

# EXERCISE SESSION 4B FOR THE COURSE “GÉOMÉTRIE EUCLIDIENNE, NON EUCLIDIENNE ET PROJECTIVE”

MATTEO TOMMASINI

## Homework sheet 7-8

-

### Exercises NOT done during the lecture of April 9, 2014

#### EXERCISE 3

*Prove Proposition 2.4.1 from Lecture Notes Part III.*

The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.2.1 from Lecture Notes Part III. We do it anyway below in full details. We need to prove that there is a one-to-one correspondance

$$\{\text{elements } A \text{ in } O(1, n)\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Lorentz basis in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\}.$$

We recall that  $O(1, n)$  is defined as the symmetry group of the Lorentz bilinear form  $\phi_L$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  associated to the symmetric non-degenerate matrix

$$\Phi_L := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other terms

$$O(1, n) := \{A \in GL(n+1, \mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } A \cdot \Phi_L \cdot A^T = \Phi_L\}.$$

**Step a.** Let us denote by  $\mathbf{e}_0 := (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \mathbf{e}_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then we have:

$$(0.1) \quad \phi_L(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{for } i = j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ -1 & \text{for } i = j = 0 \end{cases}$$

(see page 7 in Lecture Notes III). Let us fix any  $A \in O(1, n)$  and let us define a set of  $n+1$  vectors as follows:

$$(0.2) \quad \mathbf{f}_i := \sum_{k=0}^n A_{ik} \mathbf{e}_k \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, n.$$

Then for each  $i, j = 0, \dots, n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_L(\mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{f}_j) &= \sum_{k,l=0}^n A_{ik} \phi_L(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) A_{jl} = \\ &= A_{i0} \phi_L(\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_0) A_{j0} + \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik} \phi_L(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_k) A_{jk} + \sum_{k,l=0, \dots, n \text{ s.t. } k \neq l} A_{ik} \phi_L(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) A_{jl} = \\ &= -A_{i0} A_{j0} + \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik} A_{jk}\end{aligned}$$

This last term is equal to  $(A \cdot \Phi_L \cdot A^T)_{i,j}$ , i.e. the  $(i, j)$ -th element of the matrix  $A \cdot \Phi_L \cdot A^T$ . Since  $A$  belongs to  $O(1, n)$ , this matrix is  $\Phi_L$ . Therefore, we have

$$\phi_L(\mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{f}_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{for } i = j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ -1 & \text{for } i = j = 0. \end{cases}$$

This means that the set  $\mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n$  is a Lorentz basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

**Step b.** Conversely, let us fix any set  $\mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n$  that is a Lorentz basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We have to associate to such a basis a matrix  $A$  in  $O(1, n)$ . In order to do that, let us consider the standard basis  $\mathbf{e}_0, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Since it is a basis, we can decompose each vector  $\mathbf{f}_i$  in such a basis, so we can write

$$(0.3) \quad \mathbf{f}_i = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{ik} \mathbf{e}_k \text{ for each } i = 0, \dots, n,$$

i.e.

$$\mathbf{f}_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_{i0} \\ \dots \\ A_{in} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for each } i = 0, \dots, n.$$

Let us consider the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix  $A := (A_{ij})_{i,j}$  (where both  $i$  and  $j$  vary between 0 and  $n$ ). Then for each  $t = 0, \dots, n$  we have

$$A^T \cdot \mathbf{e}_t = (A_{ji}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_t = \begin{pmatrix} A_{t0} \\ \dots \\ A_{tn} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{f}_t.$$

So for each  $i, j = 0, \dots, n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(A \cdot \Phi_L \cdot A^T)_{i,j} &= \mathbf{e}_i^T \cdot A \cdot \Phi_L \cdot A^T \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = (A^T \cdot \mathbf{e}_i)^T \cdot \Phi_L \cdot (A^T \cdot \mathbf{e}_j) = \\
&= \mathbf{f}_i^T \cdot \Phi_L \cdot \mathbf{f}_j = \phi_L(\mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{f}_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{for } i = j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ -1 & \text{for } i = j = 0 \end{cases} = (\Phi_L)_{i,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $A \cdot \Phi_L \cdot A^T = \Phi_L$ , i.e. we have proved that the matrix  $A$  belongs to  $O(1, n)$ .

**Step 3.** Until now we have proved how we can associate to each  $A \in O(1, n)$  a Lorentz basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and conversely. Now we have to show that the 2 procedures are inverse of one another. This fact is obvious since our 2 constructions are based on (0.2) and (0.3).

### EXERCISE 5

Let us consider any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and let us define the following matrices

$$A_1(s) := \begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & \sinh s \\ \sinh s & \cosh s \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2(s) := \begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & \sinh s \\ -\sinh s & -\cosh s \end{pmatrix}.$$

By writing down explicitly the conditions for a  $2 \times 2$  matrix to be Lorentz, show that any such matrix is a matrix of the form  $A_i(s)$  or of the form  $-A_i(s)$  for some  $i = 1, 2$  and for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let us choose any matrix  $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$(0.4) \quad A \cdot \Phi_L \cdot A^T = \Phi_L.$$

In this case,

$$\Phi_L = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us suppose that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then from (0.4) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -a & -c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -a^2 + b^2 & -ac + bd \\ -ac + bd & -c^2 + d^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$(0.5) \quad \begin{cases} -a^2 + b^2 = -1 \\ -c^2 + d^2 = 1 \\ -ac + bd = 0. \end{cases}$$

If we multiply the first line by  $c^2$  and the second line by  $b^2$ , we get

$$-a^2c^2 + b^2c^2 = -c^2 \quad \text{and} \quad -b^2c^2 + b^2d^2 = b^2.$$

Summing such relations we get:

$$(0.6) \quad -a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 = b^2 - c^2.$$

Moreover, by the third line of (0.5) we have  $a^2c^2 = b^2d^2$ . By replacing in (0.6) we get

$$(0.7) \quad b^2 = c^2.$$

Moreover, using the first and second lines of (0.5) and (0.7), we have

$$d^2 = 1 + c^2 = 1 + b^2 = a^2$$

So until now we have proved that  $c^2 = b^2$  and  $d^2 = a^2$ . By the first line of (0.5) we have  $a^2 = 1 + b^2 \geq 1$ , so  $a \geq 1$  or  $a \leq -1$ . We consider such 2 cases separately.

**1. Case  $a \geq 1$ .** In this case it makes sense to define the real number

$$s := \operatorname{arcosh} a$$

(we recall that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\cosh x \geq 1$ , so the inverse function  $\operatorname{arcosh}$  can be applied only to values  $\geq 1$ ). Then we have

$$a = \cosh s$$

hence

$$b^2 = a^2 - 1 = (\cosh s)^2 - 1 \stackrel{(*)}{=} (\sinh s)^2.$$

We recall that  $(*)$  comes from the fact that

$$\cosh s = \frac{e^s + e^{-s}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sinh s = \frac{e^s - e^{-s}}{2}.$$

So we consider 2 subcases as follow.:

**1.1. Subcase  $b = \sinh s$ .** We have  $d^2 = a^2 = (\cosh s)^2$ , so either  $d = \cosh s$  or  $d = -\cosh s$ .

**1.1.1. Sub-subcase  $d = \cosh s$ .** From the third line of (0.5) we have

$$0 = -ac + bd = -(\cosh s)c + (\sinh s)(\cosh s).$$

Since  $\cosh s$  is non-zero for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $c = \sinh s$ . So we have found the matrix

$$A_1(s) := \begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & \sinh s \\ \sinh s & \cosh s \end{pmatrix}.$$

**1.1.2.Sub-subcase**  $d = -\cosh s$  from the third line of (0.5) we have

$$0 = -ac + bd = -(\cosh s)c - (\sinh s)(\cosh s).$$

Since  $\cosh s$  is non-zero for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $c = -\sinh s$ . So we have found the matrix

$$A_2(s) := \begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & \sinh s \\ -\sinh s & -\cosh s \end{pmatrix}.$$

**1.2.Subcase**  $b = -\sinh s$ . Again we consider separately the case  $d = \cosh s$  and the case  $d = -\cosh s$ .

**1.2.1.Sub-subcase**  $d = \cosh s$ . From the third line of (0.5) we have

$$0 = -ac + bd = -(\cosh s)c - (\sinh s)(\cosh s).$$

Since  $\cosh s$  is non-zero for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $c = -\sinh s$ . So we have found the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & -\sinh s \\ -\sinh s & \cosh s \end{pmatrix} = A_1(-s).$$

Here we have used the fact that  $\cosh(-s) = \cosh s$  and  $\sinh(-s) = -\sinh s$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**1.2.2.Sub-subcase**  $d = -\cosh s$ . From the third line of (0.5) we have

$$0 = -ac + bd = -(\cosh s)c + (\sinh s)(\cosh s).$$

Since  $\cosh s$  is non-zero for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $c = \sinh s$ . So we have found the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & -\sinh s \\ \sinh s & -\cosh s \end{pmatrix} = A_2(-s).$$

**2.Case**  $a \leq -1$ . In this case it makes sense to define

$$s := \operatorname{arcosh}(-a) \in \mathbb{R}$$

(this makes sense since  $-a \geq 1$ ). Then we have

$$a = -\cosh s.$$

As in case 1, we have  $b^2 = (\sinh s)^2$ .

**2.1.Subcase**  $b = \sinh s$ . Again we consider separately the case  $d = \cosh s$  and the case  $d = -\cosh s$ .

**2.1.1.Sub-subcase**  $d = \cosh s$ . From the third line of (0.5) we have

$$0 = -ac + bd = (\cosh s)c + (\sinh s)(\cosh s).$$

Since  $\cosh s$  is non-zero for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $c = -\sinh s$ . So we have found the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\cosh s & \sinh s \\ -\sinh s & \cosh s \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & -\sinh s \\ \sinh s & -\cosh s \end{pmatrix} = -A_2(-s).$$

**2.1.2.Sub-subcase**  $d = -\cosh s$  from the third line of (0.5) we have

$$0 = -ac + bd = (\cosh s)c - (\sinh s)(\cosh s).$$

Since  $\cosh s$  is non-zero for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $c = \sinh s$ . So we have found the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\cosh s & \sinh s \\ \sinh s & -\cosh s \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & -\sinh s \\ -\sinh s & \cosh s \end{pmatrix} = -A_1(-s).$$

**2.2.Subcase**  $b = -\sinh s$ . Again we consider separately the case  $d = \cosh s$  and the case  $d = -\cosh s$ .

**2.2.1.Sub-subcase**  $d = \cosh s$ . From the third line of (0.5) we have

$$0 = -ac + bd = (\cosh s)c - (\sinh s)(\cosh s).$$

Since  $\cosh s$  is non-zero for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $c = \sinh s$ . So we have found the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\cosh s & -\sinh s \\ \sinh s & \cosh s \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & \sinh s \\ -\sinh s & -\cosh s \end{pmatrix} = -A_2(s).$$

**2.2.2.Sub-subcase**  $d = -\cosh s$ . From the third line of (0.5) we have

$$0 = -ac + bd = (\cosh s)c + (\sinh s)(\cosh s).$$

Since  $\cosh s$  is non-zero for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $c = -\sinh s$ . So we have found the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\cosh s & -\sinh s \\ -\sinh s & -\cosh s \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \cosh s & \sinh s \\ \sinh s & \cosh s \end{pmatrix} = -A_1(s).$$

Until now we have only proved the following result:

“If  $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  is such that  $A \cdot \Phi_L \cdot A^T = \Phi_L$ , then  $A$  is equal to  $A_i(s)$  or  $-A_i(s)$  for some  $i = 1, 2$  and for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ”.

Conversely, a direct check proves that if  $A$  is any matrix of the form  $A_i(s)$  or  $-A_i(s)$  for some  $i = 1, 2$  and for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $A$  is a Lorentz matrix.

## EXERCISE 6

Let  $\mathbb{R}^3$  be equipped with the Lorentz quadratic form  $q_L$ . Which of the following vectors are time-like, space-like or light-like?

- (i)  $\mathbf{u} = (1, 1, 1)$
- (ii)  $\mathbf{v} = (4, -3, 1)$
- (iii)  $\mathbf{w} = (5, 4, 3)$

We recall that  $q_L(t, x, y) = -t^2 + x^2 + y^2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} q_L(\mathbf{u}) &= -1 + 1 + 1 = 1, \\ q_L(\mathbf{v}) &= -16 + 9 + 1 = -6, \\ q_L(\mathbf{w}) &= -25 + 16 + 9 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\mathbf{u}$  is space-like,  $\mathbf{v}$  is time-like and  $\mathbf{w}$  is light-like (null).

## EXERCISE 7

Let  $P = (1, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{H}^2$ ; show how to parametrise the circle with centre at  $P$  and of radius  $r \geq 0$  in  $\mathcal{H}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . Deduce that a circle of radius  $r$  has circumference  $2\pi \sinh r$ .

Given **any** point  $P \in \mathcal{H}^2$  and a radius  $r$ , we define the circle of radius  $r$  centered at  $P$  as the set

$$C(P, r) := \{Q \in \mathcal{H}^2 \text{ s.t. } d(P, Q) = r\}$$

where  $d(-, -)$  is the hyperbolic distance. We recall (see page 9 Lecture Notes Part III) that any point  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{H}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  has coordinates  $(t, x, y)$  such that  $t^2 - x^2 - y^2 = 1$  and  $t > 0$ . Moreover, we recall (see page 10 Lecture Notes Part III) that given a point  $P \in \mathcal{H}^2$  with coordinates  $(t', x', y')$ , we have that

$$d(P, Q) = \log \left( tt' - xx' - yy' + \sqrt{(tt' - xx' - yy')^2 - 1} \right).$$

In the case under exam,  $P$  is the point  $(1, 0, 0)$  (i.e.  $t' = 1, x' = 0$  and  $y' = 0$ ), so

$$d(P, Q) = \log \left( t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1} \right).$$

So  $Q = (t, x, y) \in \mathcal{H}^2$  belongs to  $C(P, r)$  if and only if

$$e^r = t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1}.$$

From this we get:

$$e^{-r} = \frac{1}{t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1}},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh r &= \frac{e^r + e^{-r}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1}} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{t^2 + t^2 - 1 + 2t\sqrt{t^2 - 1} + 1}{t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2t^2 + 2t\sqrt{t^2 - 1}}{t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1}} = t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{t^2 - 1} = \sqrt{(\cosh r)^2 - 1} = \sqrt{(\sinh r)^2} = |\sinh r| = \sinh r,$$

where we obtain the last identity using the fact that  $r \geq 0$ . Therefore, when  $P = (1, 0, 0)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(P, r) &= \{(t, x, y) \text{ s.t. } t^2 - x^2 - y^2 = 1, t = \cosh r \text{ and } \sqrt{t^2 - 1} = \sinh r\} = \\ &= \{(\cosh r, x, y) \text{ s.t. } \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \sinh r\}. \end{aligned}$$

In other terms, the circle with radius  $r \geq 0$  centered in  $P = (1, 0, 0)$  is simply the intersection of  $\mathcal{H}^2$  with the affine plane  $\{t = \cosh r\}$ ; moreover, such a circle is simply a circle in the euclidean geometry, with center in  $(\cosh r, 0, 0)$  and radius equal to  $\sinh r$ . Therefore, its circumference is equal to  $2\pi \sinh r$ .

### EXERCISE 8

Let

$$\mathbb{H} := \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } y > 0\}$$

be the upper half-plane in the complex plane. Define  $\mathbb{H}$ -lines to be of two kinds: either vertical Euclidean half-lines

$$(0.8) \quad L_1 = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{H} \text{ s.t. } x = b\} = \{b + iy \text{ s.t. } y > 0\}$$

for any real constant  $b$ , or half-circles

$$(0.9) \quad L_2 = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{H} \text{ s.t. } (x - a)^2 + y^2 = c^2\}$$

with centre at  $a + 0i$  on the real axis  $\{y = 0\}$ , for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Show, algebraically or by drawing pictures, that:

- (a) two distinct  $\mathbb{H}$ -lines meet in at most one point;
- (b) every pair of distinct points of  $\mathbb{H}$  lies on a unique  $\mathbb{H}$ -line.



(c) Given an  $\mathbb{H}$ -line  $L$  and a point  $P \in \mathbb{H}$  not on  $L$ , there are more than two  $\mathbb{H}$ -lines through  $P$  which are parallel to  $L$  (i.e. have no intersection with  $L$ ).

(a). Given 2 distinct vertical lines  $L_1$  and  $L'_1$ , we have that  $L_1 \cap L'_1 = \emptyset$ . Given a vertical line  $L_1$  as in (0.8) and a half-circle as in (0.9), their intersection is the set of points  $x + iy$  (with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ), such that  $x = b$ ,

$$(b - a)^2 + y^2 = c^2 \quad \text{and} \quad y > 0.$$

If  $c^2 - (b - a)^2 > 0$ , then there is exactly one such  $y$ , namely

$$y = \sqrt{c^2 - (b - a)^2};$$

if  $c^2 - (b - a)^2 \leq 0$  there is no solution, hence  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ . Lastly, we have to consider the case when we are intersecting 2 half-circles. So let us fix  $L_2$  as in (0.9) and

$$L'_2 = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{H} \text{ s.t. } (x - a')^2 + y^2 = c'^2\}$$

for any pair  $(a', c')$  such that  $L_2 \neq L'_2$  (this is equivalent to impose that  $(a, c) \neq (a', c')$  - here both points belong to  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ). We know that the intersection of 2 distinct circles consists of at most 2 points, that are symmetric with respect to the (euclidean) line joining the centers of the 2 circles. If the intersection is empty or consists of only 1 point, we are done. Otherwise, let us suppose that the intersection of the circles consists of exactly 2 points. Since the line joining their centers is the axis  $\{y = 0\}$ , then this means that at exactly one of such points has  $y > 0$ . The other one belongs to the intersection of the circles, but NOT to the intersection of the half-circles. This suffices to conclude.

(b). Let us fix a pair of distinct points  $P_1 = x_1 + iy_1$  and  $P_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ . If  $x_1 = x_2$ , then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are joined by the vertical line

$$L := \{x_1 + iy \text{ s.t. } y > 0\}.$$

Moreover, this is the only vertical line joining  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ ; in addition, since  $P_1 \neq P_2$ , there is no half-circle joining such a pair of points.

Now let us consider the remaining case, i.e. the case when  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . In this case there is no vertical line joining  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Then we consider the (euclidean) segment  $S$  joining  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  and its medium point  $M$ . From  $M$  we draw the (euclidean) line  $T$  perpendicular to  $S$ . Since  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then  $S$  is not vertical, so  $T$  is not an horizontal line. So it intersects the axis  $\{y = 0\}$  in exactly one point  $a + 0i$  (for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ). Now  $T$  is the axis of the segment  $S$ , so each point  $R$  in  $T$  has the same distance from  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . In particular,

$$d(a + 0i, P_1) = d(a + 0i, P_2).$$

We denote such a distance by  $c$  for simplicity. Then the circumference  $C$  with center in  $a + 0i$  and radius  $c$  passes through the points  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Since  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  belong to  $\mathbb{H}$ , then the points  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  belong to the half-circle

$$L_2 := \{x + iy \in \mathbb{H} \text{ s.t. } (x - a)^2 + y^2 = c^2\} = \mathbb{H} \cap C.$$

So we have proved that there is a half-circle passing through  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . In order to conclude, we need to prove that this is the only such half-circle passing through  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Let us suppose that there is another such semicircle  $L'_2$ , with center  $A$  in the axis  $\{y = 0\}$  and radius  $r$ . Then we have that the center  $A$  of  $L'_2$  must be at the same distance from  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , hence it must belong to the euclidean line  $T$ . Moreover, we are considering only half-circles centered at points in the axis  $\{y = 0\}$ . So the center  $A$  must belong to  $T \cap \{y = 0\}$ , so it must coincide with  $a + 0i$ . In this case, the radius  $r$  coincides with the radius  $c$ , hence  $L'_2$  is equal to  $L_2$ . So we have proved that also in this case there is only an  $\mathbb{H}$ -line passing through the pair of distinct points  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

(c). We have to consider 2 cases separately.

**Case 1.** We suppose that  $L$  is a vertical line

$$L = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{H} \text{ s.t. } x = b\} = \{b + iy \text{ s.t. } y > 0\}.$$

We fix any point  $P = x_0 + iy_0$ , with  $y_0 > 0$  and  $x_0 \neq b$ . For simplicity, we suppose that  $b < x_0$  (the same proof holds with minor modifications in the other case). We consider any point  $Q := b' + 0i$ , with

$$(0.10) \quad b < b' < x_0$$

i.e. any point between  $P$  and the intersection of  $L$  with the axis  $\{y = 0\}$ . Then as we did in (b) we construct the unique  $\mathbb{H}$ -line  $L_Q$  passing through  $P$  and  $Q$  (note: in (b) the point  $Q$  belonged to  $\mathbb{H}$  and not to the axis  $\{y = 0\}$ , but this does not give additional problems in the present construction). Now it is clear (either you draw a picture or you do some basic computations) that  $L_Q \cap L = \emptyset$ . This holds for every point  $Q = b' + 0i$  such that (0.10) holds, i.e. we have obtained infinitely many  $\mathbb{H}$ -lines passing through  $P$  that are parallel to  $L$ . In this case there is also an extra line parallel to  $L$ , namely the vertical line passing through  $P$ .

**Case 2.** In this case we suppose that  $L$  is an half-circle, i.e.

$$L = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{H} \text{ s.t. } (x - a)^2 + y^2 = c^2\}$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and some  $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Let us fix again a point  $P = x_0 + iy_0$  with  $y_0 > 0$  and such that  $P \notin L$ . This means that

$$(x_0 - a)^2 + y_0^2 \neq c^2$$

So we have to consider 2 subcases separately as follows:

**Subcase 2.1.** In this subcase we suppose that

$$(x_0 - a)^2 + y_0^2 < c^2.$$

This means that  $P$  belongs to the interior of the half-disc defined by  $L$  and by the axis  $\{y = 0\}$ . Now we define

$$c' := d(P, a + 0i).$$

Since  $P$  belongs to the interior of the semidisk mentioned above, then we have

$$c' < c.$$

Then we choose any  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions

$$(0.11) \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \frac{c - c'}{2}$$

(this makes sense because  $c' < c$ ). Having fixed  $\varepsilon$ , we define

$$(0.12) \quad c''_\varepsilon := d(P, a + \varepsilon + 0i).$$

By triangular inequality, we have

$$(0.13) \quad c''_\varepsilon \leq d(P, a + 0i) + d(a + 0i, a + \varepsilon + 0i) = c' + \varepsilon.$$

Then we consider the  $\mathbb{H}$ -line  $E_\varepsilon$  given by the half-circle centered in  $a + \varepsilon + 0i$  and with radius  $c''_\varepsilon$ . Let us fix any point  $T$  in  $E_\varepsilon$ . Then by triangular inequality, (0.13) and (0.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T, a + 0i) &\leq d(T, a + \varepsilon + 0i) + d(a + \varepsilon + 0i, a + 0i) = \\ &= c''_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \leq c' + \varepsilon + \varepsilon = c' + 2\varepsilon < c' + 2\frac{c - c'}{2} = c. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the whole half-circle  $L_\varepsilon$  is contained in the half-disc defined by  $L$  and by the axis  $\{y = 0\}$ . In particular,  $E_\varepsilon \cap L = \emptyset$  for all  $\varepsilon$  such that (0.11) holds. Moreover,  $P$  belongs to  $E_\varepsilon$  because of (0.12). So we have found infinitely many  $\mathbb{H}$ -lines as required.

**Subcase 2.2.** In this subcase we suppose that

$$(x_0 - a)^2 + y_0^2 > c^2.$$

This means that  $P = x_0 + iy_0$  is “outside” the half-disc defined by  $L$  and by the axis  $\{y = 0\}$ . We define

$$c' := d(P, a + 0i).$$

Since  $P$  is outside the semidisk mentioned above, then we have

$$c' > c.$$

Then we choose any  $\sigma$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions

$$(0.14) \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{c' - c}{2}$$

(this makes sense because  $c' > c$ ). Having fixed  $\sigma$ , we define

$$c''_\sigma := d(P, a + \sigma + 0i).$$

By triangular inequality, we have

$$(0.15) \quad c' = d(P, a + 0i) \leq d(P, a + \sigma + 0i) + d(a + \sigma + 0i, a + 0i) = c''_\sigma + \sigma.$$

Hence,

$$(0.16) \quad c''_\sigma \geq c' - \sigma.$$

Then we consider the  $\mathbb{H}$ -line  $D_\sigma$  given by the half-circle centered in  $a + \sigma + 0i$  and with radius  $c''_\sigma$ . Then for each point  $T$  in  $D_\sigma$  using the triangular inequality we have

$$(0.17) \quad c''_\sigma = d(T, a + \sigma + 0i) \leq d(T, a + 0i) + d(a + 0i, a + \sigma + 0i) = d(T, a + 0i) + \sigma.$$

Therefore, using (0.17), (0.16) and (0.14) we have

$$d(T, a + 0i) \geq c''_\sigma - \sigma \geq c' - \sigma - \sigma = c' - 2\sigma > c' - 2\frac{c' - c}{2} = c.$$

This means that  $T$  is “outside” the semidisk mentioned above, so  $D_\sigma \cap L = \emptyset$  for all  $\sigma$  as in (0.14). Moreover,  $P \in D_\sigma$  because of (0.15). So we have found infinitely many  $\mathbb{H}$ -lines as required. Note that if  $|x_0 - a| > c$ , then there is an extra  $\mathbb{H}$ -line passing through  $P$  and not intersecting  $L$ , namely the vertical line passing through  $P$ .

*E-mail address:* `matteo.tommasini2@gmail.com`, `matteo.tommasini@uni.lu`

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH UNIT  
UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG  
6, RUE RICHARD COUDENHOVE-KALERGI  
L-1359 LUXEMBOURG