

- (1) Compute the singular values of the differentiation operator on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{R})$  equipped with our usual inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{-1}^1 f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$ .

*Solution.* We computed (in an earlier homework) the orthonormal basis

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x \quad \mathbf{e}_3 = \sqrt{\frac{45}{8}}\left(x^2 - \frac{1}{3}\right)$$

for this inner-product space. With respect to this basis, the differentiation operator  $D$  has the matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{15} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since our basis is orthonormal, we can compute  $D^*$  by transposing this matrix:

$$D^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{15} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and so

$$\sqrt{D^*D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{15} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the singular values of  $D$  (which are the eigenvalues of  $\sqrt{D^*D}$ ) are 0,  $\sqrt{3}$ , and  $\sqrt{15}$ . □

- (2) Consider  $T \in L(\mathbf{C}^3)$  given by  $T(x, y, z) = (y, z, 0)$ .  
 (a) Compute the eigenvalues and generalized eigenspaces of  $T$ .  
 (b) Prove that  $T$  has no square root.<sup>1</sup>

*Proof.* (a) Writing  $T$  in terms of the standard basis,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that  $\dim \text{null}(T^2) = 2$  and  $\dim \text{null}(T^3) = 3$ , and so 0 is the only eigenvalue of  $T$  and it has multiplicity 3, i.e., the corresponding generalized eigenspace is all of  $\mathbf{C}^3$ .

- (b) Suppose  $S \in L(\mathbf{C}^3)$  is a square root of  $T$ , i.e.,  $S^2 = T$ . By part (a),  $\dim \text{null}(S^6) = \dim \text{null}(T^3) = 3$ , and so (by a proposition proved in class)

$$\dim \text{null}(S^3) = \dim \text{null}(S^4) = \dim \text{null}(S^5) = \dim \text{null}(S^6) = 3.$$

But this means that  $\dim \text{null}(T^2) = \dim \text{null}(S^4) = 3$ , contrary to what we showed in part (a). □

- (3) Suppose  $T \in L(V)$  and  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\text{range}(T^m) = \text{range}(T^{m+1})$ . Prove that  $\text{range}(T^k) = \text{range}(T^m)$  for all  $k \geq m$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{range}(T^m) = \text{range}(T^{m+1})$ . We will prove that  $\text{range}(T^{m+1}) = \text{range}(T^{m+2})$ ; the general statement will then follow by induction.

We already know that  $\text{range}(T^{m+2}) \subseteq \text{range}(T^{m+1})$ . To prove the other inclusion, assume that  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{range}(T^{m+1})$ , i.e.,  $T^{m+1}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{v}$  for some  $\mathbf{u} \in V$ . Let  $\mathbf{w} := T^m(\mathbf{u})$ ; by definition  $\mathbf{w} \in \text{range}(T^m)$ . By the first line of our proof,  $\mathbf{w} \in \text{range}(T^{m+1})$ , and so  $T^{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Thus

$$\mathbf{v} = T^{m+1}(\mathbf{u}) = T(\mathbf{w}) = T^{m+2}(\mathbf{x}),$$

<sup>1</sup>*Hint:* start by showing that if  $S^2 = T$  then  $S^3 = 0$ .

which implies that  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{range}(T^{m+2})$ .  $\square$

- (4) Suppose  $T \in L(V)$  where  $\dim V = n$ . Show that, while in general it is not true that  $V = \text{null}(T) \oplus \text{range}(T)$ , we always have

$$V = \text{null}(T^n) \oplus \text{range}(T^n).$$

*Proof.* The example  $V = \mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $T(x, y) = (y, 0)$  shows that  $V = \text{null}(T) \oplus \text{range}(T)$  is not always true (in this example,  $\text{null}(T) = \text{range}(T) = \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbf{R}\}$ ).

Next we'll prove that  $V = \text{null}(T^n) + \text{range}(T^n)$ . Given  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , we first remember that we proved in class  $\text{range}(T^n) = \text{range}(T^{2n})$ , and so  $T^n(\mathbf{v})$  (which is by definition in  $\text{range}(T^n)$ ) is in  $\text{range}(T^{2n})$ , i.e.,

$$T^n(\mathbf{v}) = T^{2n}(\mathbf{u})$$

for some  $\mathbf{u} \in V$ . But this can be rewritten as  $T^n(\mathbf{v} - T^n(\mathbf{u})) = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{v} - T^n(\mathbf{u}) \in \text{null}(T^n)$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(T^n) + \text{range}(T^n)$ .

Finally, we'll prove that  $\text{null}(T^n) + \text{range}(T^n)$  is a direct sum. Suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(T^n) \cap \text{range}(T^n)$ , i.e.,

$$T^n(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad T^n(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{v}$$

for some  $\mathbf{u} \in V$ . But then  $T^{2n}(\mathbf{u}) = T^n(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{null}(T^{2n}) = \text{null}(T^n)$  (using the same result from class again). This means  $\mathbf{v} = T^n(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$ , and so  $\text{null}(T^n) \cap \text{range}(T^n) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $V = \text{null}(T^n) \oplus \text{range}(T^n)$ .  $\square$

- (5) Suppose  $T \in L(V)$  is invertible. Prove that  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  have the same generalized eigenspaces (even though their eigenvalues are different). What does this imply for the characteristic polynomials of  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$ ?

*Proof.* Let  $n = \dim V$ , and consider an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$ . Then

$$\text{null}(T - \lambda I)^n = \text{null}(\lambda T (\frac{1}{\lambda} I - T^{-1}))^n = \text{null}((\lambda T)^n (\frac{1}{\lambda} I - T^{-1})^n)$$

for some  $j \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ . (Here the last equality holds because  $T$  commutes with both  $I$  and  $T^{-1}$ .) But since  $\lambda T$  is invertible,

$$\text{null}((\lambda T)^n (\frac{1}{\lambda} I - T^{-1})^n) = \text{null}(\frac{1}{\lambda} I - T^{-1})^n,$$

and so we have

$$\text{null}(T - \lambda I)^n = \text{null}(\frac{1}{\lambda} I - T^{-1})^n,$$

in words: the generalized eigenspace of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  equals the generalized eigenspace of  $T^{-1}$  corresponding to  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

Let's write  $\text{mult}_T(\lambda)$  for the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$ . What we just proved implies

$$\text{mult}_T(\lambda) = \text{mult}_{T^{-1}}(\frac{1}{\lambda}),$$

and this implies, in turn, that the characteristic polynomial of  $T$ ,

$$c_T(x) := \prod_{\lambda \text{ eigenvector of } T} (x - \lambda)^{\text{mult}_T(\lambda)},$$

is related to the following evaluation of the characteristic polynomial of  $T^{-1}$ :

$$c_{T^{-1}}(\frac{1}{x}) = \prod_{\lambda \text{ eigenvector of } T^{-1}} (\frac{1}{x} - \lambda)^{\text{mult}_{T^{-1}}(\lambda)} = \prod_{\lambda \text{ eigenvector of } T} (\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{\lambda})^{\text{mult}_T(\lambda)}.$$

More precisely,  $x^n c_{T^{-1}}(\frac{1}{x})$  is a polynomial in  $x$  of degree  $n$ , which has the same roots (including multiplicities) as the polynomial  $c_T(x)$ . Thus these two polynomials only differ in a constant factor; e.g., we can state their relationship as

$$c_T(0)x^n c_{T^{-1}}(\frac{1}{x}) = c_T(x). \quad \square$$