

SCUOLA DI INGEGNERIA INDUSTRIALE E DELL'INFORMAZIONE

# IoT Challenge #2, Packet Sniffing

Internet of Things

Authors: Kevin Ziroldi - 10764177

Matteo Volpari - 10773593

Professors: Alessandro Redondi, Fabio Palmese

Academic Year: 2024-2025

Version: 1.0

Release date: 6-4-2025



# Contents

$\mathbf{C}$	onter	its																			i
1	Pac	${ m ket\ sni}$	iffin	g F	PC.	ΑF	• fi	ile													1
	1.1	CQ1																			1
	1.2	CQ2																			3
	1.3	CQ3																			5
	1.4	CQ4																			7
	1.5	CQ5																			8
	1.6	CQ6																			11
	1.7	CQ7																			11
	1 &	Roforo	nco	9																	19



# 1 Packet sniffing PCAP file

# 1.1. CQ1

#### Question

How many different Confirmable PUT requests obtained an unsuccessful response from the local CoAP server?

#### Answer

The number of Confirmable PUT request that obtained an unsuccessful response from the local CoAP server is 22.

# Explanation

We filter the Confirmable PUT requests to a local CoAP server with a filter: Confirmable requests have type 0, PUT requests have code 3.

```
coap && coap.type == 0 && coap.code == 3 && ip.src == ip.dst
```

We get 26 filtered messages.

We filter the unsuccessful responses from a local CoAP server based on the code, which needs to be bigger or equal than 128.

```
coap && (coap.code >= 128) && ip.src == ip.dst
```

We get 141 filtered messages.

In order to answer QC1, we should follow the UDP stream for all 26 requests and check the code associated to the response, checking that all requests are different, i.e. have different token.

To avoid doing this manually, we used a Python script written using PyShark library.

```
import pyshark
import sys
import re
```

```
def answer_cq1(capture):
    requests = {}
    responses = {}
    for pkt in capture:
        try:
            # check local server
            if 'IP' not in pkt or pkt.ip.src != pkt.ip.dst:
                continue
            # check CoAP layer
            if 'COAP' in pkt:
                coap = pkt.coap
                # get fields
                coap_type = int(coap.get_field('type')) if hasattr(coap,
                                                       'type') else None
                coap_code = int(coap.get_field('code')) if hasattr(coap,
                                                       'code') else None
                token = coap.get_field('token') if hasattr(coap, 'token')
                                                     ) else None
                if coap_type is None or coap_code is None or token is
                                                     None:
                    continue
                if coap_type == 0 and coap_code == 3:
                    # Confirmable PUT request
                    requests[token] = pkt
                elif coap_code >= 128:
                    # unsuccessful response
                    responses[token] = pkt
        except Exception:
            continue
    count = sum(1 for token in requests if token in responses)
    return count
def main():
    if len(sys.argv) != 2:
        print("pcap file not provided")
        sys.exit(1)
    pcap_file = sys.argv[1]
    capture = pyshark.FileCapture(pcap_file, keep_packets=False)
    print(answer_cq1(capture))
    capture.close()
if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()
```

Running this script, we get a result of 22 requests.

#### 1.2. CQ2

#### Question

How many CoAP resources in the coap.me public server received the same number of unique Confirmable and Non Confirmable GET requests?

Assuming a resource receives X different CONFIRMABLE requests and Y different NON-CONFIRMABLE GET requests, how many resources have X=Y, with X>0?

#### Answer

The number of CoAP resources in the coap.me public server that received the same number of unique Confirmable and Non Confirmable GET requests is 3.

#### **Explanation**

We can find the IP address of coap.me server with a Wireshark filter.

```
dns.qry.name == "coap.me"
```

We can see that the IP is 134.102.218.18.

We can find the Confirmable GET requests issued to coap.me with the following filter:

```
coap.type == 0 && coap.code == 1 && ip.dst==134.102.218.18
```

While Non Confirmable GET requests to coap.me can be found with this filter:

```
coap.type == 1 && coap.code == 1 && ip.dst==134.102.218.18
```

We find 39 Confirmable request and 31 Non Confirmable ones and we would need to count the number of requests for each resource.

Instead, we can use a PyShark algorithm to do the same.

```
import pyshark
import re
import sys

def answer_cq2(capture):
    # IP found with Wireshark filter
    coap_me_ip = "134.102.218.18"
    resource_stats = {}
    # find target requests and responses
    for pkt in capture:
```

```
try:
            # check destination is coap.me
            if 'IP' not in pkt or pkt.ip.dst != coap_me_ip:
                continue
            # check CoAP layer
            if 'COAP' in pkt:
                coap = pkt.coap
                # get fields
                coap_type = int(coap.get_field('type')) if hasattr(coap,
                                                      'type') else None
                coap_code = int(coap.get_field('code')) if hasattr(coap,
                                                      'code') else None
                token = coap.get_field('token') if hasattr(coap, 'token'
                                                     ) else None
                resource = coap.get_field('opt_uri_path') if hasattr(
                                                     coap, 'opt_uri_path'
                                                     ) else None
                if coap_type is None or coap_code is None or token is
                                                     None or resource is
                                                     None:
                    continue
                # check GET request
                if coap_code != 1:
                    continue
                if resource not in resource_stats:
                    resource_stats[resource] = {'conf': set(), 'nonconf'
                                                         : set()}
                if coap_type == 0:
                    # Confirmable
                    resource_stats[resource]['conf'].add(token)
                elif coap_type == 1:
                    # Non Confirmable
                    resource_stats[resource]['nonconf'].add(token)
        except Exception:
            continue
    # count target resources
    count = 0
    for stats in resource_stats.values():
        if len(stats['conf']) == len(stats['nonconf']) and len(stats['
                                             conf']) > 0:
            count += 1
    return count
def main():
```

```
if len(sys.argv) != 2:
    print("pcap file not provided")
    sys.exit(1)

pcap_file = sys.argv[1]

capture = pyshark.FileCapture(pcap_file, keep_packets=False)
print(answer_cq2(capture))
capture.close()

if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()
```

Running the algorithm, we find out that 3 resources have the same number of Confirmable and Non Confirmable GET requests.

#### 1.3. CQ3

#### Question

How many different MQTT clients subscribe to the public broker HiveMQ using multilevel wildcards?

#### Answer

The number of clients who subscribe to the public broker HiveMQ using multi-level wild-cards is 4.

# **Explanation**

In order to find the IP address of the HiveMQ broker, we filter the response of the DNS server using the following Wireshark filter:

```
dns.qry.name == "broker.hivemq.com"
```

All DNS responses return 3 addresses: 18.192.151.104, 35.158.34.213 and 35.158.43.69.

We use a second filter to find SUBSCRIBE messages, with message type 8, sent to HiveMQ broker, to one of the IP addresses found above, with a multi-level wildcard, ending with "#":

```
mqtt && mqtt.msgtype == 8 &&
(ip.dst == 18.192.151.104 || ip.dst == 35.158.34.213
|| ip.dst == 35.158.43.69) && mqtt.topic contains "#"
```

We find out that HiveMQ broker receives 6 messages of this type, all at the IP address 18.192.151.104.

M me	mqtt & mqtt.msgtype == 8 & & (ip.dst == 18.192.151.104    ip.dst == 35.158.34.213    ip.dst == 35.158.43.69) & mqtt.topic contains "#"											
No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length	Info						
	3293 20.163021204	10.0.2.15	18.192.151.104	MQTT		70 Subscribe Request (id=10) [house/#]						
	3693 26.268559277	10.0.2.15	18.192.151.104	MQTT		91 Subscribe Request (id=13) [factory/department3/floor0/#]						
	3362 21.206357493	10.0.2.15	18.192.151.104	MQTT		94 Subscribe Request (id=15) [university/building2/section0/#]						
	375 5.113041615	10.0.2.15	18.192.151.104	MQTT		80 Subscribe Request (id=3) [university/+/+/#]						
	2442 13.175483992	10.0.2.15	18.192.151.104	MQTT		87 Subscribe Request (id=5) [university/room0/room1/#]						
	3303 20.224858918	10.0.2.15	18.192.151.104	MQTT		75 Subscribe Request (id=9) [university/#]						

Figure 1.1: SUBSCRIBE messages to HiveMQ broker with "#"

Since the question asks for the number of MQTT clients who subscribe, we need to identify the clients who sent these messages. For each message, we select the TCP stream, which identifies the client.

Message number	TCP stream
375	8
2442	15
3293	20
3303	15
3362	3
3693	15

Table 1.1: TCP streams

Since there are 4 TCP streams, the 6 messages have been sent by 4 different client. We can also find the Client ID of these clients by finding the CONNECT message, of type 1, they sent to the broker. For the TCP stream 8, we can use the following filter:

```
mqtt && mqtt.msgtype == 1 && tcp.stream == 8
```

The same filter with different TCP stream can be used for other clients.

TCP stream	Client ID
3	cpoepjzkhibxgjiu
8	dzcxnwdqef
15	tukvxesuhe
20	fcthvjikxjul

Table 1.2: Client IDs table

# 1.4. CQ4

#### Question

How many different MQTT clients specify a Last Will Message to be directed to a topic having as first level "university"?

#### Answer

The number of clients who specify a Last Will Message to be directed to a topic having as first level "university" is 1.

# Explanation

MQTT clients can specify a Last Will Message in the CONNECT message. In order to find the described messages, we filter CONNECT messages, of type 1, with a Last Will Topic:

```
mqtt && mqtt.msgtype == 1 && mqtt.willtopic
```

We find four messages, but only one of them has a Last Will Topic having as first level "university".



Figure 1.2: CONNECT messages specifying a Last Will Topic

We can find the result by enriching the filter and avoiding manually checking the topics, using the following filter:

mqtt && mqtt.msgtype == 1 && mqtt.willtopic matches "^university"

Using this filter, we directly get the only message asked by CQ4.



Figure 1.3: CONNECT messages specifying a Last Will Topic starting with "university"

# 1.5. CQ5

#### Question

How many MQTT subscribers receive a last will message derived from a subscription without a wildcard?

#### Answer

The number of subscribers who receive a Last Will Message derived from a subscription without a wildcard is 3.

# Explanation

We start by identifying the possible Last Will Messages (LWM). To do so, we find all the CONNECT messages, with message type 1, that specify a LWM.

mqtt && mqtt.msgtype== 1 && mqtt.willmsg

We find four messages.




Figure 1.4: CONNECT messages specifying a LWM

These messages specify the Last Will Messages, which we don't report in the table, and Last Will Topics.

Message number	Destination	Last Will Topic
4	::1	university/department 12/room 1/temperature
196	5.196.78.28	${\rm metaverse/room2/floor4}$
352	5.196.78.28	hospital/facility3/area3
557	5.196.78.28	$\rm metaverse/room2/room2$

Table 1.3: Last Will Topics

Starting from the first Last Will Topic (LWT), we filter all PUBLISH messages with that topic and with same message as the LWM, found in the CONNECT message.

```
mqtt && mqtt.msgtype==3 &&
mqtt.topic == "university/department12/room1/temperature" &&
mqtt.msg contains 6572726f723a20612056495020436c69656e74206a7573742064696564
We find four messages:
```

mqtt	mqtt && mqtt.msgtype==3 && mqtt.topic == "university(department12/room1/temperature" && mqtt.msg contains 65727261723a20612056495020436c69656e74206a7573742064696564								
No.	^ Time	Source	Destination	Protocol Length	Info				
	6560 146.692096889	::1	::1	MQTT	Publish Message [university/department12/room1/temperature]				
	6562 146.692187516	::1	::1	MQTT	Publish Message (id=1) [university/department12/room1/temperature]				
	6564 146.692199911	::1	::1	MQTT	<pre>Publish Message (id=12) [university/department12/room1/temperature]</pre>				
	6566 146.692209983	::1	::1	MQTT	Publish Message (id=1) [university/department12/room1/temperature]				

Figure 1.5: LWM with topic "university/department12/room1/temperature"

By looking at the messages, we can see a TCP Reset message, representing an hard disconnection, followed by four Last Will Messages sent by the broker, on port 1883, to different client, on different ports and using different TCP streams.

6559 146.691800286	::1	::1	TCP	88 38083 → 1883 [RST, ACK] Seq=9316 Ack=85 Win=65536 Len=0 TSval=2654936537 TSecr=265493493
6560 146.692096889	::1	::1	MQTT	162 Publish Message [university/department12/room1/temperature]
6561 146.692172650	::1	::1	TCP	88 39551 → 1883 [ACK] Seq=77 Ack=86 Win=65536 Len=0 TSval=2654936537 TSecr=2654936537
6562 146.692187516	::1	::1	MQTT	164 Publish Message (id=1) [university/department12/room1/temperature]
6563 146.692189976	::1	::1	TCP	88 53557 → 1883 [ACK] Seq=94 Ack=86 Win=65536 Len=0 TSval=2654936537 TSecr=2654936537
6564 146.692199911	::1	::1	MQTT	165 Publish Message (id=12) [university/department12/room1/temperature]
6565 146.692202117	::1	::1	TCP	88 51743 → 1883 [ACK] Seq=573 Ack=14152 Win=64896 Len=0 TSval=2654936537 TSecr=2654936537
6566 146,692209983	::1	::1	MOTT	164 Publish Message (id=1) [university/department12/room1/temperature]

Figure 1.6: TCP Reset and Last Will Messages

The clients who receive the LWM are grouped in the following table.

Message number	Subscriber port	TCP stream
6560	39551	2
6562	53557	6
6564	51743	10
6566	41789	14

Table 1.4: Last Will Topics

In order to answer to QC5, we need to find which of these clients subscribed to the Last Will Topic without a wildcard. We can do so by filtering the SUBSCRIBE messages, with message type 8, with the correct TCP stream. For each of them we also find the CONNECT message and Client ID.

```
mqtt && mqtt.msgtype == 8 && mqtt.topic matches "^university" &&
(tcp.stream == 2 || tcp.stream == 6 || tcp.stream == 10 || tcp.stream == 14)
```

mqtt && mqtt.msgtype == 8 && mqtt.topic matches "^university" && (tcp.stream == 2    tcp.stream == 6    tcp.stream == 10    tcp.stream == 14)									
No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol Length	h	Info			
	121 1.083118347	::1	::1	MQTT	136	Subscribe Request	(id=1)	[university/department12/room1/temperature]	
	154 2.082593293	::1	::1	MQTT	136	Subscribe Request	(id=1)	[university/department12/room1/temperature]	
	304 4.097040463	::1	::1	MQTT	136	Subscribe Request	(id=1)	[university/department12/room1/temperature]	
	1136 10.102492445	::1	::1	MOTT	108	Subscribe Request	(id=6)	[university/#]	

Figure 1.7: TCP Reset and Last Will Messages

We can see that only three out of four clients subscribed to the Last Will Topic without a wildcard.

TCP stream	Client ID	Specified topic						
2	auyvhrhdudnm	university/department 12/room 1/temperature						
6	ntpiopsqc	$ntpiopsqc \qquad university/department 12/room 1/temperature$						
10	zmjnxudohrkaegmh	university/#						
14	mjdocmjxt	university/department 12/room 1/temperature						

Table 1.5: Specified topics

For what concerns the other three Last Will Topics, we filter all PUBLISH messages, with message type 3, from the broker, with IP 5.196.78.28.

```
mqtt && ip.src == 5.196.78.28 && mqtt.msgtype == 3
```

We don't find any result, which means that the broker doesn't publish any message, nor LWM.

We conclude that three clients receive a LWM from a subscription without a wildcard, all of them from the first topic.

#### 1.6. CQ6

#### Question

How many MQTT publish messages directed to the public broker mosquitto are sent with the retain option and use QoS âĂIJAt most onceâĂİ?

#### Answer

TODO

#### **Explanation**

#### Domanda 6:

utilizzando il filtro: dns.qry.name == "test.mosquitto.org" trovo l'indirizzo ip collegato al dominio richiesto che poi mi servir $\tilde{A}$ ă per filtrare i pacchetti, l'IP  $\tilde{A}$ l: 5.196.78.28. quindi filtro i pacchetti con: mqtt.msgtype == 3 and mqtt.qos == 0 and mqtt.retain == 1 and ip.dst == 5.196.78.28 e trovo tutti quelli che rispettano la richiesta: 208 pacchetti.

# 1.7. CQ7

# Question

How many MQTT-SN messages on port 1885 are sent by the clients to a broker in the local machine?

#### Answer

TODO

# Explanation

Domanda 7: Il protocollo MQTT-SN non  $\tilde{A}$ Í riconosciuto nativamente da Wireshark ma sappiamo da teoria che  $\tilde{A}$ Í un protocollo udp. Filtrando quindi con udp.port == 1885

non trovo nessun pacchetto e quindi non sono stati inviati pacchetti sulla porta 1885.

#### 1.8. References

In this section we provide a set of references we used.

- https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7641
- https://docs.oasis-open.org/mqtt/mqtt/v5.0/os/mqtt-v5.0-os.html