

course: [CSC 135-01 - Computing Theory and Programming Languages](#)

instructor: [Ted Krovetz](#)

related notes: [2022-04-26](#)

# Computability - Study of what's computable

W17.2 | Tuesday, April 26, 2022 | 09:04 AM

## Announcements

- No class [2022-04-28](#)
- Exams back [2022-04-30](#)

## Turing Machines

Is a computable application/problem

## Decision Problems

A problem with a **yes/no** answer

## Most Famous Non-computable Problem: **Halt**

Given program **M** and input **x**, does  $M(x)$  ever Terminate?

- $Halt(M, x)$ : Not computable for **ALL** (M, x)
  - **true** if  $M(x)$  eventually halts
  - **false** if  $M(x)$  never halts (i.e. infinite loop)

## Affirmative

Given **program M** and **Input x** does  $M(x)$  output "**True**"

- Affirmative(M,x)
  - **true** if  $M(x)$  outputs true
  - **false** outputs
    - $M(x)$  outputs false

- $M(x)$  loops

## Strategy To Show Halt Not Computable

1. Show "Affirmative" not computable
2. Reduce from Affirmative to Halt

## Reductions

### Reductions

Problem **A** reduces Problem **B**

if a Problem **B** solver could be used as a subroutine in a Problem **A** solver

$\exists \text{BSolver} \rightarrow \exists \text{ASolver}$

### Tldr

This doesn't mean that there is an existence of "BSolver" or "ASolver", but simply implies if "BSolver" is to be then so argues "ASolver". Proof via a the contrapositive...

$\exists \text{BSolver} \rightarrow \exists \text{ASolver}$

$\neg \exists \text{ASolver} \rightarrow \neg \exists \text{BSolver}$

### Abstract

```
def Asolver(a_instance):  
    b_instance = preprocess(a_instance)  
    b_solution = Bsolver(b_instance)
```

```
a_solution = postprocess(b_solution)
return a_solution
```

## Reduction Example01: Minimal Value in Array

### ≡ Example

Finding the min in an array reduces to sorting an array

```
def min(arr)
    tmp = sort(arr)
    min_value = tmp[0]
    return min_value
```

## Reduction Example02

### ≡ Proves with step 2: Reduce from Affirmative to Halt

Given Affirmative not computable  
Show via reduction that **Halt** is not computable

```
Affirmative(M, x):
    if Halt(M, x) == True:
        return M(x)
    else:
        return False
```

## Theorem: Affirmative is Not Computable

### 📋 Proof Sketch

Assume for contradiction Affirmative is computable

Define: **D(M)**:

```
if Affirmative (M, M) == True:
    return False
else:
    return True
```

Consider what happens when you run `D(D)`

```
if Affirmative(D, D) == True:
    return False
else:
    return True
```

**Case 1:** If `Affirmative(D, D) == True` then

`(D, D)` is TRUE by definition of Affirmative,  
But `D(D)` outputs FALSE by definition of D.  
Both can't be TRUE....

**Case 2:** if `Affirmative(D, D) == FALSE` then

`D(D)` does not output TRUE by definition of Affirmative  
But `D(D)` outputs TRUE by definition of D

**In all cases:** Contradiction