Ajtai commitment expansion

Matthew Klein

July 10, 2024

Ajtai Commitments

- Ajtai commitments allow us to commit to a vector of polynomials
- We commit to an a vector $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}^m$ by multiplying it with a random matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{R}_a^{\kappa \times \mathbf{m}}$
- $|\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < B$ where B is the norm bound
- lacksquare Output of commitment is $\mathit{cm} := oldsymbol{\mathsf{A}} \cdot \overrightarrow{oldsymbol{ec{\chi}}} oldsymbol{\mathsf{mod}} \, oldsymbol{\mathsf{q}} \in \mathcal{R}^\kappa_{oldsymbol{a}}$
- ▶ This commitment is considered binding because of the assumed hardness of MSIS

Ajtai commitments as a relation

- \blacktriangleright We define relation $\mathcal{R}_{MSIS\infty}^B$ between an ajtati commitment and the \overrightarrow{x}
- $\qquad \mathcal{R}^{B}_{MSIS^{\infty}} := (pp, \, cm \in \mathcal{R}^{\kappa}_{a} \, ; \, \overrightarrow{\chi} \in \mathcal{R}^{m} : (cm = \mathbf{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{\chi} \, \, \mathsf{mod} \, \, \mathbf{q}) \wedge ||\overrightarrow{\chi}||_{\infty} < \mathbf{B})$
- $ightharpoonup pp := (\kappa, m, B, \mathbf{A})$ are the public parameters of the relation
- Public parameters define the 'meta' information of the relation:
 - 1. The size of the vectors and matrices
 - 2. The norm limit of \overrightarrow{x}
 - The random matrix A

$$\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}_a^m$$

- $||\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < B \text{ and } B < \frac{q}{2}$ $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}^m \text{ can be uniquely represented in } \mathcal{R}_q^m$
 - We define $||\overrightarrow{x}||_{linfty} < B$ as the norm after lifting $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}_a^m to \mathcal{R}$
- We can rewrite our commitment as $\overline{\mathcal{R}_{MSIS^{\infty}}^{B}}:=(pp,\,cm\in\mathcal{R}_{a}^{\kappa}\,;\,\overrightarrow{x}\in\mathcal{R}_{a}^{m}:(cm=\mathbf{A}\cdot\overrightarrow{x})\wedge||\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty}<\mathbf{B})$

$$\in \mathcal{R}_q^m : (cm = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}') \wedge ||\mathbf{x}'||_{\infty} < \mathbf{B})$$

Coefficient Embeddings and Rotational Matrices

- ▶ For $a \in \mathcal{R}_q$, vec(a) reoresents the vectors of coefficients
- ▶ For a vector $\overrightarrow{a} \in \mathcal{R}_a^m$, $vec(\overrightarrow{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times d}$ represents the coefficient vectors in \overrightarrow{a}
- $fvec(\overrightarrow{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{md}$ is the vector that concatonates the rows of \overrightarrow{a}
- lacksquare $\mathsf{Rot}(\mathsf{a}) := (\mathsf{vec}(\mathsf{a}), \mathsf{vec}(\mathsf{X} \cdot \mathsf{a}), \dots, \mathsf{vec}(\mathsf{X}^{d-1} \cdot \mathsf{a})) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{d imes d}$
- For a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}_q^{\kappa imes m}$, we define the rotation matrix $\mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\kappa d imes md}$ as

$$\mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}) := egin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}_{1,1}) & \cdots & \mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}_{1,m}) \ dots & \ddots & dots \ \mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa,1}) & \cdots & \mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa,m}) \end{bmatrix}$$

lacksquare fvec $(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{f})=\mathsf{Rot}(\mathbf{A})$ fvec (\mathbf{f}) for any $\mathbf{A}\in\mathbb{R}_q^{\kappa imes m}$ and $\mathbf{f}\in\mathbb{R}_q^m$.

$$\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\kappa d}$$

- ightharpoonup We can uniquely represent $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathcal{R}_q^m$ as $\overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\kappa d}$ by taking $\mathit{fvec}(\overrightarrow{x})$
- $ightharpoonup \overline{\mathbf{A}} = rot(\mathbf{A})$
- ▶ *cm* is the coefficient embedding of *cm*
- $ightharpoonup \overline{cm} = \overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot fvec(\overrightarrow{x})$

$$\mathcal{R}^{B}_{\mathit{MSIS}^{\infty}} := (\mathit{pp},\, \overline{\mathit{cm}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathit{\kappa d}}\,;\, \overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathit{md}} : (\overline{\mathit{cm}} = \, \overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}) \wedge ||\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < \mathit{B})$$

Representing $||\overrightarrow{x}||_{\infty} < B$ as an hadamard product

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{MSISProd}}^{\mathcal{B}} := \left\{ \left(pp, \, \overline{\mathsf{cm}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\kappa d} \, ; \, \overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{md} \, | \, \begin{array}{c} \overline{\mathsf{cm}} = \overline{\mathsf{A}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} \\ \wedge \, \| \overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} \| \circ \left[\bigcirc_{i=1}^{\mathcal{B}-1} (\overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} - \overrightarrow{\mathsf{i}}) \circ (\overrightarrow{\mathsf{x}} + \overrightarrow{\mathsf{i}}) \right] = \overrightarrow{\mathsf{0}} \end{array} \right\}$$

To see this see that the biggest coefficient in any of the x matrices is less than B

$$\mathcal{R}^{B}_{cm}$$

- ightharpoonup We can look at \overrightarrow{x} in two ways
- $ightharpoonup \overrightarrow{x}$ is a NTT representation of a $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{R}_q^m$
- $ightharpoonup \overrightarrow{\chi}$ is coefficient embedding of a $\overrightarrow{f} \in \mathcal{R}_a^m$
- ► The Hadamard product of two NTT representation is equivalent to the multiplication of the two elements
- i.e $\overrightarrow{x} \circ \overrightarrow{x} \cong \widehat{f} \circ \widehat{f}$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{cm}}^{\mathcal{B}} := \left\{ (pp, \overline{\mathsf{cm}} \in \mathcal{R}_q^{\kappa}; \overrightarrow{f} \in \mathcal{R}_q^m \mid \overline{\hat{f}} | \circ \left[\bigcirc_{i=1}^{\mathcal{B}-1} (\widehat{f} - \widehat{i}) \circ (\widehat{f} + \widehat{i}) \right] = \widehat{0} \right\}$$