

# **Data Mining**

## **Classification: Alternative Techniques**

---

### Bayesian Classifiers

Introduction to Data Mining, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

by

Tan, Steinbach, Karpatne, Kumar

# Bayes Classifier

---

- A probabilistic framework for solving classification problems

- Conditional Probability: 
$$P(Y | X) \square \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(X)}$$

$$P(X | Y) \square \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(Y)}$$

- Bayes theorem:

$$P(Y | X) \square \frac{P(X | Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}$$

# Example of Bayes Theorem

- Given:

- A doctor knows that meningitis causes stiff neck 50% of the time
- Prior probability of any patient having meningitis is  $1/50,000$
- Prior probability of any patient having stiff neck is  $1/20$

- If a patient has stiff neck, what's the probability he/she has meningitis?

$$P(M | S) = \frac{P(S | M)P(M)}{P(S)} = \frac{0.5 \times 1/50000}{1/20} = 0.0002$$

# Using Bayes Theorem for Classification

---

- Consider each attribute and class label as random variables
- Given a record with attributes  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)$ 
  - Goal is to predict class  $Y$
  - Specifically, we want to find the value of  $Y$  that maximizes  $P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)$
- Can we estimate  $P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)$  directly from data?

# Example Data

Given a Test Record:

$X = (\text{Refund} = \text{No}, \text{Divorced}, \text{Income} = 120\text{K})$

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

● Can we estimate

$P(\text{Evade} = \text{Yes} \mid X)$  and  $P(\text{Evade} = \text{No} \mid X)$ ?

In the following we will replace

Evade = Yes by Yes, and

Evade = No by No

# Using Bayes Theorem for Classification

- Approach:

- compute posterior probability  $P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)$  using the Bayes theorem

$$P(Y | X_1 X_2 \dots X_n) \propto \frac{P(X_1 X_2 \dots X_d | Y) P(Y)}{P(X_1 X_2 \dots X_d)}$$

- *Maximum a-posteriori*: Choose  $Y$  that maximizes  $P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)$
- Equivalent to choosing value of  $Y$  that maximizes  $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d | Y) P(Y)$

- How to estimate  $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d | Y)$ ?

# Example Data

Given a Test Record:

$X \sqsubseteq (\text{Refund} \sqsubseteq \text{No}, \text{Divorced}, \text{Income} \sqsubseteq 120\text{K})$

<i>Tid</i>	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

Using Bayes Theorem:

$$\square P(\text{Yes} \mid X) = \frac{P(X \mid \text{Yes})P(\text{Yes})}{P(X)}$$

$$\square P(\text{No} \mid X) = \frac{P(X \mid \text{No})P(\text{No})}{P(X)}$$

$\square$  How to estimate  $P(X \mid \text{Yes})$  and  $P(X \mid \text{No})$ ?

# Naïve Bayes Classifier

---

- Assume independence among attributes  $X_i$  when class is given:
  - $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d | Y_j) = P(X_1 | Y_j) P(X_2 | Y_j) \dots P(X_d | Y_j)$
  - Now we can estimate  $P(X_i | Y_j)$  for all  $X_i$  and  $Y_j$  combinations from the training data
  - New point is classified to  $Y_j$  if  $P(Y_j) \prod P(X_i | Y_j)$  is maximal.



# Conditional Independence

---

- **X** and **Y** are conditionally independent given **Z** if  $P(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{YZ}) = P(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Z})$
- Example: Arm length and reading skills
  - Young child has shorter arm length and limited reading skills, compared to adults
  - If age is fixed, no apparent relationship between arm length and reading skills
  - Arm length and reading skills are conditionally independent given age

# Naïve Bayes on Example Data

Given a Test Record:

$X = (\text{Refund} = \text{No}, \text{Divorced}, \text{Income} = 120\text{K})$

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

●  $P(X | \text{Yes}) =$

$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} | \text{Yes}) \times$

$P(\text{Divorced} | \text{Yes}) \times$

$P(\text{Income} = 120\text{K} | \text{Yes})$

●  $P(X | \text{No}) =$

$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} | \text{No}) \times$

$P(\text{Divorced} | \text{No}) \times$

$P(\text{Income} = 120\text{K} | \text{No})$

# Estimate Probabilities from Data

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

- Class:  $P(Y) = N_c/N$

- e.g.,  $P(\text{No}) = 7/10$ ,  
 $P(\text{Yes}) = 3/10$

- For categorical attributes:

$$P(X_i | Y_k) = |X_{ik}| / N_{c_k}$$

- where  $|X_{ik}|$  is number of instances having attribute value  $X_i$  and belonging to class  $Y_k$
  - Examples:

$$P(\text{Status}=\text{Married}|\text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{Yes})=0$$

# Estimate Probabilities from Data

---

- For continuous attributes:
  - **Discretization:** Partition the range into bins:
    - ◆ Replace continuous value with bin value
      - Attribute changed from continuous to ordinal<sup>k</sup>
  - **Probability density estimation:**
    - ◆ Assume attribute follows a normal distribution
    - ◆ Use data to estimate parameters of distribution (e.g., mean and standard deviation)
    - ◆ Once probability distribution is known, use it to estimate the conditional probability  $P(X_i|Y)$

# Estimate Probabilities from Data

<i>Tid</i>	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

- Normal distribution:

$$P(X_i | Y_j) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{ij}^2}} e^{-\frac{(X_i - \mu_{ij})^2}{2\sigma_{ij}^2}}$$

- One for each (X<sub>i</sub>, Y<sub>i</sub>) pair

- For (Income, Class=No):

- If Class=No

- ◆ sample mean = 110
- ◆ sample variance = 2975

$$P(\text{Income} \leq 120 | \text{No}) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(54.54)}} e^{-\frac{(120-110)^2}{2(2975)}} \approx 0.0072$$

# Example of Naïve Bayes Classifier

## Given a Test Record:

$X \square$  (Refund  $\square$  No, Divorced, Income  $\square$  120K)

## Naïve Bayes Classifier:

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{Yes} \mid \text{No}) = 3/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} \mid \text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{Yes} \mid \text{Yes}) = 0$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} \mid \text{Yes}) = 1$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Single} \mid \text{No}) = 2/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Divorced} \mid \text{No}) = 1/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Married} \mid \text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Single} \mid \text{Yes}) = 2/3$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Divorced} \mid \text{Yes}) = 1/3$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Married} \mid \text{Yes}) = 0$$

For Taxable Income:

If class = No: sample mean = 110

sample variance = 2975

If class = Yes: sample mean = 90

sample variance = 25

- $P(X \mid \text{No}) = P(\text{Refund}=\text{No} \mid \text{No})$   
 $\times P(\text{Divorced} \mid \text{No})$   
 $\times P(\text{Income}=120\text{K} \mid \text{No})$   
 $= 4/7 \times 1/7 \times 0.0072 = 0.0006$
- $P(X \mid \text{Yes}) = P(\text{Refund}=\text{No} \mid \text{Yes})$   
 $\times P(\text{Divorced} \mid \text{Yes})$   
 $\times P(\text{Income}=120\text{K} \mid \text{Yes})$   
 $= 1 \times 1/3 \times 1.2 \times 10^{-9} = 4 \times 10^{-10}$

Since  $P(X|\text{No})P(\text{No}) > P(X|\text{Yes})P(\text{Yes})$

Therefore  $P(\text{No}|X) > P(\text{Yes}|X)$

$\Rightarrow$  Class = No

# Example of Naïve Bayes Classifier

Given a Test Record:

$X \square$  (Refund  $\square$  No, Divorced, Income  $\square$  120K)

**Naïve Bayes Classifier:**

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{Yes} \mid \text{No}) = 3/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} \mid \text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{Yes} \mid \text{Yes}) = 0$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} \mid \text{Yes}) = 1$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Single} \mid \text{No}) = 2/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Divorced} \mid \text{No}) = 1/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Married} \mid \text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Single} \mid \text{Yes}) = 2/3$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Divorced} \mid \text{Yes}) = 1/3$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Married} \mid \text{Yes}) = 0$$

For Taxable Income:

If class = No: sample mean = 110

sample variance = 2975

If class = Yes: sample mean = 90

sample variance = 25

- $P(\text{Yes}) = 3/10$

$$P(\text{No}) = 7/10$$

- $P(\text{Yes} \mid \text{Divorced}) = 1/3 \times 3/10 / P(\text{Divorced})$

$$P(\text{No} \mid \text{Divorced}) = 1/7 \times 7/10 / P(\text{Divorced})$$

- $P(\text{Yes} \mid \text{Refund} = \text{No}, \text{Divorced}) = 1 \times 1/3 \times 3/10 / P(\text{Divorced}, \text{Refund} = \text{No})$

$$P(\text{No} \mid \text{Refund} = \text{No}, \text{Divorced}) = 4/7 \times 1/7 \times 7/10 / P(\text{Divorced}, \text{Refund} = \text{No})$$

# Issues with Naïve Bayes Classifier

## Naïve Bayes Classifier:

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{Yes} \mid \text{No}) = 3/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} \mid \text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{Yes} \mid \text{Yes}) = 0$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} \mid \text{Yes}) = 1$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Single} \mid \text{No}) = 2/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Divorced} \mid \text{No}) = 1/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Married} \mid \text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Single} \mid \text{Yes}) = 2/3$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Divorced} \mid \text{Yes}) = 1/3$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Married} \mid \text{Yes}) = 0$$

For Taxable Income:

If class = No: sample mean = 110

sample variance = 2975

If class = Yes: sample mean = 90

sample variance = 25

- $P(\text{Yes}) = 3/10$

$$P(\text{No}) = 7/10$$

- $P(\text{Yes} \mid \text{Married}) = 0 \times 3/10 / P(\text{Married})$

$$P(\text{No} \mid \text{Married}) = 4/7 \times 7/10 / P(\text{Married})$$



# Issues with Naïve Bayes Classifier

Consider the table with Tid = 7 deleted

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

**Naïve Bayes Classifier:**

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{Yes} \mid \text{No}) = 2/6$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} \mid \text{No}) = 4/6$$

$$\rightarrow P(\text{Refund} = \text{Yes} \mid \text{Yes}) = 0$$

$$P(\text{Refund} = \text{No} \mid \text{Yes}) = 1$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Single} \mid \text{No}) = 2/6$$

$$\rightarrow P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Divorced} \mid \text{No}) = 0$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Married} \mid \text{No}) = 4/6$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Single} \mid \text{Yes}) = 2/3$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Divorced} \mid \text{Yes}) = 1/3$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status} = \text{Married} \mid \text{Yes}) = 0/3$$

For Taxable Income:

If class = No: sample mean = 91

sample variance = 685

If class = No: sample mean = 90

sample variance = 25

Given  $X = (\text{Refund} = \text{Yes}, \text{Divorced}, 120\text{K})$

$$P(X \mid \text{No}) = 2/6 \times 0 \times 0.0083 = 0$$

$$P(X \mid \text{Yes}) = 0 \times 1/3 \times 1.2 \times 10^{-9} = 0$$

**Naïve Bayes will not be able to  
classify X as Yes or No!**

# Issues with Naïve Bayes Classifier

- If one of the conditional probabilities is zero, then the entire expression becomes zero
- Need to use other estimates of conditional probabilities than simple fractions
- Probability estimation:

$$\text{Original: } P(A_i | C) = \frac{N_{ic}}{N_c}$$

$c$ : number of classes

$p$ : prior probability of the class

$m$ : parameter

$$\text{Laplace: } P(A_i | C) = \frac{N_{ic} + 1}{N_c + c}$$

$N_c$ : number of instances in the class

$$\text{m - estimate: } P(A_i | C) = \frac{N_{ic} + mp}{N_c + m}$$

$N_{ic}$ : number of instances having attribute value  $A_i$  in class  $c$

# Example of Naïve Bayes Classifier

Name	Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Have Legs	Class
human	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
python	no	no	no	no	non-mammals
salmon	no	no	yes	no	non-mammals
whale	yes	no	yes	no	mammals
frog	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
komodo	no	no	no	yes	non-mammals
bat	yes	yes	no	yes	mammals
pigeon	no	yes	no	yes	non-mammals
cat	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
leopard shark	yes	no	yes	no	non-mammals
turtle	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
penguin	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
porcupine	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
eel	no	no	yes	no	non-mammals
salamander	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
gila monster	no	no	no	yes	non-mammals
platypus	no	no	no	yes	mammals
owl	no	yes	no	yes	non-mammals
dolphin	yes	no	yes	no	mammals
eagle	no	yes	no	yes	non-mammals

**A: attributes**

**M: mammals**

**N: non-mammals**

$$P(A|M) \square \frac{6}{7} \times \frac{6}{7} \times \frac{2}{7} \times \frac{2}{7} \square 0.06$$

$$P(A|N) \square \frac{1}{13} \times \frac{10}{13} \times \frac{3}{13} \times \frac{4}{13} \square 0.0042$$

$$P(A|M)P(M) \square 0.06 \times \frac{7}{20} \square 0.021$$

$$P(A|N)P(N) \square 0.004 \times \frac{13}{20} \square 0.0027$$

Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Have Legs	Class
yes	no	yes	no	?

$$P(A|M)P(M) > P(A|N)P(N)$$

**=> Mammals**


# Naïve Bayes (Summary)

---

- Robust to isolated noise points
- Handle missing values by ignoring the instance during probability estimate calculations
- Robust to irrelevant attributes
- Independence assumption may not hold for some attributes
  - Use other techniques such as Bayesian Belief Networks (BBN)

# Naïve Bayes


- How does Naïve Bayes perform on the following dataset?



Conditional independence of attributes is violated

# Naïve Bayes

- How does Naïve Bayes perform on the following dataset?



Naïve Bayes can construct oblique decision boundaries

# Naïve Bayes

- How does Naïve Bayes perform on the following dataset?


Y = 1	1	1	1	0
Y = 2	0	1	0	0
Y = 3	0	0	1	1
Y = 4	0	0	1	1
	X = 1	X = 2	X = 3	X = 4

Conditional independence of attributes is violated

# Bayesian Belief Networks

---


- Provides graphical representation of probabilistic relationships among a set of random variables
- Consists of:
  - A directed acyclic graph (dag)
    - ◆ Node corresponds to a variable
    - ◆ Arc corresponds to dependence relationship between a pair of variables
  - A probability table associating each node to its immediate parent





# Conditional Independence

---



**D is parent of C**

**A is child of C**


**B is descendant of D**

**D is ancestor of A**

- A node in a Bayesian network is conditionally independent of all of its nondescendants, if its parents are known


# Conditional Independence

- Naïve Bayes assumption:



# Probability Tables


- If  $X$  does not have any parents, table contains prior probability  $P(X)$
- If  $X$  has only one parent ( $Y$ ), table contains conditional probability  $P(X|Y)$
- If  $X$  has multiple parents ( $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k$ ), table contains conditional probability  $P(X|Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k)$



# Example of Bayesian Belief Network

Exercise=Yes	0.7
Exercise=No	0.3

Diet=Healthy	0.25
Diet=Unhealthy	0.75



	E=Healthy D=Yes	E=Healthy D=No	E=Unhealthy D=Yes	E=Unhealthy D=No
HD=Yes	0.25	0.45	0.55	0.75
HD=No	0.75	0.55	0.45	0.25

	HD=Yes	HD=No
CP=Yes	0.8	0.01
CP=No	0.2	0.99

	HD=Yes	HD=No
BP=High	0.85	0.2
BP=Low	0.15	0.8

# Example of Inferencing using BBN

- Given:  $X = (E=\text{No}, D=\text{Yes}, CP=\text{Yes}, BP=\text{High})$

- Compute  $P(HD|E,D,CP,BP)$ ?

- $P(HD=\text{Yes} | E=\text{No}, D=\text{Yes}) = 0.55$

$$P(CP=\text{Yes} | HD=\text{Yes}) = 0.8$$

$$P(BP=\text{High} | HD=\text{Yes}) = 0.85$$

- $P(HD=\text{Yes} | E=\text{No}, D=\text{Yes}, CP=\text{Yes}, BP=\text{High})$   
 $\propto 0.55 \times 0.8 \times 0.85 = 0.374$

- $P(HD=\text{No} | E=\text{No}, D=\text{Yes}) = 0.45$

$$P(CP=\text{Yes} | HD=\text{No}) = 0.01$$

$$P(BP=\text{High} | HD=\text{No}) = 0.2$$

- $P(HD=\text{No} | E=\text{No}, D=\text{Yes}, CP=\text{Yes}, BP=\text{High})$   
 $\propto 0.45 \times 0.01 \times 0.2 = 0.0009$

**Classify X  
as Yes**