**Section: Professional Practices (Advocate: Mike Watkins)**

**Demonstrate, using different communication styles and formats, that you can effectively design and deliver a training event for a given target audience.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: <https://github.com/matthewsides/ZSL-Green-House-Menace/blob/master/README.md> |
| The evidence for the above criteria may be found under the heading “project backlog” and In the Gant file as it shows the timings as to when certain meetings took place, where we communicated with a client through speech, presentation, also opting to show demos of a design effectively delivering for the event meeting targets set by the client in other meeting taken place which are referenced in the Gant file and backlog and may also be used for evidence in the following criteria. Photographic images were also taken during the event and therefore may also be used for evidence though none are on hand, tutors have the evidence. |

**Demonstrate that you have used effective time management skills in planning an event.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: <https://github.com/matthewsides/ZSL-Green-House-Menace/blob/master/README.md> |
| This is evidenced in the GitHub repository “ZSL-Green-House-Menace” in the gant chart and under the heading “project backlog” and in the README.md. This evidence is applicable as it shows proof of effectively separating tasks within a group and the timings each tasks took, whilst the planned times/dates for the events are marked down within the Gant chart file. |

**Demonstrate the use of different problem-solving techniques in the design and delivery of an event.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: <https://github.com/matthewsides/ZSL-Green-House-Menace> |
| The evidence can be found in the repository “ZSL-Green-House-Menace” in the README.md on GitHub. This constitutes as evidence as the documentation shows how the problem brought about by a client was solved in the design and delivery using different techniques, which in this instance was the creation of an app based around greenhouse gases (had to figure out how it was going to be developed and also how to deliver it in a way the client would understand). |

**Demonstrate that critical reasoning has been applied to a given solution.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: <https://github.com/matthewsides/ZSL-Green-House-Menace> |
| The use of critical reasoning was first applied to essentially help develop a solution to the problems brought about by the client and later used to rectify the first draft (prototype/design) as it was not up to (clients) standard (only partially met) and therefore it was required that the clients brief pertaining to what they would like was re-analysed with a second draft, design and working demo attained through using critical reasoning and applying it to a given solution, finally developing it up to standard (fixing the clients problems). A file containing a brief explanation of the first design is linked into the repository “ZSL-Green-House-Menace” (another file with conceptual art for the first design is also linked), which was the handout used during the first meeting of the client. Whilst further evidence of displaying critical reasoning to a given solution can be found on the README.md of the repository as it states what the client wanted and demonstrates are solution which is the design itself showing the critical reasoning within the design. |

**Discuss the importance of team dynamics in the success and/or failure of group work.**

|  |
| --- |
| Please use this section to provide all appropriate, valid and checked http Links that point to your evidence; use multiple lines to separate multiple links |
| Please provide a short (between 3 to 8 well considered, fully proofread and reflected sentences) explanation that justifies why the evidence/links you have provided is suitable as evidence of this requirement |

**Work within a team to achieve a defined goal.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: <https://github.com/matthewsides/ZSL-Green-House-Menace> |
| The evidence for working within a team to achieve a defined goal can be found within the “ZSL-Green-House-Menace” repository on GitHub, with the README.md documentation consistently referencing the group that was formed to develop and achieve the goal of creating a conceptual idea and working application suitable for a specific client’s needs (ZSL). |

**Discuss the importance of CPD and its contribution to own learning.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: https://github.com/matthewsides/The-importance-of-CPD-and-its-contribution-to-improved-professionalism-and-own-learning  <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/importance-cpd-its-contribution-improved-own-learning-matthew-sides/?published=t> |
| The README.md and Article constitutes as evidence since it shows the development of an article based on the importance of CPD and its contribution to own learning and the finished result. |

**Produce a development plan that outlines responsibilities, performance objectives and required skills, knowledge and learning for own future goals.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: <https://github.com/matthewsides/ZSL-Green-House-Menace> |
| Please provide a short (between 3 to 8 well considered, fully proofread and reflected sentences) explanation that justifies why the evidence/links you have provided is suitable as evidence of this requirement |

**Design a professional schedule to support the planning of an event, to include contingencies and justifications of time allocated.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: https://github.com/matthewsides/ZSL-Green-House-Menace |
| This is applicable to the above criteria as the README.md documentation under the "backlog" heading and Gantt chart shows proof of a professional schedule, supporting the planning of an event |

**Research the use of different problem-solving techniques used in the design and delivery of an event.**

|  |
| --- |
| Please use this section to provide all appropriate, valid and checked http Links that point to your evidence; use multiple lines to separate multiple links |
| Please provide a short (between 3 to 8 well considered, fully proofread and reflected sentences) explanation that justifies why the evidence/links you have provided is suitable as evidence of this requirement |

**Justify the use and application of a range of solution methodologies.**

|  |
| --- |
| Please use this section to provide all appropriate, valid and checked http Links that point to your evidence; use multiple lines to separate multiple links |
| Please provide a short (between 3 to 8 well considered, fully proofread and reflected sentences) explanation that justifies why the evidence/links you have provided is suitable as evidence of this requirement |

**Analyse team dynamics, in terms of the roles group members play in a team and the effectiveness in terms of achieving shared goals.**

|  |
| --- |
| Please use this section to provide all appropriate, valid and checked http Links that point to your evidence; use multiple lines to separate multiple links |
| Please provide a short (between 3 to 8 well considered, fully proofread and reflected sentences) explanation that justifies why the evidence/links you have provided is suitable as evidence of this requirement |

**Compare and contrast different motivational theories and the impact they can have on performance within the workplace.**

|  |
| --- |
| Please use this section to provide all appropriate, valid and checked http Links that point to your evidence; use multiple lines to separate multiple links |
| Please provide a short (between 3 to 8 well considered, fully proofread and reflected sentences) explanation that justifies why the evidence/links you have provided is suitable as evidence of this requirement |

**Evaluate the effectiveness and application of interpersonal skills during the design and delivery of a training event.**

|  |
| --- |
| Please use this section to provide all appropriate, valid and checked http Links that point to your evidence; use multiple lines to separate multiple links |
| Please provide a short (between 3 to 8 well considered, fully proofread and reflected sentences) explanation that justifies why the evidence/links you have provided is suitable as evidence of this requirement |

**Critique the process of applying critical reasoning to a given task/activity or event.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: |
| Please provide a short (between 3 to 8 well considered, fully proofread and reflected sentences) explanation that justifies why the evidence/links you have provided is suitable as evidence of this requirement |

**Provide a critical evaluation of your own role and contribution to a group scenario.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: |
|  |

**Evaluate a range of evidence criteria that is used as a measure for effective CPD.**

|  |
| --- |
| Link: |
|  |