

ERRATA & COMMENTS

COMBINATORIAL RECIPROCITY THEOREMS

MATTHIAS BECK AND RAMAN SANYAL

- p. 6 Proof of Proposition 1.1.3: the inequalities should go the other way.
- p. 25 Exercise 1.15 (b): the word “nowhere-zero” needs to be removed.
- p. 32 Exercise 2.5 asserts that the sum on the right stops at the index $k = |\Pi|$, but this is a bit crude: the sum actually stops at the length of the longest chain in Π .
- p. 38 Theorem 2.3.2: The exponent should be the length of Π (instead of $|\Pi|$).
- p. 39 The last binomial coefficient on p. 39 should have a -1 at the end instead of the $+1$. The same corrections should be made on top of p. 40.
- p. 42 In the last displayed math line, the exponent should be κ , not c .
- p. 44 In the proof of Theorem 2.4.5, “if $I = I_S$ ” should be replaced by “if $I = J_S$ ” in the definition of F_- .
- p. 44 Just before Theorem 2.4.6, it should say $a = a_0 \prec a_1 \prec \cdots \prec a_k = b$.
- p. 49 Exercise 2.16: The I in the exponent should be a J .
- p. 82 In the proof of Proposition 3.5.2, $T_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{Q}) = T_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{r})$ should be $T_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{Q}) = T_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}$.
- p. 90 In the proof of Theorem 3.6.4, $r(B)$ and $b(B)$ should be $r(\mathcal{H})$ and $b(\mathcal{H})$.
- p. 96 Exercise 3.4(b) should read $\mathbf{p} + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{u} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \text{rec}(\mathbf{Q})$.
- p. 97 Exercise 3.9: here we want to require the set to be closed, not just convex.
- p. 101 Exercise 3.44: On the first two lines of this exercise, L needs to be replaced by L' in two occurrences.
- p. 125 The rational function in the middle of the page (just before “This implies, again with (4.6.4)”) should have $1 - z_1 z_2 z_3^2$ as its last factor in the denominator.
- p. 141 In addition to the note on Theorem 4.2.2 and Cayley’s work on composition, there is an illustrious connection to Vedic poetry; see “The So-Called Fibonacci Numbers in Ancient and Medieval India” by Parmanand Singh, *Historia Mathematicae* 12 (1985), 229–244.
- p. 198 Exercise 5.18: the first inequality should read $a_0 x \geq b_0$.
- p. 207 In Theorem 6.2.2, the phrase “crosscut in \mathcal{N} ” needs to be replaced by “collection of elements in \mathcal{N} such that every minimal element is uniquely covered”.
- p. 240 In the string $0 = x_u = x_{v_0} < \dots < x_{v_k} = x_u$, the second x_u should be x_v . In the following line, u should be replaced by v .
- p. 262 In the last line before Proposition 7.5.9, it should say $1 \leq k < d$.

Date: 23 September 2022.

We are most thankful to Darij Grinberg, Brian Hopkins, Jason Meintjes, Matthias Schymura, and Lok Yam for alerting us about typos, mistakes, and other comments regarding our book.