Hyperparameter.

Independent

Feb 18, 2020.

Today: Connections.

- · Optimization Probability.
- · Principal Components Regression.
- · TLS ..

Ridge regression:

mini mize:

$$\| \times \vec{\omega} - \vec{y} \|_{2}^{2} + \| \vec{y} \|_{2}^{2}$$

How can we use probablistic information about our data? How does this connect to optimization models?

(Zi, yi) are my data points.

Consider & linear model:

wis "ou model" What we want to learn. (unknown)

$$y_{i} = g(\overline{x_{i}}) + Z_{i} \quad \text{iid} \quad \text{distributed.}$$

$$Z_{i} \sim N(0, \sigma_{i}^{-2})$$

$$Z_{i} \sim \frac{Z_{i}^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}$$

$$Z_{i} = \frac{e^{-\frac{Z_{i}^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}}}{\sqrt{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{z_1}^T \\ \vdots \\ \overline{z_n}^T \end{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{\omega} + \begin{bmatrix} \overline{z_1} \\ \overline{z_2} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{z_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Maximum likilhood estimator. Probablistic Solution:

Find that \vec{w} that makes the observed data most likely.

Find that
$$\overline{w}$$
 that \overline{w} that \overline{w}

The f(Y:= y: | w=w) (Because all of my 3500 3;'s are independent.) = drgmax

Consider:
$$f(Y_i = Y_i | \vec{w} = \vec{w}) = f(\vec{x}_i^T \vec{w}_i + 3_i = Y_i | \vec{w} = \vec{w}_i)$$

$$= f(3_i = Y_i - \vec{X}_i^T \vec{w}_i) | \vec{w} = \vec{w}_i) = \frac{e^{-(Y_i - \vec{X}_i^T \vec{w}_i)^2/2\sigma_i^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_i^2}$$

argmax
$$\frac{r}{W_0^2} = \frac{(y_1 - \overline{y_1}^T \overline{w_2}^2)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}$$

$$= \underset{\text{to}_{\circ}}{\operatorname{augmax}} \frac{1}{(\overline{zr})^{n}} \frac{1}{\overline{rr}\sigma_{i}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} - (y_{i} - \overline{z}_{i}^{T} \overline{\omega}_{\circ}^{2})^{2} / 2\sigma_{i}^{2} \right\}$$

= augmin
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{x_i}^T \overline{w_o})^2 / 2\sigma_i^2$$

$$S^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sigma_{1}^{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma_{h}^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

" Side-information" what if we had a prior on w? MAP: Naximum. a. postorion z: ~ N(0, 0;2) w; ~ N (µ:, 3:) "Prior" on w y:= マバンン+る; * W ~ N (T, Ew) $\vec{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_1 \\ \mathcal{M}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{M}_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{W}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_1^2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{S}_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{S}_n^2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\underset{\square}{\operatorname{argmax}} f(\overrightarrow{w}) Y_{1} = y_{1}, y_{2} = y_{1} \dots Y_{n} = y_{n})$ (*) What is the most likely w, given the data? $f(\overrightarrow{w}|Y_1=y_1...Y_n=y_n) = f(Y_1=y_1,Y_2=y_2...Y_n=y_n|\overrightarrow{w}) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{w})$ $f(Y_1=y_1...Y_n=y_n) = f(Y_1=y_1,Y_2=y_2...Y_n=y_n|\overrightarrow{w}) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{w})$ $f(Y_1=y_1...Y_n=y_n) = f(Y_1=y_1,Y_2=y_2...Y_n=y_n|\overrightarrow{w}) \cdot f(\overrightarrow{w})$ (Bayes Rule) (+) MAP = augmax $f(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n) \overrightarrow{w}). f(\overrightarrow{w})$ 7=3 = aigmax $f(\vec{y}, \vec{y}' | \vec{\omega}') f(\vec{\omega}')$ = augmax $\left(\frac{\hat{T}}{\hat{T}} f(Y_i = y_i | \vec{\omega}) \right) f(\vec{\omega})$.

$$= \underset{\omega}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(\frac{r}{T} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(\vec{x}_{i}^{T}\vec{w} - y_{i})^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}\right) \cdot \frac{e^{-(\vec{w} - \vec{\mu})T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\vec{w} - \vec{\mu})}{\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot \sigma_{i}^{2}} \right)$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}_{\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot \sigma_{i}^{2}} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}_{\sqrt{2\pi}}_{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}_{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}_{\sqrt$$

= augmax
$$\exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} -\frac{(\vec{x}_i^T \vec{\omega}^2 - \vec{y}_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} + -(\vec{\omega} - \vec{\mu})^T \vec{\Sigma}_{\vec{w}}^T (\vec{\omega}^2 - \vec{\mu}^2)\right\}$$

= augmin
$$||S(X\overline{\omega}-\overline{y})||_2^2 + ||\overline{\Sigma}_{\overline{\omega}}||(\overline{\omega}-\overline{y})||_2^2$$
 like the λ terms.

What happens if 9; is large?

thoose less peralty for deviation from the mean.

Principal Components Regression.

XERMAN.

min 11xw - 71/2

X is full column rank.

X=U EVT.

Ls: $\hat{w} = (x^T x)^T X^T \hat{y}$

= ((UZVT)) (UZVT)) (UZVT) -1. y

Do usual math.

= V = 0 0 UT 9

For PCR: Only consider top & principal components instead of all of X.

"Ridge regression as soft PCA" w in the V basis. augmin $\| \times \vec{w} - \vec{y} \|_2^2 + \lambda \| \vec{w} \|_2^2$ 73 - V.73 augmin || X.V.3 -]||2 + 1 || V.3 ||2 X=UZYT augnin || XV3-7 ||2 + x ||3 ||2 (Ridge). (ATA+XI) ATB $\vec{3} \text{ ridge}^{=} \frac{\left((xv)^{\mathsf{T}} (xv) + \lambda \mathbf{I} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} (xv)^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \vec{y}}{\left(x^{\mathsf{T}} x^{\mathsf{T}} x v + \lambda \mathbf{I} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} (xv)^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \vec{y}}$ = (VI(UZVI) T(UZVI) V + XI) (XV) J HW: do this concellation. $= \left(\sum_{n \neq m} \sum_{n \neq m}$