

Gov. 50/Govt E 1005

Introduction to Political Science Research Methods

Spring Semester 2011
Tsai Auditorium: S010, M/W, 11-12

Prof. Arthur Spirling

CGIS K-436

aspirling@gov.harvard.edu

617-495-3953

Office Hours: Thursday, 10–12 AM

Prof. Adam Glynn

CGIS K-305

aglynn@gov.harvard.edu

617-496-2426

Office Hours: Monday, 5–7 PM

Head Teaching Fellow: Matthew Blackwell

CGIS S002B

617-496-2262

blackwel@fas.harvard.edu

Office Hours: Tuesday, 3-4pm

Teaching Fellow: Joan Cho

CGIS S002B

joancho@fas.harvard.edu

Office Hours: Friday, 2:30–3:30

Teaching Fellow: Patrick Lam (also Extension School TF)

CGIS S002B

plam@fas.harvard.edu

Office Hours: Wednesday, 2–3 PM

Overview

New research is the most exciting and important aspect of political science: we are able to pose novel questions, construct fresh theories, and provide new evidence about the way the world works. But before we start *doing* research, we have to learn *how* it is done.

With this in mind, this class will introduce students to techniques used for research in the study of politics. Part of this task is conceptual: helping students to think sensibly and systematically about research *design*. To this end, students will learn how data and theory fit together, and how to measure the quantities we care about. But part of the task is *practical* too: students will learn a ‘toolbox’ of methods—including statistical software—that enable them to execute their plans.

As a purely pragmatic matter, this class is highly recommended for students who plan to write senior theses: the statistical and computing material learned will be very helpful for those undertaking such projects.

Govt E 1005 Extension School

This course is part of the Extension School's Distance Education Program. The lectures, which are given at Harvard each week, will be recorded and made available to all Extension School registered students via the Internet. Please see the distance education website for details on the program: <http://www.extension.harvard.edu/distanceed>

For students of the Extension school, there will be a (recorded) section, in K450 (CGIS Knafel building), at

- Wednesday 3:30–4:30 PM

Students of the Extension school (but not students of the College) may attend this section in person if they wish. The information below, concerning homeworks, exams, lateness penalties, academic honesty and the syllabus apply to Extension School students.

Preliminaries and Requirements for students of the College

This course provides twice-weekly lectures, and a weekly recitation. Students are **very** strongly advised to attend all three.

This is a lecture-based and section-based class: the information and skills that you need to complete your homework assignments and exams will be provided by the Professor or the TFs. Nonetheless, you **should read the materials we assign**: they will help you garner a deeper and more complete understanding of the class.

Recitations: for students of the College, your TFs will hold recitations in B09, the Science Center lab. As of January 2011, the possible section times are:

- Monday 1–2 PM
- Monday 2–3 PM
- Monday 3–4 PM
- Tuesday 1–2 PM
- Tuesday 2–3 PM

We will have one midterm and one final exam. Your final grade will be based on a combination of:

- **Homeworks (30%)**
- **Midterm exam (30%):** The midterm exam is scheduled on **March 23** and will be in class.
- **Final Exam (40%):** The final exam will cover all the material discussed in class throughout the semester. The exam is *scheduled* to take place on **May 10** (Exam group 4)

The midterm and final exam times are firm. Athletes and other representatives who have scheduling conflicts will have their coaches administer the exams (and this is for the athletes to arrange). If you miss an exam due to some unavoidable **documented** illness or circumstance, we will administer **one** make-up. No documentation, no grade.

In general, the homeworks will be due one week after they are assigned. They must be turned in for grading **on time**. Students of the College must turn in their work **on paper** (no emails!). Extension school students may email in their homeworks to their TF. Every day late results in a grade level drop: an *A*– becomes a *B*+, a *B*– becomes a *B* and so on.

Academic Honesty and Plagiarism: the University is very clear that students work is expected to be their own and that plagiarism is not tolerated. The same rules apply here: no assignment on which you receive a grade is to be collaborative—do not copy another individual’s work, answers or ideas. Disciplinary action follows for those that choose to disobey these instructions.

Syllabus and Plan: in general, we hope that this syllabus is an accurate plan of the classes and material that follow. Occasionally, changes may need to be made: your responsibility as a student is to keep yourself informed of all such changes and to be aware of exam and homework dates. Ignorance will not be an acceptable excuse.

Reading and Textbooks

The following textbooks are either **required** or **recommended**. We have ordered them for the Coop, and you should also be able to find them via online sellers such as [amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com). They will be available via the Widener Library course reserves system.

Note that we won’t always go in exactly the same order that the textbooks organize the material, and we will include some information that none of the books cover in detail.

Required

Pollock, Philip H. 2009. The Essentials of Political Analysis, CQ Press, Washington DC. Third Edition. ISBN: 9780872896062.

This is the main textbook for the class, and covers most of the topics in a clear and concise manner. We refer to this text as ‘Pollock’ below.

Pollock, Philip H. 2009. An SPSS Companion to Political Analysis, CQ Press, Washington DC. Third Edition. ISBN: 9780872896079.

This the companion guide for the main textbook, and comes with an SPSS data CD. You will need that for examples and exercises. We refer to this text as ‘SPSS companion’ below.

Recommended

Shively, W. Phillips. 2010. The Craft of Political Research, Pearson-Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River NJ. Eighth Edition. ISBN: 9780205791200.

This book complements the main textbook and is particularly helpful for thinking about ‘theory’ and ‘experiments’ in political science research. We refer to this text as ‘Shively’ below.

Paul S. Gray and John B. Williamson and David A. Karp and John R Dalphin. 2007. The Research Imagination: An Introduction to Qualitative and Quantitative Methods. Cambridge University Press, New York. ISBN: 9780521705554.

This book cover qualitative techniques well, and has chapters devoted to the comparative method. This may be particularly helpful towards end of the class, and will be useful for students planning to learn more about methods in future. We refer to this text as ‘Imagination’ below.

Neil J. Salkind. 2008. Statistics for People Who (Think They) Hate Statistics. Sage, Los Angeles. Third Edition. ISBN: 9781412951500.

Worth a look for those struggling with the quantitative aspects of the class, this text offers a more humorous and ‘chatty’ approach than Pollock. It doesn’t cover research planning and design in much detail though. We refer to this text as ‘Salkind’ below.

Gary King and Robert Keohane and Sidney Verba. 1994. Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research. Princeton University Press, New Jersey. ISBN: 9780691034713.

A helpful text for those considering case-study approaches, their strengths and limitations. We refer to this text as ‘KKV’ below.

Software

We will be using SPSS, a statistical package. The Science Center lab (where recitation is taught) has the software, and you can use a downloaded version while on campus (or set up a VPN for off-campus use). You will need KeyAccess software and SPSS 16 or SPSS 17 itself, which can be obtained here

<http://downloads.fas.harvard.edu/download>

Speak to your TF for more details.

COURSE SCHEDULE

1 Data and Theories

Aims

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- what differentiates data types, and why it matters
- what makes for a useful theory—that can actually be tested

Notes

Data enables political scientists to test their theories of the world: without it, we cannot make progress as a discipline. It comes in many different forms: from historical cases to numbers on a spreadsheet; from surveys of countries, to experiments in a laboratory; from minutely detailed changes in individual participation behavior to huge events like revolutions and wars.

Yet even before we gather our data, there are important prerequisites for our *theories* such that they are helpful to our understanding.

Reading

Pollock, Ch 2.

Further Reading

Shively, Ch 1 and 2.

KKV, Ch 1.

Imagination, Ch 1 and Ch 2.

Salkind, Ch 6.

Key words and concepts

- types of analysis: quantitative, qualitative
 - types of data: categorical, nominal, ordinal, interval/continuous.
 - characteristics of theories: parsimony, falsifiability, testability.
-

2 Measurement and Concepts

Aims

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- the steps required to translate our theoretical ideas to ones that can be tested with real data
- the problems inherent in this move, and how to guard against them

Notes

Before we begin our analysis, we need to be clear about *what* we are studying: voters? women? wars? countries? revolutions? A separate question concerns the ‘level’ at which we obtain data about our subjects of interest.

Our theories tell us that certain characteristics matter for behavior: perhaps ‘maleness’ is important for vote choice, or societal ‘openness’ is important for racial harmony in a country. To proceed scientifically, we must find ways to measure these aspects of our subjects so that they can be compared. This can be difficult in practice—which can make our analysis prone to error.

Reading

Pollock, Ch 1 and 2.

Further Reading

Shively, Ch 4 and 5.

Imagination, Ch 4.

Salkind, Ch 6.

Key Words and Concepts

- subjects and data: the unit of analysis vs the level
 - testing our theories: theory to concept to measure
 - characteristics of subjects: variables and operationalization
 - variable ‘quality’: reliability, validity and measurement error
-

3 Sampling and Surveys

Aims

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- how and why we use samples to tell us about populations
- various sampling methods
- problems with survey methodologies that can throw our inferences awry

Notes

We often need to *ask* individuals about their political behavior: sometimes because decisions are secret or confidential (like voting), other times because decisions have not been documented (like who went to an anti-war protest). Unsurprisingly, this does not always produce satisfactory results; people forget, lie or are influenced by the person asking the question.

Even if we accept the need to solicit the answers of individuals directly, we cannot usually gather data from *every* subject who might possibly be of interest: filling in a spreadsheet for every one of the three hundred million Americans would, for example, be both time consuming and expensive! Hence, we obtain information from a smaller, more manageable number who are representative of the whole. Working with only this data, we still attempt to conclude things about the behavior of everyone else in society. There are problems with this approach though, and we need to be careful about both selecting those we study and interpreting their responses.

Reading

Pollock, Ch 6.

Further Reading

Shively, Ch 7.

Imagination, Ch 6 and 7.

Key Words and Concepts

- inference: from sample to population, from statistic to parameter
- using representative subjects: (simple) random samples
- sampling problems: self-selection and response bias
- survey problems: observer and ‘Hawthorne’ effects, ‘der Kluge Hans’ effects, ‘Shy Tories’, ‘Bradley’ effects

4 Causality and Experiments

Aims

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- why we care about causation, and how it differs from association
- why it is so difficult to ‘prove’ causal statements are ‘true’: at a theoretical and empirical level
- the importance of ‘control’ groups within ‘experiments’ in social science

Notes

It is easy to find variables that are related empirically: shoe size and height, ice cream sales and drowning, computer processing speed and the incidence of allergies among children. It is quite another matter to assert *causation*. To do so, we need to show that some ‘treatment’ (like an education program for high-schoolers, or the election of a particular President) produced an outcome (like a reduction in teenage pregnancies, or a war) that would not have occurred—or not to the same degree—otherwise.

The latter point is very important and under-appreciated: we absolutely must have a *comparable* set of subjects—voters, women, citizens, countries, districts, schools—who did *not* receive the treatment (the policy change, the electoral system, the drug, the Federal funds). We also need to rule out coincidences and other factors that are causing both variables to change. Laboratory-style experiments might be the preferred way to proceed, though these can be tricky in social science situations: luckily, there are other things we can do.

Reading

Pollock, Ch 4 and 5.

Further Reading

Shively, Ch 6.

Imagination, Ch 12.

KKV, Ch 4, 5 and 6.

Key Words and Concepts

- the fundamental problem: theory of causation cannot be observed
- causation versus (spurious) associations and coincidences
- understanding treatment: holding variables constant and the ‘control’ group
- assessing policies: regression to the mean
- approaches to causality: true experiments, ‘natural’ experiments—with and without premeasurement.

5 Large-n Methods I: Descriptive Statistics

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- some straightforward ways to describe data: its average value, and the way that it is spread
- that it is easy to mislead our audience—with even simple statistics!

- how we think about the ‘distribution’ of the data, and the importance of the ‘normal’ distribution within that framework

Notes

Once we have our data, we need to *describe* it for both ourselves and others. Statisticians have standard ways to do this, and they concern the ‘average’ value of the data and the way it is ‘spread’. Depending on the nature of our data, we cannot meaningfully use certain measures—and even if we can, we have to be careful not to mislead our readers with our presentation choices!

Statisticians also think about the way data ‘stacks up’—that is, the frequency of any particular value of a variable (like the curve that describes the number of people who are various heights, or the number of districts which have various percentages of Republican voters). One of the most important of these ‘distributions’ is the ‘normal.’

Reading

Pollock, Ch 2 and 6

SPSS companion, Ch 1 and 2.

Further Reading

Salkind, Ch 2, 3 and 4.

Key Words and Concepts

- central tendency: mean, median, mode
- spread: variance and central tendency
- distributions: normal, Gaussian, bell-curve

6 Large-n Methods II: Assessing Hypotheses

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- how our theory leads us to scientific statements that will be tested with our data
- that we can never ‘prove’ our theory is true... but we can provide evidence that supports (or refutes!) it
- how to use special distributions to weigh the evidence for the posited relationship versus the evidence for no relationship at all

Notes

Once we have our theory and our data, we want to use the latter to ‘test’ the former. In statistics, this operation takes a very particular form that seems odd at first: we assess the evidence for our theory by thinking about the counterfactual of what we *would* see if we were *wrong*. That is, we look at possibility that there is *no* relationship between the variables of interest.

It turns out that even though we can use well accepted and accurate techniques to do this, they still involve a judgement call from us (and our readers). We use special distributions to help us interpret our evidence here.

Reading

Pollock, Ch 3

SPSS companion, Ch 6

Further Reading

Salkind, Ch 7, 8 and 9.

Shively, Ch 10.

Key Words and Concepts

- hypotheses: the null and alternative
 - assessing the evidence for hypotheses: p -values and significance tests
 - cross tabulations and χ^2 (“ki square”) tests
-

7 Large-n Methods III: t -tests for Comparison of Means

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- how to compare an observed sample mean to a hypothesized value
- how to compare two means arising from paired data
- how to compare two means from unpaired data

Notes

Once we know how to assess hypotheses in the abstract we will want to put that knowledge to use. When dealing with data, we may be interested in drawing inferences from a single sample, or we may want to gather information about two different populations in order to compare them. Examples could be comparing the average income levels among men and women, or average growth rates among democracies versus autocracies. This means we need to think about how to calculate test statistics from the data and how to evaluate our results. We will also find out what assumptions we need to make for our inferences to be valid.

Reading

Pollock, Ch 7 (pages 145 -152).

SPSS companion, Ch 4 and 5.

Further Reading

Salkind, Ch 10 and Ch 11.

Key Words and Concepts

- t-statistic
 - signs test, Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test
 - one sided vs. two-sided tests
-

8 Large-n Methods IV: Linear Regression

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- how to assess the relationship between two variables
- the difference between correlation and regression, and the assumptions behind each
- how to specify a regression model
- how to interpret a regression coefficient
- how to make predictions using results from a regression

Notes

Comparing the means of two populations was fun. What if we want to do more with our data? We will look at alternative ways to assess the association between two variables in paired data. We will start with correlation, which examines how closely large (or small) values of one variable are related to large (or small) values of another.

When we want to assess causal claims, we need to think about the relationship between our dependent variable (the thing being caused) and independent variables (the things doing the causing). In the simplest form, we can use ‘regression’ techniques to examine the expected change in our dependent variable in response to an increase or decrease in our independent variable.

Reading

Pollock, Ch 8 (pages 170-187).

SPSS companion, Ch 8.

Further Reading

Shively, Ch 8.

Imagination, Ch 18 and Ch 19.

Salkind, Ch 14 and Ch 15.

Key Words and Concepts

- correlation coefficient, regression coefficient, error term (residual)
 - assumptions of the linear regression (least squares estimation)
 - testing the significance of regression coefficients
 - prediction using regression results
-

9 Large-n Methods V: Multiple Regression

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- how to use SPSS to estimate a multiple regression model
- methods to assess goodness of fit
- testing overall significance in multiple regression
- how to make predictions using results from multiple regression

Notes

Our theories rarely specify a relationship between just two variables. Even when they do so, it is usually wise to control for confounding factors by including other independent variables. In these series of lectures, we will see how we can extend the bivariate regression framework to incorporate multiple independent variables. We will also talk about how to test the significance of individual coefficients and the overall significance of the model, and how to evaluate goodness of fit in a given model. At the end of the lecture, we will discuss potential problems with the linear regression framework, and alternative methods that can be used in situations in which linear regression is not appropriate.

Reading

Pollock, Ch 8 (pages 187-197).
SPSS companion, Ch 8

Further Reading

Salkind, Ch 15.

Shively, Ch 8.

Key Words and Concepts

- R^2 (coefficient of determination), Adjusted R^2
 - F-statistic test for overall significance
-

10 Small-N Methods: Case Studies and the Comparative Research Strategy

Upon completing this topic you will understand...

- how to make the most out of a single case
- how to design a comparative study
- how to select cases for analysis

Notes

Sometimes there exist relatively few cases of the phenomenon that we want to study. Or it could be that data are not available, or too costly to collect, to conduct a large- N analysis. In those situations scholars use case studies or the comparative method for theory-building or testing hypotheses. Case study approach employs an in-depth analysis of a single case, while the comparative approach refers to the close examination of small number of cases for comparison. In these lectures, we will discuss merits of these two methods with several examples. We will also look at potential issues related to these approaches such as selection bias and the “many variables, small- N ” problem.

Further Reading

Shively, Ch 7.

Imagination, Ch 6 (pages 115-120) and Ch 15.

KKV, Ch 4, Ch 5 and Ch 6.

Key Words and Concepts

- Mill’s method of difference and agreement
- selection bias