

Dedekind cotangent sums ¹

MATTHIAS BECK

Abstract: Let a, a_1, \dots, a_d be positive integers, m_1, \dots, m_d nonnegative integers, and z_1, \dots, z_d complex numbers. We study expressions of the form

$$\sum_{k \bmod a} \prod_{j=1}^d \cot^{(m_j)} \pi \left(\frac{ka_j}{a} + z_j \right) .$$

Here the sum is taken over all $k \bmod a$ for which the summand is not singular. These **Dedekind cotangent sums** generalize and unify various arithmetic sums introduced by Dedekind, Rademacher, Apostol, Carlitz, Zagier, Berndt, Meyer, Sczech, and Dieter. Generalized Dedekind sums appear in various areas such as analytic and algebraic number theory, topology, algebraic and combinatorial geometry, and algorithmic complexity. We prove reciprocity laws, Petersson-Knopp identities, and computability statements for the Dedekind cotangent sums.

1 Introduction

While studying the transformation properties of

$$\eta(z) := e^{\pi iz/12} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e^{2\pi inz}) ,$$

under $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, Dedekind, in the 1880's [De], naturally arrived at the following arithmetic function: Let $((x))$ be the sawtooth function defined by

$$((x)) := \begin{cases} \{x\} - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} . \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here $\{x\} = x - [x]$ denotes the fractional part of x . For $a, b \in \mathbb{N} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n > 0\}$, we define the **Dedekind sum** as

$$\mathfrak{s}(a, b) := \sum_{k \bmod b} \left(\left(\frac{ka}{b} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{k}{b} \right) \right) . \quad (2)$$

The Dedekind sums and their generalizations have since intrigued mathematicians from various areas such as analytic [De, Di1, Al] and algebraic number theory [Me, S], topology [HZ, Za, MS], algebraic [P, BV] and combinatorial geometry [Mo, DR], and algorithmic complexity [Knu].

By means of the discrete Fourier series of the sawtooth function (see, for example, [RG, p. 14]),

$$\left(\left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \right) = \frac{i}{2p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \cot \left(\frac{\pi k}{p} \right) e^{2\pi i kn/p} , \quad (3)$$

it is not hard to write the Dedekind sum in terms of cotangents:

$$\mathfrak{s}(a, b) = \frac{1}{4b} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \cot \frac{\pi ka}{b} \cot \frac{\pi k}{b} . \quad (4)$$

¹Appeared in *Acta Arithmetica* **109**, no. 2 (2003), 109–130.

Keywords: Dedekind sum, cotangent sum, Petersson-Knopp identity, lattice point enumeration in polyhedra.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11L03; Secondary 52C07.

Starting with these two representations (2) and (4) of $\mathfrak{s}(a, b)$, various generalizations of the Dedekind sum have been introduced. This paper constitutes an attempt to unify generalizations of the Dedekind sum in its ‘cotangent representation’ (4). Through the discrete Fourier series (3), this includes most generalizations of the ‘sawtooth representation’ (2) of the Dedekind sum.

Let $\cot^{(m)}$ denote the m ’th derivative of the cotangent function. Our generalized Dedekind sum is introduced as follows:

Definition 1 For $a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_0, \dots, m_d \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $z_0, \dots, z_d \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the **Dedekind cotangent sum** as

$$\mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_d \\ m_0 & m_1 & \cdots & m_d \\ z_0 & z_1 & \cdots & z_d \end{array} \right) := \frac{1}{a_0^{m_0+1}} \sum_{k \bmod a_0} \prod_{j=1}^d \cot^{(m_j)} \pi \left(a_j \frac{k + z_0}{a_0} - z_j \right),$$

where the sum is taken over all $k \bmod a_0$ for which the summand is not singular.

The Dedekind cotangent sums include as special cases various generalized Dedekind sums introduced by Rademacher [R], Apostol [Ap1], Carlitz [C1], Zagier [Za], Berndt [Be], Meyer, Sczech [MS], and Dieter [Di2]. Section 2 contains the definitions of these previously defined sums and the connections to our new definition.

The most fundamental and important theorems for any of the generalized Dedekind sums are the *reciprocity laws*: an appropriate sum of generalized Dedekind sums (usually permuting the arguments in a cyclic fashion) gives a simple rational expression. The famous reciprocity law for the classical Dedekind sum is as old as the sum itself:

Theorem 1 (Dedekind) If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively prime then

$$\mathfrak{s}(a, b) + \mathfrak{s}(b, a) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{b}{a} \right).$$

The following reciprocity law for the Dedekind cotangent sums will be proved in section 3:

Theorem 2 Let $a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_0, \dots, m_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $z_0, \dots, z_d \in \mathbb{C}$. If for all distinct $i, j \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{m + z_i}{a_i} - \frac{n + z_j}{a_j} \notin \mathbb{Z},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^d (-1)^{m_n} m_n! \sum_{\substack{l_0, \dots, l_n, \dots, l_d \geq 0 \\ l_0 + \dots + l_n + \dots + l_d = m_n}} \frac{a_0^{l_0} \cdots \widehat{a_n^{l_n}} \cdots a_d^{l_d}}{l_0! \cdots \widehat{l_n!} \cdots l_d!} \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_n & a_0 & \cdots & \widehat{a_n} & \cdots & a_d \\ m_n & m_0 + l_0 & \cdots & \widehat{m_n + l_n} & \cdots & m_d + l_d \\ z_n & z_0 & \cdots & \widehat{z_n} & \cdots & z_d \end{array} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{d/2} & \text{if all } m_k = 0 \text{ and } d \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As usual, $\widehat{x_n}$ means we omit the term x_n .

In section 4, we obtain as corollaries the reciprocity laws for Dedekind's, Apostol's, Meyer-Sczech's, Dieter's and Zagier's generalized Dedekind sums. The purpose here is not so much a rederivation of old theorems but rather to show a common thread to all of them.

Another basic identity on the classical Dedekind sum is about a hundred years younger than Dedekind's reciprocity law [Kno]:

Theorem 3 (Petersson-Knopp) *Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively prime. Then*

$$\sum_{d|n} \sum_{k \bmod d} \mathfrak{s}\left(\frac{n}{d}b + ka, ad\right) = \sigma(n) \mathfrak{s}(b, a) . \quad (5)$$

Here $\sigma(n)$ denotes the sum of the positive divisors of n .

This result has also been extended to certain generalized Dedekind sums [AV, PR, Zh]. The respective identity for the cotangent sum follows from a much more general theorem, stated and proved in section 5:

Theorem 4 *For $n, a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}, m_0, \dots, m_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b|n} b^{m_0+1-m_1-\dots-m_d-d} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_d \bmod b} \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_0 b & \frac{n}{b} a_1 + r_1 a_0 & \cdots & \frac{n}{b} a_d + r_d a_0 \\ m_0 & m_1 & \cdots & m_d \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ &= n \sigma_{-m_1-\dots-m_d-1}(n) \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_d \\ m_0 & m_1 & \cdots & m_d \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Here $\sigma_m(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^m$.

Finally, we show in section 6 a computability result:

Theorem 5 *The Dedekind cotangent sum $\mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_d \\ m_0 & m_1 & \cdots & m_d \\ z_0 & z_1 & \cdots & z_d \end{array} \right)$ is polynomial-time computable in the input size of a_0, \dots, a_d .*

Computability for any of the generalized Dedekind sums involving two integer arguments follows easily from the two-term reciprocity laws. However, the reciprocity laws for 'higher-dimensional' analogues do not imply nice computability properties.

2 Various Dedekind sums

In this section we will give an overview of previously defined generalizations of the Dedekind sum. We do not claim any completeness but hope to give a good picture of what has been introduced in the past.

The sawtooth function $((x))$ defined in (1) is the first Bernoulli function $\overline{B}_1(x)$, defined to be zero at the integers. We used the slightly antiquated function $((x))$ partly for historical reasons, partly because it has a

simpler discrete Fourier series. The **Bernoulli polynomials** $B_k(x)$ are defined through

$$\frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{B_k(x)}{k!} z^k. \quad (6)$$

The first few of them are

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &= x - \frac{1}{2} \\ B_2(x) &= x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6} \\ B_3(x) &= x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x \\ B_4(x) &= x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2 - \frac{1}{30} \\ B_5(x) &= x^5 - \frac{5}{2}x^4 + \frac{5}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{6}x \\ B_6(x) &= x^6 - 3x^5 + \frac{5}{2}x^4 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{42} \\ B_7(x) &= x^7 - \frac{7}{2}x^6 + \frac{7}{2}x^5 + \frac{7}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{6}x. \end{aligned}$$

The **Bernoulli numbers** are $B_k := B_k(0)$. The **Bernoulli functions** $\bar{B}_k(x)$ are the periodized Bernoulli polynomials:

$$\bar{B}_k(x) := B_k(\{x\}).$$

Apostol [Ap1] replaced one of the sawtooth functions in (2) by an arbitrary Bernoulli function:

$$\sum_{k \bmod b} \frac{k}{b} \bar{B}_n\left(\frac{ka}{b}\right). \quad (7)$$

Apostol's idea was generalized by Carlitz [C1] and Mikolás [Mi] to what we would like to call the **Dedekind Bernoulli sum**, defined for $a, b, c, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$\mathfrak{s}_{m,n}(a; b, c) := \sum_{k \bmod b} \bar{B}_m\left(\frac{kb}{a}\right) \bar{B}_n\left(\frac{kc}{a}\right).$$

Another way of generalizing (2) is to shift the argument of the sawtooth functions. This was introduced by Meyer [Me] and Dieter [Di1], and brought to a solid ground by Rademacher [R]: For $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, the **Dedekind-Rademacher sum** is defined by

$$\mathfrak{s}(a, b; x, y) := \sum_{k \bmod b} \left(\left(a \frac{k+y}{b} - x \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{k+y}{b} \right) \right).$$

Note that there is no loss in restricting x and y to $0 \leq x, y < 1$.

The ideas of Apostol and Rademacher can also be combined: Takács [T] introduced a shift in Apostol's sum (7):

$$\sum_{k \bmod b} \bar{B}_1\left(\frac{k+y}{b}\right) \bar{B}_n\left(a \frac{k+y}{b} - x\right).$$

This was further generalized by Halbritter [H] and later by Hall, Wilson, and Zagier [HWZ] to the **generalized Dedekind-Rademacher sum**, defined for $a, b, c, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\mathfrak{s}_{m,n} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} a & b \ c \\ x & y \ z \end{array} \right) := \sum_{k \bmod a} \bar{B}_m \left(b \frac{k+x}{a} - y \right) \bar{B}_n \left(c \frac{k+x}{a} - z \right).$$

On the other hand, we can start with the cotangent representation (4) of the Dedekind sum to arrive at generalizations. The equivalent of the Dedekind-Rademacher sum in terms of cotangents was first defined by Meyer and Sczech [MS], motivated by the appearance of the classical Dedekind sum in topology [HZ]. The version we state here was introduced by Dieter [Di2]: For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, the **cotangent sum** is defined by

$$\mathfrak{c}(a, b, c; x, y, z) := \frac{1}{c} \sum_{k \bmod c} \cot \pi \left(a \frac{k+z}{c} - x \right) \cot \pi \left(b \frac{k+z}{c} - y \right) .$$

Here the sum is taken over all $k \bmod b$ for which the summand is not singular. Dieter remarked in [Di2] that the cotangent sums include as special cases various modified Dedekind sums introduced by Berndt [Be, BD]. Most of them are inspired by the transformation properties of the logarithm of the classical theta-function. We list them here using Berndt's notation; throughout, a and b denote relatively prime positive integers. In the first sum, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$, and a^{-1} is defined through $a^{-1}a \equiv 1 \bmod b$.

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\alpha, \beta}(a, b) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{ab-1} \exp \left(2\pi i \left(\frac{k\alpha}{a} + \frac{k\beta}{b} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{k}{ab} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{ka^{-1}}{b} \right) \right) \\ S(a, b) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{k+1+[ak/b]} \\ s_1(a, b) &:= \sum_{k=1}^b (-1)^{[ak/b]} \left(\left(\frac{k}{b} \right) \right) \\ s_2(a, b) &:= \sum_{k=1}^b (-1)^k \left(\left(\frac{k}{b} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{ka}{b} \right) \right) \\ s_3(a, b) &:= \sum_{k=1}^b (-1)^k \left(\left(\frac{ka}{b} \right) \right) \\ s_4(a, b) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{[ak/b]} \\ s_5(a, b) &:= \sum_{k=1}^b (-1)^{k+[ak/b]} \left(\left(\frac{k}{b} \right) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Yet another generalization of (4) we mention here is due to Zagier [Za]. Generalizing the topological considerations in [HZ] to arbitrary dimensions, he arrived naturally at the following expression: Let $a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$ be relatively prime to $a_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the **higher-dimensional Dedekind sum** as

$$\mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) := \frac{(-1)^{d/2}}{a_0} \sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \cot \frac{\pi k a_1}{a_0} \cdots \cot \frac{\pi k a_d}{a_0} .$$

We note that this sum vanishes if d is odd, since the cotangent is an odd function. Berndt noticed in [Be] that a version of the higher-dimensional Dedekind sum was already introduced by Carlitz [C2] via sawtooth functions.

Our Dedekind cotangent sums (Definition 1) combine the cotangent and higher-dimensional Dedekind sum. This could have been done by only introducing a shift of the variable in each cotangent of the higher-dimensional Dedekind sum. The reason for additionally introducing cotangent derivatives is twofold: first, they appear in lattice point enumeration formulas for polyhedra [DR]. We will make use of this fact in section 6 about the computability of the Dedekind cotangent sums. Second, the cotangent derivatives are essentially

the discrete Fourier transforms of the Bernoulli functions. That is, our definition can be seen as the higher-dimensional ‘cotangent equivalent’ to Apostol’s Dedekind Bernoulli sum. In fact, the Dedekind cotangent sums include as special cases all generalized Dedekind sums mentioned in this section with exception of the Dedekind-Rademacher sum and its generalization by Hall, Wilson, and Zagier. Technically, these sums could be treated in the same manner. What makes a subtle difference is the shift by a real number in the argument of the Bernoulli functions: to handle these, we would have to work with the discrete Fourier series of the shifted Bernoulli functions, which turn out to be much less practical than the ones without a shift.

To be more precise, we state the discrete Fourier series of the Bernoulli functions next. In analogy to (3), we have the straightforward

Lemma 6 *For $m \geq 2$,*

$$\overline{B}_m\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = \frac{B_m}{(-p)^m} + m \left(\frac{i}{2p}\right)^m \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \cot^{(m-1)}\left(\frac{\pi k}{p}\right) e^{2\pi k n/p}.$$

□

These discrete Fourier expansions can be used, for example, to rewrite the Dedekind Bernoulli sums in terms of the Dedekind cotangent sums:

Corollary 7 *If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise relatively prime and $m, n \geq 2$ are integers with the same parity then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{s}_{m,n}(a; b, c) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \bmod a} \overline{B}_m\left(\frac{kb}{a}\right) \overline{B}_n\left(\frac{kc}{a}\right) \\ &= mn \frac{(-1)^{(m-n)/2}}{2^{m+n} a^{m+n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \cot^{(m-1)}\left(\frac{\pi kc}{a}\right) \cot^{(n-1)}\left(\frac{\pi kb}{a}\right) + \frac{B_m B_n}{a^{m+n-1}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} mn \frac{(-1)^{(m-n)/2}}{2^{m+n}} \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} a & b & c \\ m+n-2 & n-1 & m-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) + \frac{B_m B_n}{a^{m+n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the parity assumption on m and n is no restriction, since the sums vanish if $m+n$ is odd. It is worth mentioning that a close relative of these sums, namely,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \overline{B}_m\left(\frac{k}{a}\right) \left(\left(\frac{kb}{a}\right)\right) = m \frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2}}{2^{m+1} a^m} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi k}{a}\right) \cot^{(m-1)}\left(\frac{\pi kb}{a}\right)$$

appears naturally in the study of plane partition enumeration [Al].

Proof of Corollary 7: By Lemma 6,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{s}_{m,n}(a; b, c) &= \sum_{k \bmod a} \overline{B}_m \left(\frac{kb}{a} \right) \overline{B}_n \left(\frac{kc}{a} \right) \\
&= mn \left(\frac{i}{2a} \right)^{m+n} \sum_{k \bmod a} \sum_{j,l=1}^{a-1} \cot^{(m-1)} \left(\frac{\pi j}{a} \right) \cot^{(n-1)} \left(\frac{\pi l}{a} \right) e^{2\pi i k(jb+lc)/a} \\
&\quad + m \left(\frac{i}{2a} \right)^m \frac{B_n}{(-a)^n} \sum_{k \bmod a} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \cot^{(m-1)} \left(\frac{\pi j}{a} \right) e^{2\pi i kjb/a} \\
&\quad + n \left(\frac{i}{2a} \right)^n \frac{B_m}{(-a)^m} \sum_{k \bmod a} \sum_{l=1}^{a-1} \cot^{(n-1)} \left(\frac{\pi l}{a} \right) e^{2\pi i klc/a} + a \frac{B_m B_n}{(-a)^{m+n}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Now we use the fact that $m+n$ is even, a, b, c are pairwise relatively prime, and

$$\sum_{k \bmod a} e^{2\pi i nk/a} = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a|n \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Hence the first sum in (8) vanishes unless $jb+lc$ is divisible by a , that is, $l \equiv -jbc^{-1} \bmod a$, where $c^{-1}c \equiv 1 \bmod a$. The second and third sum in (8) disappear completely, and we are left with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{s}_{m,n}(a; b, c) &= mn \frac{(-1)^{(m+n)/2}}{(2a)^{m+n}} a \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \cot^{(m-1)} \left(\frac{\pi j}{a} \right) \cot^{(n-1)} \left(-\frac{\pi jbc^{-1}}{a} \right) + \frac{B_m B_n}{a^{m+n-1}} \\
&= mn \frac{(-1)^{(m+n)/2}}{2^{m+n} a^{m+n-1}} (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \cot^{(m-1)} \left(\frac{\pi jc}{a} \right) \cot^{(n-1)} \left(\frac{\pi jb}{a} \right) + \frac{B_m B_n}{a^{m+n-1}} .
\end{aligned}$$

□

3 Proof of the reciprocity law

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider the function

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=0}^d \cot^{(m_j)} \pi (a_j z - z_j) .$$

We integrate f along the simple rectangular path

$$\gamma = [x + iy, x - iy, x + 1 - iy, x + 1 + iy, x + iy] ,$$

where x and y are chosen such that γ does not pass through any pole of f , and all poles z_p of f have imaginary part $|\text{Im}(z_p)| < y$. By the periodicity of the cotangent, the contributions of the two vertical segments of γ cancel each other. By definition of the cotangent,

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \cot(x \pm iy) = \mp i ,$$

and therefore also

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \cot^{(m)}(x \pm iy) = 0$$

for $m > 0$. Hence if any of the $m_j > 0$,

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0 .$$

If all $m_j = 0$, we obtain

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = i^{d+1} - (-i)^{d+1} = i^{d+1} (1 + (-1)^d) .$$

This can be summarized in

$$\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \begin{cases} i^d & \text{if all } m_j = 0 \text{ and } d \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

or, by means of the residue theorem,

$$\pi \sum_{z_p} \text{Res}(f(z), z_p) = \begin{cases} i^d & \text{if all } m_j = 0 \text{ and } d \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Here the sum ranges over all poles z_p inside γ . It remains to compute their residues. By assumption, f has only simple poles. We will compute the residue at $z_p = \frac{k+z_0}{a_0}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the other residues are completely equivalent. We use the Laurent expansion of the cotangent

$$\cot \pi(a_0 z - z_0) = \frac{1}{\pi a_0} \left(z - \frac{k+z_0}{a_0} \right)^{-1} + \text{analytic part} , \quad (10)$$

and, more generally,

$$\cot^{(m_0)} \pi(a_0 z - z_0) = \frac{(-1)^{m_0} m_0!}{(\pi a_0)^{m_0+1}} \left(z - \frac{k+z_0}{a_0} \right)^{-(m_0+1)} + \text{analytic part} .$$

The other cotangents are analytic at this pole: for $j > 0$,

$$\cot^{(m_j)} \pi(a_j z - z_j) = \sum_{l_j \geq 0} \frac{(\pi a_j)^{l_j}}{l_j!} \cot^{(m_j+l_j)} \pi \left(a_j \frac{k+z_0}{a_0} - z_j \right) \left(z - \frac{k+z_0}{a_0} \right)^{l_j} . \quad (11)$$

Hence

$$\text{Res} \left(f(z), z = \frac{k+z_0}{a_0} \right) = \frac{(-1)^{m_0} m_0!}{\pi a_0^{m_0+1}} \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_d \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_d = m_0}} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{a_j^{l_j}}{l_j!} \cot^{(m_j+l_j)} \pi \left(a_j \frac{k+z_0}{a_0} - z_j \right) .$$

Since γ has horizontal width 1, we have a_0 poles of the form $\frac{k+z_0}{a_0}$ inside γ , where k runs through a complete set of residues modulo a_0 . This gives, by definition of the Dedekind cotangent sum,

$$\sum_{k \bmod a_0} \text{Res} \left(f(z), z = \frac{k+z_0}{a_0} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} (-1)^{m_0} m_0! \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_d \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_d = m_0}} \frac{a_1^{l_1} \dots a_d^{l_d}}{l_1! \dots l_d!} \mathbf{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_d \\ m_0 & m_1 + l_1 & \dots & m_d + l_d \\ z_0 & z_1 & \dots & z_d \end{array} \right) .$$

The other residues are computed in the same way, and give with (9) the statement. \square

4 Variations on a theme

The conditions on the parameters appearing in Theorem 2 are not crucial; however, without them the theorem would not be as easy to state. Indeed, the conditions simply ensure that all poles of the function f used in the proof are simple. We will now state some special cases of Theorem 2 in which we drop some of the conditions. Strictly speaking, these ‘corollaries’ are really corollaries of the *proof* of Theorem 2. The first of those cases is the classical Dedekind reciprocity law, Theorem 1 [De]. Recall that

$$\mathfrak{s}(a, b) = \frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} b & a & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. To modify our proof of Theorem 2, we have to consider the function

$$f(z) = \cot(\pi az) \cot(\pi bz) \cot(\pi z).$$

The residues are computed as above and yield the classical Dedekind sums; the only difference is an additional pole of order three at $z = 0$ (we may choose our integration path γ such that 0 is inside γ). Its residue is easily computed as

$$\text{Res}(f(z), z = 0) = -\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{b}{a} \right).$$

From here we can proceed as before. □

It should be mentioned that a proof of Dedekind’s reciprocity law along these lines is given in [RG, p. 21]. In fact, it was this proof that motivated the proof of Theorem 2.

The second special case is an identity equivalent to the reciprocity law for the Dedekind Bernoulli sums [Ap1, C1, Mi]. The respective statement for them can be obtained through Corollary 7.

Corollary 8 *Let $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ be pairwise relatively prime, and $m_0, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ not all zero, such that $m_0 + m_1 + m_2$ is even. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{m_0} m_0! \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2 \geq 0 \\ l_1 + l_2 = m_0}} \frac{a_1^{l_1} a_2^{l_2}}{l_1! l_2!} \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ m_0 & m_1 + l_1 & m_2 + l_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ & + (-1)^{m_1} m_1! \sum_{\substack{l_0, l_2 \geq 0 \\ l_0 + l_2 = m_1}} \frac{a_0^{l_0} a_2^{l_2}}{l_0! l_2!} \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} a_1 & a_0 & a_2 \\ m_1 & m_0 + l_0 & m_2 + l_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ & + (-1)^{m_2} m_2! \sum_{\substack{l_0, l_1 \geq 0 \\ l_0 + l_1 = m_2}} \frac{a_0^{l_0} a_1^{l_1}}{l_0! l_1!} \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} a_2 & a_0 & a_1 \\ m_2 & m_0 + l_0 & m_1 + l_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ & = \phi(a_0, a_1, a_2, m_0, m_1, m_2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a_0, a_1, a_2, m_0, m_1, m_2) &:= (-4)^{(m_0+m_1+m_2)/2} \cdot \\ &\left(\frac{(-1)^{m_0}}{a_0^{m_0+1}} \sum_{\substack{2k_1 \geq m_1+1, \ 2k_2 \geq m_2+1 \\ 2(k_1+k_2-1)=m_0+m_1+m_2}} \binom{m_0}{2k_1-1-m_1} \frac{B_{2k_1} B_{2k_2}}{k_1 k_2} a_1^{2k_1-1-m_1} a_2^{2k_2-1-m_2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{m_1}}{a_1^{m_1+1}} \sum_{\substack{2k_0 \geq m_0+1, \ 2k_2 \geq m_2+1 \\ 2(k_0+k_2-1)=m_0+m_1+m_2}} \binom{m_1}{2k_2-1-m_2} \frac{B_{2k_0} B_{2k_2}}{k_0 k_2} a_0^{2k_0-1-m_0} a_2^{2k_2-1-m_2} \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{m_2}}{a_2^{m_2+1}} \sum_{\substack{2k_0 \geq m_0+1, \ 2k_1 \geq m_1+1 \\ 2(k_0+k_1-1)=m_0+m_1+m_2}} \binom{m_2}{2k_0-1-m_0} \frac{B_{2k_0} B_{2k_1}}{k_0 k_1} a_0^{2k_0-1-m_0} a_1^{2k_1-1-m_1} \Bigg) \\ &+ \frac{2^{m_0+m_1+m_2+2} B_{m_0+m_1+m_2+2}}{m_0+m_1+m_2+2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{(m_0+m_1-m_2)/2} m_0! m_1! a_2^{m_0+m_1+1}}{(m_0+m_1+1)! a_0^{m_0+1} a_1^{m_1+1}} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{(-1)^{(m_0+m_2-m_1)/2} m_0! m_2! a_1^{m_0+m_2+1}}{(m_0+m_2+1)! a_0^{m_0+1} a_2^{m_2+1}} + \frac{(-1)^{(m_1+m_2-m_0)/2} m_1! m_2! a_0^{m_1+m_2+1}}{(m_1+m_2+1)! a_1^{m_1+1} a_2^{m_2+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Again the parity restriction on $m_0 + m_1 + m_2$ is no constraint, since otherwise all the sums vanish.

Proof. As in the last proof, we use the function

$$f(z) = \cot^{(m_0)}(\pi a_0 z) \cot^{(m_1)}(\pi a_1 z) \cot^{(m_2)}(a_2 \pi z).$$

By the pairwise-prime condition, the poles of f are all simple with exception of the pole at $z = 0$ (again we may choose our integration path such that 0 is contained inside). The residues at $z = k/a_0$, for example, yield, as in the proof of Theorem 2,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \text{Res} \left(f(z), z = \frac{k}{a_0} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} (-1)^{m_0} m_0! \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2 \geq 0 \\ l_1+l_2=m_0}} \frac{a_1^{l_1} a_2^{l_2}}{l_1! l_2!} \mathbf{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ m_0 & m_1+l_1 & m_2+l_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Similar expressions are obtained for the other non-zero poles of f . To get the residue at $z = 0$, we use the following expansion of the cotangent, which follows directly from the definition (6) of the Bernoulli polynomials [BS]:

$$\cot z = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{2k} B_{2k}}{(2k)!} z^{2k-1}. \quad (12)$$

Hence

$$\cot^{(m)} z = \frac{(-1)^m m!}{z^{m+1}} + \sum_{2k \geq m+1} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{2k-1} B_{2k}}{k (2k-1-m)!} z^{2k-1-m},$$

from which we obtain

$$\text{Res}(f(z), z = 0) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \phi(a_0, a_1, a_2, m_0, m_1, m_2).$$

Since not all m_0, m_1, m_2 are zero, the sum of the residues of f vanishes as in the proof of Theorem 2, and the statement follows. \square

Next we prove the reciprocity law for the cotangent sums [Di2]. Recall that

$$\mathbf{c}(a, b, c; x, y, z) = \mathbf{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} c & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z & x & y \end{array} \right).$$

It is easy to see that the reciprocity law of Meyer and Sczech [MS] is a special case of

Corollary 9 (Dieter) *Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ be pairwise relatively prime, and define A, B, C by*

$$Abc + Bca + Cab = 1 .$$

Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, not all integers, and set

$$x' = cy - bz, y' = az - cx, z' = bx - ay .$$

Finally, let

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c}(a, b, c; x, y, z) + \mathfrak{c}(b, c, a; y, z, x) + \mathfrak{c}(c, a, b; z, x, y) = -1 - \frac{c}{ab} \delta(z') \cot^{(1)} \pi (Acx' - (Bc + Cb)y') \\ - \frac{a}{bc} \delta(x') \cot^{(1)} \pi (Bay' - (Ca + Ac)z') - \frac{b}{ac} \delta(y') \cot^{(1)} \pi (Cbz' - (Ab + Ba)x') . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2, we use the function

$$f(w) = \cot \pi (aw - x) \cot \pi (bw - y) \cot \pi (cw - z) .$$

If f has only simple poles, the statement is a direct special case of Theorem 2. Otherwise, suppose we have a double pole w_p , that is, there exist integers m and n such that (for example),

$$w_p = \frac{m+x}{a} = \frac{n+y}{b} .$$

To compute the residue of f at this pole, we use once again the Laurent series (10) and (11) of the cotangent to obtain

$$\text{Res}(f(w), w = w_p) = \frac{c}{\pi ab} \cot^{(1)} \pi (cw_p - z) .$$

We obtain similar residues for the simple poles as before, and the residue theorem yields an identity. That this identity is equivalent to Dieter's can be easily seen by following the remarks in [Di2] just before Theorem 2.3. \square

The last special case is one in general 'dimension', Zagier's higher-dimensional Dedekind sums [Za]. Recall that

$$\mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) = (-1)^{d/2} \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_d \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) .$$

Corollary 10 (Zagier) *If $a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise relatively prime then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^d \mathfrak{s}(a_n; a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_n}, \dots, a_d) = 1 - h(a_0, \dots, a_d) ,$$

where

$$h(a_0, \dots, a_d) := \frac{2^d}{a_0 \cdots a_d} \sum_{\substack{k_0, \dots, k_d \geq 0 \\ k_0 + \cdots + k_d = d/2}} \frac{B_{2k_0} \cdots B_{2k_d}}{(2k_0)! \cdots (2k_d)!} a_0^{2k_0} \cdots a_d^{2k_d} .$$

This description of $h(a_0, \dots, a_d)$ in terms of Bernoulli numbers was first used by Berndt [Be]; however, it is easily seen to be equivalent to the version given by Zagier. It is interesting to note that $h(a_0, \dots, a_d)$ can be expressed in terms of Hirzebruch L-functions [Za].

Proof. This time consider the function

$$f(z) = \cot \pi a_0 z \cdots \cot \pi a_d z .$$

As before, f has simple poles aside from the pole at $z = 0$. The residues are calculated as usual, for example,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \operatorname{Res} \left(f(z), z = \frac{k}{a_0} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi a_0} \sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \cot \frac{\pi k a_1}{a_0} \cdots \cot \frac{\pi k a_d}{a_0} = \frac{(-1)^{d/2}}{\pi} \mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) .$$

The residue at 0 can be computed through rewriting (12) as

$$z \cot z = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{2k} B_{2k}}{(2k)!} z^{2k} .$$

Hence

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{a_0 \cdots a_d (\pi z)^{d+1}} \prod_{j=0}^d \sum_{k_j \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{k_j} 2^{2k_j} B_{2k_j}}{(2k_j)!} (\pi a_j z)^{2k_j} ,$$

and we obtain the residue

$$\operatorname{Res}(f(z), z = 0) = \frac{(-1)^{d/2} 2^d}{\pi a_0 \cdots a_d} \sum_{\substack{k_0, \dots, k_d \geq 0 \\ k_0 + \dots + k_d = d/2}} \frac{B_{2k_0} \cdots B_{2k_d}}{(2k_0)! \cdots (2k_d)!} a_0^{2k_0} \cdots a_d^{2k_d} = \frac{(-1)^{d/2}}{\pi} h(a_0, \dots, a_d) .$$

It remains to apply the residue theorem and (9). □

5 Petersson-Knopp identities

Knopp applied in [Kno] Hecke operators to $\log \eta$ to arrive at Theorem 3. This identity was stated by Petersson in the 1970's with additional congruence restrictions on a and b . For n prime, the Petersson-Knopp identity was already known to Dedekind [De]. Theorem 3 was generalized by Parson and Rosen to Dedekind-Bernoulli sums [PR], by Apostol and Vu to their 'sums of Dedekind type' [AV], and, most broadly, by Zheng to what we will call sums of Dedekind type with weight (m_1, m_2) [Zh]. We will state and further generalize Zheng's Petersson-Knopp identity after the following

Definition 2 Let $a, a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$. The sum

$$S(a; a_1, \dots, a_d) := \sum_{k \bmod a} f_1 \left(\frac{ka_1}{a} \right) \cdots f_d \left(\frac{ka_d}{a} \right)$$

is said to be **of Dedekind type with weight** (m_1, \dots, m_d) if for all $j = 1, \dots, d$, $f_j(x+1) = f_j(x)$ and for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{k \bmod a} f_j \left(x + \frac{k}{a} \right) = a^{m_j} f_j(ax) . \quad (13)$$

Note that the Bernoulli functions $\overline{B}_m(x)$ satisfy (13) (with ‘weight’ $-m+1$), as do the functions $\cot^{(m)}(\pi x)$ (with ‘weight’ $m+1$). Zheng’s theorem is the ‘two-dimensional’ ($d=2$) case of the following

Theorem 11 *Let $n, a, a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$. If*

$$S(a; a_1, \dots, a_d) := \sum_{k \bmod a} f_1\left(\frac{ka_1}{a}\right) \cdots f_d\left(\frac{ka_d}{a}\right)$$

is of Dedekind type with weight (m_1, \dots, m_d) then

$$\sum_{b|n} b^{-m_1-\dots-m_d} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_d \bmod b} S\left(ab; \frac{n}{b}a_1 + r_1a, \dots, \frac{n}{b}a_d + r_da\right) = n \sigma_{d-1-m_1-\dots-m_d}(n) S(a; a_1, \dots, a_d) .$$

Our proof is a relatively straightforward extension of Zheng’s proof for $d=2$ [Zh]. We need the following two identities:

Lemma 12 *Let $a, a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$. If*

$$S(a; a_1, \dots, a_d) := \sum_{k \bmod a} f_1\left(\frac{ka_1}{a}\right) \cdots f_d\left(\frac{ka_d}{a}\right)$$

is of Dedekind type with weight (m_1, \dots, m_d) then for all $j = 1, \dots, d$,

$$\sum_{k \bmod a} f_j\left(x + \frac{kb}{a}\right) = (a, b)^{1-m_j} a^{m_j} f_j\left(\frac{ax}{(a, b)}\right) \quad (14)$$

and

$$S(ab; a_1b, \dots, a_db) = b S(a; a_1, \dots, a_d) . \quad (15)$$

Proof. (14): If $(a, b) = 1$, the statement is vacuous. If a and b are not relatively prime, let $a' = a/(a, b)$ and $b' = b/(a, b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \bmod a} f_j\left(x + \frac{kb}{a}\right) &= \sum_{k \bmod a} f_j\left(x + \frac{kb'}{a'}\right) = (a, b) \sum_{k \bmod a'} f_j\left(x + \frac{kb'}{a'}\right) \\ &= (a, b) \sum_{k \bmod a'} f_j\left(x + \frac{k}{a'}\right) = (a, b) a'^{m_j} f_j(a'x) = (a, b)^{1-m_j} a^{m_j} f_j\left(\frac{ax}{(a, b)}\right) . \end{aligned}$$

(15):

$$\begin{aligned} S(ab; a_1b, \dots, a_db) &= \sum_{k \bmod ab} f_1\left(\frac{ka_1}{a}\right) \cdots f_d\left(\frac{ka_d}{a}\right) \\ &= b \sum_{k \bmod a} f_1\left(\frac{ka_1}{a}\right) \cdots f_d\left(\frac{ka_d}{a}\right) = b S(a; a_1, \dots, a_d) . \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 11. We will make use of two properties of the Möbius μ -function

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^m & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_m \text{ is square-free,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

namely,

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,b)=1}}^{ab} f(k) = \sum_{t|b} \mu(t) \sum_{k=1}^{ab/t} f(tk) . \quad (17)$$

These suffice to prove our statement:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b|n} b^{-m_1-\dots-m_d} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_d \bmod b} S\left(ab; \frac{na_1}{b} + r_1a, \dots, \frac{na_d}{b} + r_da\right) \\ &= \sum_{b|n} b^{-m_1-\dots-m_d} \sum_{\substack{r_1, \dots, r_d \bmod b \\ k \bmod ab}} f_1\left(\frac{kna_1}{ab^2} + \frac{kr_1}{b}\right) \cdots f_d\left(\frac{kna_d}{ab^2} + \frac{kr_d}{b}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(14)}{=} \sum_{b|n} b^{-m_1-\dots-m_d} \sum_{k \bmod ab} (k, b)^{1-m_1} b^{m_1} f_1\left(\frac{kna_1}{ab(a, b)}\right) \cdots (k, b)^{1-m_d} b^{m_d} f_d\left(\frac{kna_d}{ab(a, b)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{b|n} \sum_{k \bmod ab} (k, b)^{d-m_1-\dots-m_d} f_1\left(\frac{kna_1}{ab(a, b)}\right) \cdots f_d\left(\frac{kna_d}{ab(a, b)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{b|n} \sum_{c|b} c^{d-m_1-\dots-m_d} \sum_{\substack{k \bmod ab \\ (k, b)=c}} f_1\left(\frac{kna_1}{abc}\right) \cdots f_d\left(\frac{kna_d}{abc}\right) \\ &= \sum_{b|n} \sum_{c|b} c^{d-m_1-\dots-m_d} \sum_{\substack{k \bmod ab/c \\ (k, b/c)=1}} f_1\left(\frac{kna_1}{ab}\right) \cdots f_d\left(\frac{kna_d}{ab}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(17)}{=} \sum_{b|n} \sum_{c|b} c^{d-m_1-\dots-m_d} \sum_{t|b/c} \mu(t) \sum_{k \bmod ab/ct} f_1\left(\frac{tkna_1}{ab}\right) \cdots f_d\left(\frac{tkna_d}{ab}\right) \\ &= \sum_{b|n} \sum_{c|b} c^{d-m_1-\dots-m_d} \sum_{t|b/c} \mu(t) S\left(\frac{ab}{ct}; \frac{na_1}{c}, \dots, \frac{na_d}{c}\right) \\ &= \sum_{cte|n} c^{d-m_1-\dots-m_d} \mu(t) S\left(ae; \frac{na_1}{c}, \dots, \frac{na_d}{c}\right) \\ &= \sum_{ce|n} c^{d-m_1-\dots-m_d} S\left(ae; \frac{na_1}{c}, \dots, \frac{na_d}{c}\right) \sum_{t|n/ce} \mu(t) \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} \sum_{ce=n} c^{d-m_1-\dots-m_d} S(ae; a_1e, \dots, a_de) \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} n \sigma_{d-1-m_1-\dots-m_d}(n) S(a; a_1, \dots, a_d) . \end{aligned}$$

□

The fact that the Dedekind cotangent sums

$$a_0^{m_0+1} \mathfrak{c} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_d \\ m_0 & m_1 & \cdots & m_d \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) = \sum_{k \bmod a_0} \prod_{j=1}^d \cot^{(m_j)} \frac{\pi k a_j}{a_0}$$

have weight $(m_1 + 1, \dots, m_d + 1)$ immediately yields the Petersson-Knopp-like Theorem 4.

A particularly simple form of Theorem 4 is achieved for Zagier's higher-dimensional Dedekind sums (the case $m_0 = \dots = m_d = 0$): with

$$n \sigma_{-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{n}{d} = \sum_{d|n} d = \sigma(n) ,$$

we obtain

Corollary 13 *For $n, a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sum_{b|n} b^{1-d} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_d \bmod b} \mathfrak{s}(a_0 b; \frac{n}{b} a_1 + r_1 a_0, \dots, \frac{n}{b} a_d + r_d a_0) = \sigma(n) \mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) .$$

□

6 Proof of the polynomial-time computability

In this last section, we prove that the Dedekind cotangent sums are computable in polynomial time (Theorem 5). Our proof is similar to the last section of [BR], where the computability of Zagier's higher-dimensional Dedekind sums was shown. Again we will 'merge' two theorems in combinatorial geometry, due to Barvinok [Ba] and Diaz-Robins [DR]. The latter allows us to express generating functions for the lattice point count in cones in terms of cotangents; Barvinok's theorem tells us that the respective rational functions are computable. The usual generating function for lattice point enumeration for a d -dimensional cone \mathcal{K} ,

$$F_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{q}) = F_{\mathcal{K}}(q_1, \dots, q_d) := \sum_{\mathbf{m}=(m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q_1^{m_1} \dots q_d^{m_d} ,$$

assumes in [DR] an exponential variable:

Theorem 14 (Diaz-Robins) *Suppose the cone $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is generated by the positive real span of the integer vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that the $d \times d$ -matrix $M = (a_{ij})$, whose column vectors are $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$, is lower-triangular. Let $p_k := a_{11} \dots a_{kk}$ ($k = 1, \dots, d$) and $G := (\mathbb{Z}/p_1\mathbb{Z}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p_d\mathbb{Z})$. Then*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{s} \rangle} = \frac{1}{2^d |G|} \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in G} \prod_{k=1}^d \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{p_k} \langle \mathbf{s} + i\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle \right) .$$

Here \langle , \rangle denotes the usual scalar product in \mathbb{R}^d .

We note that the assumption on M being lower-triangular is not crucial for practical purposes: by Hermite normal form, any cone generated by integer vectors is unimodular equivalent to a cone described by a lower-triangular matrix.

Theorem 15 (Barvinok) *For fixed dimension d , the rational function $F_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{q})$ is polynomial-time computable in the input size of \mathcal{K} .*

These two powerful theorems combined allow us to compute the Dedekind cotangent sum in polynomial time:

Proof of Theorem 5. It suffices to prove the polynomial-time computability of

$$\sum_{k \bmod a} \prod_{j=1}^d \cot \pi \left(\frac{ka_j}{a} + z_j \right) .$$

We will do this inductively by constructing a cone whose generating function has the above Dedekind cotangent sum + ‘lower-dimensional’ sums, that is, Dedekind cotangent sums with a lower number of factors. More precisely, we will construct a cone whose generating function will be

$$\sum_{k \bmod a} \left(1 + \cot \pi \left(\frac{ka_1}{a} + z_1 \right) \right) \cdots \left(1 + \cot \pi \left(\frac{ka_d}{a} + z_d \right) \right) .$$

By induction and Barvinok’s Theorem 15, this will prove the computability of the Dedekind cotangent sum. Let \mathcal{K} be the positive real span of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ a \\ b_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ a \end{pmatrix} .$$

The integers b_1, \dots, b_{d-1} are to be chosen later. We will repeatedly use the fact that

$$\sum_{k \bmod a} \coth \pi \left(\frac{ik}{a} + z \right) = a \coth \pi a z . \quad (18)$$

To apply Theorem 14 to \mathcal{K} , note that in our case $p_k = a^k$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{s} \rangle} &= \frac{1}{2^d a^{d(d+1)/2}} \sum_{\substack{r_j \bmod a^j \\ (j=1, \dots, d)}} \prod_{k=1}^d \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a^k} \langle \mathbf{s} + i\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^d a^{d(d+1)/2}} \sum_{\substack{r_j \bmod a^j \\ (j=1, \dots, d)}} \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a} ((s_1 + ir_1)a + (s_d + ir_d)b_1) \right) \\
&\quad \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a^2} ((s_2 + ir_2)a + (s_d + ir_d)b_2) \right) \cdots \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a^d} (s_d + ir_d)a \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^d a^{d(d+1)/2-1}} \sum_{\substack{r_j \bmod a^j \\ (j=3, \dots, d)}} \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_1 + (s_d + ir_d) \frac{b_1}{a} \right) \right) \\
&\quad \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a^3} ((s_3 + ir_3)a + (s_d + ir_d)b_3) \right) \cdots \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a^{d-1}} (s_d + ir_d) \right) \\
&\quad \sum_{r_2 \bmod a^2} \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(\frac{ir_2}{a} + \frac{s_2}{a} + (s_d + ir_d) \frac{b_2}{a^2} \right) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(18)}{=} \frac{1}{2^d a^{d(d+1)/2-3}} \sum_{\substack{r_j \bmod a^j \\ (j=4, \dots, d)}} \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_1 + (s_d + ir_d) \frac{b_1}{a} \right) \right) \\
&\quad \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_2 + (s_d + ir_d) \frac{b_2}{a} \right) \right) \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a^4} ((s_4 + ir_4)a + (s_d + ir_d)b_4) \right) \\
&\quad \cdots \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a^{d-1}} (s_d + ir_d) \right) \sum_{r_3 \bmod a^3} \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(\frac{ir_3}{a^2} + \frac{s_3}{a^2} + (s_d + ir_d) \frac{b_3}{a^3} \right) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(18)}{=} \cdots = \frac{1}{2^d a^d} \sum_{r_d \bmod a^d} \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_1 + (s_d + ir_d) \frac{b_1}{a} \right) \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_{d-1} + (s_d + ir_d) \frac{b_{d-1}}{a} \right) \right) \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a^{d-1}} (s_d + ir_d) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^d a^d} \sum_{n=1}^{a^{d-1}} \sum_{k=1}^a \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_1 + (s_d + i(na + k)) \frac{b_1}{a} \right) \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_{d-1} + (s_d + i(na + k)) \frac{b_{d-1}}{a} \right) \right) \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a^{d-1}} (s_d + i(na + k)) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^d a^{d-1}} \sum_{k=1}^a \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_1 + (s_d + ik) \frac{b_1}{a} \right) \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_{d-1} + (s_d + ik) \frac{b_{d-1}}{a} \right) \right) \sum_{n=1}^{a^{d-2}} \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(\frac{in}{a^{d-2}} + \frac{s_d}{a^{d-1}} + \frac{ik}{a^{d-1}} \right) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(18)}{=} \frac{1}{2^d a} \sum_{k=1}^a \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_1 + (s_d + ik) \frac{b_1}{a} \right) \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(s_{d-1} + (s_d + ik) \frac{b_{d-1}}{a} \right) \right) \left(1 + \coth \pi \left(\frac{s_d}{a} + \frac{ik}{a} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we now choose $b_j = a_d^{-1} a_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, d-1$), where $a_d^{-1} a_d \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$, and

$$s_j = iz_j - iz_d b_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, d-1), \quad s_d = iaz_d,$$

this generating function becomes

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{s} \rangle} = \frac{1}{2^d a} \sum_{k \bmod a} \left(1 + \cot \pi \left(\frac{ka_1}{a} + z_1 \right) \right) \cdots \left(1 + \cot \pi \left(\frac{ka_d}{a} + z_d \right) \right).$$

□

7 Closing remarks

There remain many open questions. First, for all Dedekind sums there are closed formulas for special cases, for example, $\mathfrak{s}(1, a)$. Many of such formulas can be found in [BY]. The Dedekind cotangent sum and its variations attain such closed formulas for special variables; it is not clear how far these cases lead. Second, it seems desirable to have a parallel theory for the respective Dedekind sum in which the cotangents get replaced by Bernoulli functions. This will most certainly require different methods than the ones used in this paper. Finally, we can apply our very general Petersson-Knopp-like Theorem 11 to various other sums, for example, to such 'Dedekind Bernoulli sums'.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Sinai Robins for good discussions and Thomas Zaslavsky for many helpful comments on a previous version of this paper.

References

- [Al] G. ALMKVIST, Asymptotic formulas and generalized Dedekind sums, *Exp. Math.* **7**, no. 4 (1998), 343–359.
- [Ap1] T. M. APOSTOL, Generalized Dedekind sums and transformation formulae of certain Lambert series, *Duke Math. J.* **17** (1950), 147–157.
- [Ap2] T. M. APOSTOL, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer, New York (1998).
- [AV] T. M. APOSTOL, T. H. VU, Identities for sums of the Dedekind type, *J. Number Th.* **14** (1982), 391–396.
- [Ba] A. I. BARVINOK, Computing the Ehrhart polynomial of a convex lattice polytope, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **12** (1994), 35–48.
- [BR] M. BECK, S. ROBINS, Dedekind sums: a combinatorial-geometric viewpoint, to appear in *DIMACS: Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*.
- [Be] B. C. BERNDT, Reciprocity theorems for Dedekind sums and generalizations, *Adv. in Math.* **23**, no. 3 (1977), 285–316.
- [BD] B. C. BERNDT, U. DIETER, Sums involving the greatest integer function and Riemann-Stieltjes integration, *J. reine angew. Math.* **337** (1982), 208–220.
- [BY] B. C. BERNDT, BOON PIN YEAP, Explicit evaluations and reciprocity theorems for finite trigonometric sums, *preprint (submitted)*.
- [BV] M. BRION, M. VERGNE, Lattice points in simple polytopes, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1997), 371–392.
- [BS] I. N. BRONSTEIN, K. A. SEMENDJAJEW, *Taschenbuch der Mathematik*, Harri Deutsch, Thun (1989).

- [C1] L. CARLITZ, Some theorems on generalized Dedekind sums, *Pacific J. Math.* **3** (1953), 513–522.
- [C2] L. CARLITZ, A note on generalized Dedekind sums, *Duke Math. J.* **21** (1954), 399–404.
- [De] R. DEDEKIND, Erläuterungen zu den Fragmenten XXVIII, in *Collected works of Bernhard Riemann*, Dover Publ., New York (1953), 466–478.
- [DR] R. DIAZ, S. ROBINS, The Erhart polynomial of a lattice polytope, *Annals of Math.* **145** (1997), 503–518.
- [Di1] U. DIETER, Das Verhalten der Kleinschen Funktionen $\log \sigma_{g,h}(w_1, w_2)$ gegenüber Modultransformationen und verallgemeinerte Dedekindsche Summen, *J. reine angew. Math.* **201** (1959), 37–70.
- [Di2] U. DIETER, Cotangent sums, a further generalization of Dedekind sums, *J. Number Th.* **18** (1984), 289–305.
- [H] U. HALBRITTER, Some new reciprocity formulas for generalized Dedekind sums, *Resultate Math.* **8**, no. 1 (1985), 21–46.
- [HWZ] R. R. HALL, J. C. WILSON, D. ZAGIER, Reciprocity formulae for general Dedekind-Rademacher sums, *Acta Arith.* **73**, no. 4 (1995), 389–396.
- [HZ] F. HIRZEBRUCH, D. ZAGIER, *The Atiyah-Singer theorem and elementary number theory*, Publish or Perish, Boston (1974).
- [Knu] D. KNUTH, *The art of computer programming, vol. 2*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1981).
- [Kno] M. I. KNOPP, Hecke operators and an identity for Dedekind sums, *J. Number Th.* **12** (1980), 2–9.
- [Me] C. MEYER, Über einige Anwendungen Dedekindscher Summen, *J. reine angew. Math.* **198** (1957), 143–203.
- [MS] W. MEYER, R. SCZECH, Über eine topologische und zahlentheoretische Anwendung von Hirzebruchs Spitzenauflösung, *Math. Ann.* **240** (1979), 69–96.
- [Mi] M. MIKOLÁS, On certain sums generating the Dedekind sums and their reciprocity laws, *Pacific J. Math.* **7** (1957), 1167–1178.
- [Mo] L. J. MORDELL, Lattice points in a tetrahedron and generalized Dedekind sums, *J. Indian Math.* **15** (1951), 41–46.
- [PR] L. A. PARSON, K. ROSEN, Hecke operators and Lambert series, *Math. Scand.* **49** (1981), 5–14.
- [P] J. POMMERSHEIM, Toric varieties, lattice points, and Dedekind sums, *Math. Ann.* **295** (1993), 1–24.
- [R] H. RADEMACHER, Some remarks on certain generalized Dedekind sums, *Acta Arith.* **9** (1964), 97–105.
- [RG] H. RADEMACHER, E. GROSSWALD, *Dedekind sums*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, The Mathematical Association of America (1972).
- [S] D. SOLOMON, Algebraic properties of Shintani’s generating functions: Dedekind sums and cocycles on $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, *Compositio Math.* **112**, no. 3 (1998), 333–362.
- [T] L. TAKÁCS, On generalized Dedekind sums, *J. Number Th.* **11** (1979), 264–272.
- [Za] D. ZAGIER, Higher dimensional Dedekind sums, *Math. Ann.* **202** (1973), 149–172.

- [Zh] Z. ZHENG, The Petersson-Knopp identity for the homogeneous Dedekind sums, *J. Number Th.* **57** (1996), 223–230.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK
BINGHAMTON, NY 13902-6000
matthias@math.binghamton.edu
<http://www.math.binghamton.edu/matthias>