# **Energy and Power**

Energy Harvesting, Solar Cell Characteristics and Maximum Power Point Tracking, Application Control

Exercise class 8

Presenter:
Jürgen Mattheis

In cooperation with: Pascal Walter Based on the lecture of: Marco Zimmerling

January 17, 2023

University of Freiburg, Chair for Embedded Systems

# Gliederung

Organisation

Overview

Task 1

Task 2

Task 3

# Organisation



# Organisation I

► feedback for me: https://forms.gle/f3YN8EFrZ1vsfPoC6

|   | Questions Responses 0             | Settings                                |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| response  |                                   | <b>G</b> :                              |
|   |                                   | Accepting responses                     |
| Summery   | Question                          | Individual                              |
| s there something that could b                        | e improved?                       |   |
| It would be good, if the questions and the recording. | which are asked during the sessio | n would be repeated for the live stream |

# Overview



Jürgen Mattheis Energy and Power University of Freiburg

# Overview Scheduling DVFS Dynamic Power Reduction Power Static Power Power and Energy Supply Reduction Gating Parallelism Energy Harvesting Application Control MPPT

Jürgen Mattheis Energy and Power University of Freiburg



# Task 1 I

## Task 1.1:

17

| Task                     | $\tau_1$ | $\tau_2$ | $\tau_3$ |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Arrival Time [ms]        | 0        | 0        | 0        |
| Period [ms]              | 6        | 4        | 12       |
| Relative Deadline [ms]   | 6        | 4        | 12       |
| Cycles [ $\times 10^3$ ] | 2        | 1        | 2        |
|                          |          |          |          |

$$P_{\mathsf{dynamic}}(f) = \left(\frac{f}{\mathsf{1\,MHz}}\right)^{3}\mathsf{mW}$$

# Task 1 II

#### Solution 1.1:




$$C_2=rac{1\cdot 10^3\, ext{Cyles}}{1\cdot 10^6\, rac{ ext{Cycles}}{ ext{Cycles}}}=1 ext{ms}$$
, and  $C_3=rac{1\cdot 10^3\, ext{Cyles}}{1\cdot 10^6\, rac{ ext{Cycles}}{ ext{Cycles}}}=2 ext{ms}$  ( $T=rac{ ext{N}}{ ext{f}}$ ).

- ► Applying EDF schedule, the processor is busy in [0ms, 9ms]
- ightharpoonup constant input power:  $P_{in}=0.5\mu J$
- We use 1MHz for processing tasks, leading to  $P_{dynamic}(1MHz) = 1mW$
- ► This means we consume  $1mW \cdot 1ms = 1\mu J$  per milisecond.
- Since all our tasks take a multiple of 1ms, we know that while processing tasks, our battery empties with  $0.5\mu J/ms 1\mu J/ms = -0.5\mu J/ms$






# Task 1 III




# Task 1 IV



Jürgen Mattheis Energy and Power University of Freiburg

# Task 1 V



# Task 1 VI

3



Figure 2: The resulting evolution of the battery charge state  $E_{\rm bat}(t)$ .

Time [ms]

(9,1.5)

## Task 1 VII

#### Task 1.3:



14 / 42

To maximize the energy stored in the battery at the end of each hyper-period (12ms), all tasks  $\tau_i$  have to be executed at the same frequency and this frequency leads to a utilization of 1.0

# Task 1 VIII

#### Requirements 1.3:




- ▶ processor utilization  $U_p = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{C_i}{T_i}$
- by the given power consumption  $P_{dynamic}(f)$  is a strictly convex function of the frequency f
- The EDF scheduling algorithm where deadlines of tasks equal their periods guarantees a feasible schedule as long as the utilization of the processor is U < 1.0
  - $\blacktriangleright$  this schedulability test is necessary and sufficient, i.e. if one reaches a utilization of exactly U=1.0, then it has to be schedulable

### Task 1 IX

#### Requirements 1.3:



16 / 42



► The same argument applies if the power is a convex function of the frequency!

# Task 1 X

#### Solution 1.3:



- ▶ The execution of all tasks with a constant frequency allows for a schedule where the utilization satisfies U = 1.0, i.e., the processor is constantly busy
  - determine right frequency by looking at schedule:  $\frac{9}{12} = 0.75$

determine right frequency by calculation:
$$1 = \frac{\frac{2kCycles}{f}}{\frac{f}{6ms}} + \frac{\frac{1kCycles}{f}}{\frac{f}{12ms}} + \frac{\frac{2kCycles}{f}}{\frac{12ms}{12ms}} = \frac{\frac{4kCycles+3kCycles+2kCycles}{f}}{12ms} \Leftrightarrow f = \frac{9\cdot10^3Cycles}{12\cdot10^{-3}s} = 0.75 \cdot 10^{3+3} \cdot \frac{1}{a} = 0.75MHz$$

- the optimality of processing at a constant frequency during the whole time follows the same argumentation used to derive the optimal Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS).
  - Due to the strict convexity of the power consumption  $P_{dvnamic}(f)$  the increase in the average power consumption of the higher frequency task always dominates the savings in the average power consumption of the lower frequency task execution.

## Task 1 XI

#### Solution 1.3:



18 / 42

▶ the above conditions (constant frequency during execution, processor executing all the time) lead to a schedule, where the maximum frequency of task execution can not be further reduced as the whole time interval where tasks can be executed is filled with execution and all tasks are executed with the same frequency.



Jürgen Mattheis Energy and Power University of Freiburg

# Task 2 I

#### Power Point Tracking Algorithm

### Requirements 2.1:



$$I(U) = G \cdot 1 \text{ A} - \left(\exp\left(\frac{U}{0.1 \text{ V}}\right) - 1\right) \cdot 0.01 \text{ mA}$$

$$P = I \cdot U$$

# Task 2 II

#### Power Point Tracking Algorithm

| olution 2.1:  |     |     |     |     |  |  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| Relative Irradiance ${\cal G}$                        | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Voltage $V$ [V]<br>Current $I$ [mA]<br>Power $P$ [mW] | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |  |  |

Task 2 III

#### Power Point Tracking Algorithm

| olution 2.1:                           |      |       |       |       |
|--|------|-------|-------|-------|
| $ \   \textbf{Relative Irradiance}  G$ | 0.1  | 0.2   | 0.5   | 1.0   |
| Voltage $V$ [V]                        | 0.7  | 0.7   | 0.7   | 0.7   |
| Current $I$ [mA]                       | 89.0 | 189.0 | 489.0 | 989.0 |
| Power $P$ [mW]                         | 62.3 | 132.3 | 342.3 | 692.3 |

# Task 2 I

#### Task 2.2:




- for G = 0.1 and G = 1.0
- $\triangle = 0.05 V$
- ▶ iteration k = 1, V[0] = 0.7V and V[1] = 0.75V

### Task 2 II


#### Requirements 2.2:



- $I(U) = G \cdot 1 \text{ A} \left(\exp\left(\frac{U}{0.1 \text{ V}}\right) 1\right) \cdot 0.01 \text{ mA}$
- $\triangleright P = I \cdot U$



# Task 2 III



Jürgen Mattheis Energy and Power University of Freiburg

## Task 2 IV

#### Requirements 2.2:




- $P(V) = V \cdot I(V) = V \cdot G V \cdot \left( \exp\left(\frac{V}{0.1 \text{ V}}\right) 1 \right) \cdot 10^{-5}$
- the power P extracted at a given operating point V, calculated as is a concave function of that V
- As the algorithm adjusts the operating point in discrete voltage steps  $\triangle$ , the maximum of the power P[k] observed by the algorithm presents a lower bound of the actual maximum power point  $P^*$ .
  - Only in the special case where the voltage of the maximum power point is a multiple of  $\triangle$ , it is matched exactly by the algorithm.


# Task 2 V

| olution 2.2: $G = 0.1$ : |      |      |   |   |   |   |  |
|--------------------------|------|------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Iteration k              | 0    | 1    | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Voltage $V[k]$ [V]       | 0.7  | 0.75 |   |   |   |   |  |
| Current $I[k]$ [mA]      | 89.0 |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Power $P[k]$ [mW]        | 62.3 |      |   |   |   |   |  |


# Task 2 VI



# Task 2 VII




# Task 2 VIII



Jürgen Mattheis Energy and Power University of Freiburg

# Task 2 IX



# Task 2 X

#### Solution 2.3:



- ▶ find the voltage  $V_1^*$  of the maximum power point  $P_1^*$  by numerically solving:  $\frac{d}{dU_1}P_1(U_1) = \frac{d}{dU_1}U_1 \cdot I_1(U_1) = 0$
- ▶ cell 1 with G = 1.0: voltage at the maximum power point:  $U_1^* \approx 0.919 \text{ V}$  and the maximum power generated:  $P_1^* = U_1^* \cdot I_1(U_1^*) \approx 829 \text{ mW}$
- ► cell 2 with G = 0.1:  $U_2^* = 0.712V$  and  $P_2^* = 62.4mW$
- we determine an upper bound, i.e., a value which is larger than what can be generated by the partly shaded photovoltaic panel
- ightharpoonup conditions:  $U_{PV}=U_1+U_2$  and  $I_{PV}=I_1=I_2$

# Task 2 XI

#### Solution 2.3:



$$G_1 - \left(\exp\left(\frac{U_1}{0.1}\right) - 1\right) \cdot 10^{-5} = G_2 - \left(\exp\left(\frac{U_2}{0.1}\right) - 1\right) \cdot 10^{-5}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (G_1 - G_2) \cdot 10^5 = \exp\left(\frac{U_1}{0.1}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{U_2}{0.1}\right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exp\left(rac{U_1}{0.1}
ight) = (G_1 - G_2) \cdot 10^5 + \exp\left(rac{U_2}{0.1}
ight)$$

- Note that an increase in  $U_2$  leads to an increasing  $U_1$ ! First we assume  $U_2 = 0$ :
- $U_1 = 0.1 \cdot \log ((G_1 G_2) \cdot 10^5 + \exp(0)) \approx 1.14 \text{ V}$
- $P_{PV} = I_{PV} \cdot (U_1 + U_2) \approx 114.1 \text{ mW} = 0.1A \cdot (1.14V + 0V) \approx 0.1141 \text{ W} = 114.1 \text{ mW}$

## Task 2 XII

#### Solution 2.3:



- ▶ The voltage  $U_1 \approx 1.14V$  at this operating point is already above  $U_1^* \approx 0.919V$  the maximum power point of cell 1. Therefore, an increase in  $U_1$  (and  $U_2$ ) results in a decrease in the output power for cell 1.
- ▶ safe upper bound:  $P_{PV}^* \le 176.5 \text{ mW} = 114.1 \text{ mW} + 62.4 \text{ mW}$
- We note that this is significantly lower than  $P_1^* \approx 829.0$  mW that can be generated with a single cell 1 at the same operating point. In addition, it is only slightly better than a situation, where both cells receive the low irradiance, namely  $125 \, \mathrm{mW}$



Jürgen Mattheis Energy and Power University of Freiburg

#### Application control


#### Task 3.1:



36 / 42

Consider the energy harvesting profile p(t) given in Figure 4 that repeats daily. What is the maximum average power  $u_{max}$  that can be used by the system?

#### Application control



#### Application control

#### Solution 3.1:



- The daily harvested energy is calculated by: (1Wh + 2Wh + 3Wh + 4Wh + 5H + 6Wh) \* 2 + 7Wh = 49Wh
- This results in the following maximum average harvesting power:  $u_{max} = \frac{49Wh}{24h} = 2.04W$

#### Application control

#### Task 3.2:

B

39 / 42

Given the knowledge of the daily energy input profile p(t) in Figure 5, calculate the minimal battery size  $B_{min}$  such that the used energy satisfies u(t)=2 for every time interval during a day. Complete the diagram in Figure 6 with the daily evolution of the used energy u(t) and the battery charge state b(t) at the beginning of the interval for the found battery size  $B_{min}$ .

#### Application control


#### Solution 3.2:



- The energy used during night, where the harvested energy is lower than the consumed energy, is:  $1Wh + 11 \cdot 2Wh + 1Wh = 24Wh$
- We need at least this much energy in our battery to compensate this deficit, meaning  $B > 24Wh \Rightarrow B_{min} = 24Wh$ .

# Task 3 I

### Application control



# Task 3 II

#### Application control

#### Sidenote 9

We observe that in intervals  $t \in [0,6]$  and  $t \in [18,23]$  of a day u(t) > p(t) and therefore energy is used from the battery. In intervals  $t \in [7,17]$  the battery is not used and the extra input power of intervals  $t \in [8,16]$  is stored to the battery, with the battery overflowing in interval t = 16.