

Convex Optimisation

Matthew Tam
(University of Melbourne)
`matthew.tam@unimelb.edu.au`

ACE Network

S1 2021

* Assignment 3 online :

`matthewktam.github.io/cvxopt`

Due: May 13th @ 5pm

Key results

• Prop 2.2.3(a)

Suppose $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable (U open + convex).

Then:

$$f(x) \geq f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle$$

$$\forall x, y \in U$$

• Descent lemma

Suppose $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable with L -Lipschitz gradient.

Then

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|^2$$

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

3.1 Frank-Wolf Algorithm

Consider the minimisation problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \text{ subject to } x \in C. \quad (3.1)$$

where:

- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, differentiable with L -Lipschitz gradient
- C is nonempty, convex, compact

The idea of FW algorithm is to solve a sequence of easier problems by replacing f (potentially nonlinear) in (3.1) with its linearisations.

Given the current iterate x_k , we can approximate f at s using its linearisation at x_k :

$$f(s) \approx f(x_k) + \underbrace{\langle \nabla f(x_k), s - x_k \rangle}_{\text{linearise at } x_k \text{ eval. at } s}$$

$$= f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), s \rangle - \langle \nabla f(x_k), x_k \rangle$$

Substituting this into (3.1) gives

$$\min_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle \nabla f(x_k), s \rangle \text{ s.t. } s \in C.$$

Lemma 3.1.1 (extreme value theorem)

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty, compact and let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then f attains its maximum and minimum on C . In other words, $\exists x, y \in C$

such that $f(x) = \inf_{z \in C} f(z)$

and that $f(y) = \sup_{z \in C} f(z).$

Pf.

It suffices to show that f attains its minimum (for max replace f with $-f$).

To this end, consider a sequence

$(x_n) \subseteq C$ such that $f(x_n) \rightarrow \inf_{z \in C} f(z)$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since C is compact,

the Bolzano-Weierstraß theorem yields the existence of a subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in C$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, since f is continuous, we have

$$\inf_{z \in C} f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_k}) = f\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}\right) = f(x),$$

.. .

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.1.2 (Frank-Wolfe algorithm)

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty, compact, convex set, and let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex and differentiable with L -Lipschitz gradient.

Given an initial point $x_0 \in C$, set

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} s_k = \underset{s \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}} \langle \nabla f(x_k), s \rangle \\ \end{array} \right. \quad (3.2)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{k+1} = (1 - \gamma_k) x_k + \gamma_k s_k \\ x_{k+1} - x_k = \gamma_k (s_k - x_k) \\ \text{where } \gamma_k = \frac{2}{k+2} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right. \quad \text{as } k \xrightarrow{\infty} \infty \quad (3.3)$$

Then

$$f(x_k) - \min_{\bar{x} \in C} f(\bar{x}) \leq \frac{2LD^2}{k+2} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $D := \sup_{x, y \in C} \|x - y\| < \infty$ denotes the

diameter of the set C .

$$(3.3) \Rightarrow f(x_n) \rightarrow \min_{\bar{x} \in C} f(\bar{x})$$

Pf.

First, we show that $(x_n) \subseteq C$.

By assumption, $x_0 \in C$. Next, assume

$x_n \in C$. Then, since $S_n \in C$, convexity of C implies

$$x_{n+1} = (1-\gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_n S_n \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} \stackrel{(P_1)}{\in} \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow}$$

Hence, by mathematical induction, it follows that $(x_n) \subseteq C$.

Next, we show that D is finite. Since C is compact, it is bounded. That is, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq M$ for all $x \in C$. Thus, for all $x, y \in C$,

the triangle inequality implies

$$\|x-y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \leq 2M$$

By taking the supremum of both sides over $x, y \in C$, we deduce

$$D = \sup_{x, y \in C} \|x-y\| \leq \sup_{x, y \in C} (2M) = 2M < +\infty.$$

Let \bar{x} be a solution of (3.1). This always exists, by Lemma 3.1.1, since f is continuous and C is compact.

By the descent lemma,

$$f(x_{n+1})$$

$$\leq f(x_n) + \underbrace{\langle \nabla f(x_n), x_{n+1} - x_n \rangle}_{= \gamma_n (s_n - x_n)} + \frac{L}{2} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2$$

$$= f(x_n) + \gamma_n \underbrace{\langle \nabla f(x_n), s_n - x_n \rangle}_{\text{boxed}} + \frac{L \gamma_n^2}{2} \|s_n - x_n\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= f(x_n) + \gamma_n \min_{\mathbf{s} \in C} \langle \nabla f(x_n), \mathbf{s} - x_n \rangle + \frac{L\gamma_n^2}{2} \|\mathbf{s}_n - x_n\|^2 \\
 &\leq f(x_n) + \gamma_n \underbrace{\langle \nabla f(x_n), \bar{x} - x_n \rangle}_{\text{Prop. 2.2.3(a)}} + \frac{L\gamma_n^2}{2} D^2 \\
 &\leq f(x_n) + \gamma_n (f(\bar{x}) - f(x_n)) + \frac{L\gamma_n^2}{2} D^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus altogether we have.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &f(x_{n+1}) - f(\bar{x}) \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_n) (f(x_n) - f(\bar{x})) + \frac{L\gamma_n^2}{2} D^2
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{*}$$

We now proceed to show (3.3) by induction. First note, $\gamma_0 = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{\gamma_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus setting $k=0$ in (*) gives.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_1) - f(\bar{x}) &\leq 0 \cdot (f(x_0) - f(\bar{x})) + \frac{LD^2}{2} \\
 &= \frac{LD^2}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. Next suppose (3.3) holds

for index k . Then, \textcircled{K} gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(x_{k+1}) - f(\bar{x}) \\
 & \leq (1-\gamma_w) \left(f(x_k) - f(\bar{x}) \right) + \frac{\gamma_w^2}{2} D \\
 & \leq (1-\gamma_w) \left(\frac{2LD^2}{k+2} \right) + \frac{\gamma_w^2 LD^2}{2} \\
 & \leq \frac{2LD^2}{k+3} \cdot \left(\frac{(1-\gamma_w)(k+3)}{k+2} + \frac{\gamma_w^2(k+3)}{4} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we just need to show that the orange term is ≤ 1 . To this end, we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \textcircled{K} = \frac{k}{k+2} \cdot \frac{(k+3)}{(k+2)} + \frac{(k+3)}{(k+2)^2} \\
 & = \frac{(k+3)(k+1)}{(k+2)^2} \\
 & = \frac{k^2 + 4k + 3}{k^2 + 4k + 4} \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$f(x_{n+1}) - f(\bar{x}) \leq \frac{2LD^2}{n+3}$$

The result then follows by induction. \square

Remark 3.1.7

Suppose the constraint set C consists of linear equality and inequality constraints. By rewriting equality constraint as a pair of inequality constraints, this expressed as

$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq b\}.$$

Then the subproblem in the Frank-Wolfe algorithm becomes

$$\min_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle \nabla f(x_w), s \rangle$$

$$\text{st } As \leq b.$$

which is a linear programme (LP).

Thus, the Frank-Wolfe method allows one to solve a linearly constrained nonlinear minimisation problem using only a linear optimisation solver. (e.g. simplex).