# EXERCISE SESSION 4A FOR THE COURSE "GÉOMÉTRIE EUCLIDIENNE, NON EUCLIDIENNE ET PROJECTIVE"

### MATTEO TOMMASINI

### Homework sheet 7-8

# Exercises done during the lecture of April 9, 2014

#### Exercise 1

Show that any quadratic form q on a vector space V satisfies

$$q(\lambda \mathbf{u} + \mu \mathbf{v}) = \lambda^2 q(\mathbf{u}) + 2\lambda \mu \phi_q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + \mu^2 q(\mathbf{v})$$

for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .

By Lecture Notes Part III, page 2, we have a bijection between quadratic forms and bilinear forms on a vector space V, given by  $\phi \mapsto q_{\phi}$  and  $q \mapsto \phi_q$ . In particular, we have  $q_{\phi_q} = q$ , i.e. we have

$$q(\mathbf{z}) = \phi_q(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})$$
 for all  $\mathbf{z} \in V$ .

Since  $\phi_q$  is a symmetric bilinear form, we have

$$q(\lambda \mathbf{u} + \mu \mathbf{v}) = \phi_q(\lambda \mathbf{u} + \mu \mathbf{v}, \lambda \mathbf{u} + \mu \mathbf{v}) =$$

$$= \phi_q(\lambda \mathbf{u}, \lambda \mathbf{u}) + \phi_q(\lambda \mathbf{u}, \mu \mathbf{v}) + \phi_q(\mu \mathbf{v}, \lambda \mathbf{u}) + \phi_q(\mu \mathbf{v}, \mu \mathbf{v}) =$$

$$= \lambda^2 \phi_q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) + \lambda \mu \phi_q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + \lambda \mu \phi_q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) + \phi_q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) =$$

$$= \lambda^2 q(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda \mu \phi_q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + \lambda \mu \phi_q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + q(\mathbf{v}) =$$

$$= \lambda^2 q(\mathbf{u}) + 2\lambda \mu \phi_q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + \mu^2 q(\mathbf{v}).$$

### Exercise 2

Do Exercise 1.4.1 from Lecture Notes Part III.

We fix any vector space V of dimension n and any symmetric bilinear form  $\phi$  on V. We denote by  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  any basis for V. For each  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  we set  $\Phi_{i,j} := \phi(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)$  and we consider the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Phi := (\Phi_{i,j})_{i,j}$ . This matrix is symmetric because  $\phi$  is a symmetric form. We recall that  $\phi$  is called a scalar product if and only if the matrix  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate (i.e. if its determinant is non-zero - note that this does NOT depend on

the basis  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  chosen above). We fix also any vector  $\mathbf{u} \in V$ ; then Exercise 1.4.1 asks to prove that the following facts are equivalent

- (a)  $\phi$  is a scalar product (i.e.  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate);
- (b) given any vector  $\mathbf{u} \in V$ , the following property holds

(0.1) if 
$$\phi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$$
 for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , then  $\mathbf{u} = 0$ .

Let us suppose that  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate and let us prove (b), so let us fix any vector  $\mathbf{u} \in V$  such that  $\phi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Since  $\Phi$  is the matrix associated to the bilinear form  $\phi$ , then for each pair of vectors

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix} \in V$$

we have

$$\phi(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{w}^T \cdot \Phi \cdot \mathbf{z}.$$

Then we set  $\mathbf{v} := \Phi \cdot \mathbf{u}$ ; then we have

$$0 = \phi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \phi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{v}^T \cdot \Phi \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = |\mathbf{v}|^2.$$

This implies that  $\mathbf{v} = 0$ , i.e. we have  $\Phi \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ . Since  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate, this implies that  $\mathbf{u} = 0$  (for example, multiply by  $\Phi^{-1}$ , which exists since  $\Phi$  is non degenerate). So we have proved that (a) implies (b).

Conversely, let us prove that (b) implies (a). We need to prove that  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate. One of the various equivalent conditions that we can prove is that

(0.2) given any 
$$\mathbf{w} \in V$$
, if  $\Phi \cdot \mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

So let us fix any vector  $\mathbf{w}$  in V and let us suppose that  $\Phi \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ . Then we have

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \cdots & v_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{v}^T \cdot \Phi \cdot \mathbf{w} = \Phi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \Phi(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) \text{ for each } \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

By (0.1) we conclude that  $\mathbf{w} = (0, \dots, 0)$ . This means that  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate, i.e. that  $\phi$  is a scalar product.

## Exercise 4

Let  $\mathbf{f}_1 = (2/3, 1/3, 2/3)$  and  $\mathbf{f}_2 = (1/3, 2/3, -2/3)$  be two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ; find all vectors  $\mathbf{f}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  for which  $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3\}$  is an orthonormal basis.

**Step a.** First of all, we have to verify that  $\mathbf{f}_1$  and  $\mathbf{f}_2$  are linearly independent. This is obvious since they are not scalar multiples of one another. Secondly, we have to verify that  $\mathbf{f}_1$  and  $\mathbf{f}_2$  are unit vectors. This is easy to see, indeed:

$$|\mathbf{f}_1|^2 = \frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{4}{9} = 1$$

and

$$|\mathbf{f}_2|^2 = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{4}{9} + \frac{4}{9} = 1.$$

So the set  $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis of a linear subspace W of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (W is simply the span of  $\mathbf{f}_1$  and  $\mathbf{f}_2$ ). Then we apply the procedure described in Proposition 2.1.1 Lecture Notes Part III (Gram-Schmidt algorithm) in order to find a vector  $\mathbf{f}_3$  such that  $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Since W has dimension 2, then we can choose a non-zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , not belonging to W. For example, let us consider the vector

$$\mathbf{v}_3 := \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right).$$

We claim that  $\mathbf{v}_3$  does not belong to W. Indeed, by contradiction let us suppose that there is a pair  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$\mathbf{v}_3 = \lambda \mathbf{f}_1 + \mu \mathbf{f}_2.$$

This implies that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  must satisfy the following set of identities

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \frac{2}{3} + \mu \frac{1}{3} = 1 \\ \lambda \frac{1}{3} + \mu \frac{2}{3} = 0 \\ \lambda \frac{2}{3} - \mu \frac{2}{3} = 0. \end{cases}$$

But this set of equations has no solution. Therefore, we get a contradiction. So we have proved that  $\mathbf{v}_3$  does not belong to W. So we can apply the procedure described in Proposition 2.1.1 (for i=2) and we have:

$$\mathbf{f}_3 := \frac{\mathbf{v}_3 - \phi_E(\mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{f}_1)\mathbf{f}_1 - \phi_E(\mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{f}_2)\mathbf{f}_2}{|\mathbf{v}_3 - \phi_E(\mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{f}_1)\mathbf{f}_1 - \phi_E(\mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{f}_2)\mathbf{f}_2|}.$$

Now

$$\mathbf{v}_{3} - \phi_{E}(\mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{f}_{1})\mathbf{f}_{1} - \phi_{E}(\mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{f}_{2})\mathbf{f}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2/3 \\ 1/3 \\ 2/3 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 \\ 2/3 \\ -2/3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 4/9 \\ 2/9 \\ 4/9 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1/9 \\ 2/9 \\ -2/9 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4/9 \\ -4/9 \\ -2/9 \end{pmatrix}$$

The norm of such a vector is  $\sqrt{16/81 + 16/81 + 4/81} = \sqrt{36/81} = /3$ . Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{f}_3 = \frac{3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 4/9 \\ -4/9 \\ -2/9 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2/3 \\ -2/3 \\ -1/3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Even if we know a priori that the set  $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , it is always better to check it (in order to verify that we were not wrong with some computations). A direct check proves that everything works as expected.

**Step 2**. For the moment we have only found **one** vector  $\mathbf{f}_3$  that solves Exercise 4. However, you are asked to find **all** vectors  $\mathbf{f}_3$  such that  $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

So let us suppose that there is any other vector  $\mathbf{f}_3'$  such that  $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3'\}$  is an orthonormal basis. In particular,  $\mathbf{f}_3'$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{f}_1$  and to  $\mathbf{f}_2$ , so it is orthogonal to all the vectors of W. In other terms,  $\mathbf{f}_3'$  belongs to the vector subspace  $W^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . Since we are working with the euclidean scalar product  $\phi_E$ , then we have a decomposition  $\mathbb{R}^3 = W \oplus W^{\perp}$ ; in particular,  $W^{\perp}$  has necessarily dimension 1. By construction, we know that  $\mathbf{f}_3$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{f}_1$  and  $\mathbf{f}_2$ , so  $\mathbf{f}_3$  belongs to  $W^{\perp}$ . Since  $W^{\perp}$  has dimension 1 and since  $\mathbf{f}_3 \neq (0,0,0)$ , we have that  $W^{\perp}$  is generated by  $\mathbf{f}_3$ . So necessarily  $\mathbf{f}_3' = \lambda \mathbf{f}_3$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . We want that the set  $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3'\}$  is an orthonormal basis, hence we have to impose that  $\mathbf{f}_3'$  has norm 1. Since  $\mathbf{f}_3$  has norm 1, this implies that  $\lambda = 1$  or  $\lambda = -1$ . So the only 2 vectors extending  $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2\}$  to an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are  $\mathbf{f}_3$  and  $-\mathbf{f}_3$ .

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: matteo.tommasini2@gmail.com, matteo.tommasini@uni.lu}$ 

Mathematics Research Unit University of Luxembourg 6, Rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi L-1359 Luxembourg