Risk identification	Risk Type	Causes	Likelihood	Severity	How to avoid risk (planning)	How to monitor risk
Falling behind schedule	People/Estimation	<ul> <li>Not updating group members on progress</li> <li>Spending too long on certain tasks</li> <li>Devoting too much time to research/planning</li> </ul>	High	High	<ol> <li>Regular meetings</li> <li>Contingency plans</li> <li>Checklists</li> <li>Share realistic completion dates</li> <li>Change schedule when necessary</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Update group members on progress</li> <li>Count how many times the schedule has had to be changed</li> </ol>
Software becomes inadequate for project	Technology	<ul> <li>Improper assessment of software requirements</li> <li>Unexpected update/change in software</li> </ul>	Moderate	High	<ol> <li>Keep list of alternatives</li> <li>Thorough research of software choice</li> </ol>	1. Check changes within software updates
Failure for group to work congruently towards tasks	People	<ul> <li>Not updating groups members on progress</li> <li>Not working on tasks together within small groups</li> </ul>	Moderate	Moderate	<ol> <li>Regular         meetings</li> <li>Timetabled         hours to         perform tasks         together</li> </ol>	Track group     meeting     attendance

Forgetting about smaller tasks	People	<ul> <li>Not following PERT chart</li> <li>Thinking of them as insignificant</li> </ul>	Low	Low	<ol> <li>2.</li> </ol>	Follow PERT chat Give each person their own task	1.	Checking checklist for number of incomplete tasks
Not identifying a way to change street names, cards, etc externally	Requirement	Only planning properly for near future tasks	Low	High	1.	Make it a topic at the next meeting Assess software options based on group's prior knowledge	1.	Keep list of tasks with undecided methods of completion
Ensuring flexibility within code	Requirement	<ul> <li>Not identifying parts which should be flexible</li> <li>Not planning on how they could be made flexible</li> </ul>	Moderate	High	1.	Careful planning	1.	Multiple people should test code for flexibility
Improper designs for code/UI/	Planning	<ul> <li>Neglection of planning stage</li> <li>Unrealistic design</li> </ul>	Moderate	Low	1.	Coders review design plans	1.	Always share design ideas with people who will implement it

Incorrect	Requirement	•	Not agreeing as a group what certain	Moderate	High	1.	Create clearer	1.	Group
interpretation of			phrases mean				version of		reviews of
specification		•	Not using the same interpretation of				specification		group
			the specification			2.	Ask Watson		members
							Games for		tasks
							clarification		