

$$\pi(H)\Pi(U)v = \langle \lambda, w^{-1} \cdot H \rangle \Pi(U)v = \langle w \cdot \lambda, H \rangle \Pi(U)v.$$

We conclude that  $\Pi(U)v$  is a weight vector with weight  $w \cdot \lambda$ .

The same sort of reasoning shows that  $\Pi(U)$  is an invertible map of the weight space with weight  $\lambda$  onto the weight space with weight  $w \cdot \lambda$ , whose inverse is  $\Pi(U)^{-1}$ . This means that the two weights have the same multiplicity.  $\square$

To represent the basic weights,  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$ , in our new approach, we look for diagonal, trace-zero matrices  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  such that

$$\langle \mu_1, H_1 \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \mu_1, H_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle \mu_2, H_1 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mu_2, H_2 \rangle = 1.$$

These are easily found as

$$\mu_1 = \text{diag}(2/3, -1/3, -1/3); \quad \mu_2 = \text{diag}(1/3, 1/3, -2/3).$$

The positive simple roots  $(2, -1)$  and  $(-1, 2)$  are then represented as

$$\alpha_1 = 2\mu_1 - \mu_2 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 0);$$

$$\alpha_2 = -\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 = \text{diag}(0, 1, -1). \quad (6.14)$$

Note that both  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  have length  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle = -1$ . Thus, the angle  $\theta$  between them satisfies  $\cos \theta = -1/2$ , so that  $\theta = 2\pi/3$ .

Figure 6.2 shows the same information as Figure 6.1, namely, the roots and the dominant integral elements, but now drawn relative to the Weyl-invariant inner product in (6.13). We draw only the two-dimensional *real* subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}$  consisting

**Fig. 6.2** The roots and dominant integral elements for  $\mathfrak{sl}(3; \mathbb{C})$ , computed relative to a Weyl-invariant inner product

