# Università di Pisa

## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

# SPM Final Project Report

The Jacobi Iterative Method

Matteo Busi

STUDENT ID. 494087

June 10, 2017

#### 1 Introduction

The aim of this project was to produce a program to solve linear systems using the Jacobi method.

Three different implementations are proposed here:

Sequential implementation the sequential implementation provides a vanilla implementation of the Jacobi method,

Thread implementation is a naïve implementation of the algorithm using threads from C++11 standard,

**FastFlow implementation** is an implementation using the parallelFor from FastFlow library.

Tests were conducted on a machine using a *Intel Xeon E2650* CPU (8 cores clocked at 2 GHz each with 2 contexts) and a *Intel Xeon Phi* coprocessor (60 cores clocked at 1 GHz each with 4 contexts).

Summary. The next section discusses the details of program design, including an analysis of the expected performance of the sequential and parallel implementations. Section 3 reports some details about the implementation, discussing the classes design, their methods, and some optimization. Section 4 is divided in two sub-sections. The first sub-section discusses the methodology for the experiments and chosen parameters, while the second sub-section reports the experimental results in the form of tables and graphs. Section 5 includes the user manual for the program, and indications on how to reproduce results reported here. Finally Section 6 compares obtained results against the expected ones.

## 2 Design

In this section the basic Jacobi algorithm is introduced. Then a brief account on design choices, driven by the performance model, is given along with a sketch of the parallel algorithm.

#### 2.1 Sequential algorithm and performance

Figure 1 shows the pseudo-code for the Jacobi iterative method as presented in the numerical computing literature [].

It is pretty evident that the completion time  $T_C$  for a program using this procedure could be computed as:

$$T_C = T_{alloc}(n) + T_{fill}(n) + T_{jacobi}(n)$$

**Data:** A linear system in the form of Ax = b with  $N \times N$  the size of A, a maximum accepted error  $\varepsilon$ , and a maximum number of iterations K.

**Result:** An approximated solution  $x^{(k)}$  s.t.  $||b - Ax^{(k)}||_2^2 \le \varepsilon$  or k > K.

```
x^{(0)} \leftarrow \text{initial guess for the solution} \\ k \leftarrow 0 \\ \mathbf{while} \ ||b - Ax^{(k)}||_2^2 \geq \varepsilon \ and \ k \leq K \ \mathbf{do} \\ & | \mathbf{for} \ i \leftarrow 0 \ \mathbf{to} \ N \ \mathbf{do} \\ & | \mathbf{for} \ i \leftarrow 0 \\ & | \mathbf{for} \ j \leftarrow 0 \ \mathbf{to} \ N \ \mathbf{do} \\ & | \mathbf{if} \ j \neq i \ \mathbf{then} \\ & | \ \sigma \leftarrow \sigma + a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} \\ & | \ \mathbf{end} \\ & | \ \mathbf{end} \\ & | \ x_i^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \frac{b_i - \sigma}{a_{ii}} \\ & | \ \mathbf{end} \\ & | \ k \leftarrow k + 1 \\ \\ \mathbf{end} \\ & | \ \mathbf{end} \\
```

Figure 1: Pseudo-code for the sequential Jacobi iterative method.

where  $T_{alloc}(n)$  and  $T_{fill}(n)$  are, respectively, the time needed do allocate and fill the memory to store the input data, and  $T_{jacobi}(n)$  is the time to solve the system using the algorithm in Figure 1 (i.e. the latency).

Further expanding  $T_{jacobi}$  we get:

$$T_{iacobi}(n) = k \cdot (T_{conv}(n) + T_{comv}(n) + T_{uvd}(n))$$

where  $T_{conv}(n)$  is the time needed to check convergence,  $T_{comp}(n)$  is the time needed to compute the new approximation of the solution, and  $T_{upd}(n)$  is the time needed to update the solution vector.

Basic complexity theory allow us to conclude that  $T_{conv}(n)$  and  $T_{upd}(n)$  have linear complexity and  $T_{comp}(n)$ 's complexity is quadratic. It is worth, then, to parallelize the computation corresponding to time  $T_{comp}(n)$  (i.e. the computation of the new value of x).

#### 2.2 Parallel algorithm and performance

Observations of the previous sub-section let us to design a worker. The idea is to split the matrix A in rows of the same size and to feed said rows to the worker.

The performance model depends also on the number of workers, w, and  $T_{jacobi}(n, w)$  can be expanded — ignoring  $T_{conv}(n)$  and  $T_{upd}(n)$  — as:

$$T_{jacobi}(n, w) = T_{w\_setup}(n, w) + T_{barrier}(n, w) + \frac{k}{w} \cdot T_{comp}(n)$$

where  $T_{w\_setup}(n, w)$  is the time required to setup the w workers,  $T_{barrier}(n, w)$  is the total time spent by threads waiting each other and  $\frac{k}{w} \cdot T_{comp}(n)$  is the ideal time needed to w workers to compute the new value of the approximation of the solution.

### 3 Implementation

As already told in Section 1 the actual implementation of the project consists in three different variants of the Jacobi iterative method, following the ideas in Section 2. The first variant is the sequential one, a direct rewriting of the algorithm in Figure 1, the second variant implements the algorithm sketched in sub-section 2.2 using the ParallelFor provided by FastFlow library, and the third variant implements the same parallel algorithm using C++11 threads. More precisely:

- The main function in file main.cpp, that implements the parameter parsing, I/O and initialization.
- The class JacobiReport that collects statistics about the execution of the algorithm.
- The class JacobiSolver that implements, together with class JacobiS equentialSolver, JacobiFFSolver, and JacobiThreadSolver, a template method pattern []. The class provides a method solve that, given a linear system, produces a JacobiReport.
- Classes JacobiSequentialSolver, JacobiFFSolver, and JacobiThre adSolver implement said algorithms by redefining the method deltax that computes the new approximation of the solution.

During the development we paid particular attention to vectorization, ensuring that the compiler could vectorize most of the code, and to minimization of overhead in method deltax trying to remove unneeded memory allocations and initializations (with the exception of JacobiThreadSolver::deltax which has been kept as simple as possible).

## 4 Experiments

This section summarizes how the experiments were conducted and their results.

#### 4.1 Methodology

Experiments were performed using the script jacobirun.sh, as better explained in sub-section 5.4. Specifically each variant of the program (i.e. sequential, thread and FastFlow versions) were run both on Xeon CPU and Xeon Phi co-processor for four different system sizes N, i.e. 5000, 10000, 15000, and 30000. Bigger Ns filled up the memory of the co-processor, hence were not included in the analysis.

#### 4.2 Results

#### 5 User guide

This section provides a short guide on how to use the program, how to conduct the experiments, and how to gather the results.

#### 5.1 Workspace

The workspace content is organized as follows:

- The folder bin contains the results of the compilation (including vectorization reports),
- the folder graphs contains the graphs generated by reportgen.py,
- the folder results contains the collection of csv files generated by jacobirun.sh,
- the folder src contains the source code of the program,
- the bash script jacobirun.sh contains the code to run experiments,
- the Python program reportgen.py that generates graphs starting from data in results folder,
- the make file Makefile compiles the project as explained in subsection 5.2.

In the following we assume that the current working directory is the root of the workspace.

#### 5.2 Compilation

To compile the project a Makefile with four rules is provided:

1. Executing make jacobix the executable for the Xeon CPU is produced and placed in bin/jacobix,

- 2. executing make jacobim the executable for the Xeon Phi is produced and placed in bin/jacobim,
- 3. executing make offload the executable for the Xeon Phi is produced and placed in both bin/jacobim and in the home directory on mic1,
- 4. executing make clean the files produced by compilation, testing, and analysis are deleted.

#### 5.3 Program usage

To run a single resolution of a random system one of the compiled executables located in bin must be run. Executable jacobix runs on the Xeon CPU, while executable jacobim must be offloaded to the Xeon Phi.

Executing one of the executable without arguments produces as output a guide that should be self-explaining:

```
Usage: ./jacobi N ITER ERR METHOD [NWORKERS] [GRAIN]
Where:
    \ensuremath{\mathtt{N}} : is the size of the matrix \ensuremath{\mathtt{A}}
    ITER: is the maximum number of iterations
    ERR : is the maximum norm of an acceptable error
    METHOD: is either
        s : indicating that the sequential implementation
             must be used
        f : indicating that the FastFlow implementation
           must be used
        t : indicating that the Thread implementation
            must be used
    NWORKERS: the number of workers that should be used
        (ignored if METHOD is 's')
    GRAIN: the grain of the computation (only if METHOD
       is 'f')
Produces a CSV line, in the form:
N_WORKERS, N_ITERATIONS, COMP_TIME, UPD_TIME, CONV_TIME,
   LATENCY, ERROR
```

#### 5.4 Experiments and analysis

After compilation, to execute the experiments and analyse the results one must:

- 1. run ./jacobirun.sh or ./jacobirun.sh MIC (if Xeon Phi must be used),
- 2. run reportgen.py to produce graphs.

## 6 Conclusion