Responses to Rough Draft Comments

Matthew Nay

May 16th, 2023

TA Comments

Comment 1: 'font too small!'
Response: I updated the font to be larger and easier to read.
Comment 2: 'than what'
Response: I added to the abstract to clearly define that this data represents player performance better than pervious studies into the same question.
Comment 3: 'Mention foreign player players finding too!'
Response: Mentioning in the abstract that foreign players also receive lower pay than non-international players.
Comment 4: 'Start with a little more background, motivation, maybe history...'
Response: I write a literature review to tackle this comment.
Comment 5: 'put this in a small table'
Response: I just kept the numbers in a sentence to make it simple.
Comment 6: 'mention specific papers and discuss'
Response: This comment is also addressed by the lit review.
Comment 7: relationship between google cloud and baseball savant
Response: Added citations and reworded to make clearer for readers.
Comment 8: 'reword for clarity'
Response: I rewrote the sentence.
Comment 9: Missuse of 'robustness'
Response: rewrote based on professor's suggestion
Comment 10: use italics on table for variables
Response: changed the table to include this fix and whenever I mention a variable throughout the paper
Comment 11: Variable summary stats table errors
Response: fixed errors accordingly
Comment 12: Various grammar errors
Response: Fixed throughout paper when highlighted.
Comment 13: problems with tables and equations
Response: Put the extra tables and equations in the appenxid to allow the paper to be read smoother
Comment 14: 'comment on magnitudes'
Response: I wrote in my results section, commenting on the magnitude while giving a reason for why the results came out the way they did.