Von Neumann Theory of Measurement
Measurement out comps $\{\alpha_3^{\alpha}, \xi_1^{\alpha}\}$
One dimensional Projection operators $\{\hat{P}_{\alpha} = \alpha\rangle\langle\alpha \} \neq 0$ Orthonormal basis in Hilbert space $\langle\alpha \alpha'\rangle = S_{\alpha\alpha'}$ $\{\hat{P}_{\alpha}, \hat{P}_{\alpha'} = \hat{P}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha'} = \hat{P}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha'} = \hat{P}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha'} = \hat{P}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha'} = \hat{P}_{\alpha}$ "Complete" $\{\hat{P}_{\alpha}, \hat{P}_{\alpha'} = \hat{P}_{\alpha'}\}_{\alpha'} = \hat{P}_{\alpha'}\}_{\alpha'} = \hat{P}_{\alpha'}$
Born rule: Probabity of sutcome &
Pure state 14): $\frac{p_a = \langle x 4\rangle ^2 = \langle 4 x\rangle\langle x 4\rangle = \langle 4 \hat{P}_x 4\rangle = \langle \hat{P}_x\rangle$ Mixed state: $P_x = \langle x \hat{\rho} x\rangle = Tr(\hat{\rho} x\rangle\langle x) = Tr(\hat{\rho} \hat{P}_x) = \langle \hat{P}_x\rangle$
Post - Measurement State: "Quantum Bayes' Rule"
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{ \psi\rangle _{a}}{ \varphi\rangle _{a}} = \frac{ \widehat{P}_{a} \psi\rangle}{ \varphi\rangle _{a}} = \frac{ \varphi\rangle _{a}}{ \varphi\rangle _{a}$
= la)(al4) = cit(a)
$= \frac{ a\rangle\langle a 4\rangle}{\int Pa} = \frac{ a\rangle\langle a 4\rangle}{\int a 4\rangle}$ $= \frac{ a\rangle\langle a 4\rangle}{\int a 4\rangle} = \frac{ a a\rangle\langle a 4\rangle}{\int a 4\rangle} = \frac{ a a\rangle\langle a 4\rangle}{\int a 4\rangle\langle a 4\rangle} = \frac{ a a\rangle\langle a 4\rangle\langle a 4\rangle}{\int a 4\rangle\langle a 4\rangle\langle a 4\rangle} = a a\rangle\langle a 4\rangle\langle $
Bipartite: 74AB = MAB AB.
Born rule: $p_a = \langle f_{AB} \hat{P}_a^{AB} \hat{T}_{AB} \rangle$, $Q. Bayes: \hat{T}_{AB}\rangle _a = \hat{P}_a^{AB} \hat{T}_{AB} \rangle$
Partial Measurement on only one subsystem $\hat{P}_{B}^{AB} = \hat{I}^{A} \otimes \hat{P}_{B}^{B} = \hat{I}^{A} \otimes \hat{P}_{B}^{B}$
$ \begin{array}{lll} \boxed{14} & = 2 & \text{Cap} \times $
$P_{\beta} = \langle \bar{\Psi}_{AB} \hat{P}_{AB}^{AB} \bar{\Psi}_{AB} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{ \beta} \hat{\Psi} \rangle_{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha} \zeta_{\alpha\beta} ^{2}$

Von Neamann Measurement
Hefer intialized at $1x=0$: Wave parket with zero width (eigenstate of \hat{x})
make at lar-na . Dieti) Ha a
mehr at $1x = ka$: Distinguishable for and a Measure ment backaction on system is
Measure ment backaction on system is
a projection onto eigenstate of a
Suppose this is not the case. Suppose the meter is prepared in a Gaussian wave purket with Linite width to
No longer distinguishable for arbitrary or
- Measurement backaction on system will not be projection
onto a eigenstate la). Nonprojective measurement.
Generalzed Measurement Model Fiducial State
Generalzed Measurement Model Fiducial state
Entangling [[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []
Entangling [10] Entangling [10] Undaway Usm [14] System [14] System [14] System [14] System [14] Fiducial Shate Fiduc
Usm (System) 12)
Observed per forms projective measurement of meter { u } 3 + Basis
$\hat{D}^{M}(\bar{\mathcal{T}}) = \mathcal{T}_{D}(\mathcal{T}) \mathcal{T}_{D}(\mathcal{T})$
Pullsmon 143 8 /ullsmon 143 8 /ullsm
Mu & Kraus operato
Pm (7) = Mu 14/3 & /u/2 2

Born rule $p_n = \langle \vec{\mathcal{I}}_{sm} \hat{P}_m^{M} \vec{\mathcal{I}}_{sm} \rangle = \langle \vec{\mathcal{I}}_{s} \hat{M}_n \hat{M}_n \vec{\mathcal{I}}_{s} \rangle$
Duchum Bayes' Pule: 12 sm/m = Pm 12sm = Mu 14s) @ Mus The Span
Generalzed Measurement on System: Outcomes Eli3 -> Kraus operator Mu
Measurement Backartien: (145) = Mu (4) 4 (01) sm / m
Born rule Pu = (4) Eu (4s), where (Eu = MH My)
Mixed States $\hat{p}_{\mu} = \frac{\hat{M}_{\mu} \hat{p} \hat{M}_{\mu}}{p_{\mu}}$ POVM elements
Said another way, through inderaction the system and meter become
endenglad 12/sm) = Usm 12/30/0) = ZM 12/30 WM
Observing the moter in lum, act Mes on 14/s. But if meter not initially in eigenstate of observable, Mes is not a
if meter not initially in eigenstate of observable, My is not a
projection operator.
Aside: A generalized measurment in quantum mechanics
is one in which we can assign probability to measurement
outcomes Eu3 - Ep,3. We can generally do this via the
generally Born rule. Given state (2) for each (ii) assign on operator (Eu) such that (Pu) = (\hat{E}_{u}) = Tr(\hat{\hat{E}}_{u})
Pu ≥ 0 \Rightarrow Eu is a "positive operator" \Rightarrow
Normalyation (Zi Pu = 1) => (Zi Eu = I) Resolution of identity
DOVM (Positive operator - Valued Measure)

_

Projective: $\hat{H}_n = \hat{P}_n$, $\hat{H}_n = \hat{P}_n$ $\hat{E}_n = \hat{I}$
Note: A POVM describes the most general measurements
in quantum mechanico. Given a POVM, we can debermine
the probability of measurement outcomes. However, the POM
docst not give us the Quantum Bayes rule. For that
we need a measurement model and the corresponding
Kraus operators
Kraus operators Then Eu = Mu Mu, Pu Pu
CP-Map Recall a CP-Map follows from a "masurement model"
when we through away the measurement result?
$\hat{\rho} _{out} = \mathcal{A}[\hat{\rho}] = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{Ph}[\hat{\rho} _{u}] = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{h}[\hat{\eta}_{h}[\hat{\eta}_{h}]] = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{h}[\hat{\eta}_{h$
This is the Kraus (operator sum) representation of the O-map.
Thus, we can think about the CP-map as the "environment
measures" the system a doesn't tell us the result. Because
we don't know the result, we must take the Statistical
mixture of all the states Conditioned on the measurement
outcomes on, weighted by the the probability that that
out come occared Pu = (MuMu).
This gives us a numerical method to simulate the CP map.
Given the probability distribution Pu, we can use "Monke Carlo"
to pick a random. Gum that outcome u occurs, the
State is updated On= Mup Hur/Pu. We run multiple times
and average the results. This should converge to the CP-map

Quantum- Montecarto Wave Landias

Of course, the enivornment does not actually measure the system in any definite basis. The system and the environment are entengled. This is related to the fact that the Kraus decomposition of the CP-map is not unique. $A[\hat{\rho}] = \left[\sum_{n} \hat{M}_{n} \hat{\rho} \hat{H}_{n}^{\dagger} = \sum_{n} \hat{N}_{n} \hat{\rho} \hat{N}_{n}^{\dagger}\right] = \text{Tr}_{n} \left(\frac{n!}{n!} \langle \hat{\Psi} | \right)$ $N_{r} = \sum_{n} u_{nn} \hat{M}_{n} \quad \text{isometry}$

The environment can have a different record of the system in a different basis, with different backaction. Thus, we can simulate the CP with a different measurement model $P_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle \hat{N}_{\mathcal{V}}, \hat{N}_{\mathcal{V}} \rangle$, $\left(\hat{C}_{\mathcal{V}} = \hat{N}_{\mathcal{V}} \hat{P}_{\mathcal{V}} \hat{N}_{\mathcal{V}} \right)$. While the individual measurement records a different, the statistical average is the same. This is known as different unrayclings of the CP-map

	Last Time: General theory of measurment
	Quembern Meter 5/13
	Interaction: Usu ("me a suns" meter outrance & 13
	Quantum System Quantum System Quantum System S Pu Quantum System S Pu Pu
	1 (1) = (1) (1) = (1) (1) = (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
	$\hat{M}_{\mu} = \langle \mu_{\mu} \hat{U}_{sm} O_{m} \rangle$ Kraus operator (system op.)
€	Probabity of finding meter in state u: (Born Rule)
	$P_{u} = \langle \Psi_{s} M_{u} \Psi_{s} \rangle = \langle E_{u} \rangle, E_{u} = M_{u} M_{u} \geq 0, Z_{u} = 1$
	POVM
(Post Megsurement State: Quantum Brycs Rule
	$1251 - \hat{H}.125$ $\hat{H}.125$
	$ \psi_s\rangle _{\mu} = \frac{\hat{H}_{\mu} \psi_s}{ \hat{H}_{\mu} \psi_s\rangle } = \frac{\hat{H}_{\mu} \psi_s\rangle}{ \nabla \hat{H}_{\mu} \psi_s\rangle }$
	111/1/15/11 01/20 11/4/15/11 01/20
	Mixed state of system Pan = Tr(Enp), S/n = Mnph
	What is actually being neasured about this system: Observable?
	$-i \mathcal{V} \hat{A} \otimes \hat{P} \qquad (1 - \lambda) \otimes \mathcal{X}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{2} \otimes$
	Measurement model: $\hat{U}_{SM} = e^{-i\chi}\hat{A}_{S}\otimes\hat{P}_{M}$, $\langle\chi \hat{O}_{M}\rangle = e^{-\chi}\hat{A}_{S}\otimes\hat{P}_{M}$, $\chi \hat{O}_{M}\rangle = e^{-\chi}\hat{A}_{S}\otimes\hat{P}_{M}$
	A observable on System Pm = Meter, Gausian wave perset,
	5 menten width

Observer measures position of meder needle: 21xm>3
$\hat{M}_{x_m} = \langle X_m e^{-i\chi \hat{A} \otimes \hat{P}_m} O_m \rangle = \sum_{m} \langle X_m e^{-i\chi \hat{A} \otimes \hat{P}_m} O_m \rangle a \chi_a \rangle$
$= \sum_{\alpha} \langle x_{m} - \chi_{\alpha} O_{m} \rangle a \rangle_{s} \langle a = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^{2})^{2}} \langle e^{(\frac{X_{m} - \chi_{\alpha}}{4\sigma^{2}})^{2}} a \rangle_{s} \langle a $
$\int dX_n M_{X_n} M_{X_n} = 1$
Let $a_{m} = \frac{\sigma_{m}}{\chi}$, $\hat{M}_{a_{m}} = \hat{M}_{x_{m}}$ $\Rightarrow \int da_{m} \hat{M}_{a_{m}}^{\dagger} \hat{M}_{a_{m}} = \hat{1}$
$\hat{M}_{am} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_{m}^{2})^{V_{a}}} e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha - a_{m}}{4\sigma_{m}^{2}}\right) \alpha\rangle\langle\alpha }, \sigma_{m} = \frac{\sigma}{\chi}$ $M_{am} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_{m}^{2})^{V_{a}}} e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha - a_{m}}{4\sigma_{m}^{2}}\right) \alpha\rangle\langle\alpha }, \sigma_{m} = \frac{\sigma}{\chi}$ $M_{am} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_{m}^{2})^{V_{a}}} e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha - a_{m}}{4\sigma_{m}^{2}}\right) \alpha\rangle\langle\alpha }, \sigma_{m} = \frac{\sigma}{\chi}$ $M_{am} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_{m}^{2})^{V_{a}}} e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha - a_{m}}{4\sigma_{m}^{2}}\right) \alpha\rangle\langle\alpha }, \sigma_{m} = \frac{\sigma}{\chi}$ $M_{am} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_{m}^{2})^{V_{a}}} e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha - a_{m}}{4\sigma_{m}^{2}}\right) \alpha\rangle\langle\alpha }, \sigma_{m} = \frac{\sigma}{\chi}$
Limit on to end of the asurement? Projective Me asurement?
Limit on > 2 Man = I, no backaction: "Weak measurement"
Suppose $ \psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \alpha\rangle$ $ \alpha ^2 = P_{\alpha}$
Suppose $ \psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \alpha \alpha\rangle$, $ \alpha ^2 = P_{\alpha}$ $P_{\alpha}(0)$ $P_{\alpha}($
Our ability do project into an eigenstate of A depends on the ability of the measuring apparatus to distinguish different
degrees of freedom vill limit resolution.
U I

$$\begin{array}{lll} & (P \text{ Map and Measurement}) \\ & (P \text{ Map and Map a$$

Environment could preform different measurement, but since we do not know the measurement outcome, our state assignment must be the source, independent of this measurement. CP map some once we average over measurement outcomes with the proper probability

= Nonuniques of Kraus rep:
$$\alpha[p] = \sum \hat{M}_{\mu} \hat{p} \hat{M}_{\mu}^{\dagger} = \sum \hat{N}_{\nu} \hat{p} \hat{N}_{\nu}$$

$$\hat{N}_{\nu} = \sum_{\mu} \nu_{\nu\mu} \hat{M}_{\mu} \quad (\text{sometry})$$

Monte-Carlo Simulations. CP Map on 14)

Pick random number according to Pn = <24/Mu Au 14)

[4) u = Mu 14) IPM

Repeat Notines
$$\beta = lem + 2 (4i) > (4u) + 2 Pu 14u / 4u$$

$$=) \frac{P(t+dt)}{\|e^{-iH_{e}(t+dt)}\|_{2}^{2}} \frac{P(t)}{\|e^{-iH_{e}(t+dt)}\|_{2}^{2}}$$

P(+) =
$$||e^{-iH_{eff}t}||^2$$

Eg.
$$|4/0\rangle = C_{g}|g\rangle + C_{e}|c\rangle$$

$$P(t) = |C_{g}|g\rangle + e^{-i\omega t} - \frac{\Gamma t}{2} C_{e}|e\rangle|^{2}$$

$$= |C_{g}|^{2} + e^{-\Gamma t} |C_{e}|^{2}$$

Without updating state, conditioned on the null result,

Prob to jump in any interval de = Tdt 162 -> Would always eventually jusp!