## Spring 2020 - Assignment #3 - Quantified Logic & Induction Matthew Mendoza Quantified Logic

1. Convert the following statement to a quantified expression.

All my cats are asleep.

Then, convert it into an equivalent statement (where exists and for-all are switched). Finally, Proposition

convert the answer back to English Quantifier [Subject] [Copula] [Predicate]

All my cats are asleep →All my cats are asleep \*Let asleep be S()

 $\forall_{c}$  Sco  $\times$  Now convert to equivant statement where Exist and For-All are switched

->~J~~S6) **1b)** The logical equivant of  $\forall c S c > \exists c$ >= Sc >Sc \*Finally convert the answer back to English

→ Not one of my cats are not asleep 16) Not one of my cats are not askep  $\frac{x}{x}$  in the universe makes P( $\frac{x}{x}$ ) true

So, it is true if and only if the every element x in the universe has P as true

extrapolate two additional laws

Note: we simply push the negative and

in the P class Statement form All Sage P

All S are P

Meaning in class notation

Every member of the S class is a member of the Pclass;

that is, the S class is included

Sumbolk Translation  $(x)(Sx \rightarrow P_x)$ 

Verbal Meaning For any x, if x is

an Sithan x is a P

**2.** Convert the following statement into a quantified expression:

Everyone, who has seen Rick and Morty and has a sense of humor, likes Szechuan Sauce.

Everyone, who has seen Rick and Morty and has a sense of homor, likes Szechuan Sauce. -> Everyone, who has seen Rick and Morty and has a sense of homor, likes Szechuan Sauce. 

 $\forall_X (R(x) \cap H(x)) \rightarrow S \times \text{Everyone}$  who has seen R and H if is implied they like S?

**3.** Simplify the following Quantified Statement. The result should have no negation symbols.

 $\neg \forall x \exists x (\neg B(x) \land P(x))$ 

>- (AUb) =-an-b

 $\rightarrow \sim (V_x \exists_x (B \otimes \cup P \otimes)) \times Implication \ \text{Equivalence:} P \rightarrow Q \equiv \sim (P \cap \sim Q) \equiv \sim (P \cup Q)$ 

 $A_{\mathbf{x}} \not \subseteq A_{\mathbf{x}} (B_{(\mathbf{x})} \longrightarrow P_{(\mathbf{x})})$ 

## DeMorgan's Law So, it states you can change the operator If you negate both operands ¬ (a ∧ b) ≡ ¬ a ∨ ¬ b Analyzing Implication

## Induction

**4.** Prove the following using induction (show your work - both steps):

If  $x \ge 2$  then 2 + 4 + 6 + ... + 2n = n(n+1)If x = 2 then 2 + 4 + 6 + ... + 2n = n(n+1)  $\rightarrow P_{(2)} = 2 = 1(1+1)$  True  $\rightarrow P_0 = 2+4+6+\cdots+20 = 0^2+0$ 

 $\rightarrow P_{(n+1)} = 2 + 4 + 6 + \cdots + 2n + 2(n+1) = (n+1)(n+2)$ 

= $\frac{2}{5}$ + $\frac{1}{5}$ + $\frac{1}{5}$ + $\frac{1}{5}$ + $\frac{1}{5}$ - $\frac{1}{5}$ + $\frac{1}{5}$ - $\frac{1}{5}$ + $\frac{1$ 

• I herefore, when P(n) is true, then P(n+1) is also true.

 $P(n) \longrightarrow P(n+1)$ 

## Spring 2020 - Assignment #3 - Quantified Logic & Induction Matthew Mendoza

5. Prove the following using induction (show your work - both steps):