THE HAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

~ Principle of apprincity

Minimiting over [t,t] is equivalent to minimize over [t,t] and [t,t]

$$\int (x(t),t) = \min_{u \in \{t,t\}} \left(\int_{t}^{t_1} (x,u,z) dz + \min_{u \in \{t\},t\}} \int_{t}^{t_2} (x,u,z) dz + G(x(t_2)) \right)$$

$$J(x(t),t) = \min_{u \in t,t_i} \left[\int_{t}^{t_i} \lambda(x,u,z) dz + J(x(t,t_i)) \right]$$

Serine the fundion $C(x(t),t) = \min_{u(z) \in U} \int_{u(z)}^{t} (x(z),u(z),z) dz$

If (x', v', tg') is or aptind solution for the control problem

$$C(x^{\circ}(t),t) = \int_{t_{i}}^{t} L(x^{\circ}(c),v^{\circ}(e),c) dc \quad \forall t \in [t_{i},t_{j}^{\circ}]$$

that is if a solution is applied, it is applied in any subinterval.

Proof

Assume He relation (is not true.

There exists a control v' and the side x' in [ti,t] s.t: $\int_{t}^{t} L(x',v',z) dz < \int_{t}^{t} L(x',v',z) dz$

I con défine a new solution et le appliend control: ~= { o' \telti,t] \times \telti,ti] \times \telti,ti] \times \times \telti,ti] \times \times \telti,ti] \times \telti,ti] \times \telti,ti] \times \times \telti,ti] \times \times \telti,ti] \times \ti $J(\hat{x}, \hat{v}, \hat{t}_{g}) = \int_{\mathcal{L}}^{t_{g}} L(\hat{x}, \hat{v}, t) dt = \int_{\mathcal{L}}^{t} L(\hat{x}, \hat{v}, t) dt + \int_{\mathcal{L}}^{t_{g}} L(\hat{x}, \hat{v}, t) dt$ $t_{i} \qquad t_{i} \qquad t_{i} \qquad t_{i} \qquad t_{i} \qquad t_{i} \qquad t_{i} \qquad corred.d.o.o.!$

 $C(x(t),t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(x(t),u(t),z) dt$ $\forall t \in [t_i,t_i]$

 $\frac{dC}{dt}|^{\circ} = \mathcal{L}(x^{\circ}, v^{\circ}, t)$

 $\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} =$

The voidin of the C fundion wit the sole multiplied to the voidin of the state plus the voriation of C wit time is equal to the Lagrangian evoluted in the optimal solution

~ Hovilton - Socolo equation

It is a approach in some sense alternative to the wimm principle, combined with the Euler-Legrenge equation. It is useful mointy for linear regulator probblems.

The H-J equation is satisfied by the aptimal performance index under suitable differentiability and continuity assumptions.

If a solution to the H-J equation has certain properties. this solution is the desired performance index.

The H-I rapresents only a sufficient condition on the applical performance index

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \left| \left| \left(x^{\circ}, v^{\circ}, t \right) + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \right|^{\circ} + \lambda \left(x^{\circ}, v^{\circ}, t \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = | (x^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}, \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}, t) = 0$$

~ Portryagin Principle

Consider the dynamical system i = f(x,v,t)

f in the colcolus of voridions was C2 class.

In this case of, as, at & C° (R"x UxR)

*(t) eR", u(t) & UCRP.

x (ti) = xi

For the find volves assume X(x(tg),tg)=0

xe C' (R68 ≤ n+1)

Constraints:

) h (x,u, c) d = = tc t; with $e_{1}, \frac{\partial e_{1}}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial e_{2}}{\partial t} \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times U \times \mathbb{R})$

Cost index: $5(x,v,t_8) = \int_{\pm i}^{t_8} L(x,v,t) dt$ with $L_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times U \times \mathbb{R})$

setistying:

- obyranical system

- control's constroist

initial and find conditions

- minimite the cost

- Horistoion

$$H(x, v, \lambda_0, \lambda) = \lambda_0 L(x, v) + \lambda^T(t) \int_{0}^{t} (x, v) + \rho^T h(x, v, t)$$

$$5 = \int_{0}^{t} L(x, v) + \lambda^T(t) \int_{0}^{t} (x, v) + \rho^T h(x, v, t)$$
Theorem:

Consider on admissible solution
$$(x^*, u^*, tg^*)$$
 s.t. rook $\left\{\frac{\partial x}{\partial (x(t_1), t_1)}\right\}^2 = 68$

It it is a local ninimum

I 2020, p* & R6, 2* e E'[ti, ts*] not simultoneously nell such Hot:

$$|H(x^*, \omega, \lambda^*, \lambda^*) \ge |H(x^*, u^*, \lambda^*, \lambda^*, \lambda^*)| \quad \forall \omega \in U$$

$$|Portryogin : requality \quad \text{for any odnissible}$$

And I a veter ge R68 such Hot:

Descotimity of ?" may seen in the where u" has a discotimity and

only in this case the condition holds in place of the inequality of Patryogin

Proof We not to prove the Portryagin inequality. Let's assume (x°, v°, tg) extind round solution and E>0. Cover two subsitervols: global 20=1 $= \lim_{t \to 2} \int_{\xi} \int_{\xi$ $x(t-\varepsilon) = x(t) - \varepsilon \dot{x} = x(t) - \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\infty} (x, u, t) \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x, u, t) dx$ = $\frac{mn}{v(\tau)\epsilon v} \left[C(x(t) - \xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x, v, t), t - \xi) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x, v, t) dz \right]$ Toylor expossion with (x(t),t) as introl point: $\{e(x) = e(x_0) + \frac{de}{dx}(x_0)\}$ $= \min_{u(t) \in U} \left[C(x(t),t) + \frac{dC}{dx}\left[-2\}(x_0,t)\right] + \frac{dC}{dt}(-E) + E \int_{x_0}^{x_0} (x_0,t) dt$ Since nong quotities don't depend on u(e): because & very little $C(x(t),t)=C(x(t),t)-\xi\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}+\min_{u(e)\in U}\left[-\frac{\partial C}{\partial x(t)}\xi^{\dagger}(x,u,t)+\xi^{\dagger}\right]$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \min_{v(e) \in U} \left[-\frac{\partial C}{\partial x(t)} \int_{t}^{t} (x_{i}, v_{i}, t) + L(x_{i}, v_{i}, t) \right] \quad \forall (x_{i}(t), t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

Let us choose any $t \in Ct_{i}$, $t_{i}^{\circ} J$ and $x_{i}(t) = x^{\circ}(t)$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \min_{v(e) \in U} \left[-\frac{\partial C}{\partial x(t)} \right]^{\circ} \int_{t}^{t} (x_{i}^{\circ}(t), v_{i}, t) + L(x_{i}^{\circ}, v_{i}, t) \right]$$

Given the Henriton – Joeds: equation:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t}^{t} (x_{i}^{\circ}, v_{i}^{\circ}, t) + \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_{i}^{\circ}} = L(x_{i}^{\circ}, v_{i}^{\circ}, t)$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x_{i}^{\circ}} = \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t}^{t} (x_{i}^{\circ}, v_{i}^{\circ}, t) + L(x_{i}^{\circ}, v_{i}^{\circ}, t) + L(x_{i}^{\circ}, v_{i}^{\circ}, t)$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x_{i}^{\circ}} = \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t}^{t} (x_{i}^{\circ}, v_{i}^{\circ}, t) + L(x_{i}^{\circ}, v_{i}^{\circ},$$

My Hon-Morion evoluted in the optimal solution is less or equal to the Horitonian evoluted in any other point, in particular in the aptimal state but with orather educations the costrol

~ Convex cose {x=A(t),B(t), with x(t) eRn, v(t) eUcRp x(t)=x, ×ltg)= ×g, A,B & C' Yte [ti,tg] V is a convex set L, 22, 22 e C° (R° x Ux [ti,ts]) We not to find if exist (v° ¿ C° [ti,tg], « ¿ C'[ti;tg]) that minimize the cost function H(x,u, 20,2) = 20 L(x,u) + 2T(t) J(x,u) the recessory end sufficient condition for (x°, v°, tg°) to be e book nimm: I 2° & Z'[ti,ty] n-dinersiand vector such that: 1) 2° = - 34 | 1 3) if x(tg) ∈ Rn - D 2° (tg) = 20 | ot | ot | x(tg) | if L is strictly convex wrt x and v and G is strictly convex wrt x(tg) the solution is unique

~ Stot: overy probblen

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x, y) & \text{with } x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \ y(t) \in \mathbb{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, \ f, \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times U) \\ x(t;) = x_{i} \end{cases}$$

We wont to find if exist (tje (ti, o), ve E° (ti, tj° I, xe E' [ti, tj°]) that nimite the cost function

 $H(x, 0, \lambda_0, \lambda) = \lambda_0 L(x, 0) + \lambda^T(t) g(x, 0)$ The necessary condition for $(x^0, 0^0, t_0^0)$ to be a local

Joek, l'e E' [ti, tg'] rot simultaneously rull

2) $H(x^{\circ}, \omega, \lambda^{\circ}, \lambda^{\circ}) \geq H(x^{\circ}, u^{\circ}, \lambda^{\circ}, \lambda^{\circ}) \forall \omega \in U$ 3) $H(x^{\circ}, \omega, \lambda^{\circ}, \lambda^{\circ}) \geq H(x^{\circ}, u^{\circ}, \lambda^{\circ}, \lambda^{\circ}) \forall \omega \in U$ K (ty fixed)

~ Unstationary Problem

$$J(x,v,t_g) = \int_{t_i}^{t_g} L(x,v,t) dt$$
 $L \stackrel{\mathcal{U}}{\underset{f}{\rightleftharpoons}} \stackrel{\mathcal{U}}{\underset{f}{\rightleftharpoons}} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{U}_{\times}\mathbb{R})$

We wont to find it exist (ty's (ti, to), v'& C'[ti,tg] x' & C'[ti,tg] that minimize the cost function

$$H(x,u,2o,2) = 2o L(x,u) + 2T(t) f(x,u)$$

The condition for $(x^{\circ}, v^{\circ}, t_{g}^{\circ})$ to be a book ninner I loek, l'e C' [ti, tg'] not simultaneously nell

1)
$$2^{\circ} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \Big|_{x}$$

2)
$$H(x^{\circ}, \omega, \lambda^{\circ}, \lambda^{\circ}, t) \geq H(x^{\circ}, \omega^{\circ}, \lambda^{\circ}, \lambda^{\circ}) \quad \forall \omega \in U$$

2)
$$H(x^{\circ}, \omega, 2^{\circ}, 2^{\circ}, t) \ge H(x^{\circ}, v^{\circ}, 2^{\circ}, 2^{\circ})$$
 $\forall \omega \in U$
3) $H^{\circ} + \int_{\partial \mathcal{I}}^{t_{g}} dz = \int_{K}^{0} (t_{g} \text{ not } f; \text{keol})$

If 5=) 2 de + G(x(fg), tg) (the Bolto term is present);

The necessary conditions ore:
$$\lambda^{\circ}(t_{5}) = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x(t_{8})} \Big|_{t=0}^{t=0} + \lambda^{\circ} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x(t_{8})} \Big|_{t=0}^{t=0}$$

HI+ 1 3th de + 20 26 10 + 1: 5th 20 22 de