

# CCHMC Technology Triage Form

Version 2.0.0

Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center technology triage evaluation form - Complete version

STATUS

Draft

TECHNOLOGY ID

1111-8888

SUBMITTED BY

anonymous

| Scoring Matrix                            |                       |        |       |
|---|-----------------------|--------|-------|
| Criteria                                  | Score                 | Weight | Total |
| <strong>● IMPACT</strong>                 |                       |        |       |
| Mission Alignment                         | 2.00                  | 50%    | 1.00  |
| Unmet Need                                | 2.00                  | 50%    | 1.00  |
| <strong>Impact Score</strong>             | <strong>2.00</strong> | —      | —     |
| <strong>● VALUE</strong>                  |                       |        |       |
| IP Strength and Protectability            | 2.00                  | 50%    | 1.00  |
| Market                                    | 1.67                  | 50%    | 0.83  |
| • Market Size – Revenue (TAM)             | 2.00                  | —      | —     |
| • Patient Population or Procedural Volume | 1.00                  | —      | —     |
| • # of Direct/Indirect Competitors        | 2.00                  | —      | —     |
| <strong>Value Score</strong>              | <strong>1.84</strong> | —      | —     |

## Impact vs Value Matrix

|       |                     |
|-------|---------------------|
| N/A   | Proceed             |
| Close | Alternative Pathway |

VALUE

**2.00**  
Impact Score

**1.84**  
Value Score

IMPACT

Consider Alternative Pathway

## Header and Identifiers

Basic information about the technology and reviewer

### Technology ID

Required • Code: F0.1

Help

1111-2222

1111-8888

### Invention Title

Required • Code: F0.2

Help

The name or title of the technology/invention

big stuf

### Reviewer

Required • Code: F0.3

Help

Name of the person reviewing this technology

wortman

### Date

Required • Code: F0.4

Help

Date of this evaluation

2025-10-01

### Inventor(s)

Required • Code: F0.5

**Help**

Names and details of the inventors

bill gates

**Department(s)**

Required • Code: F0.6

**Help**

Departments involved in this technology

microsoft

**Domain or Asset Class**

Required • Code: F0.7

**Help**

Select the primary domain for this technology

Digital Health

## Technology Overview and Readiness

Detailed description and development status of the technology

### Provide a concise summary of the technology and the problem it solves

Required • Code: F1.1.a

**Help**

Describe what the technology does and what problem it addresses

as;odkfgj ;alskdj f

### Identify the primary target users

Optional • Code: F1.1.b

**Help**

Who will use this technology?

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

### Describe how it works at a high level

Optional • Code: F1.1.c

**Help**

High-level technical explanation of how the technology functions

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

**List the licensable asset type(s)**

Optional • Code: F1.1.d

**Help**

Select all applicable asset types

Software Algorithm

**Current stage of development**

Required • Code: F1.2.a

**Help**

Select the current development stage

Prototype

**Has it been reduced to practice**

Optional • Code: F1.2.b

**Help**

Has the invention been physically implemented or demonstrated?

Partially

**Is there proof-of-concept data**

Optional • Code: F1.2.c

**Help**

Do you have data demonstrating the concept works?

No

**Is there a working prototype**

Optional • Code: F1.2.d

**Help**

Has a functional prototype been built?

Yes

## Manufacturing feasibility known

Optional • Code: F1.2.e

### Help

Is it clear how this would be manufactured?

Yes

## Strategic Alignment and Unmet Need

Assessment of mission alignment and market need

### Describe alignment with institutional mission or strategic goals

Required • Code: F2.1.a

### Help

Explain how this technology aligns with CCHMC's mission for child health

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

### Key Alignment Areas:

Optional • Code: F2.1.info

### Help

- \*\*Improves Child Health:\*\* Direct impact on pediatric health outcomes
- \*\*Transforms Delivery of Care:\*\* Changes how care is provided or accessed
- \*\*POPT Goals:\*\* Aligns with Portfolio of the Future strategic objectives

## Mission Alignment Score

Required • Code: F2.1.score

### Help

0 = not aligned, 1 = aligns with one dimension, 2 = aligns with two, 3 = aligns with all

2

### Describe the unmet clinical or market need and potential impact

Required • Code: F2.2.a

### Help

What need does this technology address and what impact could it have?

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

## Unmet Need Score

Required • Code: F2.2.score

### Help

Use late-stage competitor count and differentiation to anchor the score

2

## State of the Art and IP Position

Analysis of prior art and intellectual property strength

### Summarize relevant prior art and publications

Required • Code: F3.1.a

### Help

What existing technologies or research are relevant?

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

### Explain differentiators and why novel and non-obvious

Required • Code: F3.1.b

### Help

What makes this technology different and patentable?

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

### For digital or software, note open source use, key algorithms or UI or databases, and protectability beyond patents

Optional • Code: F3.1.c

### Help

Additional IP considerations for digital technologies

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

## Assess IP protectability and claim strength

Required • Code: F3.2.a

### Help

How strong are the potential patent claims?

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

## Is infringement detectable

Optional • Code: F3.2.b

### Help

Would it be easy to detect if someone infringed the patent?

No

## Ease of design-around

Optional • Code: F3.2.c

### Help

How easy would it be for competitors to design around the patent?

Hard

## Any public disclosures that may limit patentability

Optional • Code: F3.2.d

### Help

Have there been any publications or public disclosures?

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

## IP Strength and Protectability Score

Required • Code: F3.2.score

### Help

Overall assessment of IP strength

2

## Market and Commercial Viability

Analysis of market opportunity and competitive landscape

## Target market overview with TAM, patient counts, key trends, regulatory path, and reimbursement notes

Required • Code: F4.1.a

### Help

Comprehensive market analysis including size, trends, and commercialization path

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

## Competitive Landscape

Optional • Code: F4.2.a

### Help

Add information about competing companies and their products

### Row 1

company: dell  
product: server  
revenue: 20%  
description: good

## Stakeholders

Optional • Code: F4.3.a

### Help

Select all relevant stakeholders for this technology

Policymakers, Patients

## Value proposition for each selected stakeholder

Optional • Code: F4.3.b

### Help

Explain the value this technology provides to each stakeholder group

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

## Market Size Score

Required • Code: F4.4.a

### Help

Use the scoring table by asset class

## Patient Population or Procedural Volume Score

Required • Code: F4.4.b

### Help

0 = <200k, 1 = 200k–1M, 2 = 1M–2.5M, 3 = >2.5M

1

## Number of Competitors Score

Required • Code: F4.4.c

### Help

0 = >15 competitors, 1 = 11–15, 2 = 5–10, 3 = <5

2

## Inventor and Path Forward

Assessment of inventor engagement and commercialization pathway

### Inventor engagement and credibility assessment

Required • Code: F5.1.a

### Help

Evaluate the inventor's track record and commitment

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

### Willingness to participate in commercialization

Optional • Code: F5.1.b

### Help

How willing is the inventor to participate in bringing this to market?

Moderate

### Expectation realism

Optional • Code: F5.1.c

### Help

Are the inventor's expectations realistic?

Needs guidance

## Recommended commercialization pathway

Required • Code: F5.2.a

### Help

What is the best path to bring this technology to market?

Startup formation

## Rationale for pathway recommendation

Required • Code: F5.2.b

### Help

Explain why this commercialization pathway is recommended

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

## Scorecard and Recommendation

Final assessment and recommendation

## Scoring Matrix and Recommendation

Optional • Code: F6.0

### Help

Automatically calculated scoring matrix based on all criteria entries from previous sections

## Summary of Assessment

Required • Code: F6.1

### Help

Provide an overall summary of the technology evaluation

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

## Final Recommendation

Required • Code: F6.2

### Help

What is your final recommendation for this technology?

Consider alternative pathway

### If not Proceed, state what data or development could change the recommendation

Optional • Code: F6.3

#### Help

What would need to change for a different recommendation?

Updated the in-form navigation to surface an “Export PDF” action with status-aware payloads, client-side download handling, and graceful error toasts (src/components/form/DynamicFormNavigation.tsx:1), plus threaded the current draft id through the form page (src/app/dynamic-form/page.tsx:302).

### Subject Matter Experts to Consult

Optional • Code: F6.4

#### Help

Add information about relevant subject matter experts

#### Row 1

name: wortman

contact: none

expertise: nothing