

# A Meshalkin Theorem for Projective Geometries<sup>1</sup>

MATTHIAS BECK AND THOMAS ZASLAVSKY<sup>2</sup>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BINGHAMTON  
BINGHAMTON, NY, U.S.A. 13902-6000

matthias@math.binghamton.edu  
zaslav@math.binghamton.edu

Dedicated to the memory of Lev Meshalkin.

*Abstract:* Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a family of sequences  $(a_1, \dots, a_p)$  where each  $a_k$  is a flat in a projective geometry of rank  $n$  (dimension  $n - 1$ ) and order  $q$ , and the sum of ranks,  $r(a_1) + \dots + r(a_p)$ , equals the rank of the join  $a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_p$ . We prove upper bounds on  $|\mathcal{M}|$  and corresponding LYM inequalities assuming that (i) all joins are the whole geometry and for each  $k < p$  the set of all  $a_k$ 's of sequences in  $\mathcal{M}$  contains no chain of length  $l$ , and that (ii) the joins are arbitrary and the chain condition holds for all  $k$ . These results are  $q$ -analogs of generalizations of Meshalkin's and Erdős's generalizations of Sperner's theorem and their LYM companions, and they generalize Rota and Harper's  $q$ -analog of Erdős's generalization.

*Keywords:* Sperner's theorem, Meshalkin's theorem, LYM inequality, antichain,  $r$ -family,  $r$ -chain-free

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05D05, 51E20; Secondary 06A07.

---

<sup>1</sup>Appeared in *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A* **102** (2003), 433-441.

<sup>2</sup>Research supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0070729.

## 1. INTRODUCING THE PLAYERS

We present a theorem that is at once a  $q$ -analog of a generalization, due to Meshalkin, of Sperner's famous theorem on antichains of sets and a generalization of Rota and Harper's  $q$ -analog of both Sperner's theorem and Erdős's generalization.

Sperner's theorem [12] concerns a subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ , the power set of an  $n$ -element set  $S$ , that is an *antichain*: no member of  $\mathcal{A}$  contains another. It is part (b) of the following theorem. Part (a), which easily implies (b) (see, e.g., [1, Section 1.2]) was found later by Lubell [9], Yamamoto [13], and Meshalkin [10] (and Bollobás independently proved a generalization [4]); consequently, it and similar inequalities are called *LYM inequalities*.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an antichain of subsets of  $S$ . Then:*

- (a)  $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{|A|} \leq 1$  and
- (b)  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ .
- (c) *Equality occurs in (a) and (b) if  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of all subsets of  $S$  of size  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , or all of size  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ .*

The idea of Meshalkin's insufficiently well known generalization<sup>3</sup> (an idea he attributes to Sevast'yanov) is to consider ordered  $p$ -tuples  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_p)$  of pairwise disjoint sets whose union is  $S$ . We call these *weak compositions of  $S$  into  $p$  parts*.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a family of weak compositions of  $S$  into  $p$  parts such that each set  $\mathcal{M}_k = \{A_k : A \in \mathcal{M}\}$  is an antichain.*

- (a)  $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|A_1|, \dots, |A_p|}} \leq 1$ .
- (b)  $|\mathcal{M}| \leq \max_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p = n} \binom{n}{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p} = \binom{n}{\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor}$ .
- (c) *Equality occurs in (a) and (b) if, for each  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k$  consists of all subsets of  $S$  of size  $\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil$ , or all of size  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor$ .*

Part (b) is Meshalkin's theorem [10]; the corresponding LYM inequality (a) was subsequently found by Hochberg and Hirsch [7]. (In expressions like the multinomial coefficient in (b), since the lower numbers must sum to  $n$ , the number of them that equal  $\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil$  is the least nonnegative residue of  $n$  modulo  $p + 1$ .)

In [2] Wang and we generalized Theorem 2 in a way that simultaneously also generalizes Erdős's theorem on  *$l$ -chain-free families*: subsets of  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  that contain no chain of length  $l$ . (Such families have been called " $r$ -families" and " $k$ -families", where  $r$  or  $k$  is the forbidden length. We believe a more suggestive name is needed.)

**Theorem 3** ([2, Corollary 4.1]). *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a family of weak compositions of  $S$  into  $p$  parts such that each  $\mathcal{M}_k$ , for  $k < p$ , is  $l$ -chain-free. Then:*

- (a)  $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|A_1|, \dots, |A_p|}} \leq l^{p-1}$ , and

---

<sup>3</sup>We do not find it in books on the subject [1, 5] but only in [8].

- (b)  $|\mathcal{M}|$  is no greater than the sum of the  $l^{p-1}$  largest multinomial coefficients of the form  $\binom{n}{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p}$ .

Erdős's theorem [6] is essentially the case  $p = 2$ , in which  $A_2 = S \setminus A_1$  is redundant. The upper bound is then the sum of the  $l$  largest binomial coefficients  $\binom{n}{j}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , and is attained by taking a suitable subclass of  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ . In general the bounds in Theorem 3 cannot be attained [2, Section 5].

Rota and Harper began the process of  $q$ -analogizing by finding versions of Sperner's and Erdős's theorems for finite projective geometries [11]. We think of a projective geometry  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  of order  $q$  and rank  $n$  (i.e., dimension  $n - 1$ ) as a lattice of flats, in which  $\hat{0} = \emptyset$  and  $\hat{1}$  is the whole set of points. The *rank* of a flat  $a$  is  $r(a) = \dim a + 1$ . The  $q$ -Gaussian coefficients (usually the " $q$ " is omitted) are the quantities

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{n!_q}{k!_q(n-k)!_q} \quad \text{where} \quad n!_q = (q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1).$$

They are the  $q$ -analogs of the binomial coefficients. Again, a family of projective flats is  *$l$ -chain-free* if it contains no chain of length  $l$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_k$  be the set of all flats of rank  $k$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$ .

**Theorem 4** ([11, p. 200]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $l$ -chain-free family of flats in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$ .*

- (a)  $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r(a) \end{bmatrix}} \leq l$ .
- (b)  $|\mathcal{A}|$  is at most the sum of the  $l$  largest Gaussian coefficients  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ .
- (c) *There is equality in (a) and (b) when  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of the  $l$  largest classes  $\mathcal{L}_k$ , if  $n - l$  is even, or the  $l - 1$  largest classes and one of the two next largest classes, if  $n - l$  is odd.*

Our  $q$ -analog theorem concerns the projective analogs of weak compositions of a set. A *Meshalkin sequence of length  $p$*  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  is a sequence  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$  of flats whose join is  $\hat{1}$  and whose ranks sum to  $n$ . The submodular law implies that, if  $a_J := \bigvee_{j \in J} a_j$  for an index subset  $J \subseteq [p] = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ , then  $a_I \wedge a_J = \hat{0}$  for any disjoint  $I, J \subseteq [p]$ ; so the members of a Meshalkin sequence are highly disjoint.

To state the result we need a few more definitions. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a set of Meshalkin sequences, then for each  $k \in [p]$  we define  $\mathcal{M}_k := \{a_k : (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathcal{M}\}$ . If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  are nonnegative integers whose sum is  $n$ , we define the  $(q)$ -Gaussian multinomial coefficient to be

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \end{bmatrix} = \frac{n!_q}{\alpha_1!_q \cdots \alpha_p!_q},$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ . We write

$$s_2(\alpha) = \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j$$

for the second elementary symmetric function of  $\alpha$ . If  $a$  is a Meshalkin sequence, we write

$$r(a) = (r(a_1), \dots, r(a_p))$$

for the sequence of ranks. We define  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  to be empty if  $n = 0$ , a point if  $n = 1$ , and a line of  $q + 1$  points if  $n = 2$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $n \geq 0$ ,  $l \geq 1$ ,  $p \geq 2$ , and  $q \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a family of Meshalkin sequences of length  $p$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  such that, for each  $k \in [p - 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k$  contains no chain of length  $l$ . Then*

- (a)  $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r(a) \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(r(a))}} \leq l^{p-1}$ , and
- (b)  $|\mathcal{M}|$  is at most equal to the sum of the  $l^{p-1}$  largest amongst the quantities  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha)}$  for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$  with all  $\alpha_k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p = n$ .

The antichain case (where  $l = 1$ ), the analog of Meshalkin and Hochberg and Hirsch's theorems, is captured in

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a family of Meshalkin sequences of length  $p \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  such that each  $\mathcal{M}_k$  for  $k < p$  is an antichain. Then*

- (a)  $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r(a) \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(r(a))}} \leq 1$ , and
- (b)  $|\mathcal{M}| \leq \max_{\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(r(a))} = \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ \lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor \end{matrix} \right] q^{s_2(\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor)}$ .
- (c) Equality holds in (a) and (b) if, for each  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k$  consists of all flats of rank  $\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil$  or all of rank  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor$ . ■

We believe—but without proof—that the largest families  $\mathcal{M}$  described in (c) are the only ones.

Notice that we do not place any condition in either the theorem or its corollary on  $\mathcal{M}_p$ .

Our theorem is not exactly a generalization of that of Rota and Harper because a flat in a projective geometry has a variable number of complements, depending on its rank. Still, our result does imply this and a generalization, as we shall demonstrate in Section 4.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

The proof of Theorem 5 is adapted from the short proof of Theorem 3 in [3]. It is complicated by the multiplicity of complements of a flat, so we require the powerful lemma of Harper, Klain, and Rota ([8, Lemma 3.1.3], improving on [11, Lemma on p. 199]; for a short proof see [2, Lemmas 3.1 and 5.2]) and a count of the number of complements.

**Lemma 7.** *Suppose given real numbers  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_N \geq 0$ , other real numbers  $q_1, \dots, q_N \in [0, 1]$ , and an integer  $P$  with  $1 \leq P \leq N$ . If  $\sum_{k=1}^N q_k \leq P$ , then*

$$(1) \quad q_1 m_1 + \dots + q_N m_N \leq m_1 + \dots + m_P .$$

*Let  $m_{P'+1}$  and  $m_{P''}$  be the first and last  $m_k$ 's equal to  $m_P$ . Assuming  $m_P > 0$ , there is equality in (1) if and only if*

$$q_k = 1 \text{ for } m_k > m_P, \quad q_k = 0 \text{ for } m_k < m_P, \quad \text{and} \quad q_{P'+1} + \dots + q_{P''} = P - P' .$$

■

**Lemma 8.** *A flat of rank  $k$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  has  $q^{k(n-k)}$  complements.*

*Proof.* The number of ways to extend a fixed ordered basis  $(P_1, \dots, P_k)$  of the flat to an ordered basis  $(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  is

$$\frac{q^n - q^k}{q - 1} \frac{q^n - q^{k+1}}{q - 1} \dots \frac{q^n - q^{n-1}}{q - 1}.$$

Then  $P_{k+1} \vee \dots \vee P_n$  is a complement and is generated by the last  $n - k$  points in

$$\frac{q^{n-k} - 1}{q - 1} \frac{q^{n-k} - q}{q - 1} \dots \frac{q^{n-k} - q^{n-k-1}}{q - 1}$$

of the extended ordered bases. Dividing the former by the latter, there are

$$q^{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{k}{2} - \binom{n-k}{2}} = q^{k(n-k)}$$

complements. ■

*Proof of (a).* We proceed by induction on  $p$ . For a flat  $f$ , define

$$\mathcal{M}(f) := \{(a_2, \dots, a_p) : (f, a_2, \dots, a_p) \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

and also, letting  $c$  be another flat, define

$$\mathcal{M}^c(f) := \{(a_2, \dots, a_p) \in \mathcal{M}(f) : a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_p = c\}.$$

For  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ , we write  $r_1 = r(a_1)$ . Finally,  $\mathcal{C}(a_1)$  is the set of complements of  $a_1$ . If  $p > 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r(a) \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(r(a))}} &= \sum_{a_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r_1 \end{bmatrix} q^{r_1(n-r_1)}} \sum_{a' \in \mathcal{M}(a_1)} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n-r_1 \\ r(a') \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(r(a'))}} \\ &= \sum_{a_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r_1 \end{bmatrix} q^{r_1(n-r_1)}} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(a_1)} \sum_{a' \in \mathcal{M}^c(a_1)} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n-r_1 \\ r(a') \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(r(a'))}} \\ &\leq \sum_{a_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r_1 \end{bmatrix} q^{r_1(n-r_1)}} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(a_1)} l^{p-2} \end{aligned}$$

by induction, because  $\mathcal{M}^c(a_1)$  is a Meshalkin family in  $c \cong \mathbb{P}^{r(c)-1} = \mathbb{P}^{n-r_1-1}$  and each  $\mathcal{M}_k^c(a')$  for  $k < p - 1$ , being a subset of  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1}$ , is  $l$ -chain-free,

$$= \sum_{a_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r_1 \end{bmatrix} q^{r_1(n-r_1)}} q^{r_1(n-r_1)} l^{p-2}$$

by Lemma 8,

$$\leq l \cdot l^{p-2}$$

by the theorem of Rota and Harper.

The initial case,  $p = 2$ , is similar except that the innermost sum in the second step equals 1. ■

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$  with all  $\alpha_k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p = n$ . The number of all Meshalkin sequences  $a$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  with  $r(a) = \alpha$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha)}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p = 1$ , then  $a = \hat{1}$  so the conclusion is obvious. If  $p > 1$ , we get a Meshalkin sequence of length  $p$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  with rank sequence  $r(a) = \alpha$  by choosing  $a_1$  to have rank  $\alpha_1$ , then a complement  $c$  of  $a_1$ , and finally a Meshalkin sequence  $a'$  of length  $p - 1$  in  $c \cong \mathbb{P}^{r(c)-1} = \mathbb{P}^{n-\alpha_1-1}$  whose rank sequence is  $\alpha' = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p)$ . The first choice can be made in  $\begin{bmatrix} n-\alpha_1 \\ \alpha' \end{bmatrix}$  ways, the second in  $q^{\alpha_1(n-\alpha_1)}$  ways, and the third, by induction, in  $\begin{bmatrix} n-\alpha_1 \\ \alpha' \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha')}$  ways. Multiply. ■

*Proof of (b).* Let  $N(\alpha)$  be the number of  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  for which  $r(a) = \alpha$ . In Lemma 7 take

$$q_\alpha = \frac{N(\alpha)}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha)}} \quad \text{and} \quad m_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha)},$$

and number all possible  $\alpha$  so that  $m_{\alpha^1} \geq m_{\alpha^2} \geq \dots$ .

Lemma 9 shows that all  $q_\alpha \leq 1$  so Lemma 7 does apply. The conclusion is that

$$|\mathcal{M}| = \sum_{i=1}^N q_{\alpha^i} m_{\alpha^i} \leq \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha^1 \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha^1)} + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha^P \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha^P)},$$

where  $N = \binom{n+p-1}{p-1}$ , the number of sequences  $\alpha$ , and  $P = \min(l^{p-1}, N)$ . ■

### 3. STRANGENESS OF THE LYM INEQUALITY

There is something odd about the LYM inequality in Theorem 5(a). A normal LYM inequality would be expected to have denominator  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r(a) \end{bmatrix}$  without the extra factor  $q^{s_2(r(a))}$ . Such an LYM inequality does exist; it is a corollary of Theorem 5(a); but it is not strong enough to give the upper bound on  $|\mathcal{M}|$ . We prove this weaker inequality here.

**Proposition 10.** *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 5; that is:  $n \geq 0$ ,  $l \geq 1$ ,  $p \geq 2$ , and  $q \geq 2$ ; and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a family of Meshalkin sequences of length  $p$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  such that, for each  $k \in [p-1]$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k$  contains no chain of length  $l$ . Then  $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{M}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r(a) \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$  is bounded above by the sum of the  $l^{p-1}$  largest expressions  $q^{s_2(\alpha)}$  for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$  with all  $\alpha_k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p = n$ .*

*Proof.* Again we apply Lemma 7, this time with  $q_\alpha = N(\alpha)/\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha)}$  and  $M_\alpha = q^{s_2(\alpha)}$ . ■

### 4. A “PARTIAL” COROLLARY

We deduce Theorem 4(a) from the case  $p = 2$  of Theorem 5(a). Our purpose is not to give a new proof of Theorem 4 but to show that we have a generalization of it.

The key to the proof is that  $\mathcal{M}_2$  in our theorem is not required to be  $l$ -chain-free. Therefore if we have an  $l$ -chain-free set  $\mathcal{A}$  of flats in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , we can define

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(a, c) : a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } c \in \mathcal{C}(a)\};$$

and  $\mathcal{M}$  will satisfy the requirements of Theorem 5. The LYM sum in Theorem 5(a) then equals the LYM sum in Theorem 4(a), and we are done.

The same argument gives a general corollary. A *partial Meshalkin sequence of length  $p$*  is a sequence  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$  of flats in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  such that  $r(a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_p) = r(a_1) + \dots + r(a_p)$ . We simply do not require the join  $\hat{a} = a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_p$  to be  $\hat{1}$ . The generalized Rota–Harper theorem is:

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $p \geq 1$ ,  $l \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a family of partial Meshalkin sequences of length  $p$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q)$  such that, for each  $k \in [p]$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k$  contains no chain of length  $l$ . Then*

- (a)  $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r(\hat{a}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r(\hat{a}) \\ r(a) \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(r(a))}} \leq l^p$  and
- (b)  $|\mathcal{M}|$  is at most equal to the sum of the  $l^p$  largest amongst the quantities  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha)}$  for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+1})$  with all  $\alpha_k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{p+1} = n$ . ■

As a special case we generalize the  $q$ -analog of Sperner’s theorem. (The  $q$ -analog is the case  $p = 1$ .)

**Corollary 12.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a family of partial Meshalkin sequences of length  $p \geq 1$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  such that each  $\mathcal{M}_k$  is an antichain. Then:*

- (a)  $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r(\hat{a}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r(\hat{a}) \\ r(a) \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(r(a))}} \leq 1.$
- (b)  $|\mathcal{M}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} q^{s_2(\alpha)}$ , in which  $\alpha = (\lceil \frac{n}{p+1} \rceil, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{p+1} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{p+1} \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{p+1} \rfloor)$  where the number of terms equal to  $\lceil \frac{n}{p+1} \rceil$  is the least nonnegative residue of  $n$  modulo  $p+1$ .
- (c) Equality holds in (a) and (b) if, for each  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k$  consists of all flats of rank  $\lceil \frac{n}{p+1} \rceil$  or all flats of rank  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p+1} \rfloor$ . ■

We conjecture that the largest families  $\mathcal{M}$  described in (c) are unique.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. ANDERSON, “Combinatorics of Finite Sets,” Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987. Corr. repr., Dover, Mineola, N.Y., 2002.
- [2] M. BECK, XUEQIN WANG, AND T. ZASLAVSKY, A unifying generalization of Sperner’s theorem, submitted.
- [3] M. BECK AND T. ZASLAVSKY, A shorter, simpler, stronger proof of the Meshalkin–Hochberg–Hirsch bounds on componentwise antichains, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **100** (2002), 196–199.
- [4] B. BOLLOBÁS, On generalized graphs. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* **16** (1965), 447–452.
- [5] K. ENGEL, “Sperner Theory,” Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [6] P. ERDŐS, On a lemma of Littlewood and Offord, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (1945), 898–902.
- [7] M. HOCHBERG AND W. M. HIRSCH, Sperner families,  $s$ -systems, and a theorem of Meshalkin, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **175** (1970), 224–237.
- [8] D. A. KLAIN AND G.-C. ROTA, “Introduction to Geometric Probability,” Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Eng., 1997.
- [9] D. LUBELL, A short proof of Sperner’s theorem, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **1** (1966), 209–214.
- [10] L. D. MESHALKIN, Generalization of Sperner’s theorem on the number of subsets of a finite set (in Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen* **8** (1963), 219–220. English trans., *Theor. Probability Appl.* **8** (1963), 203–204.

- [11] G.-C. ROTA AND L. H. HARPER, Matching theory, an introduction, in P. Ney, ed., “Advances in Probability and Related Topics,” Vol. 1, pp. 169–215. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971.
- [12] E. SPERNER, Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge, *Math. Z.* **27** (1928), 544–548.
- [13] K. YAMAMOTO, Logarithmic order of free distributive lattices, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **6** (1954), 343–353.