

Big O Analysis

I have also included my main function with the files if you care.

1. Constructor: `DoubleLinkedList(void){code}`
 - a. This is $O(1)$ because it is just initialization.
2. Destructor: `~DoubleLinkedList(void) {}`
 - a. This is $O(n)$ because it iterates through the list deleting every node it passes.
3. `addFront`
 - a. This is $O(1)$ because it just has to move a couple of pointers around and the size of the list is irrelevant.
4. `addRear`
 - a. This is an $O(n)$ operation because it iterates through the entire list before adding the node at the end.
5. `addAt`
 - a. This is generally $O(n)$ because it has to iterate until the index position has been reached, which is dependent on the size of the list.
6. `Remove`
 - a. This is generally $O(n)$ because it also must iterate to the index position, which is dependent on the size of the list.
7. `At`
 - a. Also $O(n)$ for the same reasons. It must iterate to the index position from the beginning.
8. `Contains`
 - a. $O(n)$ for the same reasons. It must iterate until it gets to the data it is looking for. If it doesn't find it, it goes through the entire list.
9. `Size`
 - a. $O(1)$ because it is returning the value for `numItems` that has been being tracked by every other function.
10. `Swap`
 - a. $O(n)$ because it does not have any nested for loops, just single for loops. Realistically, it is probably more like $O(4n)$, but we ignore the coefficient in BigO.
11. `Shuffle`
 - a. $O(n^2)$ because it has a for loop inside which it calls `swap`, which also has for loops. So, it has doubly nested for loops, making it $O(n^2)$.
12. `isPalindrome`
 - a. $O(n)$ because there are no nested loops. It does three different loops that iterate through the list, so more realistically it would be $O(3n)$, but we ignore the coefficients.

13. getKthFromRear

- a. $O(n)$ because all it does is call the at functions, which is $O(n)$.

14. removeDuplicates

- a. $O(n^2)$ because it has a for loop and also calls functions that have for loops themselves. At most there is only a nest of for loops 2 deep, which makes it $O(n^2)$

15. Reverse

- a. $O(n^2)$ because it has its own for loop inside which it calls swap, which is $O(n)$. Together they result in $O(n^2)$ efficiency.

16. Count

- a. $O(n)$ because it has to check every node in the list to see if it has the data before adding it to currentCount.