Completeness of \mathbb{R}

Matt McCarthy

February 2016

Theorem. The real numbers form a complete metric space.

We denote the set of non-negative real numbers as \mathbb{R}^+ .

Definition 1 (Metric). Let X be a set and $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a map. We say d is a *metric* if and only if all of the following hold.

- 1. For all $x, y \in X$, d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y.
- 2. For all $x, y \in X$, d(x, y) = d(y, x) (symmetric property).
- 3. For all $x, y, z \in X$, $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, x)$ (triangle inequality).

If d is a metric on X, we say (X, d) forms a metric space.

For example, (\mathbb{Q}, d) and (\mathbb{R}, d) where d(x, y) = |x - y| is a metric space, and more generally, (\mathbb{R}^n, d_n) where d_n is the Euclidean distance is also a metric space.

Definition 2 (Convergent Sequence). Let (X,d) be a metric space and let $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X. We say $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $a\in X$, denoted $a_n\to a$ if and only if for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $N_\varepsilon\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $d(a_n,a)<\varepsilon$ for all $n\geq N_\varepsilon$.

Definition 3 (Cauchy Sequence). Let (X,d) be a metric space and let $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X. We say $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a *Cauchy sequence* if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(a_n, a_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N_{\varepsilon}$.

Note, however that Cauchy sequences are not always convergent in their space. For example consider the sequence given by

$$a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n.$$

We know these terms are rational and thus a_n is a \mathbb{Q} -valued sequence. Furthermore, the sequence is in fact Cauchy. However, the limit of this sequence as n approaches infinity is e and thus, this Cauchy sequence does not converge in \mathbb{Q} , but it does in \mathbb{R} .

This leads us to a notion of *completeness* in a metric space.

Definition 4 (Complete Metric Space). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then we say (X, d) is *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X converges in X.

By this definition of completeness, \mathbb{Q} is not complete as shown by our prior example. However, \mathbb{R} is complete.

Before we get into any theorems here, we need a notion of boundedness for subsets of the real numbers.

Definition 5 (Bounded). Let S be a subset of the real numbers. Then S is bounded if and only if there exists an M > 0 such that $S \subseteq [-M, M]$.

Theorem 1 (Bolzano-Weierstrass). Let $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded \mathbb{R} -valued sequence. Then there exists a $\{a_{n_k}\}\subseteq\{a_n\}$ such that a_{n_k} converges to some $a\in\mathbb{R}$.

Proof.

Lemma 2. Any \mathbb{R} -valued Cauchy sequence is bounded.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} . Therefore, there exists an N_{ε} such that $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \ge N_{\varepsilon}$. Therefore, for all $n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$, $|a_{N_{\varepsilon}} - a_n| < \varepsilon$. Thus for each $n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$, $a_n \in [a_{N_{\varepsilon}} - \varepsilon, a_{N_{\varepsilon}} + \varepsilon]$. Take $M_1 = \max\{a_{N_{\varepsilon}} - \varepsilon, a_{N_{\varepsilon}} + \varepsilon\}$, which yields $\{a_n\}_{n=N_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \subset [-M_1, M_1]$.

 $a_n \in [a_{N_\varepsilon} - \varepsilon, a_{N_\varepsilon} + \varepsilon]$. Take $M_1 = \max\{a_{N_\varepsilon} - \varepsilon, a_{N_\varepsilon} + \varepsilon\}$, which yields $\{a_n\}_{n=N_\varepsilon}^\infty \subset [-M_1, M_1]$. Furthermore, since $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{N_\varepsilon - 1}$ is finite, take $M_2 = \max\{|a_n|\}_{n=1}^{N_\varepsilon - 1}$. Thus, $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{N_\varepsilon - 1} \subset [-M_2, M_2]$. If we take $M = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$, then we have $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset [-M, M]$. Therefore, the sequence is bounded. \square

Theorem 3. The real numbers form a complete metric space.

Proof. Let $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be Cauchy in \mathbb{R} . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy there exists an $N_{\varepsilon/2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $n, m \ge N_{\varepsilon/2}$. Furthermore, $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and thus by Bolzano-Weierstrass, there exists a $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that a_{n_k} converges to some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

We claim that a_n converges to a. Since a_{n_k} converges to a, there exists a $K_{\varepsilon/2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_{n_k} - a| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $k \geq K_{\varepsilon/2}$. Take $N = \max\{N_{\varepsilon/2}, n_{K_{\varepsilon/2}}\}$ and force n, k large enough such that $n, n_k \geq N$. Consider $|a_n - a|$.

$$|a_n - a| = |a_n - a_{n_k} + a_{n_k} - a| \le |a_n - a_{n_k}| + |a_{n_k} - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - a| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$. Therefore a_n converges to a and \mathbb{R} is a complete metric space.