# $forecasting\_election 2020$

### Alen Mitrovski, Xiaoyan Yang, Matthew Wankiewicz

November 2nd, 2020

#### Abstract

First sentence. Second sentence. Third sentence. Fourth sentence.

Keywords: Forecasting, US 2020 Election, Trump, Biden;

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Data

### 2.1 Polling

We have used R Core Team [2019] and Wickham et al. [2019] for data analysis Data is from Ruggles et al. [2020] and from Tausanovitch and Vavreck [2020]




Figure 1: Demographics of Sample and Population




Figure 2: More Demographics of Sample and Population

# 3 Model

ohio

****	**1 (OD)**	**************************************	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
**Characteristic**	**log(OR)**	**95% CI**	**p-value**
Sex			
female		0.50.001	0.004
male	-0.44	-0.56, -0.31	< 0.001
age_groups			
18-29			
30-39	-0.54	-0.75, -0.34	< 0.001
40-49	-0.74	-1.0, -0.52	< 0.001
50-59	-0.72	-0.95, -0.50	< 0.001
60-69	-0.62	-0.84, -0.41	< 0.001
70+	-0.62	-0.88, -0.36	< 0.001
race			
american indian or alaska native			
black/african american/negro	2.3	1.7, 2.9	< 0.001
chinese	1.3	0.43, 2.2	0.004
japanese	1.4	0.13, 2.8	0.039
other asian or pacific islander	0.62	-0.03, 1.3	0.063
other race, nec	0.80	0.20, 1.4	0.009
white	0.10	-0.45, 0.67	0.7
stateicp		,	
alabama			
alaska	-0.30	-2.4, 1.5	0.7
arizona	0.26	-0.37, 0.89	0.4
arkansas	-0.50	-1.4, 0.42	0.3
california	0.83	0.29, 1.4	0.003
colorado	0.46	-0.24, 1.2	0.000
connecticut	1.5	0.67, 2.3	<0.001
delaware	1.3	0.18, 2.4	0.024
district of columbia	1.0	-0.10, 2.2	0.024
florida	0.32	-0.10, 2.2	0.000
	0.32	-0.23, 0.89	0.9
georgia hawaii	0.04	-0.59, 0.08	
idaho		· /	0.3
	-0.15	-1.2, 0.86	
illinois	0.65	0.07, 1.2	0.029
indiana	0.39	-0.29, 1.1	0.3
iowa	0.58	-0.22, 1.4	0.2
kansas	-0.19	-1.1, 0.67	0.7
kentucky	0.46	-0.23, 1.2	0.2
louisiana	0.29	-0.45, 1.0	0.4
maine	0.92	-0.16, 2.0	0.10
maryland	0.60	-0.11, 1.3	0.10
massachusetts	1.3	0.64, 2.1	< 0.001
michigan	0.73	0.11, 1.4	0.021
minnesota	0.52	-0.22, 1.3	0.2
mississippi	-0.22	-1.1, 0.69	0.6
missouri	0.53	-0.14, 1.2	0.12
montana	0.50	-0.70, 1.7	0.4
nebraska	0.32	-0.82, 1.4	0.6
nevada	0.05	-0.72, 0.82	0.9
new hampshire	0.75	-0.42, 1.9	0.2
new jersey	0.60	-0.01, 1.2	0.054
new mexico	1.3	0.19, 2.5	0.025
new york 5	0.65	0.10, 1.2	0.021
north carolina	0.40	-0.20, 1.0	0.2
north dakota	-0.49	-3.6, 1.7	0.7
	1	,	

-0.09, 1.1

0.50

0.10

This table was created using the results from our model and the tbl\_regression function from Sjoberg et al. [2020]

#### 4 Results




Figure 3: Proportion of each State Voting for Biden

#### 5 Discussion

#### References

R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2019. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Steven Ruggles, Sarah Flood, Ronald Goeken, Josiah Grover, Erin Meyer, Jose Pacas, and Matthew Sobek. *IPUMS USA: Version 10.0 [dataset]*. Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS, 2020. URL https://doi.org/10.18128/D010.V10.0.

Daniel D. Sjoberg, Michael Curry, Margie Hannum, Karissa Whiting, and Emily C. Zabor. *gtsummary:* Presentation-Ready Data Summary and Analytic Result Tables, 2020. URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gtsummary. R package version 1.3.5.

Chris Tausanovitch and Lynn Vavreck. *Democracy Fund + UCLA Nationscape*. October 10-17, 2019 (version 20200814), 2020. URL https://www.voterstudygroup.org/publication/nationscape-data-set.

Hadley Wickham, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D'Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Grolemund, Alex Hayes, Lionel Henry, Jim Hester, Max Kuhn, Thomas Lin Pedersen,

Evan Miller, Stephan Milton Bache, Kirill Müller, Jeroen Ooms, David Robinson, Dana Paige Seidel, Vitalie Spinu, Kohske Takahashi, Davis Vaughan, Claus Wilke, Kara Woo, and Hiroaki Yutani. Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43):1686, 2019. doi: 10.21105/joss.01686.