to do something with the area under the curve for relevance

Background & Context

Research

4.1 Experimental Setup

Results

Analysis & Conclusions

Bibliography

- [AF13] Leman Akoglu and Christos Faloutsos. Anomaly, event, and fraud detection in large network datasets. *Proceedings of the sixth ACM international conference on Web search and data mining WSDM '13*, page 773, 2013. URL: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2433396.2433496, doi:10.1145/2433396.2433496.
- [AGAV09] Enrique Amigó, Julio Gonzalo, Javier Artiles, and Felisa Verdejo. A comparison of extrinsic clustering evaluation metrics based on formal constraints. *Information Retrieval*, 12(4):461–486, 2009. doi:10.1007/s10791-008-9066-8.
- [AMRW11] Foteini Alvanaki, Sebastian Michel, Krithi Ramamritham, and Gerhard Weikum. EnBlogue: emergent topic detection in Web 2.0 streams. Proc. ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, pages 1271–1274, 2011. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1989323.1989473{%}5Cnpapers2://publication/uuid/44E009D1-8574-49C1-AOAC-C2B247FE9043, doi:10.1145/1989323.1989473.
- [BN93] M Basseville and Igor V Nikiforov. Detection of Abrupt Changes: Theory and Application. 1993. URL: http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1. 77.6896{&}rep=rep1{&}type=pdf, doi:10.1016/0967-0661(94)90196-1.
- [BNZ14] Cody Buntain, Christopher Natoli, and Miroslav Zivkovic. A Brief Comparison of Algorithms for Detecting Change Points in Data. In *Supercomputing*, 2014. URL: https://github.com/cbuntain/ChangePointDetection.
- [BPP07] S. Bersimis, S. Psarakis, and J. Panaretos. Multivariate statistical process control charts: An overview. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 23(5):517–543, 2007. doi:10.1002/qre.829.
- [DDD05] Frédéric Desobry, Manuel Davy, and Christian Doncarli. An online Kernel change detection algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 53(8):2961–2974, 2005. doi:10.1109/TSP.2005.851098.
- [DKL⁺09] Tamraparni Dasu, Shankar Krishnan, Dongyu Lin, Suresh Venkatasubramanian, and Kevin Yi. Change (detection) you can believe in: Finding distributional shifts in data streams. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 5772 LCNS:21–34, 2009. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-03915-7_3.
- [Dow08] Allen B. Downey. A novel changepoint detection algorithm. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, pages 1–11, 2008. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.1237, arXiv: 0812.1237.
- [FP99] Tom Fawcett and Foster Provost. Activity monitoring: Noticing interesting changes in behavior. Proceedings of the fifth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining, 1(212):53-62, 1999. URL: http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=312195, doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2010.11.031.

- [GMP+09] Jeremy Ginsberg, Matthew H Mohebbi, Rajan S Patel, Lynnette Brammer, Mark S Smolinski, and Larry Brilliant. Detecting influenza epidemics using search engine query data. Nature, 457(7232):1012-4, 2009. URL: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19020500, doi:10.1038/nature07634.
- [GP04] Pedro Galeano and Daniel Peña. Variance Changes Detection in Multivariate Time Series. 2004.
- [HEF14] Kaylea Haynes, Idris A. Eckley, and Paul Fearnhead. Efficient penalty search for multiple changepoint problems. pages 1–23, 2014. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.3617, arXiv:1412.3617.
- [KBdG04] Daniel Kifer, Shai Ben-david, and Johannes Gehrke. Detecting Change in Data Streams. Proceedings of the 30th VLDB Conference, pages 180–191, 2004. arXiv:9310008, doi: 10.1016/0378-4371(94)90421-9.
- [KE14] Rebecca Killick and Idris A. Eckley. changepoint: An R Package for Changepoint Analysis. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 58(3):1–19, 2014. URL: http://www.jstatsoft.org/v58/i03/, doi:10.18637/jss.v058.i03.
- [KHH⁺05] Martin Kulldorff, Richard Heffernan, Jessica Hartman, Renato Assun????o, and Farzad Mostashari. A space-time permutation scan statistic for disease outbreak detection. *PLoS Medicine*, 2(3):0216–0224, 2005. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0020059.
- [KS09] Yoshinobu Kawahara and Masashi Sugiyama. Change-point detection in time-series data by direct density-ratio estimation. *Proceedings of the 2009 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining*, pages 389–400, 2009.
- [LS99] Tze Leung Lai and Jerry Zhaolin Shan. Efficient recursive algorithms for detection of abrupt changes in signals and control systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 44(5):952–966, 1999. doi:10.1109/9.763211.
- [MJ12] David S. Matteson and Nicholas A. James. A nonparametric approach for multiple change point analysis of multivariate data. *Submitted*, 14853:1–29, 2012. arXiv:1306.4933, doi:10.1080/01621459.2013.849605.
- [PRG10] Anita M Pelecanos, Peter a Ryan, and Michelle L Gatton. Outbreak detection algorithms for seasonal disease data: a case study using Ross River virus disease. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 10(1):74, 2010. URL: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6947/10/74, doi:10.1186/1472-6947-10-74.
- [QAWZ15] Abdulhakim A. Qahtan, Basma Alharbi, Suojin Wang, and Xiangliang Zhang. A PCA-Based Change Detection Framework for Multidimensional Data Streams. Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining KDD '15, pages 935-944, 2015. URL: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2783258.2783359, doi:10.1145/2783258.2783359.
- [R C15] R Core Development Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing, 3.2.1. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2015. URL: https://www.r-project.org/, arXiv:arXiv:1011.1669v3, doi:10.1017/CB09781107415324.004.
- [SSBL05] T. Sing, O. Sander, N. Beerenwinkel, and T. Lengauer. ROCR: visualizing classifier performance in R. *Bioinformatics*, 21(20):3940-3941, oct 2005. URL: https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti623, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti623.
- [SV95] D. Siegmund and E. S. Venkatraman. Using the generalized likelihood ratio statistic for sequential detection of a change-point. *The Annals of Statistics*, 23(1):255–271, 1995. doi:10.1214/aos/1176324466.

- [TGS14] Dang-Hoan Tran, Mohamed Medhat Gaber, and Kai-Uwe Sattler. Change Detection in Streaming Data in the Era of Big Data: Models and Issues. ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter Special issue on big data, (1):30–38, 2014. doi:10.1145/2674026.2674031.
- [TR05] Alexander G Tartakovsky and Boris L Rozovskii. A Nonparametric Multichart CUSUM Test for Rapid Intrusion Detection. *Proceedings of Joint Statistical Meetings*, pages 7–11, 2005.
- [TRBK06] Alexander G. Tartakovsky, Boris L. Rozovskii, Rudolf B. Blažek, and Hongjoong Kim. Detection of intrusions in information systems by sequential change-point methods. *Statistical Methodology*, 3(3):252–293, 2006. doi:10.1016/j.stamet.2005.05.003.
- [Wic09] Hadley Wickham. Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis, volume 35. Springer-Verlag New York, 2009. URL: http://had.co.nz/ggplot2/book, arXiv:arXiv:1011.1669v3, doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-98141-3.
- [WJ76] Alan S. Willsky and Harold L. Jones. A Generalized Likelihood Ratio Approach to the Detection and Estimation of Jumps in Linear Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 21(1):108–112, 1976. doi:10.1109/TAC.1976.1101146.
- [XZYL11] Yi Xu, Zhongfei Zhang, Philips Yu, and Bo Long. Pattern change discovery between high dimensional data sets. Proceedings of the 20th ACM international conference on Information and knowledge management CIKM '11, page 1097, 2011. URL: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2063576.2063735, doi:10.1145/2063576.2063735.

ToDo Notes

Write abstract
cite
cite this
cite
cite
cite
cite
this needs expansion, I think
cite this?
cite, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1306.4933.pdf
cite these
cite
Make graph prettier
finish getting data together
citation needed
cite
further explanation
start designing scoring methods
planning to do something with the area under the curve for relevance
start designing relevance measure