```
ChIP-seq Analysis; DNA-protein intercetions
1. OULVUIEN
     x How do we study gene regulation?
      * Transmiption factors régulate gene expression.
2. Gene Regulation
                                                              REGULATORY CODE
    regulatory
         Protein
                     transcription
         4hod
                       Start
       recruits
                        site
         RNA
        poymen
                                 inactivate protein
                           activated protein
                  Combinatorias
                    Control
        * currently, we can only consider I protein at a time.
        × we can also look at more general apigenetic marks.
  3. ChIP-seg [Assumes we know what the regulator is ]
        * chromatin immunoprecipitin, sequining-
        x we can see where proteins bind within 10 bp.
        x needs
             - good entibody
             - épitopic tag
                                                  of proteins of
                     add crosslinking
                                agent
                                       (cauns
                                                          (typically
                                                                      immuno.
                                                                         Jurification
             20 milliont
                  cells
                                                undo
                                                 wosslinki nq
             Syam
                                    whole cell
                                        extract (WCE) DNA + Control
          * We need to get to the point where we
             en discouer regulatory proteins de novo.
          x construct mixture model, map of spatial distribution
               of reads.
            x GPS [genome positioning system]
                 P(r_i | b_j) = P(r_i | z_{ij} = 1) = emp(-1^{s_i} (r_i - b_j))
                  binding event

at position by

# bases in genome

# P(R|\Pi) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} P(r_i|m) \pi_m subject to \sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi_m = 1
                  Goal: we want to find
                             \pi^* = \underset{\pi}{\text{argmax}} \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}/\pi).
              x insight: g(z_n = m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if read } n \text{ came from } m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
                                N_{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_{i} = m) \implies TT_{m} = \frac{N_{m}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} N_{m}}
                               number of
                                 reads caused
                                 by binding (q is a latent variable)
              × estimate q estimate Tim update estimate for q
                         \gamma(Z_n = m) = \frac{\pi_m P(r_n \mid m)}{\sum_{m'=1}^{m} \pi_m' P(r_n \mid m')} \qquad \qquad \frac{\lambda(i)}{\pi_m} = \frac{N_m}{\sum_{m'=1}^{m} N_{m'}}
Action of read
                      fraction of read
                                                                             N_m = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(Z_n = m).
                       n assigned to
                       event m.
                        Assuming punctate (single point) event meent m
                                                                          finds MLE for assumed
                        Add a sparse prior on TI, the binding events,
                                P(\pi) \propto \frac{M}{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (\pi_{m})^{\alpha}}, \alpha > \emptyset, \qquad \text{now use } MAP, \ \vec{\epsilon}_{i} \text{ now}
\text{negative Dividlet quior} \qquad \qquad \hat{\pi}_{m}^{(i)} = \frac{\max(0, N_{m} - \alpha)}{\sum_{m'=1}^{\infty} \max(0, N_{m'} - \alpha)} \qquad \text{(component elimination)}
                       * Benjamin-Hoteliby Concetion

\begin{vmatrix}
P_1 \\
P_2 \\
P_3 \\
P_4
\end{vmatrix}
P_i \leq \frac{i}{N} \cdot Q
                                                    desired Faise Discovery RATE
                                                     (replicates)
                           * Run experiment twice (at (east) - are the results concordant?
                          × ψ<sub>n</sub>(t) = fraction of wents pained in the top nxt events
                             - rank in order of significancel

- use rank correlation (gets vid of numerical
                                                                                                    are considering
                                                                 dependence, view # of
```

IDR (irreproducibility discovery rate)

weds).

results are no confer concordant