# **Gov 51: Randomized Experiments**

Matthew Blackwell

Harvard University

# **Changing minds on gay marriage**

- · Question: can we effectively persuade people to change their minds?
- · Hugely important question for political campaigns, companies, etc.
- · Psychological studies show it isn't easy.
- **Contact Hypothesis**: outgroup hostility diminished when people from different groups interact with one another.
- Today we'll explore this question the context of support for gay marriage and contact with a member of the LGBT community.
  - $Y_i$  = support for gay marriage (1) or not (0)
  - $T_i = \text{contact with member of LGBT community (1) or not (0)}$

#### **Causal effects & counterfactuals**

- What does " $T_i$  causes  $Y_i$ " mean?  $\rightsquigarrow$  counterfactuals, "what if"
- Would citizen i have supported gay marriage if they had contact with a member of the LGBT community?
- Two potential outcomes:
  - Y<sub>i</sub>(1): would i have supported gay marriage if they had contact with a member of the LGBT community?
  - $Y_i(0)$ : would i have supported gay marriage if they **didn't have** contact with a member of the LGBT community?
- Causal effect for citizen i:  $Y_i(1) Y_i(0)$
- Fundamental problem of causal inference: only one of the two potential outcomes is observable.

# Sigma notation

- We will often refer to the **sample size** (number of units) as *n*.
- We often have n measurements of some variable:  $(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$
- We often want sums: how many in our sample support gay marriage?

$$Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + \dots + Y_n$$

Notation is a bit clunky, so we often use the Sigma notation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + \dots + Y_n$$

+  $\Sigma_{i=1}^n$  means sum each value from  $Y_1$  to  $Y_n$ 

#### **Averages**

- The sample average or sample mean is simply the sum of all values divided by the number of values.
- Sigma notation allows us to write this in a compact way:

$$\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$$

• Suppose we surveyed 6 people and 3 supported gay marriage:

$$\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{6} (1 + 1 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 0) = 0.5$$

# **Quantity of interest**

• We want to estimate the average causal effects over all units:

Sample Average Treatment Effect (SATE) 
$$=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\{Y_{i}(1)-Y_{i}(0)\}$$

- Why can't we just calculate this quantity directly?
- · What we can estimate instead:

$$\text{Difference in means} = \overline{Y}_{\text{treated}} - \overline{Y}_{\text{control}}$$

- $\overline{Y}_{\text{treated}}$ : observed average outcome for treated group  $\overline{Y}_{\text{control}}$ : observed average outcome for control group
- When will the difference-in-means is a good estimate of the SATE?

#### Randomized control trials (RCT)

- Randomized control trial: each unit's treatment assignment is determined by chance.
  - Flip a coin; draw red and blue chips from a hat; etc
- Randomization ensures balance between treatment and control group.
  - Treatment and control group are identical on average
  - Similar on both observable and unobservable characteristics.
- Control group  $\approx$  what would have happened to treatment group if they had taken control.

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \, \overline{Y}_{\rm control} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(0) \\ \bullet \ \, \overline{Y}_{\rm treated} - \overline{Y}_{\rm control} \approx {\rm SATE} \end{array}$$

## **Some potential problems with RCTs**

#### · Placebo effects:

 Respondents will be affected by any intervention, even if they shouldn't have any effect.

#### · Hawthorne effects:

• Respondents act differently just knowing that they are under study.

## **Balance checking**

- · Can we determine if randomization "worked"?
- If it did, we shouldn't see large differences between treatment and control group on pretreatment variable.
  - · Pretreatment variable are those that are unaffected by treatment.
- ullet We can check in the actual data for some pretreatment variable X
  - $\overline{X}_{\text{treated}}$ : average value of variable for treated group.
  - $\overline{X}_{\text{control}}$ : average value of variable for control group.
  - Under randomization,  $\overline{X}_{\text{treated}} \overline{X}_{\text{control}} \approx 0$

#### **Multiple treatments**

- Instead of 1 treatment, we might have multiple **treatment arms**:
  - Control condition
  - Treatment A
  - Treatment B
  - · Treatment C, etc
- In this case, we will look at multiple comparisons:

• 
$$\overline{Y}_{\text{treated, A}} - \overline{Y}_{\text{control}}$$
•  $\overline{Y}_{\text{treated, B}} - \overline{Y}_{\text{control}}$ 
•  $\overline{Y}_{\text{treated, A}} - \overline{Y}_{\text{treated, B}}$ 

 If treatment arms are randomly assigned, these differences will be good estimators for each causal contrast.