

RECURSIVE OPTIMAL ESTIMATORS

Kalman Filter

Discrete time sys.

$$x(k+1) = A(k)x(k) + B(k)u(k) + \tilde{F}(k)N_k'$$

$$y(k+1) = C(k)x(k) + D(k)u(k) + \tilde{G}(k)N_k''$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\{N\}$ sequences of random vecs
↳ deterministic inputs $E\{N\} = 0$

Aim: estimate $x(j)$ from observations $\{y(0), \dots, y(k)\}$

$\begin{cases} \text{predicting} & j > k \\ \text{filtering} & j = k \\ \text{interpolating} & j < k \end{cases}$

First step: split $x(k)$ into deterministic and stochastic

$$x(k) = x_d(k) + x_s(k) \quad \begin{array}{ll} x_s(k) = x(k) - E\{x(k)\} \\ x_d(k) = E\{x(k)\} \end{array}$$

\downarrow depends on u \downarrow depends on N

The evolution of x_d, x_s :

$$x_d(k+1) = E\{x(k+1)\} = E\{A(k)x(k) + B(k)u(k) + \tilde{F}(k)N_k'\} \\ = A(k)x_d(k) + B(k)u(k)$$

$$x_d(0) = E\{x(0)\}$$

$$x_s(k+1) = x(k+1) - E\{x(k+1)\} = A(k)x_s(k) + \tilde{F}(k)N_k'$$

$$x_s(0) = x(0) - E\{x(0)\}$$

Similarly for $y(k) = y_d(k) + y_s(k)$

$$y_d(k) = C(k)x_d(k) + D(k)u(k)$$

$$y_s(k) = C(k)x_s(k) + \tilde{G}(k)N_k'' \quad E\{y_s(k)\} = 0$$

It is sufficient to estimate $x_s(k)$ and sum with $x_d(k)$

Stochastic system: $\begin{cases} x_s(k+1) = A(k)x_s(k) + \tilde{F}(k)N_k' \\ x_s(0) = x(0) - E\{x(0)\} \\ y_s(k) = C(k)x_s(k) + \tilde{G}(k)N_k'' \end{cases}$

We define $N_k = \begin{pmatrix} N_k' \\ N_k'' \end{pmatrix}$ $F(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}(k) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $G(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{G}(k) \end{pmatrix}$

So that the previous sys becomes

$$\begin{cases} x_s(k+1) = A(k) x_s(k) + F(k) N_k \\ x_s(0) = x(0) - E\{x(0)\} \\ y_s(k) = C(k) x_s(k) + G(k) N_k \end{cases}$$

$\{N_k\}$ white and gaussian $\rightarrow \begin{cases} E\{N_k N_j^T\} = \delta_{kj} I \\ E\{N_k\} = 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall k, j$
 $F N_k, G N_k$ are uncorrelated.

(Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) probability space,

$\mathcal{H}_k^{y_s}$ the σ -algebra generated by $y_{s,k} = \begin{pmatrix} y_s(0) \\ \vdots \\ y_s(k) \end{pmatrix}$
 $\mathcal{H}_k^{y_s} = \sigma(y_{s,k}) \subset \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_k^{y_s} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{k+1}^{y_s}$ (i.e. a filtration)

$$\hat{x}_s(k) = f(y_{s,k})$$

$f(\cdot)$ can be such that to minimize the error variance

$$J(f(y_{s,k})) = E\{\|f(y_{s,k}) - x_s(k)\|^2\}$$

then $\hat{x}_s(k) = E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{H}_k^{y_s}\}$

to compute this quantity we need a recursive solution (possible because N_k white gaussian)

Assumptions: $\{N_k\}$ white gaussian
 $E\{N_k\} = 0$, $E\{N_k N_j^T\} = \delta_{kj} I$, $k \neq j$
 $x(0)$ gaussian and independent from $\{N_k\}$ (uncorrelated)

Recursive expression of the covariance $\Psi_{x_s}(k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{x_s}(k+1) &= E\{x_s(k+1) x_s^T(k+1)\} = \\ &= E\{(A(k) x_s(k) + F(k) N_k)(A(k) x_s(k) + F(k) N_k)^T\} \\ &= A(k) E\{x_s(k) x_s^T(k)\} A^T(k) + A(k) E\{x_s(k) N_k^T\} F^T(k) + \\ &\quad + F(k) E\{N_k x_s^T(k)\} A^T(k) + F(k) E\{N_k N_k^T\} F^T(k) \\ E\{x_s(k) N_k^T\} &= 0 \rightarrow \boxed{\Psi_{x_s}(k+1) = A(k) \Psi_{x_s}(k) A^T(k) + F(k) F^T(k)} \end{aligned}$$

• Definition of innovation sequences

- State innovations $V = v_i = y_k^{Y_s} - \text{measurable random vec}$
"innovative" contribution of y in $x_s(k)$
 $V_s(0) = \hat{x}_s(0) = 0$
 $V_s(k) = \hat{x}_s(k) - E\{\hat{x}_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$

- Output innovations

$$N_s(0) = \hat{y}_s(0) = 0$$

$$N_s(k) = \hat{y}_s(k) - E\{\hat{y}_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$$

One step prediction: optimal estimate of $x_s(k)$ given the observations $y_s(k), \dots, y_s(k-1)$

$$E\{\hat{x}_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\} = E\{E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}\} | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s} \text{ - measurable} \rightarrow E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\} = \hat{x}_s(k | k-1)$$

Since $y_s(k)$ is $\mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}$ -measurable $\rightarrow \hat{y}_s(k) = y_s(k)$

Therefore:

$$V_s(k) = \hat{x}_s(k) - E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$$

$$N_s(k) = \hat{y}_s(k) - E\{y_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$$

Prop 1: $E\{V_s(k)\} = 0$
 $E\{V_s(k) V_s^T(j)\} = 0 \quad k \neq j$

Proof: $E\{V_s(k)\} = E\{E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}\} - E\{E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}\}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \hat{x}_s(k)$
 $\quad \quad \quad = E\{x_s(k)\} - E\{x_s(k)\} = 0 \quad (\checkmark)$

For the second we assume $k < j$, therefore $\mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_j^{Y_s}$:

$$E\{V_s(k) V_s^T(j)\} = E\{E\{V_s(k) V_s^T(j) | \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}\}\}$$

$$= E\{V_s(k) E\{V_s^T(j) | \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}\}\}$$

Since $\mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{j-1}^{Y_s}$

$$E\{V_s(j) | \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}\} = E\{\hat{x}_s(j) - E\{x_s(j) | \mathcal{Y}_{j-1}^{Y_s}\} | \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}\} =$$

$$= E\{E\{x_s(j) | \mathcal{Y}_j^{Y_s}\} - E\{x_s(j) | \mathcal{Y}_{j-1}^{Y_s}\} | \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}\} =$$

$$= E\{x_s(j) | \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}\} - E\{x_s(j) | \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}\} = 0 \quad (\checkmark)$$

Fact 2 $E\{\mu_s(k)\} = 0$
 $E\{\mu_s(k) \mu_s^T(j)\} = 0 \quad \forall k \neq j$

Fact 3 $E\{v_s(k) \mu_s^T(j)\} = 0 \quad \forall k \neq j$

Proof : $v_s(k)$ is $\mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}$ -measurable, $\mu_s(k)$ is $\mathcal{Y}_j^{Y_s}$ -measurable

For $k > j$, since $\mathcal{Y}_j^{Y_s} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_k^{Y_s}$

$$E\{v(k) \cdot \mu^T(j)\} = E\{E\{v(k) \mu^T(j) | \mathcal{Y}_j^{Y_s}\}\} =$$

$$= E\{E\{v_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_j^{Y_s}\} \mu^T(j)\}$$

same of before substituting j and k

Fact 4 : $v_s(k) = \hat{x}_s(k) - A(k-1) \hat{x}_s(k-1)$

Therefore $\hat{x}_s(k|k-1) = A(k-1) \hat{x}_s(k-1)$ (optimal one step prediction)
 to be compared with $x_s(k) = A(k-1)x_s(k-1) + F(k-1)N_k$

Proof : $v_s(k) = \hat{x}_s(k) - E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$
 $= \hat{x}_s(k) - E\{A(k-1)x_s(k-1) + F(k-1)N_{k-1} | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$
 $= \hat{x}_s(k) - A(k-1)\hat{x}_s(k-1) - E\{F(k-1)N_{k-1} | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$

But

$$y_s(k-1) = C(k-1)x_s(k-1) + G(k-1)N_{k-1}$$

$$A(k-2)x_s(k-2) + F(k-2)N_{k-2}$$

$F(k-1)N_{k-1}$, $F(j-2)N_{j-2}$, $G(j-1)N_{j-1}$ are independent for $j \leq k$

$$\Rightarrow E\{F(k-1)N_{k-1} | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\} = E\{F(k-1)N_{k-1}\} = 0 \quad (\checkmark)$$

Fact 5 : $\mu_s(k) = y_s(k) - C(k)A(k-1)\hat{x}_s(k-1)$

Proof : $\mu_s(k) = y_s(k) - E\{y_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$
 $= y_s(k) - E\{C(k)x_s(k) + G(k)N_k | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\}$
 $= y_s(k) - C(k)\hat{x}_s(k|k-1) - E\{G(k)N_k | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{Y_s}\} =$
 $= y_s(k) - C(k)A(k-1)\hat{x}_s(k-1)$

Fact 6 : $\{v_s(k)\}, \{\mu_s(k)\}$ are gaussian random vectors

Proof : $x_s(k)$ is a linear combination of gauss. vecs with ^{zero} mean
therefore is a gauss. vec.

$y_s(j)$ is gaussian with zero mean

$\hat{x}_s(k) = E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s}\}$ affine function of the gaussian observations $y_s(k)$, therefore is gaussian

$\hat{x}_s(k|k-1) = E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{y_s}\}$ same

Same for $E\{y_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{y_s}\} \rightarrow \begin{matrix} v_s(k) \\ \mu_s(k) \end{matrix}$ are gaussian vecs

Fact 7 $\mathcal{Y}_k^{\mu_s} = \mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s}$ where $\mathcal{Y}_k^{\mu_s} = \sigma\{\mu_s(j) : 0 \leq j \leq k\}$

Proof : Since $\mu_s(0) = y_s(0)$
 $\mu_s(k) = y_s(k) - E\{y_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{y_s}\}$

It follows that $\mu_s(j)$, $0 \leq j \leq k$, is $\mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s}$ -measurable and $\mathcal{Y}_j^{y_s} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s}$
 $\mathcal{Y}_k^{\mu_s}$ is the smallest σ -algebra for which $\mu_s(j)$ is $\mathcal{Y}_k^{\mu_s}$ -measurable

Therefore $\mathcal{Y}_k^{\mu_s} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s}$

And also : $\mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_k^{\mu_s}$

$y_s(j)$ is a measurable function of $\mu_s(i)$ $\forall i \leq j$

$y_s(0) = \mu_s(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0^{y_s} = \mathcal{Y}_0^{\mu_s}$

$y_s(1) = \mu_s(1) + E\{y_s(1) | \mathcal{Y}_0^{y_s}\} \rightarrow$ meas. fun. of $\mu_s(1), \mu_s(0)$

$y_s(2) = \mu_s(2) + E\{y_s(2) | \mathcal{Y}_1^{y_s}\} \rightarrow$ meas. fun. of $\mu_s(2), \mu_s(1), \mu_s(0)$

By induction $y_s(k)$ meas. fun. of $\mu_s(k), \dots, \mu_s(0)$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_k^{\mu_s}$

- Foot 8 $\hat{v}_s(k) = E\{v_s(k) | Y_k^{Y_s}\} = v_s(k)$
 $= E\{v_s(k) | Y_k^{N_s}\}$ (from foot 7)

Since $\begin{bmatrix} v_s(k) \\ N_s(0) \\ \vdots \\ N_s(k) \end{bmatrix}$ is gaussian with 0 mean it follows that

$E\{N_s | Y_k^{N_s}\}$ optimal estimate of N_s given $Y_k^{N_s}$ is a linear function of $\begin{bmatrix} N_s(0) \\ \vdots \\ N_s(k) \end{bmatrix}$:

$$E\{v_s(k) | Y_k^{Y_s}\} = \Pi(k) \begin{bmatrix} N_s(0) \\ \vdots \\ N_s(k) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Pi(k) = [\Pi_0(k) \quad \dots \quad \Pi_k(k)]$$

with $\Pi_j(k) = 0 \quad \forall j < k$

Proof: $v_s(k) = E\{v_s(k) | Y_k^{N_s}\} = \sum_{i=0}^k \Pi_i(k) N_s(i)$

post multiplying by $N_s^T(j)$, $j < k$

$$E\{v_s(k) N_s^T(j)\} = \sum_{i=0}^k \Pi_i(k) E\{N_s(i) N_s^T(j)\}$$

Since $E\{v_s(k) N_s^T(j)\} = 0 \quad k \neq j$ $> 0 \quad v_s(j)$ is gaussian

$$E\{N_s(i) N_s^T(j)\} = 0 \quad i \neq j$$

therefore $\Pi_j(k) = 0 \quad \forall j < k$

Foot 9 $v_s(k) = \overbrace{\Pi_k(k)}^{\text{gain matrix}} \underbrace{N_s(k)}_{\substack{Y_s(k) - C(k)A(k-1)\hat{x}_s(k-1)}} = \hat{x}_s(k) - A(k-1)\hat{x}_s(k-1)$

Therefore $\hat{x}_s(k) = A(k-1)\hat{x}_s(k-1) + \overbrace{\Pi_k(k)}^{\text{gain}} \underbrace{(\gamma_s(k) - C(k)A(k-1)\hat{x}_s(k-1))}_{\hat{x}_s(k|k-1)}$ (*)

or $\hat{x}_s(k) = (I - \Pi_k(k)C(k))A(k-1)\hat{x}_s(k-1) + \Pi_k(k)\gamma_s(k)$ (**)

III Computation of $\pi_K(k)$

$$v_s(k) = \pi_K(k) \mu_s(k)$$

post multiplying by $\mu_s^T(k)$

$$\underbrace{E\{v_s(k) \mu_s^T(k)\}}_{\Psi_{v_s \mu_s}} = \pi_K(k) \underbrace{E\{\mu_s(k) \mu_s^T(k)\}}_{\Psi_{\mu_s}}$$

$$\boxed{\pi_K(k) = \Psi_{v_s \mu_s} \Psi_{\mu_s}^{-1}} \quad \text{we need to redefine this formula}$$

We introduce $e_s(k) = x_s(k) - \hat{x}_s(k)$ estimation error
and we compute $E\{e_s(k) e_s^T(k)\}$

• Calculation of $E\{e_s(k) e_s^T(k)\}$ (estimation error covariance)

Using ~~(*)~~

$$\begin{aligned} e_s(k) &= x_s(k) - \hat{x}_s(k) = \\ &= x_s(k) - [I - \pi_K(k) C(k)] A(k-1) \hat{x}_s(k-1) - \pi_K(k) \gamma_s(k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{From sys. eq. : } \begin{cases} x_s(k) = A(k-1) x_s(k-1) + F(k-1) N_{k-1} \\ \gamma_s(k) = C(k) A(k-1) x_s(k-1) + C(k) F(k-1) N_{k-1} + G(k) N_k \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_s(k) &= [I - \pi_K(k) C(k)] A(k-1) e_s(k-1) \quad \textcircled{I} \\ &\quad + [I - \pi_K(k) C(k)] F(k-1) N_{k-1} \quad \textcircled{II} \\ &\quad - \pi_K(k) G(k) N_k \quad \textcircled{III} \end{aligned}$$

I, II, III are independent in pairs $E\{II III^T\} = E\{II\} E\{III^T\} = 0$
example $E\{II\} = E\{III\} = 0$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{P(k)} &= E\{e_s(k) e_s^T(k)\} = E\{I I^T\} + E\{II II^T\} + E\{III III^T\} \\ &= [I - \pi_K(k) C(k)] \Lambda_p(k) [I - \pi_K(k) C(k)]^T \\ &\quad + \pi_K(k) G(k) G^T(k) \pi_K^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_p(k) &= A(k-1) P(k-1) A^T(k-1) + F(k-1) F^T(k-1) \quad \text{error prediction covariance} \\ &= A(k-1) e_s(k) + F(k-1) N_{k-1}\end{aligned}$$

- Calculus of $E\{p_s(k) p_s^T(k)\}$ and $E\{v_s(k) p_s^T(k)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\boxed{p_s(k)} &= y_s(k) - E\{y_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{y_s}\} = \\ &= C(k) x_s(k) + G(k) N_k - C(k) A(k-1) \hat{x}_s(k-1) \\ &= C(k) A(k-1) e_s(k-1) + C(k) F(k-1) N_{k-1} + G(k) N_k\end{aligned}$$

Since the three elements in the sum are independent

$$\boxed{E\{p_s(k) p_s^T(k)\}} = C(k) \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k) + G(k) G^T(k)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boxed{v_s(k)} &= \hat{x}_s(k) - E\{x_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{y_s}\} \\ &= \hat{x}_s(k) - A(k-1) \hat{x}_s(k-1) \quad (-x_s(k) + x_s(k)) \\ &= -e_s(k) + A(k-1) e_s(k-1) + \underbrace{F(k-1) N_{k-1}}_{E\{F(k-1) N_{k-1} | \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}^{y_s}\} = 0}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}E\{v_s(k) p_s^T(k)\} &= -E\{e_s(k) p_s^T(k)\} \quad \textcircled{I} \\ &\quad + E\{A(k-1) e_s(k-1) p_s^T(k)\} \quad \textcircled{II} \\ &\quad + E\{F(k-1) N_{k-1} p_s^T(k)\} \quad \textcircled{III}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\textcircled{I} &= E\{E\{e_s(k) | \mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s}\} p_s^T(k)\} = 0 \\ &\quad E\{x_s | \mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s}\} - E\{\hat{x}_s | \mathcal{Y}_k^{y_s}\} = \hat{x}_s(k) - \hat{x}_s(k) = 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{II} = A(k-1) \underbrace{E\{e_s(k-1) e_s^T(k-1)\}}_{P(k-1)} A^T(k-1) C^T(k)$$

$$\textcircled{III} = F(k-1) F^T(k-1) C^T(k)$$

$$[I - \pi_k C_k] \Lambda C_k^T - \pi_k G G^T = 0$$

$$\boxed{E\{v_s(k) p_s^T(k)\}} = \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k)$$

$$\pi_k = \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k) \cdot [C(k) \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k) + G(k) G^T(k)]^{-1}$$

$$P_k = [I - \pi_k C(k)]^{-1} P(k)$$

Kalman filter equations

$$\hat{x}_s(k) = \underbrace{A(k-1) \hat{x}_s(k-1)}_{\text{gain}} + \underbrace{\Pi_k(k)}_{\text{gain}} \left(y_s(k) - C(k) \underbrace{A(k-1) \hat{x}_s(k-1)}_{\hat{x}_s(k|k-1)} \right)$$

1) Initialization $k=0$ $y_0^s = y_m$: no observation at time 0

$$\hat{x}_s(0|-1) = E \{ x_s(0) | \mathcal{Y}_{-1}^s \} = E \{ x_s(0) | \mathcal{Y}_m \} = E \{ x_s(0) \} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(0) &= E \{ e_{sp}(0) e_{sp}^T(0) \} = E \{ (x_s(0) - x_s(0|-1))(x_s(0) - x_s(0|-1))^T \} \\ &= E \{ x_s(0) x_s^T(0) \} = \Psi_{x_s(0)} \end{aligned}$$

2) Error precision covariance

$$\Lambda_p(k) = A(k-1) P(k-1) A^T(k-1) + F(k-1) F^T(k-1)$$

3) Gain matrix

$q \times q$ matrix

$$\Pi_k(k) = \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k) \cdot \left[C(k) \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k) + G(k) G^T(k) \right]^{-1}$$

4) Error covariance

$$P(k) = [I - \Pi_k C(k)] \Lambda_p(k)$$

5) Optimal prediction

$$\hat{x}_s(k|k-1) = A(k-1) \hat{x}_s(k-1)$$

6) Optimal estimate:

$$\hat{x}_s(k) = \hat{x}_s(k|k-1) + \Pi_k(k) (y_s(k) - C(k) \hat{x}_s(k|k-1))$$

7) $k = k+1$. Go to step (2)

The Kalman filter gives at each time k the optimal estimate of $x_s(k)$, its optimal prediction together with the error covariance

$\Pi_k(k)$ varies with time even if A, B, F, G are constant

$P(k)$ can be evaluated offline while $\hat{x}_s(k)$ only online

Optimality must be guaranteed also in step (1)

Column predictor

For computing at each step the prediction. We have

$$\hat{x}_s(k+1|k) = A(k) \hat{x}_s(k), \text{ with}$$

$$\hat{x}_s(k) = \hat{x}_s(k|k-1) + \overline{\pi}_k(k) (y_s(k) - C(k) \hat{x}_s(k|k-1))$$

$$\overline{\pi}_k(k) = A(k) \pi_k(k) \text{ prediction gain}$$

$$P_k = (I - \pi_k(k) C(k)) \Lambda_p(k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_p(k+1) &= A(k) (I - \pi_k(k) C(k)) \Lambda_p(k) A^T(k) + F(k) F^T(k) \\ &= A(k) \Lambda_p(k) A^T(k) - \overline{\pi}_k(k) C(k) \Lambda_p(k) A^T(k) + F(k) F^T(k) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\overline{\pi}_k(k) = A(k) \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k) (C(k) \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k) + G(k) G^T(k))^{-1}$$

Steps:

① Initialization $k=0$

$$\hat{x}_s(0|-1) = \in \{x_s(0)\} = 0$$

$$\Lambda_p(0) = \Psi_{x_s(0)}$$

② Predictor gain

$$\overline{\pi}_k(k) = A(k) \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k) \cdot (C(k) \Lambda_p(k) C^T(k) + G(k) G^T(k))^{-1}$$

③ Optimal prediction:

$$\hat{x}_s(k+1|k) = A(k) \hat{x}_s(k|k-1) + \overline{\pi}_k(k) \cdot (y_s(k) - C(k) \hat{x}_s(k|k-1))$$

④ Covariance

$$\Lambda_p(k+1) = A(k) \Lambda_p(k) A^T(k) - \overline{\pi}_k(k) C(k) \Lambda_p(k) A^T(k) + F(k) F^T(k)$$

⑤ $k \rightarrow k+1$, Go to ②

Kalman filter with deterministic input

For optimal estimate $x(k) = x_s(k) + x_d(k)$

$$\begin{cases} x_d(k+1) = A(k)x_d(k) + B(k)U(k) \\ y_d(k) = C(k)x_d(k) + D(k)U(k) \\ x_d(0) \in \{x(0)\} \\ \begin{cases} x_s(k+1) = A(k)x_s(k) + F(k)N_k \\ y_s(k) = C(k)x_s(k) + G(k)N_k \quad (= y(k) - y_d(k)) \\ x_s(0) = x(0) - \in \{x(0)\}, \quad \in \{x_s(0) \mid x_s^T(0)\} = \psi_{x_0} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Kalman for $\hat{x}_s(k)$:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{x}_s(k+1) = A(k)\hat{x}_s(k) + \Pi_{k+1}(k+1) \cdot (y_s(k+1) - C(k+1)A(k)\hat{x}_s(k)) \\ \hat{x}_s(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

we obtain with $\hat{x}(k) = \hat{x}_s(k) + x_d(k)$ and
 $\in \{x_d + x_s \mid \mathcal{Y}_k^y\} = x_d(k) + \hat{x}_s(k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(k+1) &= A(k)\hat{x}(k) + B(k)U(k) \quad \rightarrow A(k)x_d(k) + B(k)U(k) \\ &\quad + \Pi_{k+1}(k+1) \left(y(k+1) - C(k+1)x_d(k+1) - D(k+1)U(k+1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - C(k+1)A(k)\hat{x}_s(k) \right) \\ &= A(k)\hat{x}(k) + B(k)U(k) \\ &\quad + \Pi_{k+1}(k+1) \left(y(k+1) - D(k+1)U(k+1) - C(k+1)(A(k)\hat{x}(k) + B(k)U(k)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{x}(0) = \in \{x(0)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{x}(k+1) = \hat{x}(k+1|k) + \Pi_{k+1}(k+1) \left(y(k+1) - \hat{y}(k+1|k) \right)$$

Where

$$\hat{x}(k+1|k) = \in \{x(k+1) \mid \mathcal{Y}_k^y\}$$

$$\hat{y}(k+1|k) = \in \{y(k+1) \mid \mathcal{Y}_k^y\}$$

Steady state Kalman filter

Kalman filter optimal if $\hat{x}_s(0)$, $P(0)$ and $\Psi_{x_s(0)} = \Psi_{x(0)}$.

It is NOT robust wrt initialization errors

Aim: conditions of $\{P(k)\}$ to have steady state value (insensitive wrt $P(0)$)

conditions of the filter to be AS (insensitive wrt $\hat{x}_s(0)$)

System:

$$\begin{cases} x_s(k+1) = A x_s(k) + F N_k \\ y_s(k) = C x_s(k) + G N_k \end{cases}$$
$$E\{x_s(0)\} = 0, E\{x_s(0) x_s^T(0)\} = \Psi_{x(0)}, F G^T = 0$$

Kalman filter $(P(k) C^T = (I - \pi_k(k) C) \Lambda_p(k) C^T = \pi_k(k) \underbrace{G G^T}_{\text{non sing.}})$

① Initialization

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_s(0) &= 0 \\ P(0) &= \Psi_{x(0)} \\ k &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

② $\Lambda_p(k+1) = A P(k) A^T + F F^T$

$$P(k+1) = (I + \Lambda_p(k+1) C^T (G G^T)^{-1} C)^{-1} \Lambda_p(k+1)$$

③ Gain

$$\pi_{k+1}(k+1) = P(k+1) C^T (G G^T)^{-1}$$

④ Optimal estimate

$$\hat{x}_s(k+1) = A(k) \hat{x}_s(k) + \pi_{k+1}(k+1) (y_s(k+1) - C A \hat{x}_s(k))$$

⑤ $k \rightarrow k+1$, go to step ②

Steady state covariance

Steady state P_∞ and Λ_{P_∞} of $P(k)$

$$\begin{cases} P_\infty = (I + \Lambda_{P_\infty} C^T (G G^T)^{-1} C)^{-1} \Lambda_{P_\infty} \\ \Lambda_{P_\infty} = A P_\infty A^T + F F^T \end{cases}$$

$$P_\infty = [I + (A P_\infty A^T + F F^T) C^T (G G^T)^{-1} C]^{-1} (A P_\infty A^T + F F^T)$$

$$0 = P_\infty + (A P_\infty A^T + F F^T) (C^T (G G^T)^{-1} C P_\infty - I) \quad \text{Riccati: eq. (I)}$$

And with Kalman filter procedure

$$\Lambda_{P_\infty} = A P_\infty A^T + F F^T$$

$$P_\infty = (I - K_\infty C) \Lambda_{P_\infty}$$

$$K_\infty = \Lambda_{P_\infty} C^T (C \Lambda_{P_\infty} C^T + G G^T)^{-1}$$

$$0 = P_\infty - A P_\infty A^T - F F^T +$$

$$+ (A P_\infty A^T + F F^T) C^T \cdot (C (A P_\infty A^T + F F^T) C^T + G G^T)^{-1} C \cdot$$

$$(A P_\infty A^T + F F^T) \quad \text{Riccati: eq. (II) (with symmetry)}$$

Assume $G G^T = I$ with G full row rank

The SS Kalman filter in step (2) is:

$$P(k+1) = (I + \Lambda_P(k) C^T C)^{-1} \Lambda_P(k+1)$$

Non singularity of $I + \Lambda_P(k) C^T C$

Since $P(k) \geq 0 \quad \forall k \geq 0$

$$\Lambda_P(k+1) = A P(k) A^T + F F^T \geq 0$$

Then $I + \underbrace{\Lambda_P(k+1)}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{C^T C}_{\geq 0} > 0 \quad \forall k \geq -1, \text{ non singular}$

• Positive definiteness of $P(k)$ covariance

When is $P(k) > 0 \quad \forall k \geq 0$?

Fact 1: If (A, F) reachable pair (i.e. $\text{rank}[F; AF; \dots; A^{n-1}F] = n$)
 $P(k) > 0 \Rightarrow P(k+1) > 0 \quad \forall k \geq 0$

Proof: $P(k+1)$ nonsing. iff $\Delta P(k+1)$ nonsing (previous subsection)

The nonsingularity of $\Delta P(k) = AP(k)A^T + FF^T$ follows because $P(k) > 0$ and $\text{rank}[F; AF; \dots; A^{n-1}F] = n$

To guarantee that $P(k) > 0 \Rightarrow P(k+1) > 0$ is sufficient that $\text{rank}[F; A] = n$ (weaker than (A, F) reachable) or in alternative the Hautus test

$$\text{rank}[\lambda I - A; F] = n \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{O}(A)$$

Fact 2: If (A, F) is reachable pair (i.e. $\text{rank}[F; A] = n$)
 then:
 $P(k) > 0 \Rightarrow P(k+h) > 0 \quad \forall h > 0$
 $\forall k \geq 0$

$$\text{If } k=0 \rightarrow P(0) > 0 \Rightarrow P(k) > 0 \quad \forall k > 0$$

• Monotonicity of $\{P(k)\}$

$$\text{Define } \Phi(P) = (I + \Psi(P)C^T C)^{-1} \Psi(P)$$

$$\Psi(P) = AP A^T + FF^T$$

The Kalman filter equations of step (2) are

$$P(k+1) = \Phi(P(k)) \quad \text{with } P_\infty = \Phi(P_\infty)$$

Fact 3: P, Q symm and pos. semidef.

$$\Phi(P+Q) \geq \Phi(P)$$

$$\text{Proof: } \Phi(P+Q) - \Phi(P) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\lambda} \Phi(P + \lambda Q) d\lambda$$

$$\text{if } S(\lambda) = I + \Psi(P + \lambda Q)C^T C: \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda} = A Q A^T C^T C \\ \frac{\partial S^{-1}}{\partial \lambda} = -S^{-1}(\lambda) \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda} \right) S^{-1}(\lambda) \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Phi(P + \lambda Q) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (S^{-1}(\lambda) \Psi(P + \lambda Q)) = S^{-1} A Q A^T S^{-T} \geq 0 \quad \blacktriangleleft$$

The consequence is that if $\exists k_0 : P(k_0+1) \geq P(k_0)$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\Phi(P(k_0+1))}_{P(k_0+2)} \geq \underbrace{\Phi(P(k_0))}_{P(k_0+1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(k+1) \geq P(k), \quad \forall k \geq k_0$$

Similarly, if $\exists k_0 : P(k_0+1) \leq P(k_0)$

$$\Rightarrow P(k+1) \leq P(k), \quad \forall k \geq k_0$$

To know if $\{P(k)\}$ is monotone nondecreasing/nonincreasing it is sufficient to see if $P(0) \leq P(1) / P(0) \geq P(1)$

If $P(0) = 0 \rightarrow$ nondecreasing sequence:

$$P(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \perp P(0) = FF^T \geq 0$$
$$\Rightarrow P(1) = (\mathbf{I} + FF^T C^T C)^{-1} FF^T \geq 0 \Rightarrow P(1) \geq P(0) = 0$$

• Boundedness of $\{P(k)\}$

Fact 4 if (C, A) detectable, $\forall P(0) \geq 0 \exists \{S(k)\}$ of positive semidefinite matrices s.t.

$$P(k) \leq S(k) \quad \forall k \geq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(k) = S_\infty \quad \text{with } S_\infty \text{ depending on } P(0)$$

Even in case of both $\{P(k)\}$ nondecreasing or nonincreasing

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(k) = P_\infty > 0. \text{ However } P_\infty \text{ may depend on } P(0)$$

Under which conditions P_∞ is unique?

Fact 5 Let $P_\infty \geq 0$ symm solution of Riccati eq. \mathbf{I}

$$0 = P_\infty + (AP_\infty A^T + FF^T)(C^T(GG^T)^{-1}C(P_\infty - \mathbf{I}))$$

If (F^T, A^T) detectable, P_∞ is unique and

$$0 \in (I - P_\infty C^T C)A \subset S^1 \rightarrow \text{all the eigs in the unit circle} \rightarrow AS$$

Steady state Kalman filter equations

If (C, A) and (F^T, A^T) detectable:

- 1) Uniqueness of steady state error covariance:
∃ unique symm $P_{\infty} \geq 0$ of the RE and $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} P(k) = P_{\infty}$
- 2) Stability: $\sigma((I - P_{\infty} C^T C)A) \subset S^1$
- 3) Asymptotic optimality of SS KF $\hat{z}_s(k+1)$:
$$\hat{z}_s(k+1) = A \hat{z}_s(k) + P_{\infty} C^T (y_s(k+1) - CA \hat{z}_s(k))$$

optimal in the sense that: if $P^{\hat{z}_s}(k) = E\{(\hat{z}_s(k) - x_s(k))(\hat{z}_s(k) - x_s(k))^T\}$
then:
$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} P^{\hat{z}_s}(k) = P_{\infty}$$

Proof: (1) & (2) follow from facts 4 & 5 and monotonicity of $\{P(k)\}$

We have only to prove $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} P^{\hat{z}_s}(k) = P_{\infty}$

If $K_{\infty} = P_{\infty} C^T$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_s(k+1) - \hat{z}_s(k+1) &= (I - K_{\infty} C)(A(x_s(k) - \hat{z}_s(k)) + FN_k) - K_{\infty} G N_{k+1} \\ &= (I) + (II) + (III) = \text{pairwise independent} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$P^{\hat{z}_s}(k+1) = (I - K_{\infty} C)(A P^{\hat{z}_s}(k) A^T + FF^T) \cdot (I - K_{\infty} C)^T + K_{\infty} G G^T K_{\infty}^T$$

But $P_{\infty} \geq 0$ is solution of:

$$0 = P_{\infty} - (I - K_{\infty} C)(A P_{\infty} A^T + FF^T)(I - K_{\infty} C)^T + K_{\infty} G G^T K_{\infty}^T$$

Subtracting the two eqs. above:

$$P^{\hat{z}_s}(k+1) - P_{\infty} = [(I - K_{\infty} C)A] \cdot (P^{\hat{z}_s}(k) - P_{\infty}) \cdot [(I - K_{\infty} C)A]^T$$

solving backwards

$$P^{\hat{z}_s}(k) - P_{\infty} = [(I - K_{\infty} C)A]^k \cdot (P^{\hat{z}_s}(0) - P_{\infty}) \cdot [(I - K_{\infty} C)A]^k{}^T$$

By (2) since $\sigma((I - K_{\infty} C)A) \subset S^1$:

$$\exists \rho > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1): \|P^{\hat{z}_s} - P_{\infty}\| \leq \quad \rightarrow \text{implies } \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} P^{\hat{z}_s} = P_{\infty}$$

$$\leq \|[(I - K_{\infty} C)A]^k\| \cdot \|P^{\hat{z}_s}(0) - P_{\infty}\| \cdot \|[(I - K_{\infty} C)A]^k{}^T\| \leq \rho^2 \lambda^{2k} \|P^{\hat{z}_s}(0) - P_{\infty}\|$$