# NATIONAL DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Fall 2022 Report

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Fall 2022 Report PREPARED BY  Matthew Granstrom  University of Minnesota  Email: [mjgranstrom@gmail.com](mailto:mjgranstrom@gmail.com)  Based on the tabroom.com Results Page  Developed by Jon Bruschke, CSU-Fullerton (CA), and Chris Palmer, Lexington HS (MA) and previous reports produced by Jim Hanson, Seattle University (WA)  **Fall Report** |  | CONTENTS |
| NDT Ranking Reports and Updates 2  NDT Membership 2  Top Ten Overall 3  Top Ten Varsity 3  Top CC Rankings 3  Overall Rankings 4  Varsity Rankings 6  District Rankings 8  Ranking Procedure 10 |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

### NDT Ranking Reports and Updates

This is the first of two official NDT Rankings Reports for the year. Each report includes overall rankings (based on the top two teams from a school at eight tournaments), varsity rankings (based on the top two senior division teams from a school at eight tournaments), community college rankings (using overall points), and rankings by region (using overall points). The Spring Report includes a list of the schools that are new and also that moved up the most in the rankings. The last page of this report explains how points are calculated. Teams earn points even if they are not members of the NDT, however only members are ranked in the final report.

In addition to the NDT Reports, Jon Bruschke and Chris Palmer update the NDT points as well as all results from college policy debate tournaments on a regular basis at [www.tabroom.com](http://www.tabroom.com)

**Before the Final Version of this Report, double-check your points and email Matthew Granstrom at** [**mjgranstrom@gmail.com**](mailto:mjgranstrom@gmail.com) **with any needed changes**

### Use Tabroom.com to run your tournaments

**Setup your Tournament at** [**https://www.tabroom.com/index/index.mhtml**](https://www.tabroom.com/index/index.mhtml) **(need to be logged in first)**

**Use the Request a Tournament link. You run your tournament online using tabroom.com**

*(Note: CAT/Tabroom for PC software should no longer be used for NDT tournaments)*

Tabroom.com maintains an up-to-date list of who is competing at the tournaments, rankings of teams, NDT bid sheets, CEDA and NDT sweepstakes points, and useful and very interesting statistics. It also automatically sends calendar information to the AFA and CEDA.

### NDT Membership

We encourage you to have NDT Membership. NDT Membership is required to participate in district tournaments, the NDT, and the Spring Final NDT Rankings. Further, we encourage you to ask others to join and support our debate community; the NDT is part of the American Forensics Association. The annual membership is $100 if paid by Oct. 1; $200 if paid Oct. 2 to Dec. 15; $300 if paid after Dec. 15 and before Jan. 15. NOTE: IF YOU DO NOT PAY YOUR DUES BY JAN. 15, YOU MAY NOT SEND TEAMS TO THE NDT AND YOUR SCHOOL WILL NOT BE LISTED IN THE NDT RANKINGS.

**Go to this web page to make your payment:** [**http://nationaldebatetournament.org/subscriptions/**](http://nationaldebatetournament.org/subscriptions/)
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### Top 10 Overall Rankings

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Liberty | 700 | 123 | 7 | N |
| 2. | George Mason | 528 | 85 | 7 | N |
| 3. | Houston | 487 | 137 | 3 | N |
| 4. | Emory | 392 | 279 | 6 | N |
| 5. | Wake Forest | 390 | 350 | 6 | N |
| 6. | Michigan | 363 | 291 | 5 | N |
| 7. | Samford | 353 | 124 | 6 | N |
| 8. | NYU | 336 | 106 | 8 | N |
| 9. | Kansas | 335 | 250 | 3 | N |
| 10. | James Madison | 319 | 84 | 7 | N |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

### Top 10 Varsity Rankings

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Wake Forest | 390 | 350 | 6 | N |
| 2. | Michigan | 363 | 291 | 5 | N |
| 3. | Emory | 392 | 279 | 6 | N |
| 4. | Kansas | 335 | 250 | 3 | N |
| 5. | Dartmouth | 253 | 240 | 8 | N |
| 6. | Minnesota | 260 | 198 | 4 | N |
| 7. | Georgetown | 192 | 192 | 7 | N |
| 8. | Wichita State | 191 | 191 | 3 | N |
| 9. | Northwestern | 225 | 179 | 5 | N |
| 10. | Michigan State | 293 | 168 | 5 | N |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

### Top CC Rankings

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Oakton Community | 9 | 9 | 5 | Y |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

### Overall Rankings

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Liberty | 700 | 123 | 7 | N |
| 2. | George Mason | 528 | 85 | 7 | N |
| 3. | Houston | 487 | 137 | 3 | N |
| 4. | Emory | 392 | 279 | 6 | N |
| 5. | Wake Forest | 390 | 350 | 6 | N |
| 6. | Michigan | 363 | 291 | 5 | N |
| 7. | Samford | 353 | 124 | 6 | N |
| 8. | NYU | 336 | 106 | 8 | N |
| 9. | Kansas | 335 | 250 | 3 | N |
| 10. | James Madison | 319 | 84 | 7 | N |
| 11. | Indiana | 318 | 103 | 5 | N |
| 12. | Binghamton | 309 | 166 | 8 | N |
| 13. | Michigan State | 293 | 168 | 5 | N |
| 14. | Minnesota | 260 | 198 | 4 | N |
| 15. | Dartmouth | 253 | 240 | 8 | N |
| 16. | Northwestern | 225 | 179 | 5 | N |
| 17. | Navy | 200 | 8 | 7 | N |
| 18. | Georgetown | 192 | 192 | 7 | N |
| 19. | Wichita State | 191 | 191 | 3 | N |
| 20. | Missouri State | 187 | 147 | 3 | N |
| 21. | UTD | 186 | 162 | 3 | N |
| 22. | Texas | 172 | 161 | 3 | N |
| 23. | Wayne State | 164 | 93 | 5 | N |
| 24. | New School | 162 | 88 | 8 | N |
| 25. | Harvard | 162 | 162 | 8 | N |
| 26. | Wyoming | 161 | 137 | 2 | N |
| 27. | Mary Washington | 159 | 63 | 7 | N |
| 28. | Kentucky | 156 | 145 | 6 | N |
| 29. | CSU Long Beach | 150 | 141 | 1 | N |
| 30. | Monmouth | 147 | 0 | 7 | N |
| 31. | Boston College | 146 | 45 | 8 | N |
| 32. | Emporia State | 133 | 133 | 6 | N |
| 33. | Baylor | 124 | 109 | 3 | N |
| 34. | West Georgia | 123 | 101 | 6 | N |
| 35. | Southern California | 118 | 118 | 1 | N |
| 36. | Oklahoma | 117 | 64 | 3 | N |
| 37. | Central Oklahoma | 107 | 107 | 3 | N |
| 38. | Trinity | 105 | 44 | 3 | N |
| 39. | Iowa | 95 | 83 | 4 | N |
| 40. | Cornell | 89 | 65 | 8 | N |
| 41. | Massachusetts, Amherst | 88 | 88 | 8 | N |
| 42. | United States Military | 88 | 73 | 8 | N |
| 43. | Gonzaga | 87 | 43 | 2 | N |
| 44. | West Virginia | 76 | 40 | 7 | N |
| 45. | Georgia | 76 | 22 | 6 | N |
| 46. | Kansas State | 72 | 32 | 3 | N |
| 47. | Southwestern | 68 | 0 | 1 | N |
| 48. | Western Washington | 62 | 42 | 2 | N |
| 49. | Pennsylvania State | 62 | 0 | 7 | N |
| 50. | Cal State Fullerton | 59 | 50 | 1 | N |
| 51. | Pennsylvania | 58 | 58 | 7 | N |
| 52. | Pittsburgh | 51 | 51 | 7 | N |
| 53. | Rochester | 48 | 32 | 8 | N |
| 54. | Macalester | 41 | 41 | 4 | N |
| 55. | Weber State | 38 | 8 | 2 | N |
| 56. | UT San Antonio | 34 | 0 | 3 | N |
| 57. | Southern Nazarene | 33 | 0 | 3 | N |
| 58. | CSU - Northridge | 32 | 32 | 1 | N |
| 59. | CalBerkeley | 31 | 31 | 1 | N |
| 60. | Purdue | 31 | 0 | 5 | N |
| 61. | Arizona State | 29 | 20 | 1 | N |
| 62. | Tufts | 23 | 23 | 8 | N |
| 63. | Washington | 11 | 11 | 2 | N |
| 64. | Texas Tech | 9 | 0 | 3 | N |
| 65. | Oakton Community | 9 | 9 | 5 | Y |
| 66. | Suffolk | 8 | 0 | 8 | N |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

### Varsity Rankings

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Wake Forest | 390 | 350 | 6 | N |
| 2. | Michigan | 363 | 291 | 5 | N |
| 3. | Emory | 392 | 279 | 6 | N |
| 4. | Kansas | 335 | 250 | 3 | N |
| 5. | Dartmouth | 253 | 240 | 8 | N |
| 6. | Minnesota | 260 | 198 | 4 | N |
| 7. | Georgetown | 192 | 192 | 7 | N |
| 8. | Wichita State | 191 | 191 | 3 | N |
| 9. | Northwestern | 225 | 179 | 5 | N |
| 10. | Michigan State | 293 | 168 | 5 | N |
| 11. | Binghamton | 309 | 166 | 8 | N |
| 12. | UTD | 186 | 162 | 3 | N |
| 13. | Harvard | 162 | 162 | 8 | N |
| 14. | Texas | 172 | 161 | 3 | N |
| 15. | Missouri State | 187 | 147 | 3 | N |
| 16. | Kentucky | 156 | 145 | 6 | N |
| 17. | CSU Long Beach | 150 | 141 | 1 | N |
| 18. | Wyoming | 161 | 137 | 2 | N |
| 19. | Houston | 487 | 137 | 3 | N |
| 20. | Emporia State | 133 | 133 | 6 | N |
| 21. | Samford | 353 | 124 | 6 | N |
| 22. | Liberty | 700 | 123 | 7 | N |
| 23. | Southern California | 118 | 118 | 1 | N |
| 24. | Baylor | 124 | 109 | 3 | N |
| 25. | Central Oklahoma | 107 | 107 | 3 | N |
| 26. | NYU | 336 | 106 | 8 | N |
| 27. | Indiana | 318 | 103 | 5 | N |
| 28. | West Georgia | 123 | 101 | 6 | N |
| 29. | Wayne State | 164 | 93 | 5 | N |
| 30. | Massachusetts, Amherst | 88 | 88 | 8 | N |
| 31. | New School | 162 | 88 | 8 | N |
| 32. | George Mason | 528 | 85 | 7 | N |
| 33. | James Madison | 319 | 84 | 7 | N |
| 34. | Iowa | 95 | 83 | 4 | N |
| 35. | United States Military | 88 | 73 | 8 | N |
| 36. | Cornell | 89 | 65 | 8 | N |
| 37. | Oklahoma | 117 | 64 | 3 | N |
| 38. | Mary Washington | 159 | 63 | 7 | N |
| 39. | Pennsylvania | 58 | 58 | 7 | N |
| 40. | Pittsburgh | 51 | 51 | 7 | N |
| 41. | Cal State Fullerton | 59 | 50 | 1 | N |
| 42. | Boston College | 146 | 45 | 8 | N |
| 43. | Trinity | 105 | 44 | 3 | N |
| 44. | Gonzaga | 87 | 43 | 2 | N |
| 45. | Western Washington | 62 | 42 | 2 | N |
| 46. | Macalester | 41 | 41 | 4 | N |
| 47. | West Virginia | 76 | 40 | 7 | N |
| 48. | Rochester | 48 | 32 | 8 | N |
| 49. | CSU - Northridge | 32 | 32 | 1 | N |
| 50. | Kansas State | 72 | 32 | 3 | N |
| 51. | CalBerkeley | 31 | 31 | 1 | N |
| 52. | Tufts | 23 | 23 | 8 | N |
| 53. | Georgia | 76 | 22 | 6 | N |
| 54. | Arizona State | 29 | 20 | 1 | N |
| 55. | Washington | 11 | 11 | 2 | N |
| 56. | Oakton Community | 9 | 9 | 5 | Y |
| 57. | Navy | 200 | 8 | 7 | N |
| 58. | Weber State | 38 | 8 | 2 | N |
| 59. | Pennsylvania State | 62 | 0 | 7 | N |
| 60. | Southwestern | 68 | 0 | 1 | N |
| 61. | UT San Antonio | 34 | 0 | 3 | N |
| 62. | Purdue | 31 | 0 | 5 | N |
| 63. | Monmouth | 147 | 0 | 7 | N |
| 64. | Suffolk | 8 | 0 | 8 | N |
| 65. | Southern Nazarene | 33 | 0 | 3 | N |
| 66. | Texas Tech | 9 | 0 | 3 | N |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

### Overall Rankings by District

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | CSU Long Beach | 150 | 141 | 1 | N |
| 2. | Southern California | 118 | 118 | 1 | N |
| 3. | Southwestern | 68 | 0 | 1 | N |
| 4. | Cal State Fullerton | 59 | 50 | 1 | N |
| 5. | CSU - Northridge | 32 | 32 | 1 | N |
| 6. | CalBerkeley | 31 | 31 | 1 | N |
| 7. | Arizona State | 29 | 20 | 1 | N |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Wyoming | 161 | 137 | 2 | N |
| 2. | Gonzaga | 87 | 43 | 2 | N |
| 3. | Western Washington | 62 | 42 | 2 | N |
| 4. | Weber State | 38 | 8 | 2 | N |
| 5. | Washington | 11 | 11 | 2 | N |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Houston | 487 | 137 | 3 | N |
| 2. | Kansas | 335 | 250 | 3 | N |
| 3. | Wichita State | 191 | 191 | 3 | N |
| 4. | Missouri State | 187 | 147 | 3 | N |
| 5. | UTD | 186 | 162 | 3 | N |
| 6. | Texas | 172 | 161 | 3 | N |
| 7. | Baylor | 124 | 109 | 3 | N |
| 8. | Oklahoma | 117 | 64 | 3 | N |
| 9. | Central Oklahoma | 107 | 107 | 3 | N |
| 10. | Trinity | 105 | 44 | 3 | N |
| 11. | Kansas State | 72 | 32 | 3 | N |
| 12. | UT San Antonio | 34 | 0 | 3 | N |
| 13. | Southern Nazarene | 33 | 0 | 3 | N |
| 14. | Texas Tech | 9 | 0 | 3 | N |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Minnesota | 260 | 198 | 4 | N |
| 2. | Iowa | 95 | 83 | 4 | N |
| 3. | Macalester | 41 | 41 | 4 | N |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Michigan | 363 | 291 | 5 | N |
| 2. | Indiana | 318 | 103 | 5 | N |
| 3. | Michigan State | 293 | 168 | 5 | N |
| 4. | Northwestern | 225 | 179 | 5 | N |
| 5. | Wayne State | 164 | 93 | 5 | N |
| 6. | Purdue | 31 | 0 | 5 | N |
| 7. | Oakton Community | 9 | 9 | 5 | Y |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Emory | 392 | 279 | 6 | N |
| 2. | Wake Forest | 390 | 350 | 6 | N |
| 3. | Samford | 353 | 124 | 6 | N |
| 4. | Kentucky | 156 | 145 | 6 | N |
| 5. | Emporia State | 133 | 133 | 6 | N |
| 6. | West Georgia | 123 | 101 | 6 | N |
| 7. | Georgia | 76 | 22 | 6 | N |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | Liberty | 700 | 123 | 7 | N |
| 2. | George Mason | 528 | 85 | 7 | N |
| 3. | James Madison | 319 | 84 | 7 | N |
| 4. | Navy | 200 | 8 | 7 | N |
| 5. | Georgetown | 192 | 192 | 7 | N |
| 6. | Mary Washington | 159 | 63 | 7 | N |
| 7. | Monmouth | 147 | 0 | 7 | N |
| 8. | West Virginia | 76 | 40 | 7 | N |
| 9. | Pennsylvania State | 62 | 0 | 7 | N |
| 10. | Pennsylvania | 58 | 58 | 7 | N |
| 11. | Pittsburgh | 51 | 51 | 7 | N |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rank | School | NDT pts | Varsity pts | District | CC |
| 1. | NYU | 336 | 106 | 8 | N |
| 2. | Binghamton | 309 | 166 | 8 | N |
| 3. | Dartmouth | 253 | 240 | 8 | N |
| 4. | Harvard | 162 | 162 | 8 | N |
| 5. | New School | 162 | 88 | 8 | N |
| 6. | Boston College | 146 | 45 | 8 | N |
| 7. | Cornell | 89 | 65 | 8 | N |
| 8. | Massachusetts, Amherst | 88 | 88 | 8 | N |
| 9. | United States Military | 88 | 73 | 8 | N |
| 10. | Rochester | 48 | 32 | 8 | N |
| 11. | Tufts | 23 | 23 | 8 | N |
| 12. | Suffolk | 8 | 0 | 8 | N |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# NDT Ranking Procedure

**I. The ranking system will report the standing of all NDT programs in December and all NDT subscribing programs April each year. A three-tiered system will be employed:**

(a) Ranking on a national basis for overall squad performance and for varsity level competition;

(b) Ranking by districts using overall squad performance;

(c) Ranking of junior college programs using overall squad performance.

Additionally, in the spring, the Report shall include a ranking of “Movers” (teams with 32 or more overall points increase comparing the previous year’s points to the current year) and of “New Schools” (based on overall performance, this is for teams who did not earn points in the previous fall and who have earned at least 32 or more overall points).

**II. Rankings will be based on a point system according to the following items:**

(a) Only results from tournament divisions with six or more teams per division from three or more schools with at least 4 preliminary rounds that debate the NDT topic will count towards the ranking;

(b) The results of a school’s two best teams in any qualifying division of a tournament will count toward the overall rankings. The varsity rankings will only count the best two teams in varsity division;

(c) The results of a school’s best performance at a maximum of eight tournaments will count towards the rankings;

(d) Only teams who are in the upper half of teams in the first elimination round may count that round’s points for rankings;

(e) If the tab room director(s) are from one school only, then elimination rounds of teams from that school will not count toward the rankings (you must have two schools represented as tab directors to have all elimination points counted);

(f) Lincoln-Douglas results or rounds in which a debater debated without a colleague or with a colleague from another school will not count toward the rankings;

(g) If the elimination rounds of a tournament are interrupted by extenuating circumstances, the points remaining to be earned will be evenly distributed to the remaining participants, rounded to the nearest whole number;

(h) Hybrids do not count for points unless recognized by the NDT Committee for ranking points;

(i) Breakout rounds (where the teams with the best records do not advance to elims) do not count for points.

**III. Points are allocated as follows**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Prelim Record | Percentage | Points |  | Elim Record | Points |
| 4-0 to 9-0 | 100% | 16 |  | Unanimous win | 6 |
| 4-1 to 8-1 | 80 to 99.99% | 15 |  | (3-0, 5-0, a bye, etc.) |  |
| 3-1, 5-2, 6-2, 6-3 | 67 to 79.99% | 14 |  | Split decision win | 5 |
| 3-2, 4-2, 4-3, 5-3, 5-4 | 50.01 to 66.99% | 13 |  | (2-1, 3-2, 4-1, etc.) |  |
| 2-2 to 4-4 | 50% | 12 |  | Split decision loss | 4 |
| 2-3, 2-4, 3-4, 3-5, 4-5 | 33 to 49.99% | 11 |  | (1-2, 2-3, 1-4, etc.) |  |
| 1-3, 2-5, 2-6, 3-6 | 21 to 32.99% | 10 |  | Unanimous loss | 3 |
| 1-4 to 1-8 | .01 to 20.99% | 9 |  | (0-3, 0-5, walk over/forfeit, etc.) |  |
| 0-4 to 0-9 | 0% | 8 |  |  |  |

**Visit** [**www.tabroom.com**](http://www.tabroom.com)

|  |
| --- |
|  |