

Asymptotics of Ehrhart Series of Lattice Polytopes

Matthias Beck (San Francisco State)

math.sfsu.edu/beck

Joint with Alan Stapledon (Michigan)

[arXiv:0804.3639](https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.3639), to appear in Math. Z.

Warm-Up Trivia

- ▶ Let's say we add two random 100-digit integers. How often should we expect to carry a digit?

Warm-Up Trivia

- ▶ Let's say we add two random 100-digit integers. How often should we expect to carry a digit?
- ▶ How about if we add **three** random 100-digit integers?

Warm-Up Trivia

- ▶ Let's say we add two random 100-digit integers. How often should we expect to carry a digit?
- ▶ How about if we add **three** random 100-digit integers?
- ▶ How about if we add **four** random 100-digit integers?

Warm-Up Trivia

- ▶ Let's say we add two random 100-digit integers. How often should we expect to carry a digit?
- ▶ How about if we add **three** random 100-digit integers?
- ▶ How about if we add **four** random 100-digit integers?

The **Eulerian polynomial** $A_d(t)$ is defined through
$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^d t^m = \frac{A_d(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

Persi Diaconis will tell you that the coefficients of $A_d(t)$ (the **Eulerian numbers**) play a role here. . .

Ehrhart Polynomials

$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ – lattice polytope of dimension d (vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d)

Ehrhart Polynomials

$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ – lattice polytope of dimension d (vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d)

$L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) := \#(m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ (discrete volume of P)

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) t^m$$

Ehrhart Polynomials

$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ – lattice polytope of dimension d (vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d)

$L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) := \#(m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ (discrete volume of P)

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) t^m$$

Theorem (Ehrhart 1962) $L_{\mathcal{P}}(m)$ is a polynomial in m of degree d .
Equivalently,

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \frac{h(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

where $h(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d .

Ehrhart Polynomials

$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ – lattice polytope of dimension d (vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d)

$L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) := \#(m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ (discrete volume of P)

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) t^m$$

Theorem (Ehrhart 1962) $L_{\mathcal{P}}(m)$ is a polynomial in m of degree d .
Equivalently,

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \frac{h(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

where $h(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d .

Write the **Ehrhart h-vector** of \mathcal{P} as $h(t) = h_d t^d + h_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \dots + h_0$, then

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) = \sum_{j=0}^d h_j \binom{m+d-j}{d}.$$

Ehrhart Polynomials

$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ – lattice polytope of dimension d (vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d)

$L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) := \#(m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ (discrete volume of P)

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) t^m = \frac{h(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

(Serious) Open Problem Classify Ehrhart h-vectors.

Ehrhart Polynomials

$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ – lattice polytope of dimension d (vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d)

$L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) := \#(m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ (discrete volume of P)

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(m) t^m = \frac{h(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

(Serious) Open Problem Classify Ehrhart h-vectors.

Easier Problem Study $\text{Ehr}_{n\mathcal{P}}(t) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(nm) t^m$ as n increases.

General Properties of Ehrhart h-Vectors

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} \#(m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) t^m = \frac{h_d t^d + h_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + h_0}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

- ▶ (Ehrhart) $h_0 = 1$, $h_1 = \#(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) - d - 1$, $h_d = \#(\mathcal{P}^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$
- ▶ (Ehrhart) $\text{vol } \mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{d!} (h_d + h_{d-1} + \cdots + h_1 + 1)$
- ▶ (Stanley 1980) $h_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
- ▶ (Stanley 1991) Whenever $h_s > 0$ but $h_{s+1} = \cdots = h_d = 0$, then $h_0 + h_1 + \cdots + h_j \leq h_s + h_{s-1} + \cdots + h_{s-j}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq s$.
- ▶ (Hibi 1994) $h_0 + \cdots + h_{j+1} \geq h_d + \cdots + h_{d-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor - 1$.
- ▶ (Hibi 1994) If $h_d > 0$ then $h_1 \leq h_j$ for $2 \leq j < d$.

General Properties of Ehrhart h-Vectors

A triangulation τ of \mathcal{P} is **unimodular** if for any simplex of τ with vertices v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d , the vectors $v_1 - v_0, \dots, v_d - v_0$ form a basis of \mathbb{Z}^d .

The **h-polynomial** (h-vector) of a triangulation τ encodes the faces numbers of the simplices in τ of different dimensions.

General Properties of Ehrhart h-Vectors

A triangulation τ of \mathcal{P} is **unimodular** if for any simplex of τ with vertices v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d , the vectors $v_1 - v_0, \dots, v_d - v_0$ form a basis of \mathbb{Z}^d .

The **h-polynomial** (h-vector) of a triangulation τ encodes the faces numbers of the simplices in τ of different dimensions.

- ▶ (Stanley 1980) If \mathcal{P} admits a unimodular triangulation then $h(t) = (1 - t)^{d+1} \text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is the h-polynomial of the triangulation.

General Properties of Ehrhart h-Vectors

A triangulation τ of \mathcal{P} is **unimodular** if for any simplex of τ with vertices v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d , the vectors $v_1 - v_0, \dots, v_d - v_0$ form a basis of \mathbb{Z}^d .

The **h-polynomial** (h-vector) of a triangulation τ encodes the faces numbers of the simplices in τ of different dimensions.

- ▶ (Stanley 1980) If \mathcal{P} admits a unimodular triangulation then $h(t) = (1 - t)^{d+1} \text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is the h-polynomial of the triangulation.
- ▶ Recent papers of Reiner–Welker and Athanasiadis use this as a starting point to give conditions under which the Ehrhart h-vector is **unimodal**, i.e., $h_d \leq h_{d-1} \leq \dots \leq h_k \geq h_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq h_0$ for some k .

The Main Question

Define $h_0(n), h_1(n), \dots, h_d(n)$ through

$$\text{Ehr}_n \mathcal{P}(t) = \frac{h_d(n) t^d + h_{d-1}(n) t^{d-1} + \dots + h_0(n)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}.$$

What does the Ehrhart h-vector $(h_0(n), h_1(n), \dots, h_d(n))$ look like as n increases?

The Main Question

Define $h_0(n), h_1(n), \dots, h_d(n)$ through

$$\text{Ehr}_n \mathcal{P}(t) = \frac{h_d(n) t^d + h_{d-1}(n) t^{d-1} + \dots + h_0(n)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}.$$

What does the Ehrhart h-vector $(h_0(n), h_1(n), \dots, h_d(n))$ look like as n increases?

Let $h(t) = (1-t)^{d+1} \text{Ehr}_\mathcal{P}(t)$. The operator U_n defined through

$$\text{Ehr}_n \mathcal{P}(t) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} L_\mathcal{P}(nm) t^m = \frac{U_n h(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

appears in Number Theory as a **Hecke operator** and in Commutative Algebra in **Veronese subring** constructions.

Motivation I: Unimodular Triangulations

Theorem (Kempf–Knudsen–Mumford–Saint-Donat–Waterman 1970's)
For every lattice polytope \mathcal{P} there exists an integer m such that $m\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation.

Motivation I: Unimodular Triangulations

Theorem (Kempf–Knudsen–Mumford–Saint-Donat–Waterman 1970's)
For every lattice polytope \mathcal{P} there exists an integer m such that $m\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation.

Conjectures

- (a) For every lattice polytope \mathcal{P} there exists an integer m such that $k\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation for $k \geq m$.

Motivation I: Unimodular Triangulations

Theorem (Kempf–Knudsen–Mumford–Saint-Donat–Waterman 1970's)
For every lattice polytope \mathcal{P} there exists an integer m such that $m\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation.

Conjectures

- (a) For every lattice polytope \mathcal{P} there exists an integer m such that $k\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation for $k \geq m$.
- (b) For every d there exists an integer m_d such that, if \mathcal{P} is a d -dimensional lattice polytope, then $m_d\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation.

Motivation I: Unimodular Triangulations

Theorem (Kempf–Knudsen–Mumford–Saint-Donat–Waterman 1970's)

For every lattice polytope \mathcal{P} there exists an integer m such that $m\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation.

Conjectures

- (a) For every lattice polytope \mathcal{P} there exists an integer m such that $k\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation for $k \geq m$.
- (b) For every d there exists an integer m_d such that, if \mathcal{P} is a d -dimensional lattice polytope, then $m_d\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation.
- (c) For every d there exists an integer m_d such that, if \mathcal{P} is a d -dimensional lattice polytope, then $k\mathcal{P}$ admits a regular unimodular triangulation for $k \geq m_d$.

Motivation II: Unimodal Ehrhart h-Vectors

Theorem (Athanasiadis–Hibi–Stanley 2004) If the d -dimensional lattice polytope \mathcal{P} admits a regular unimodular triangulation, then the Ehrhart h-vector of \mathcal{P} satisfies

- (a) $h_{j+1} \geq h_{d-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor - 1$,
- (b) $h_{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor} \geq h_{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor + 1} \geq \cdots \geq h_{d-1} \geq h_d$,
- (c) $h_j \leq \binom{h_1 + j - 1}{j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$.

In particular, if the Ehrhart h-vector of \mathcal{P} is symmetric and \mathcal{P} admits a regular unimodular triangulation, then the Ehrhart h-vector is unimodal.

Motivation II: Unimodal Ehrhart h-Vectors

Theorem (Athanasiadis–Hibi–Stanley 2004) If the d -dimensional lattice polytope \mathcal{P} admits a regular unimodular triangulation, then the Ehrhart h-vector of \mathcal{P} satisfies

- (a) $h_{j+1} \geq h_{d-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor - 1$,
- (b) $h_{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor} \geq h_{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor + 1} \geq \cdots \geq h_{d-1} \geq h_d$,
- (c) $h_j \leq \binom{h_1+j-1}{j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$.

In particular, if the Ehrhart h-vector of \mathcal{P} is symmetric and \mathcal{P} admits a regular unimodular triangulation, then the Ehrhart h-vector is unimodal.

There are (many) lattice polytopes for which (some of these) inequalities fail and one may hope to use this theorem to construct a counter-example to the Knudsen–Mumford–Waterman Conjectures.

Veronese Polynomials Are Eventually Unimodal

Theorem (Brenti–Welker 2008) For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there exists real numbers $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_{d-1} < \alpha_d = 0$, such that, if $h(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d with nonnegative integer coefficients and constant term 1, then for n sufficiently large, $U_n h(t)$ has negative real roots $\beta_1(n) < \beta_2(n) < \cdots < \beta_{d-1}(n) < \beta_d(n) < 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(n) = \alpha_j$.

Veronese Polynomials Are Eventually Unimodal

Theorem (Brenti–Welker 2008) For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there exists real numbers $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_{d-1} < \alpha_d = 0$, such that, if $h(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d with nonnegative integer coefficients and constant term 1, then for n sufficiently large, $U_n h(t)$ has negative real roots $\beta_1(n) < \beta_2(n) < \cdots < \beta_{d-1}(n) < \beta_d(n) < 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(n) = \alpha_j$.

Here “sufficiently large” depends on $h(t)$.

Veronese Polynomials Are Eventually Unimodal

Theorem (Brenti–Welker 2008) For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there exists real numbers $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_{d-1} < \alpha_d = 0$, such that, if $h(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d with nonnegative integer coefficients and constant term 1, then for n sufficiently large, $U_n h(t)$ has negative real roots $\beta_1(n) < \beta_2(n) < \cdots < \beta_{d-1}(n) < \beta_d(n) < 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(n) = \alpha_j$.

Here “sufficiently large” depends on $h(t)$.

If the polynomial $p(t) = a_d t^d + a_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$ has negative roots, then its coefficients are (strictly) **log concave** ($a_j^2 > a_{j-1} a_{j+1}$) which, in turn, implies that the coefficients are (strictly) unimodal ($a_d < a_{d-1} < \cdots < a_k > a_{k-1} > \cdots > a_0$ for some k).

A General Theorem

The Eulerian polynomial $A_d(t)$ is defined through $\sum_{m \geq 0} m^d t^m = \frac{A_d(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$.

Theorem (MB–Stapledon) Fix a positive integer d and let $\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \dots < \rho_d = 0$ denote the roots of $A_d(t)$. There exist M, N depending only on d such that, if $h(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d with nonnegative integer coefficients and constant term 1, then for $n \geq N$, $U_n h(t)$ has negative real roots $\beta_1(n) < \beta_2(n) < \dots < \beta_{d-1}(n) < \beta_d(n) < 0$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(n) = \rho_j$, and the coefficients of $U_n h(t)$ satisfy $h_j(n) < M h_d(n)$.

A General Theorem

The **Eulerian polynomial** $A_d(t)$ is defined through $\sum_{m \geq 0} m^d t^m = \frac{A_d(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$.

Theorem (MB–Stapledon) Fix a positive integer d and let $\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \dots < \rho_d = 0$ denote the roots of $A_d(t)$. There exist M, N depending only on d such that, if $h(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d with nonnegative integer coefficients and constant term 1, then for $n \geq N$, $U_n h(t)$ has negative real roots $\beta_1(n) < \beta_2(n) < \dots < \beta_{d-1}(n) < \beta_d(n) < 0$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(n) = \rho_j$, and the coefficients of $U_n h(t)$ satisfy $h_j(n) < M h_d(n)$.

In particular, the coefficients of $U_n h(t)$ are unimodal for $n \geq N$.

A General Theorem

The **Eulerian polynomial** $A_d(t)$ is defined through $\sum_{m \geq 0} m^d t^m = \frac{A_d(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$.

Theorem (MB–Stapledon) Fix a positive integer d and let $\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \dots < \rho_d = 0$ denote the roots of $A_d(t)$. There exist M, N depending only on d such that, if $h(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d with nonnegative integer coefficients and constant term 1, then for $n \geq N$, $U_n h(t)$ has negative real roots $\beta_1(n) < \beta_2(n) < \dots < \beta_{d-1}(n) < \beta_d(n) < 0$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(n) = \rho_j$, and the coefficients of $U_n h(t)$ satisfy $h_j(n) < M h_d(n)$.

In particular, the coefficients of $U_n h(t)$ are unimodal for $n \geq N$.

Furthermore, if $h_0 + \dots + h_{j+1} \geq h_d + \dots + h_{d-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor - 1$, with at least one strict inequality, then we may choose N such that, for $n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 = h_0(n) &< h_d(n) < h_1(n) < \dots < h_j(n) < h_{d-j}(n) < h_{j+1}(n) \\ &< \dots < h_{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor}(n) < M h_d(n). \end{aligned}$$

An Ehrhartian Corollary

Corollary (MB–Stapledon) Fix a positive integer d and let $\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \cdots < \rho_d = 0$ denote the roots of the Eulerian polynomial $A_d(t)$. There exist M, N depending only on d such that, if P is a d -dimensional lattice polytope with Ehrhart series numerator $h(t)$, then for $n \geq N$, $U_n h(t)$ has negative real roots $\beta_1(n) < \beta_2(n) < \cdots < \beta_{d-1}(n) < \beta_d(n) < 0$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(n) = \rho_j$.

An Ehrhartian Corollary

Corollary (MB–Stapledon) Fix a positive integer d and let $\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \cdots < \rho_d = 0$ denote the roots of the Eulerian polynomial $A_d(t)$. There exist M, N depending only on d such that, if P is a d -dimensional lattice polytope with Ehrhart series numerator $h(t)$, then for $n \geq N$, $U_n h(t)$ has negative real roots $\beta_1(n) < \beta_2(n) < \cdots < \beta_{d-1}(n) < \beta_d(n) < 0$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(n) = \rho_j$.

In particular, the coefficients of $U_n h(t)$ are unimodal for $n \geq N$.

An Ehrhartian Corollary

Corollary (MB–Stapledon) Fix a positive integer d and let $\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \cdots < \rho_d = 0$ denote the roots of the Eulerian polynomial $A_d(t)$. There exist M, N depending only on d such that, if P is a d -dimensional lattice polytope with Ehrhart series numerator $h(t)$, then for $n \geq N$, $U_n h(t)$ has negative real roots $\beta_1(n) < \beta_2(n) < \cdots < \beta_{d-1}(n) < \beta_d(n) < 0$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(n) = \rho_j$.

In particular, the coefficients of $U_n h(t)$ are unimodal for $n \geq N$.

Furthermore, they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = h_0(n) &< h_d(n) < h_1(n) < \cdots < h_j(n) < h_{d-j}(n) < h_{j+1}(n) \\ &< \cdots < h_{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor}(n) < M h_d(n). \end{aligned}$$

Open Problems

Find optimal choices for M and N in any of our theorems.

Open Problems

Find optimal choices for M and N in any of our theorems.

Conjecture For Ehrhart series of d -dimensional polytopes, $N = d$.

(Open for $d \geq 3$)

The Message

The Ehrhart series of $n\mathcal{P}$ becomes friendlier as n increases.

The Message

The Ehrhart series of $n\mathcal{P}$ becomes friendlier as n increases.

In fixed dimension, you don't have to wait forever to make *all* Ehrhart series look friendly.

The Message

The Ehrhart series of $n\mathcal{P}$ becomes friendlier as n increases.

In fixed dimension, you don't have to wait forever to make *all* Ehrhart series look friendly.

Homework Figure out what all of this has to do with carrying digits when summing 100-digit numbers.