

REAL ANALYSIS

MATH 131, HARVEY MUDD COLLEGE

PROF. FRANCIS SU

TODAY : SUBSEQUENCES

Recall: Say $p_n \rightarrow p$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = p$ if

$$\exists p \in X \text{ s.t. } \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \text{ s.t. } n \geq N \Rightarrow d(p_n, p) < \varepsilon.$$

SETS in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} :

Thm. $\{s_n\}, \{t_n\} \in \mathbb{C}$, $s_n \rightarrow s$, $t_n \rightarrow t$.

Then (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s_n + t_n) = s + t$.

(b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c s_n = c s$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s_n + c) = s + c$.

(c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n t_n = s t$.

(d) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_n} = \frac{1}{s}$ (as long as $s_n \neq 0, s \neq 0$).

IMPORTANT: to show convergence, given ε , you must find an N .

proof (a). IDEA: bound $|(s_n + t_n) - (s + t)| = |(s_n - s) + (t_n - t)|$
 \uparrow scratchwork (ineq) $\rightarrow \leq |s_n - s| + |t_n - t|$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N_1, N_2$ s.t.

$$n \geq N_1 \Rightarrow |s_n - s| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ by conv of } s_n \rightarrow s$$

$$n \geq N_2 \Rightarrow |t_n - t| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \text{ (" " } t_n \rightarrow t)$$

Then let $N = \max \{N_1, N_2\}$. For this N ,

$$n \geq N \Rightarrow |(s_n + t_n) - (s + t)| \leq |s_n - s| + |t_n - t| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,$$

as desired. \square

(b) IDEA: bound $|c s_n - c s| = |c| |s_n - s|$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N_1$ s.t. $|s_n - s| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} \dots$
 \dots let $N = N_1 \dots$

$$(c) \text{ IDEAL: bound } |s_n t_n - s t| = |(s_n - s)(t_n - t) + s(t_n - t) + t(s_n - s)|$$

$$(d) \text{ use } \left| \frac{1}{s_n} - \frac{1}{s} \right| = \left| \frac{s_n - s}{s_n s} \right| < \frac{2}{|s|^2} |s_n - s| \dots$$

SUBSEQUENCES

$\{p_n\}$ a sequence. Let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ increasing set of indices
Then

$\{p_{n_1}, p_{n_2}, p_{n_3}, \dots\}$ is a subsequence $\{p_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

EX. $\left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \left(\frac{2}{3}\right), \left(\frac{3}{4}\right), \frac{4}{5}, \left(\frac{5}{6}\right), \dots \right\}$

subseq: p_2, p_3, p_5, \dots (here $n_1=2, n_2=3$, etc.)

① If a seq conv's must every subseq converge?

YES. If $p_n \rightarrow p$ by ① every nbhd of p contains all but fin many p_n

EX. $\left\{ 1, \pi, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \pi, \left(\frac{1}{3}\right), \pi, \dots \right\}$ does not conv.

has conv. subseqs.

say "0 is a subsequential limit".

② Must every seq. have conv. subseq?

NO. $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$

Thm. In a **compact** metric space X
any sequence has a conv. subseq.

[converging to a point of X].

Cor. (Bolzano-Weierstrass)

Every bdd seq in \mathbb{R}^k has a conv. subsequence.