

EECS 127/227AT Discussion 6 Slides

Druv Pai

October 7, 2020

Lagrangians

- Motivation: want to solve constrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{F}} f(x),$$

especially when \mathcal{F} , f are convex.

- We have tools to solve (convex) unconstrained optimization problems, so we want to convert constrained optimization problems into unconstrained problems.
- First idea: indicator functions, if K is a set then

$$I_K(x) = \begin{cases} \infty, & x \notin K \\ 0, & x \in K \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{F}} f(x) = \min_x (f(x) + I_{\mathcal{F}}(x)).$$

- Theoretically – great! Solutions to constrained problem are exactly solutions to unconstrained problem.

- ▶ Practically – terrible! How do we optimize over indicator functions? First derivatives not obvious, iterative optimization is a huge failure. 2/10 would not recommend.
- ▶ What we want: smooth version of $I_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ which fulfills this property: optimal solutions are the same for constrained/unconstrained. Lets us optimize using regular methods.

Notation: constrained **primal** problem \mathcal{P}_c is

$$\begin{aligned} p^* = \min_x \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g(x) \leq 0 \\ & h(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Recall: f scalar valued, g, h vector valued.

Definition (Lagrangian)

Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu)$ defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu) = f(x) + \lambda^T g(x) + \nu^T h(x).$$

Primal problem:

$$p^* = \min_x \max_{\substack{\lambda \geq 0 \\ \nu}} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu).$$

Dual problem:

$$d^* = \max_{\substack{\lambda \geq 0 \\ \nu}} \min_x \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu).$$

Switching min / max converts from primal to dual problem.

Fact: $d^* \leq p^*$, consequence of Minimax Theorem. (Simple algebra, but not proved here.)

Claim

Our p^* equations are both valid, i.e.

$$\min_{\substack{g(x) \leq 0 \\ h(x) = 0}} f(x) = \min_x \max_{\lambda \geq 0, \nu} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu).$$

Proof.

Write out

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu) = f(x) + \lambda^T g(x) + \nu^T h(x).$$

If even one $g(x)_i > 0$, then $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$ implies $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu) \rightarrow \infty$, so we pick x for which $g(x) \leq 0$ (note because $\lambda_i \geq 0$ we can't pick $\lambda_i \rightarrow -\infty$ for $g(x)_i < 0$). If even one $h(x)_i \neq 0$, $\nu_i \rightarrow \text{sign}(h(x)_i) \cdot \infty$ implies $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu) \rightarrow \infty$, so we only pick x for which $h(x) = 0$. \square

- ▶ Our Lagrangian is exactly what we want for converting constrained problems to unconstrained problems!
- ▶ Single most important innovation in convex optimization.
- ▶ Sadly, not always easy to find optimal solution to Lagrangian.

Dual Problem

Dual problem is sometimes easier to solve than primal problem.

$$d^* = \max_{\substack{\lambda \geq 0 \\ \nu}} \min_x \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu).$$

- ▶ Weak duality: $d^* \leq p^*$ – intuition: any solution to the dual problem is a lower bound on the primal solution, and if we find one dual solution and primal solution with the same value then they're both optimal.
- ▶ Strong duality: $d^* = p^*$. If we can solve the dual problem then we're done.

Theorem (Slater's Condition)

If $g(x)_i$ is convex and feasible region contains an open set (there is an x for which $g(x) < 0$) then strong duality holds.

KKT

How to actually solve primal/dual problems?

- ▶ In some cases we can just take the derivative of \mathcal{L} and set to 0 (if \mathcal{L} is convex in x , since \mathcal{L} is linear in λ, ν).
- ▶ In other cases, we have to use KKT conditions to find necessary/sufficient conditions for solution.

Theorem (KKT Necessary Conditions)

Any feasible solution (x^*, λ^*, ν^*) must obey

- ▶ Primal feasibility: $g(x^*) \leq 0, h(x^*) = 0$
- ▶ Dual feasibility: $\lambda^* \geq 0$
- ▶ Stationarity:

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*) = \nabla_x f(x^*) + \underbrace{(\nabla_x g(x^*))}_{\text{matrix}} \lambda^* + \underbrace{(\nabla_x h(x^*))}_{\text{matrix}} \nu^* = 0$$

- ▶ Complementary slackness:

$$\lambda^{*T} g(x^*) = 0 \text{ or equivalently } \lambda_i^* g(x^*)_i = 0.$$

Another (more useful) way to write stationarity is

$$\nabla_x f(x^*) + \sum_i \lambda_i^* (\nabla_x g_i(x^*)) + \sum_i \nu_i^* (\nabla_x h_i(x^*)) = 0$$

Obviously first three conditions are necessary. What about complementary slackness? Solution is by exchange argument; if $g(x^*)_i \neq 0$ then $\lambda_i^* = 0$ improves objective value.