Practical machine learning project

MRIOTH

December 27, 2015

Loading packages:

```
library(e1071)
library(caret)
```

```
## Warning: package 'caret' was built under R version 3.2.2
```

```
## Loading required package: lattice
## Loading required package: ggplot2
```

```
library(ranger)
```

```
## Warning: package 'ranger' was built under R version 3.2.2
```

Data cleaning:

```
db <- as.data.frame(lapply(dat, function(x){
    x <- replace(x, x %in% c("n", "N", "","#DIV/0!"), NA)
    x <- as.factor(x)})) #normalizing missing or blank values
dat2 <- as.data.frame(lapply(db, as.numeric))#converting levels to numeric
dat2<- dat2[sample(nrow(dat2)),]#randomizing rows</pre>
```

Assessing correlated variables:

```
M<-abs(cor(dat2[,-159]))
diag(M)<-0
which(M>0.9,arr.ind=T)
```

```
##
                   row col
## accel_belt_z
                    41
                         7
## accel belt x
                    39
                         8
## accel_belt_y
                    40 10
## accel_belt_z
                    41 10
## pitch belt
                     8 39
## magnet_belt_x
                    42 39
## total_accel_belt 10 40
## accel_belt_z
                    41 40
## roll belt
                     7 41
## total accel belt 10 41
## accel_belt_y
                    40 41
## accel_belt_x
                    39 42
## gyros_arm_y
                    60 59
## gyros_arm_x
                    59 60
```

Removing variables with less than 3% unique information:

```
dat3<-dat2[,(colSums(is.na(dat2))/nrow(dat2)) < 0.97]</pre>
```

Splitting data into 75% training 25% test sets:

```
inTrain<-createDataPartition(dat3$classe, p = 0.75)[[1]]
tra = dat3[ inTrain,]
test = dat3[-inTrain,]</pre>
```

Preprocessing training set with principle componenet analysis:

```
preProc<- preProcess(log10(tra[,-59]+1), method="pca")
trainPC<-predict(preProc, log10(tra[,-59]+1))</pre>
```

Building linear descriminant analysis model (LDA):

```
tra$classe<-as.factor(tra$classe)
modelf<-train(tra$classe~., method="lda", data=trainPC)</pre>
```

```
## Loading required package: MASS
```

```
testf<- predict(preProc, log10(test[,-59]+1))</pre>
```

LDA Accuracy using test data for out of sample error:

```
confusionMatrix(test$classe, predict(modelf,testf))
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
            Reference
## Prediction
               1
                   2 3
                              5
           1 968 128 152 119
##
                             26
           2 151 530 154 81
##
                             31
           3 105 82 575 79
##
                             18
##
           4 39 82 132 483 68
           5 20 199 133 129 420
##
##
## Overall Statistics
##
                 Accuracy : 0.6069
##
##
                   95% CI: (0.593, 0.6206)
      No Information Rate: 0.2616
##
      P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
##
                    Kappa: 0.5046
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : < 2.2e-16
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                      Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
                                 0.5191
## Sensitivity
                         0.7545
                                          0.5017 0.54209 0.74600
## Specificity
                         0.8826
                                 0.8926
                                          0.9244 0.92001 0.88920
## Pos Pred Value
                         0.6949 0.5597 0.6694 0.60075 0.46615
## Neg Pred Value
                        0.9103
                                0.8759 0.8588 0.90049 0.96428
## Prevalence
                                0.2082 0.2337 0.18169 0.11480
                         0.2616
                         0.1974 0.1081 0.1173 0.09849 0.08564
## Detection Rate
## Detection Prevalence
                        0.2841
                                0.1931
                                         0.1752 0.16395 0.18373
## Balanced Accuracy
                         0.8186
                                 0.7059
                                          0.7131 0.73105 0.81760
```

The out of sample error for LDA is 48.4%, pretty high. So we will need to try a different model.

Building a random forrest model (via Ranger):

```
rf<-ranger(classe~., tra, num.trees = 500, write.forest = TRUE, classification=TRUE)

## Growing trees.. Progress: 72%. Estimated remaining time: 11 seconds.</pre>
```

```
prd<- predict(rf, dat=test)
confusionMatrix(prd$predictions, as.factor(test$classe))</pre>
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
            Reference
## Prediction
                1
                     2
                          3
                                    5
                               4
           1 1393
                     0
                                    0
##
                               0
                0 947
##
           2
                          1
                               0
                                    0
           3
                0
                     0 856
                               0
                                    0
##
##
           4
                0
                     0
                          2 804
                                    2
           5
                0
                     0
                          0
                               0 899
##
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                 Accuracy: 0.999
##
                   95% CI: (0.9976, 0.9997)
      No Information Rate: 0.2841
##
      P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
##
                    Kappa: 0.9987
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                       Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
## Sensitivity
                                           0.9965
                                                            0.9978
                         1.0000
                                  1.0000
                                                   1.0000
## Specificity
                         1.0000
                                  0.9997
                                          1.0000
                                                   0.9990
                                                            1.0000
## Pos Pred Value
                         1.0000
                                  0.9989
                                          1.0000
                                                   0.9950
                                                            1.0000
## Neg Pred Value
                         1.0000
                                 1.0000 0.9993 1.0000
                                                            0.9995
## Prevalence
                                  0.1931
                         0.2841
                                          0.1752
                                                   0.1639
                                                            0.1837
## Detection Rate
                         0.2841
                                          0.1746
                                                   0.1639
                                  0.1931
                                                            0.1833
                                                            0.1833
## Detection Prevalence
                         0.2841
                                  0.1933
                                          0.1746
                                                   0.1648
## Balanced Accuracy
                         1.0000
                                  0.9999
                                           0.9983
                                                   0.9995
                                                            0.9989
```

Using the cross-validated testing set, the out of sample error for random forrest is less than **0.001-much better** So we will apply this to the project's prediction set.

repeating the data cleaning for the 20-item prediction set:

Predicting the value using the random forrest classifier:

```
predict(rf, dat=fin)
```

```
## Ranger prediction
##
## Type: Classification
## Sample size: 20
## Number of independent variables: 58
```