

# Convex Optimisation: Assignment 3

*Solutions should be typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X  
and submitted via email to [matthew.tam@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:matthew.tam@unimelb.edu.au)*

Due: May 13th, 2021 at 5pm

1. (2 points) Use Theorem 2.3.4 to prove Corollary 2.3.8.
2. In this exercise, we show that the proximity operator of a function  $f$  can be interpreted as the gradient of another convex function which is differentiable. This holds independently of whether  $f$  is differentiable or not. To this end, we first introduce the following definition.

**Definition.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  and  $\gamma > 0$ . The Moreau envelope of  $f$  with index  $\gamma$  is the function  $\gamma f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  given by

$$\gamma f(x) := \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|x - y\|^2 \right\}.$$

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be proper, lsc, convex and let  $\gamma > 0$ .

- (a) (1 point) Explain why

$$\gamma f(x) = f(\text{prox}_{\gamma f}(x)) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|x - \text{prox}_{\gamma f}(x)\|^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- (b) (1 point) Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and set  $p = \text{prox}_{\gamma f}(x)$  and  $q = \text{prox}_{\gamma f}(y)$ . Explain why

$$f(q) - f(p) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle q - p, x - p \rangle.$$

- (c) (1 point) Using (a) and (b), show that

$$\gamma f(y) - \gamma f(x) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y - x, x - p \rangle.$$

- (d) (2 points) With the help of (c), show that

$$0 \leq \gamma f(y) - \gamma f(x) - \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y - x, x - p \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \|y - x\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- (e) (2 points) Show that  $\gamma f$  is differentiable with gradient given by

$$\nabla(\gamma f)(x) = \gamma^{-1} (x - \text{prox}_{\gamma f}(x)) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

3. Consider the functions  $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  given by

$$f(x) := \iota_{[0,1]}(x), \quad g(x) = -\sqrt{x}, \quad h(x) := \begin{cases} x \log x & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ +\infty & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

- (a) (2 points) Explain why  $f, g$  and  $h$  are proper, lsc, convex functions.
  - (b) (3 points) Give expressions for the subdifferentials of  $f, g$  and  $h$ .
4. (3 points) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a convex function. Show that the following three assertions are equivalent.
- (a)  $f$  has a local minimum at  $\bar{x}$
  - (b)  $f$  has a global minimum at  $\bar{x}$ .
  - (c)  $0 \in \partial f(\bar{x})$ .
5. (1 point) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ , let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and set  $h(x) := f(x) + \langle v, x \rangle$ . Show that  $\partial h(x) = \partial f(x) + v$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
6. Given a set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , its *normal cone* at a point  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by

$$N_C(\bar{x}) := \begin{cases} \partial \iota_C(\bar{x}) & \text{if } \bar{x} \in C, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (a) (1 point) Show that  $\phi \in \partial f(\bar{x})$  if and only if  $(\phi, -1) \in N_{\text{epi } f}(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x}))$ .
- (b) (1 point) Compute the normal cone to the singleton set  $\{\bar{x}\}$  at  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (c) (1 point) Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\bar{x} \in \text{int } C$ . Compute the normal cone of  $C$  at  $\bar{x}$ .
- (d) (1 point) Compute the normal cone to the set

$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|x - (1, 0)\| \leq 1\}$$

at the point  $(0, 0)$ .

- (e) (2 points) By considering the set  $C$  from (d) and its translate

$$D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|x + (1, 0)\| \leq 1\},$$

give an example of two convex functions  $f, g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  and a point  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$\partial(f + g)(\bar{x}) \neq \partial f(\bar{x}) + \partial g(\bar{x}).$$

You must fully justify your answer.

- (f) (1 point) Draw a picture to illustrate your example from (e).