

**(UNCLASSIFIED)**

---

## **PATROL BASE OPERATIONS - TRAINING IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE**

**Exercise Name:** PB THUNDER Overnight Occupation **Location:** MP 058 152, AO COTTO (Camp Blanding)

**Execution:** Single overnight occupation with leadership-centric feedback **Candidate Population:** 26 intermediate status OCS candidates **Cadre Requirement:** 2-3 personnel (minimal staffing model)

---

## **TRAINING OVERVIEW**

This guide operationalizes OPORD #008 (Patrol Base Operations) as a **realistic overnight leadership exercise** that surfaces decision-making under stress—darkness, fatigue, sustained operations—while evaluating candidates against **intermediate status standards** (competent execution, independent judgment, decreasing supervision, ownership).

Candidates have completed WARNO-based TLP planning. This exercise focuses on **execution and leadership management** of the occupation.

---

## **ORGANIZATION & ROLE ASSIGNMENTS**

### **Platoon Structure (26 Candidates):**

- 1 Platoon Leader
- 1 Platoon Sergeant
- 3 Squad Leaders (one per squad)
- ~7-8 Squad Members per squad (18-21 total squad members)

### **Role Selection Approach:**

- PL/PSG: Select based on second-look evaluation status or rotate if multiple candidates need experience
  - SLs: Assign 3 candidates who are ready for mid-level leadership assessment
  - Squad Members: Remaining candidates fill squad positions; serve as active participants and observers of leadership
- 

## **EXECUTION TIMELINE**

### **Pre-Exercise Day (Before Movement)**

- Brief all 26 candidates on MP 058 152 location and occupancy deadline (NLT 2200)
- Clarify role assignments and intermediate status evaluation context
- Confirm OPORD understanding: occupation technique choice, sector assignments, security priorities
- Review patrol base sustainment expectations (water, meals, rest plan, stand-to procedures)
- Brief cadre on observation focus: leadership independence, ownership, supervision quality

### **Movement & Occupation (Daylight to Darkness)**

- Candidates move to MP 058 152
- Transition to darkness during movement to occupation
- **Occupation complete NLT 2200:** Platoon occupies PB THUNDER using triangle or cigar technique

- Squads occupy assigned sectors (north, southeast, southwest per OPORD)
- Security established: fighting positions, LP/OPs, comms with higher

### **Overnight Operations**

- **EENT Stand-To** (30 min before Evening Nautical Twilight): 100% alert, all personnel in fighting positions
- **Sustainment Phase:** Squad leaders manage rest rotation, water resupply, security patrols, CCIR monitoring
- **Night Management:** PSG supervises priorities of work; SLs manage sectors independently; PL maintains overall command
- **Cadre Observation:** Roving observers note leadership decisions, initiative, deference patterns, problem anticipation vs. reaction

### **Early Morning Operations**

- **BMNT Stand-To** (30 min before Beginning Morning Nautical Twilight): 100% alert, all personnel in fighting positions
- **PL/PSG Assessment:** Platoon leadership assesses security posture before stand-down order
- **Stand-Down:** Only after PL or PSG conducts assessment and issues order

### **AAR & Debrief (Post-Stand-Down)**

- 45-60 minute leadership-focused AAR
  - Feedback directly tied to intermediate status standards and second-look evaluation context
  - Return to primary location
- 

## **CADRE OBSERVATION FRAMEWORK (MINIMAL STAFFING)**

### **Senior Cadre (1 person) - Platoon Leadership Focus**

- Position at PL CP during occupation and overnight
- Observes: PL occupation decisions, PSG management of priorities, communication clarity to squad leaders
- Records: Independence of decision-making, who is directing vs. reacting, PSG active vs. passive supervision, PL situational awareness
- **Key observation moments:** Occupation start, first CCIR report, overnight sustainment decisions, stand-to procedures

### **Roving Cadre (1-2 people) - Squad Leader Focus**

- Rotate through squad sectors during occupation and overnight
- Observes: Individual SL sector management, proactive vs. reactive leadership, communication with squad
- Records: SL positioning to observe sector, position checks vs. passive monitoring, proactive problem identification, deferral patterns to PSG
- **Key observation moments:** Squad occupation completion, mid-night security checks, stand-to procedures

**Cadre Posture:** Silent observation except for safety. Collect specific examples for AAR feedback.

**Intervention Threshold:** Medical emergency, loss of unit control, darkness safety hazards only. Do not intervene in leadership decisions or problem-solving.

---

## **AAR & LEADERSHIP FEEDBACK**

## **AAR Structure (45-60 minutes)**

### **1. What Happened (10 min)**

- PL summarizes occupation decisions and overnight management
- PSG describes squad leader supervision approach and priorities management
- Squad leaders briefly summarize sector management

### **2. What Was Supposed to Happen (5 min)**

- Reference OPORD intent: secure patrol base, maintain security, enable sustainment
- Establish standard: **Intermediate Status** = competent execution with decreasing supervision, independent judgment, proactive ownership

### **3. What We Learned (20-30 min) — LEADERSHIP-CENTRIC**

#### **PL Feedback:**

- Did you make independent decisions about security, or seek approval?
- Did you anticipate problems or react to them after they emerged?
- How did you ensure squad leaders understood your intent without hand-holding?
- *Frame:* Command presence, judgment quality, decreasing supervision expectations

#### **PSG Feedback:**

- How actively did you supervise vs. direct? Did you check on initiatives or just give orders?
- Did you hold SLs accountable for sector results or compliance with instructions?
- At what point would you have relieved an SL from leadership?
- *Frame:* Supervision quality, holding subordinates accountable, immediate relief decision-making

#### **SL Feedback:**

- How often did you defer to the PSG before acting on a problem?
- What problems did you identify and fix independently?
- How did you communicate to your squad without PSG present?
- What didn't you think to check on?
- *Frame:* Ownership, initiative, independent judgment, anticipation

#### **Connection to Second-Look Evaluation:**

- Explicitly frame feedback: "*At intermediate status, this shows [competence/judgment/ownership issue]*"
- Reference blue card language: "*This demonstrates the independent decision-making we expect from a Second Lieutenant*" or "*This reflects [unacceptable attitude/motivation/judgment issue]*"
- Tie to relief criteria: "*Not Satisfactory performance in this area would result in recommendation for relief from OCS*"

### **4. What Now (5-10 min)**

- Individual feedback to PL, PSG, SLs on specific leadership behaviors for next exercise
- Candidate self-assessment: What went well? What would you do differently?

---

## **PRE-EXERCISE CANDIDATE PREPARATION**

#### **Candidates Should Know:**

1. **Patrol Base Occupation Techniques:** Triangle vs. cigar-shaped formations, sector advantages/disadvantages, terrain application
  2. **CCIR and Reporting:** What to report, when to report, format (SALUTE, SITREP, LACE per OPORD)
  3. **Stand-To Procedures:** Timing (30 min before BMNT/EENT), 100% alert requirements, stand-down criteria
  4. **Squad Leader Responsibilities:** Sector management, position checks, communication to squad, problem identification
  5. **Intermediate Status Context:** They are being evaluated on leadership judgment and ownership, not just task compliance. Mistakes at this level indicate judgment/attitude issues, not knowledge gaps. Phase I is complete.
- 

## LOGISTICS & SUPPORT

---

### Equipment/Resources:

- Radios (PACE plan per OPORD Section 5.c)
- Water resupply (candidates responsible; establish water point security per sustainment section)
- Medical support (aid bag at PL CP, MEDEVAC procedures per OPORD Section 4.b)
- Lighting: Candidates use available light discipline per patrol base security requirements
- Reporting channels: Established per OPORD; candidates report to higher per reporting schedule

### Cadre Requirements:

- 2-3 personnel for observation and safety oversight
- Communication (radios or runners)
- Transportation to MP 058 152 area

### Safety Oversight:

- Roving cadre monitor for darkness hazards (falls, disorientation, friendly fire risk)
  - Medical readiness (aid bag accessibility, MEDEVAC knowledge)
  - No dedicated safety observer; integration into cadre observation role
- 

## REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

---

- **OPORD #008:** Patrol Base Operations (full operational order)
    - Mission statement, task organization, situation, execution, sustainment, command & signal
    - Rules of engagement, CCIR, reporting requirements, stand-to procedures
- 

## KEY EVALUATION LANGUAGE (INTERMEDIATE STATUS)

---

### Leadership Competence at Intermediate Status:

- Evaluation measures performance against **Second Lieutenant standard**, not peer comparison
- Candidates are expected to demonstrate leader competence through **sound judgment with decreasing supervision**
- **Mistakes now indicate judgment or attitude issues**, not knowledge gaps (Phase I is complete)
- **Unacceptable attitude or motivation is grounds for immediate relief**
- **Not Satisfactory LER results in recommendation for relief from OCS**

### Ownership-Based Leadership:

- Independent problem identification and correction
- Direct accountability without deferring to higher unless clearly necessary
- Proactive communication of status and issues
- Immediate execution of feedback with measurable improvement

#### **Supervision Standards:**

- Leaders held accountable for **results of subordinates, not intentions**
  - Squad leader supervision means demanding competent execution, not providing support
  - Platoon sergeants must be prepared to assume command immediately without hesitation
- 

#### **NOTES FOR CADRE CONDUCTING THIS EXERCISE**

1. **Frame the context:** Candidates understand this is a leadership assessment exercise tied to their larger evaluation. Expectations are high; mistakes reflect judgment/attitude, not knowledge gaps.
  2. **Observe silently unless safety:** Resist the urge to coach or correct during execution. Let leadership decisions emerge naturally. Document them for AAR feedback.
  3. **Specific examples matter:** Generic feedback ("good leadership") is useless. Record specific moments: "At 2245, the SL did X, which showed ownership" or "At 0300, the PSG did Y, which shows passive supervision."
  4. **Connect to evaluation standards:** Every piece of feedback should reference intermediate status language or second-look evaluation criteria. Candidates must understand how their performance maps to promotion/relief decisions.
  5. **Use the OPORD as the objective standard:** All feedback references the OPORD mission and execution plan. Did leaders accomplish the mission? How well did they command during execution?
  6. **AAR is the learning moment:** The overnight exercise is data collection. The AAR is where you transform observations into learning tied to evaluation standards.
- 

#### **End of Training Implementation Guide**

---

---

#### **ACKNOWLEDGE:**

MATTHEW WAGNER  
CPT, FA  
FLORIDA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

#### **OFFICIAL:**

CPT KOLACKSY  
COMMANDER

---

#### **DISTRIBUTION:**

CDR, 211 RTI

S3, 211 RTI

CDR, 4-211 RTI

Full-Time Staff, 211 RTI

HQ PLT, OCS DET, 211 RTI

1st PLT PL, OCS DET, 211 RTI