## Ajtai commitment expansion

Matthew Klein

July 11, 2024

### What we are trying to do

- We start off with a relation made hard to 'break' based on the MSIS problem
- We will show that this relation is equivalent to two other relations, that can be used for folding
- ▶ We then adapt this equivalence to the Customisable Constraint System (CCS)

### Ajtai Commitments

- Ajtai commitments allow us to commit to a vector of polynomials
- We commit to an a vector  $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}^m$  by multiplying it with a random matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{R}_a^{\kappa \times \mathbf{m}}$
- $|\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < B$  where B is the norm bound
- lacksquare Output of commitment is  $\mathit{cm} := oldsymbol{\mathsf{A}} \cdot \overrightarrow{oldsymbol{ec{\chi}}} oldsymbol{\mathsf{mod}} \, oldsymbol{\mathsf{q}} \in \mathcal{R}^\kappa_{oldsymbol{a}}$
- ▶ This commitment is considered binding because of the assumed hardness of MSIS

### Ajtai commitments as a relation

- $\blacktriangleright$  We define relation  $\mathcal{R}_{MSIS\infty}^{B}$  between an ajtati commitment and the  $\overrightarrow{x}$
- $\qquad \mathcal{R}^{B}_{MSIS^{\infty}} := (pp, \, cm \in \mathcal{R}^{\kappa}_{a} \, ; \, \overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}^{m} : (cm = \mathbf{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{x} \, \, \mathsf{mod} \, \, \mathbf{q}) \wedge ||\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < \mathbf{B})$
- $ightharpoonup pp := (\kappa, m, B, \mathbf{A})$  are the public parameters of the relation
- Public parameters define the 'meta' information of the relation:
  - 1. The size of the vectors and matrices
  - 2. The norm limit of  $\overrightarrow{x}$
  - The random matrix A

$$\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}_a^m$$

- $||\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < B \text{ and } B < \frac{q}{2}$   $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}^m \text{ can be uniquely represented in } \mathcal{R}_q^m$ 
  - We define  $||\overrightarrow{x}||_{linfty} < B$  as the norm after lifting  $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}_a^m to \mathcal{R}$
- We can rewrite our commitment as

$$\mathcal{R}^{B}_{MSIS^{\infty}} := (pp, \, cm \in \mathcal{R}^{\kappa}_{q} \, ; \, \overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}^{m}_{q} : (cm = \, \mathbf{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}) \wedge ||\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < \mathbf{B})$$

# Coefficient Embeddings and Rotational Matrices

- ▶ For  $a \in \mathcal{R}_q$ , vec(a) reoresents the vectors of coefficients
- ▶ For a vector  $\overrightarrow{a} \in \mathcal{R}_a^m$ ,  $vec(\overrightarrow{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times d}$  represents the coefficient vectors in  $\overrightarrow{a}$
- $fvec(\overrightarrow{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{md}$  is the vector that concatonates the rows of  $\overrightarrow{a}$
- lacksquare  $\mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{a}) := (\mathsf{vec}(\mathbf{a}), \mathsf{vec}(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{a}), \dots, \mathsf{vec}(\mathbf{X}^{d-1} \cdot \mathbf{a})) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{d imes d}$
- lacktriangle For a matrix  $f A\in \mathbb{R}_a^{\kappa imes m}$ , we define the rotation matrix  ${\sf Rot}(f A)\in \mathbb{Z}_a^{\kappa d imes md}$  as

$$\mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}) := egin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}_{1,1}) & \cdots & \mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}_{1,m}) \ dots & \ddots & dots \ \mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa,1}) & \cdots & \mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa,m}) \end{bmatrix}$$

• fvec $(\mathbf{Af}) = \mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A})$ fvec $(\mathbf{f})$  for any  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}_a^{\kappa imes m}$  and  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}_a^m$ .

$$\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\kappa c}$$

- ightharpoonup We can uniquely represent  $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}_q^m$  as  $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\kappa d}$  by taking  $\mathit{fvec}(\overrightarrow{x})$
- ightharpoonup  $\overline{\mathbf{A}} = rot(\mathbf{A})$
- ightharpoonup is the coefficient embedding of cm
- $ightharpoonup \overline{cm} = \overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot fvec(\overrightarrow{x})$

$$\mathcal{R}^{B}_{\mathit{MSIS}^{\infty}} := (\mathit{pp},\, \overline{\mathit{cm}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathit{\kappa d}}\,;\, \overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathit{md}} : (\overline{\mathit{cm}} = \, \overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}) \wedge ||\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < \mathit{B})$$

# Representing $||\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < B$ as an hadamard product

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{MSISProd}}^{\mathcal{B}} := \left\{ \left( pp, \, \overline{\mathsf{cm}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\kappa d} \, ; \, \overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{md} \, | \, \begin{array}{c} \overline{\mathsf{cm}} = \overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} \\ \wedge \, \| \overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} \| \circ \left[ \bigcirc_{i=1}^{B-1} (\overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} - \overrightarrow{\mathsf{i}}) \circ (\overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} + \overrightarrow{\mathsf{i}}) \right] = \overrightarrow{\mathsf{0}} \end{array} \right\}$$

To see this see that the biggest coefficient in any of the x matrices is less than B

$$\mathcal{R}^{B}_{cm}$$

- ightharpoonup We can look at  $\overrightarrow{x}$  in two ways
- igwedge  $\overrightarrow{x}$  is a NTT representation of a  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{R}_q^m$
- $lackbox{}\overrightarrow{x}$  is coefficient embedding of a  $\overrightarrow{f}\in\mathcal{R}_a^m$
- ► The Hadamard product of two NTT representation is equivalent to the multiplication of the two elements
- $i.e <math>\overrightarrow{x} \circ \overrightarrow{x} \cong \hat{f} \circ \hat{f}$

$$\mathcal{R}^{B}_{\mathsf{cm}} := \left\{ (pp, \, \overline{\mathsf{cm}} \in \mathcal{R}^{\kappa}_{q} \, ; \, \overrightarrow{f} \in \mathcal{R}^{m}_{q} \, | \, \begin{array}{c} \overline{\mathsf{cm}} = \overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \overrightarrow{f} \\ \wedge \| \widehat{f} \| \circ \left[ \bigcirc_{i=1}^{B-1} (\widehat{f} - \widehat{i}) \circ (\widehat{f} + \widehat{i}) \right] = \widehat{0} \end{array} 
ight\}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{B}_{\mathit{eval}}$$

- Essentially the same as before, with an added evaluation statement
- $\triangleright$  We supply the relation with variables and an evaluation of the  $\overrightarrow{f}$  at those variable

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{eval}}^{B} = \left\{ (pp; \ (r, v, cm) \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\log m} imes \mathcal{R}_{q} imes \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\kappa}; \ \overrightarrow{f} \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{m}) \mid egin{array}{c} (pp; \ cm; \ \overrightarrow{f}) \in \mathcal{R}_{cm}^{B} \\ & \land \mathsf{mle} \ [\widehat{f}](\overrightarrow{r'}) = v \end{array} 
ight\}$$

#### Let's take this to CCS

- We introduce an insane amount of notation
- Public Paramers (**pp**) :=( $n_r$ ,  $n_c$ , t,  $n_s$ , deg,  $l_{in}$ )
  - $ightharpoonup \overline{\mathcal{R}}$  is an arbitrary ring
  - i consists of
    - 1. t matrices  $M_1..M_t \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}^{n_r \times n_c}$  with  $\mathcal{O}(n_r + n_c)$  non-zero entries
    - 2.  $n_s$  multisets  $S_1...S_{n_s} \subseteq [t]$  with  $|S_i| < \deg$  for all  $i \in [n_s]$
    - 3.  $n_s$  scalars  $cn_1,...,cn_s \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}$
- $\triangleright$  We then introduce the relation  $\mathcal{R}_{ccs}$
- - 2.  $(\mathbf{pp}_{\mathsf{ccs}}, \mathbb{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}^{l_{\mathsf{in}}}, \mathbb{w} \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}^{n_{\mathsf{c}} \overline{l}_{\mathsf{in}} 1})$
  - $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ .  $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ :=  $(\mathbf{z}, 1, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathcal{R}^{n_c}$
  - 4.  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_s} c_i \cdot \bigcirc_{j \in S_i} (M_j \cdot \vec{z}) = 0^{n_r}$