

A.D. ALEXANDROV SPACES WITH CURVATURE BOUNDED BELOW EXPLAINED

MENGCHEN ZENG

ABSTRACT. This is an extended version of Burago, Gromov and Perel'man's paper *A.D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below* [BGP92]. We review the proofs in the paper and add the omitted details into our article. We do not intend to contain the original text in our article, however, we will cover the mathematical content of the paper.

1. BASIC NOTIONS IN METRIC GEOMETRY

We start from intrinsic metric spaces. The authors choose the equivalent definition of existence of δ -midpoint, however, we use the more basic definition.

Notation 1.1. Let X be a metric space, the distance between $x, y \in X$ will be denoted by $|xy|$.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a metric space, $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ be a curve. We define the *length* of γ to be

$$L[\gamma] := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{i-1}x_i| : a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n_1} < x_n = b \right\}.$$

If $L[\gamma] < +\infty$, we call γ is *rectifiable*. Denote the set of all the (isomorphism classes under linear reparametrization of) rectifiable curves between x, y by Ω_{xy} .

Definition 1.3. Let X be a metric space. If for any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$|xy| = \inf_{\gamma \in \Omega_{xy}} L[\gamma],$$

then we call X an *intrinsic metric space*.

Proposition 1.4. Let X be a complete metric space, then X is intrinsic if and only if for any $x, y \in X$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists $z \in X$, such that

$$|xz| < \frac{1}{2}|xy| + \delta, \quad |yz| < \frac{1}{2}|xy| + \delta.$$

Proof. The only if part is relatively easy, we only show if part. Fix $\delta > 0$. For x, y , we define a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $L[\gamma] < |xy| + \delta$. By assumption, we can choose $\gamma(1/2)$ with

$$\left| x\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| < \frac{1}{2}|xy| + \frac{1}{2^2}\delta, \quad \left| y\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| < \frac{1}{2}|xy| + \frac{1}{2^2}\delta.$$

Then we choose

$$\begin{aligned} \left| x\gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \right| &< \frac{1}{2} \left| x\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{4^2}\delta, \quad \left| \gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| < \frac{1}{2} \left| x\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{4^2}\delta \\ \left| \gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| &< \frac{1}{2} \left| \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)y \right| + \frac{1}{4^2}\delta, \quad \left| \gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)y \right| < \frac{1}{2} \left| x\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{4^2}\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Date: May 8, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C45, 53C23.

Key words and phrases. Alexandrov spaces, Toponogov's angle comparison theorem.

Define inductively to all dyadic numbers, add $\gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y$. Notice that γ is Lipschitz, hence γ can be defined on whole $[0, 1]$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \left| \gamma\left(\frac{i}{2^n}\right) \gamma\left(\frac{i+1}{2^n}\right) \right| &< \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \left(\left| \gamma\left(\frac{i}{2^{n-1}}\right) \gamma\left(\frac{i+1}{2^{n-1}}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{2^{2n-1}} \delta \right) \\ &< \dots \\ &< |xy| + \delta, \end{aligned}$$

passing to limit, we obtain $L[\gamma] < |xy| + \delta$. \square

Definition 1.5. A *geodesic* is a curve whose length is equal to the distance between its ends. An intrinsic metric space is called *geodesic* if any two points can be joined with a geodesic.

Notation 1.6. We use $[xy]$ to denote a geodesic between x and y . There may be several geodesics between x and y , and if we use this notation, we mean we specified a particular geodesic.

Proposition 1.7. Let X be a complete metric space, then X is geodesic if and only if for any $x, y \in X$, there exists $z \in X$, such that

$$|xz| = |yz| = \frac{1}{2}|xy|.$$

Proof. We also only show if part, and this can be achieved by taking $\delta = 0$ in the proof of Proposition 1.4. \square

We now discuss the Hopf–Rinow theorem. The proof is taken from [AKP24, 2.15].

Definition 1.8. A metric space X is called *proper* if any closed bounded subset of X is compact.

Lemma 1.9. Proper intrinsic metric spaces are geodesic.

Proof. Let X be a proper intrinsic metric space. Consider $1/n$ -midpoints z_n of two points $x, y \in X$. Since they are contained in the ball $B(x, |xy|)$, they are contained in $\overline{B(x, |xy|)}$, which is bounded and closed, hence compact. Therefore $\{z_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence, which converge to the midpoint of x and y , that is, the midpoint of x and y exists. By Proposition 1.7, X is geodesic. \square

Theorem 1.10 (Hopf–Rinow). Locally compact complete intrinsic metric spaces are proper.

Proof. Let X be a locally compact complete intrinsic metric space. For $x \in X$, define $\rho(x)$ to be the supremum of all $R > 0$ such that $\overline{B(x, R)}$ is compact. Since X is locally compact, $\rho(x) > 0$ for any $x \in X$. It's sufficient to show $\rho(x) = +\infty$ for some (and therefore any) x . Suppose not, i.e., $\rho(x) < +\infty$.

First, notice that $B = \overline{B(x, \rho(x))}$ is compact. Indeed, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\overline{B(x, \rho(x) - \varepsilon)}$ is compact, and since X is intrinsic, it is an ε -net of B , hence B is compact.

Second, we claim that $|\rho(x) - \rho(y)| \leq |xy|$, and in particular, ρ is continuous. If this does not hold, we have $\rho(x) + |xy| < \rho(y)$. Then $\overline{B(x, \rho(x) + \varepsilon)}$ is a closed subset of $\overline{B(y, \rho(y))}$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, hence $\overline{B(x, \rho(x) + \varepsilon)}$ is compact. This contradicts to the definition of ρ .

Now let $\varepsilon = \min_{y \in B} \rho(y)$, since B is compact, the minimum can be reached, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose a finite $\varepsilon/10$ -net $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ in B , set

$$W = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{B(a_i, \varepsilon)}.$$

Then W is compact. However, $\overline{B(x, \rho(x) + \varepsilon/10)} \subset W$, this means $\overline{B(x, \rho(x) + \varepsilon/10)}$ is compact. This contradicts to the definition of ρ . Hence we must have $\rho(x) = +\infty$. \square

Corollary 1.11. *Locally compact complete intrinsic metric spaces are geodesic.*

Remark 1.12. After stating Hopf–Rinow theorem in 2.1, [BGP92] claims that the limit of geodesics is still a geodesic. This is not correct. Think about the surface of a solid cylinder, consider the geodesics connecting antipodal points converging to the upper face. They converge to a semicircle, but it is not a geodesic—now the shortest path is a line segment on the upper face.

Definition 1.13. Let X be an intrinsic metric space. A subset $S \subset X$ is called *convex* if any two points $p, q \in S$ can be joined with a geodesic, and the geodesic $[pq] \subset S$.

Remark 1.14. The definition of convexity is ambiguous in [BGP92], so we adopt the usual definition.

Definition 1.15. A *triangle* on an intrinsic metric space X is the collection of three points $p, q, r \in X$ and three geodesics $[qr], [pr], [pq]$, denoted by $\triangle pqr$.

Notation 1.16. Denote S_k^2 the 2-dimensional complete simply-connected Riemannian manifold of curvature k .

Definition 1.17. Let p, q, r be a triple of points in an intrinsic metric space X . Define their *comparison triangle* on S_k^2 , denoted by $\tilde{\triangle}_k pqr$, is the triangle with vertices $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}$ on S_k^2 with $|pq| = |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|$, $|pr| = |\tilde{p}\tilde{r}|$, $|qr| = |\tilde{q}\tilde{r}|$. Comparison triangle always exists up to a rigid motion when $k \leq 0$, and when $k > 0$ we ask the perimeter of $\triangle pqr$ is less than $2\pi/\sqrt{k}$. We denote $\tilde{\angle}_k pqr$ by the angle at vertex \tilde{q} in triangle $\tilde{\triangle}_k pqr$.

2. BASIC CONCEPTS

Definition 2.1. A locally complete space X with intrinsic metric is called *space with curvature $\geq k$* if in some neighborhood U_x of each point $x \in X$ the following condition is satisfied:

(D) for any four distinct points $(a; b, c, d)$ in U_x we have the inequality

$$\tilde{\angle}_k bac + \tilde{\angle}_k bad + \tilde{\angle}_k cad \leq 2\pi.$$

If X is a 1-dimensional manifold and $k > 0$, we require in addition that $\text{diam } X \leq \pi/\sqrt{k}$.

For traditional Toponogov's comparison theorem, we have the following condition.

Theorem 2.2. *A geodesic space X is a space with curvature $\geq k$ if and only if the following condition is satisfied:*

(A) *for any triangle $\triangle pqr$ with vertices in U_x and any point $s \in [qr]$, the inequality $|ps| \geq |\tilde{p}\tilde{s}|$ is satisfied, where \tilde{s} is the point on the side $[\tilde{q}\tilde{r}]$ of the comparison triangle $\tilde{\triangle}_k pqr$ with $|qs| = |\tilde{q}\tilde{s}|$.*

To prove the only if part, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 (Alexandrov). *Let $\tilde{\triangle}_k pqs, \tilde{\triangle}_k prs$ be given on S_k^2 , which are joined to each other in an exterior way along the side $[ps]$. Let there also be given $\tilde{\triangle}_k bcd$, where $|bc| = |pq|$, $|bd| = |pr|$, $|cd| = |qs| + |rs|$, and $|bc| + |bd| + |cd| < 2\pi/\sqrt{k}$ in the case $k > 0$. Then $\tilde{\angle}_k psq + \tilde{\angle}_k prs \leq \pi$ ($\geq \pi$) if and only if $\tilde{\angle}_k pqs \geq \tilde{\angle}_k bcd$ and $\tilde{\angle}_k prs \geq \tilde{\angle}_k bdc$ (respectively $\tilde{\angle}_k pqs \leq \tilde{\angle}_k bcd$ and $\tilde{\angle}_k prs \leq \tilde{\angle}_k bdc$).*

Proof. Observe that in absolute geometry (Euclidean, spherical or non-Euclidean), when two sides are fixed, bigger angle is opposite to bigger side. Using a rigid motion, we can assume $q = c$ and $s \in [cd]$. Then $\tilde{\angle}_k psq + \tilde{\angle}_k prs \leq \pi$ is equivalent to $\tilde{\angle}_k rsd \leq \tilde{\angle}_k psd$. Using observation, this is equivalent to $|pd| \geq |pr| = |bd|$. Using observation again, this is equivalent to $\tilde{\angle}_k pqs \geq \tilde{\angle}_k bcd$. The other inequality holds similarly. \square

Proof of (D) \implies (A). Apply Alexandrov lemma (Lemma 2.3) to comparison triangle $\tilde{\Delta}_k pqs$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_k psr$. By (D), we have $\tilde{\angle}_k psq + \tilde{\angle}_k psr \leq \pi$ (since $\tilde{\angle}_k qsr = \pi$), hence $\tilde{\angle}_k pqs \geq \tilde{\angle}_k pqr$, that is, $|ps| \geq |\tilde{p}\tilde{s}|$. \square

The proof of converse needs more work. We need the notion of angle between two geodesics with the same origin.

Notation 2.4. Let γ, σ be geodesics with origin p , q, r on γ, σ respectively and $x = |pq|, y = |pr|$. Denote $\omega_k(x, y) = \tilde{\angle}_k qpr$.

Definition 2.5. Let γ, σ be geodesics with origin p . If the limit

$$\lim_{x, y \rightarrow 0} \omega_k(x, y)$$

exists, the limit is called the *angle* between γ and σ .

We need to show angle between two geodesics does not depend on the choice of k .

Lemma 2.6. Let p, q, r be three points in a metric space, then $k \mapsto \tilde{\angle}_k qpr$ is increasing.

Proof. This follows from the triangle version of the Toponogov's comparison theorem (cf. [Pet16, Theorem 12.2.2]). Since angles on Riemannian manifolds are defined by inner product, this proof does not rely on Definition 2.5. \square

Lemma 2.7. Let $p, q, r \in X$, with $|pq| + |qr| + |rp| < 2\pi/\sqrt{k}$ when $k > 0$. Let $\ell = \max\{|pq|, |pr|\}$, then we have

$$A[\tilde{\Delta} pqr] \leq \pi(\ell^2 + o(\ell^2)).$$

Proof. We adopt geodesic polar coordinate. Since geodesic balls are convex, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A[\tilde{\Delta} pqr] &\leq \int_0^\ell \int_0^\theta \text{sn}_k(r) \, ds \, dr \\ &= \theta \, \text{md}_k(\ell) \\ &\leq \pi \, \text{md}_k(\ell) \\ &= \pi(\ell^2 + o(\ell^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Here sn_k and md_k are solutions to initial value problem

$$\text{sn}_k(t) + k(\text{sn}_k)''(t) = 0, \quad \text{sn}_k(0) = 0, \quad (\text{sn}_k)'(0) = 1,$$

and

$$\text{md}_k(t) + k(\text{md}_k)''(t) = 1, \quad \text{md}_k(0) = 0, \quad (\text{md}_k)'(0) = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.8. Let $p, q, r \in X$, with $|pq| + |qr| + |rp| < 2\pi/\sqrt{k}$ when $k > 0$. Let $\ell = \max\{|pq|, |pr|\}$, $k < K$, then we have

$$(2.1) \quad 0 < \tilde{\angle}_k qpr - \tilde{\angle}_k qpr \leq \pi(|K| + |k|)(\ell^2 + o(\ell^2)).$$

Proof. By previous lemmas and Gauss–Bonnet formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \tilde{\angle}_k qpr - \tilde{\angle}_k qpr \\ &< \tilde{\angle}_k qpr + \tilde{\angle}_k pqr + \tilde{\angle}_k prq - \tilde{\angle}_k qpr - \tilde{\angle}_k pqr - \tilde{\angle}_k prq \\ &= KA[\tilde{\Delta} pqr] - kA[\tilde{\Delta} pqr] \\ &\leq (|K| + |k|)(\ell^2 + o(\ell^2)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let $\ell \rightarrow 0$ in inequality (2.1), we have thus shown the angle between geodesics is well-defined.

Let us back to our discussion on spaces with curvature bounded below. (A) has the following corollary.

Proposition 2.9. *If (A) is satisfied, then for any geodesics γ, σ with origin p , the function $\omega_k(x, y)$ is non-increasing with respect to each variable x, y when x, y are sufficiently small.*

Proof. Let U_p be a neighborhood of p such that (A) holds, $x, x' \in \gamma$, $y \in \sigma$ and x is between p and x' . We must show $\omega_k(x, y) \geq \omega_k(x', y)$. By (A), we have $|yx| \geq |\tilde{y}\tilde{x}|$, then we have

$$\omega_k(x, y) = \tilde{\omega}_k y p x \geq \angle \tilde{y} \tilde{p} \tilde{x} = \tilde{\omega}_k y p x' = \omega_k(x', y).$$

Similarly $\omega_k(x, y)$ is non-increasing in y . \square

By Proposition 2.9, the angle between two geodesics with same origin is always defined.

Proposition 2.10. *The angles between three geodesics with same origin satisfy triangle inequality.*

Proof. A proof for general metric space can be found in [AKP24, 6.5]. But for spaces with curvature $\geq k$, notice that angles in space form satisfy triangle inequality, and then we can take the limit. \square

Proposition 2.9 also has the following direct corollaries.

Corollary 2.11. *If (A) is satisfied, then*

(C) *For any triangle $\triangle pqr$ contained in U_x , none of its angles is less than the corresponding angle of the comparison triangle $\tilde{\triangle}_k pqr$ in S_k^2 .*

(C₁) *If r is an interior point of a geodesic $[pq]$, then for any geodesic $[rs]$, we have $\angle prs + \angle qrs = \pi$.*

(C₁) has a corollary that geodesics do not branch.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $[px], [py]$ be geodesics, such that $[ps] \subset [px] \cap [py]$, then $[px] \subset [py]$ or $[py] \subset [px]$.*

Proof. By (C₁), we have $\angle psy + \angle xsy = \pi$. But $\angle psy = \pi$, since p, s, y are colinear, hence $\angle xsy = 0$, and result follows. \square

Now we are ready to prove (A) \implies (D).

Proof of (A) \implies (D). Let $(p; a, b, c)$ in U_x . Choose $d \in [pa]$ closed to p , then by triangle inequality (Proposition 2.10) and (C₁) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \angle adb + \angle bdc + \angle cda &\leq \angle adb + \angle bdp + \angle pdc + \angle cda \\ &= 2\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Proposition 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_k adb + \tilde{\omega}_k bdc + \tilde{\omega}_k cda &\leq \angle adb + \angle bdc + \angle cda \\ &\leq 2\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Since angles vary continuously in S_k^2 , let $d \rightarrow p$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\omega}_k apb + \tilde{\omega}_k bpc + \tilde{\omega}_k cpa \leq 2\pi. \quad \square$$

3. GLOBALIZATION THEOREM

In this section we introduce the *globalization theorem*.

Theorem 3.1 (Globalization theorem). *Let X be a complete geodesic metric space with curvature $\geq k$. Then for any quadruple of points $(a; b, c, d)$, the inequality*

$$\tilde{\omega}_k bac + \tilde{\omega}_k cad + \tilde{\omega}_k dab \leq 2\pi$$

holds.

Globalization theorem is the most important structure theorem of space with curvature $\geq k$. Simpler proofs can be found in [Pla01] or [AKP24] (where without geodesic assumption). However, we shall present the original proof in [BGP92].

Definition 3.2. Define the *size* of the quadruple $(a; b, c, d)$, denoted by $S(a; b, c, d)$, is the greatest number of the perimeters of triangles $\triangle bac, \triangle cad, \triangle dab$. Define the *excess* of the quadruple $(a; b, c, d)$, denoted by $E(a; b, c, d)$, is $\max\{0, \tilde{z}_k bac + \tilde{z}_k cad + \tilde{z}_k dab - 2\pi\}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let p, q, r, s be points in an intrinsic metric space, and let t lie on a geodesic joining p and q . Then

$$S(p; q, r, s) \geq \max\{S(p; t, r, s), S(t; p, q, r), S(t; p, q, s)\}.$$

Proof. This is evident from the triangle inequality. \square

Lemma 3.4. Let $\triangle pqr, \triangle prx, \triangle qry$ be given on S_k^2 such that $|rx| = |ry|$, $|pq| = |px| + |py|$, $\angle pxr + \angle pyr \geq \pi$. Then we have

$$\angle qpr - \angle xpr \leq \angle qyr + \angle pxr - \pi.$$

If $k > 0$, then in addition we assume that $\max\{|pr|, |pq|\} + 10|px| < \pi/2\sqrt{k}$.

Proof. We may suppose $\triangle pxr, \triangle qyr$ lie in $\triangle pqr$ and do not overlap. Let $z \in [pq]$ with $|pz| = |px|$. We calculate the total angle at x, y, z . Denote $\delta(\triangle)$ the excess of a triangle, i.e. the difference between its sum of interior angles and π . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 5\pi &= (\angle pxr + \angle qyr) + (\pi + \delta(\triangle xyr) - \angle xry) + (\pi + \delta(\triangle xyz)) \\ &\quad + (\pi + \delta(\triangle xpz) + \angle qpr - \angle xpr) + (\pi + \delta(\triangle qyz) - \angle yqz). \end{aligned}$$

If $k \leq 0$, then $\delta(\triangle) \leq 0$, the inequality evidently holds. If $k > 0$, the condition $\max\{|pr|, |pq|\} + 10|px| < \pi/2\sqrt{k}$ guarantees all $\delta(\triangle)$'s are not greater than the half of $\min\{\angle xry, \angle yqz\}$, hence the inequality still holds. \square

Lemma 3.5. Let p, q, r, s be points in an intrinsic metric space, and let t lie on a geodesic joining p and q . Then

$$E(p; q, r, s) \leq E(p; t, r, s) + E(t; p, q, r) + E(t; p, q, s).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} E(t; p, q, r) &\geq \tilde{z}_k qpr - \tilde{z}_k tpr, \\ E(t; p, q, s) &\geq \tilde{z}_k qps - \tilde{z}_k tps. \end{aligned}$$

And by definition, we have

$$E(p; t, r, s) = \tilde{z}_k tpr + \tilde{z}_k rps + \tilde{z}_k tps - 2\pi,$$

add all three formulas together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(p; t, r, s) + E(t; p, q, r) + E(t; p, q, s) &\geq \tilde{z}_k qpr + \tilde{z}_k rps + \tilde{z}_k qps \\ &= E(p; q, r, s). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proof of globalization theorem. Let us assume the theorem is false. Then there exists a point $p \in X$ and $\ell > 0$ ($\ell < 2\pi/\sqrt{k}$ if $k > 0$) such that

- (a) The excess of any quadruple of size $\leq 0.99\ell$ lying in $B_p(100\ell)$ is zero.
- (b) There is a quadruple of size $\leq \ell$ lying in $B_p(10\ell)$ and having a positive excess.

In fact, fix ℓ_0 with a quadruple $(a; b, c, d)$ such that $S(a; b, c, d) = \ell_0$ and $E(a; b, c, d) > 0$. Let $(a; b, c, d)$ be contained in $B_{p_0}(10\ell_0)$, if all quadruples lying in $B_{p_0}(100\ell_0)$ with size $\leq 0.99\ell_0$ have zero excess, choose $\ell = \ell_0$. Otherwise let $(a_1; b_1, c_1, d_1)$ be another quadruple with positive excess that has size $\ell_1 \leq 0.99\ell_0$, assume the quadruple is contained in $B_{p_1}(10\ell_1)$. Then $|p_0 p_1| \leq 1000\ell_0$. Construct inductively, either we obtain ℓ after finite

steps, or we obtain a Cauchy sequence $\{p_n\}$. Since X is complete, $\{p_n\}$ converges to some p . Then in any small neighborhood of p , (D) is violated, contradiction.

We claim that a quadruple $(a; b, c, d)$ of size $\leq \ell$ lying in $B_p(20\ell)$ has zero excess if

$$(3.1) \quad |ab| \leq 0.01\ell, \quad |cd| \geq 0.1\ell + \max\{|ac|, |ad|\}.$$

If $k > 0$, we ask in addition that $\max\{|ac|, |ad|\} < \pi/2\sqrt{k}$. We assume there is a quadruple $(a; b, c, d)$ violates this, and let $E(a; b, c, d) = \delta > 0$. Let $x \in [ac]$ with $|ax| = \varepsilon \leq 0.01\ell$. By the triangle inequality, we have

$$S(x; a, b, c) \leq 0.99\ell, \quad S(a; x, b, d) \leq 0.99\ell.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$E(a; b, c, d) \leq E(x; a, c, d).$$

Now let $y \in [dx]$ such that $|xy| = \varepsilon$. Then similarly, we have

$$E(y; x, c, d) \geq E(x; a, c, d) \geq \delta.$$

Notice that $S(y; x, c, d) \leq \ell$, and it satisfies an inequality analogous to (3.1). Finally, we have

$$(3.2) \quad |yc| + |yd| \leq |ac| + |ad| - \frac{\delta^2 \varepsilon}{2}.$$

In fact, since $E(x; a, b, c) = 0$, using Proposition 2.9, we have

$$\tilde{z}_k xab \geq \tilde{z}_k cab.$$

Since $E(a; x, d, b) = 0$, we have

$$2\pi \geq \tilde{z}_k xab + \tilde{z}_k dab + \tilde{z}_k xad.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\tilde{z}_k dac + \tilde{z}_k dab + \tilde{z}_k cab = 2\pi + \delta,$$

and

$$\pi \geq \tilde{z}_k dac,$$

add all these inequalities, we obtain $\tilde{z}_k xad \leq \pi - \delta$. Similarly we have $\tilde{z}_k yxc \leq \pi - \delta$, hence by first variation inequality (cf. [AKP24, 6.7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |dx| &\leq |da| + \left(1 - \frac{\delta^2}{4}\right) \varepsilon, \\ |cy| &\leq |cx| + \left(1 - \frac{\delta^2}{4}\right) \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |cx| &= |ca| - \varepsilon, \\ |dy| &= |dx| - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Add all these inequalities, we obtain (3.2). Therefore with a counterexample, we can construct another counterexample with sum of two sides decreases $\delta^2 \varepsilon / 2$. After no more than $[2\ell / \delta^2 \varepsilon] + 1$ steps we can reach a contradiction.

Now we consider the general case. Let $(a; b, c, d)$ be a quadruple of size $\leq \ell$ lying in $B_p(10\ell)$ with positive excess. Choose $x \in [ab]$ such that $|ax| = \varepsilon \leq 0.001\ell$ (if $k > 0$, we ask $10\varepsilon < 2\pi/\sqrt{k} - \ell$), by Lemma 3.3, the quadruples $(a; x, c, d)$, $(x; a, b, c)$, $(x; a, b, d)$ all have size $\leq \ell$. Hence we reduce our study to the case of $|ab| = \varepsilon$. Next we want to reduce our consideration to a quadruple $(x; y, z, t)$ of size $\leq \ell$ such that $|xy| \leq 2\varepsilon$, $|xz| \leq 2\varepsilon$. Divide $[ac]$ into segments $[aa_1], [a_1a_2], \dots, [a_{n-1}c]$ with each of which has length $\leq \varepsilon$. By Lemma 3.5, at least one of the quadruples $(a; a_1, b, d)$, $(a_1; a, b, c)$, $(a_1; a, c, d)$ has positive excess. If one of the first two has positive excess, then we are done. Otherwise one of the quadruples $(a_1; a_2, a, d)$, $(a_2; a_1, a, c)$, $(a_2; a_1, c, d)$ has positive excess. Continue

“thinning out” the quadruples with positive excess until we get a quadruple we want. Finally, let $(a; b, c, d)$ be a quadruple of size $\leq \ell$ with positive excess for which $|ab| \leq 2\varepsilon$, $|ac| \leq 2\varepsilon$. Choose $x \in [ad]$ with $|cx| = |dx|$. Then we have

$$|cx| \leq \frac{\ell}{2} + 2\varepsilon.$$

Lemma 3.5 tells us that at least one of the quadruples $(a; x, b, c)$, $(x; a, b, d)$, $(x; a, c, d)$ has positive excess. But $S(a; x, b, c) \leq 0.99\ell$, hence $E(a; x, b, c) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $E(x; a, c, d) > 0$. Choose $y \in [ax]$ with $|xy| = \varepsilon$. Then Lemma 3.5 tells us that at least one of the quadruples $(x; y, c, d)$, $(y; x, a, c)$, $(y; x, a, d)$ has positive excess. However, $(x; y, c, d)$ is the situation considered in (3.1), $(y; x, a, c)$ is small, $\tilde{z}_k a y x = 0$, both of them has zero excess, contradiction! Hence the theorem holds. \square

We now give some application of globalization theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a complete geodesic space with curvature $\geq k$, $k > 0$, then $\text{diam } X \leq \pi/\sqrt{k}$.*

This proof is partly taken from [BBI01, Theorem 10.4.1] and [Shi93, Theorem 6.2].

Proof. Suppose the theorem is false. Let p, q be points with $|pq| = (\pi + \varepsilon)/\sqrt{k}$, where $0 < \varepsilon < 0.1\pi$, and m be a midpoint of them. Let $U = B_m(\varepsilon)$.

First we show that U contains a point that does not lie on $[pq]$. Suppose not. For every $x \in X$ there is a geodesic γ joining x and m . Our assumption tells that γ coincides with $[pq]$ on a subinterval. By Proposition 2.12, geodesics do not branch, it follows that x belongs to a unique geodesic containing $[pm]$. Hence X is covered by two geodesics starting from m passing through p and q , hence X is a 1-dimensional manifold. By Definition 2.1, $\text{diam } X \leq \pi/\sqrt{k}$, contradiction!

Choose $x \in U \setminus [pq]$, then by triangle inequality, $\triangle pmx$, $\triangle qmx$ have perimeters less than $2\pi/\sqrt{k}$. Let $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{x}$ on S_k^2 satisfy $|pq| = |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|$ and \tilde{m} be the midpoint, $|mx| = |\tilde{m}\tilde{x}|$, $\angle pmx = \angle \tilde{p}\tilde{m}\tilde{x}$ and $\angle qmx = \angle \tilde{q}\tilde{m}\tilde{x}$. By Corollary 2.11 and globalization theorem (which implies $U_x = X$), we have $|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}| \geq |px|$ and $|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}| \geq |qx|$. On S_k^2 , $\tilde{p}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{q}$ are on a great sphere, hence

$$|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}| + |\tilde{q}\tilde{x}| < |\tilde{p}\tilde{m}| + |\tilde{q}\tilde{m}|.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |pq| &= |\tilde{p}\tilde{m}| + |\tilde{q}\tilde{m}| \\ &> |\tilde{p}\tilde{x}| + |\tilde{q}\tilde{x}| \\ &\geq |px| + |qx|, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting to the triangle inequality. Therefore the theorem holds. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let X be a complete geodesic space with curvature $\geq k$, $k > 0$. Then for any three points $a, b, c \in X$ we have $|ab| + |bc| + |ac| \leq 2\pi/\sqrt{k}$ and condition (D) is satisfied for any quadruples of size $2\pi/\sqrt{k}$. (Here we suppose that, if $|ab| = \pi/\sqrt{k}$ and $|ac| + |bc| = \pi/\sqrt{k}$, then $\tilde{z}_k bac = \tilde{z}_k abc = 0$, $\tilde{z}_k acb = \pi$.)*

Proof. We first prove the second assertion. We check for a quadruple $(a; b, c, d)$ of size $2\pi/\sqrt{k}$. We may suppose that $\max\{|ab|, |ac|, |ad|\} < \pi/\sqrt{k}$, and the perimeter of $\triangle abd$ is $2\pi/\sqrt{k}$. We choose a sequence $\{b_i\} \subset [ab]$ converges to b monotonically. Then the perimeters of $\triangle ab_i c, \triangle ab_i d$ are all $< 2\pi/\sqrt{k}$. Applying Theorem 2.2 to quadruple $(b_i; a, b, c)$, we have $\tilde{z}_k b_i ac \geq \tilde{z}_k bac$. Moreover, applying Theorem 2.2 to quadruple $(b_i; a, b_{i+1}, c)$, we have $\tilde{z}_k b_i ad \geq \tilde{z}_k b_{i+1} ad$. However, when $b_i \rightarrow b$, the perimeter of $\triangle ab_i d \rightarrow 2\pi/\sqrt{k}$, hence $\tilde{z}_k b_i ad \downarrow \pi$, which implies $\tilde{z}_k b_i ad = \pi$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

if $E(a; b, c, d) > 0$, we can find a quadruple $(a; b_i, c, d)$ with positive excess but size $< 2\pi/\sqrt{k}$, which is impossible.

Now we turn to the first assertion. Assume the perimeter of $\triangle abc$ is greater than $2\pi/\sqrt{k}$. We may suppose $\max\{|ab|, |bc|, |ac|\} < \pi/\sqrt{k}$ and $|bc| > |ac|$. Now choose a point $x \in [bc]$ such that $|bx| \leq |cx| - |ac|$ and

$$\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{k}} < |ax| + |cx| + |ac| < |ab| + |bc| + |ac|.$$

Let $y \in [ax]$ such that the perimeter of $\triangle cxy$ is equal to $2\pi/\sqrt{k}$. Then

$$|xy| \geq |cx| - |ac| + |ay| > |bx|.$$

Since $|cx| < \pi/\sqrt{k}$ and $|xy| < \pi/\sqrt{k}$, we have $\tilde{Z}_k cxy = \pi$. Now apply (D) to $(x; c, y, b)$, we have $\tilde{Z}_k bxy = 0$. But $|xb| < |xy|$, we have $|xy| = |xb| + |xy|$. Thus $|xa| = |xb| + |ab|$, which contradicts to the choice of x . Therefore the theorem holds. \square

4. NATURAL CONSTRUCTIONS

4.1. Direct Products.

Definition 4.1. Let X, Y be metric spaces. Define their *direct product*, denoted by $X \times Y$, to be the metric space with metric

$$|(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2)| = \sqrt{|x_1x_2|^2 + |y_1y_2|^2}.$$

Clearly, direct product of intrinsic metric spaces is intrinsic.

Proposition 4.2. *The direct product of two (thus finite number of) intrinsic metric spaces with curvature ≥ 0 is a space with curvature ≥ 0 .*

This proposition will become almost obvious if we notice the following equivalent modification of Definition 2.1.

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be an intrinsic metric space, X is a space with curvature $\geq k$ if and only if the following condition is satisfied:*

- (D') *For any $x \in X$, there is a neighborhood U_x , such that for any quadruple $(a; b, c, d)$ lying in U_x , it is possible (impossible) to construct a quadruple $(\tilde{a}; \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{d})$ on S_k^2 , such that $[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]$, $[\tilde{a}\tilde{c}]$, $[\tilde{a}\tilde{d}]$ divide the complete angle at \tilde{a} into three angles each $\leq \pi$, where $|ab| = |\tilde{a}\tilde{b}|$, $|ac| = |\tilde{a}\tilde{c}|$, $|ad| = |\tilde{a}\tilde{d}|$ and $|\tilde{b}\tilde{c}| \geq |bc|$, $|\tilde{b}\tilde{d}| \geq |bd|$, $|\tilde{c}\tilde{d}| \geq |cd|$ (respectively $|\tilde{b}\tilde{c}| < |bc|$, $|\tilde{b}\tilde{d}| < |bd|$, $|\tilde{c}\tilde{d}| < |cd|$).*

4.2. The Various Cones.

Definition 4.4. Let X be a metric space. Define the *cone* over X with vertex A , denoted by $C_A(X)$, to be the quotient space $X \times [0, +\infty)/\sim$, where $(x_1, a_1) \sim (x_2, a_2) \sim A$ if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. Let $\Pi : C_A(X) \setminus \{A\} \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection. The metric of the cone is defined by the cosine formula

$$|(x_1, a_1)(x_2, a_2)| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 - 2a_1a_2 \cos \min\{|x_1x_2|, \pi\}}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be a metric space.*

- (a) *If X is intrinsic, then $C_A(X)$ is also intrinsic.*
- (b) *If γ is a geodesic in X of length $\leq \pi$, then $\Pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is isometric to a plane sector with angle equal to the length of γ . In particular, if X is geodesic, $C_A(X)$ is geodesic.*
- (c) *If $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a geodesic in $C_A(X)$ that does not pass through A , then $\Pi(\tilde{\gamma})$ is also a geodesic.*

Proof. For (a), we choose the original (but equivalent) definition for intrinsic metric in [BGP92]: For $x, y \in X$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists a finite sequence of points z_1, \dots, z_n such that $|z_i z_{i+1}| < \delta$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |z_i z_{i+1}| < |xy| + \delta.$$

Take $\delta \ll \pi$. Since three points closed enough with angular metric can always be embedded into S^2 , we reduce the existence of δ -midpoint to the following Euclidean geometry problem: Let A be the center of S^2 , $x_1, x_2 \in S^2$, m be the midpoint of arc $[x_1 x_2]$. $\{m_i\} \subset S^2$ and $m_i \rightarrow m$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{m}_i$ on the ray Ax_1, Ax_2, Am_i respectively, \tilde{m}_i minimizes $|\tilde{x}_1 \tilde{m}_i| + |\tilde{x}_2 \tilde{m}_i|$. Show that as $i \rightarrow \infty$, $|\tilde{x}_1 \tilde{m}_i| + |\tilde{x}_2 \tilde{m}_i| - |\tilde{x}_1 \tilde{x}_2|$ is an infinitesimal of same order with $|x_1 m_i|_{S^2} + |x_2 m_i|_{S^2} - |x_1 x_2|_{S^2}$. This is nothing but some labor.

Now we handle (b). Simple observation using law of cosines implies first part of (b). By subdividing geodesic into pieces of length $< \pi$ if necessary, we obtain $C_A(X)$ is geodesic provided X being geodesic. Thus (b) is proved.

Now we deal with (c). When $\tilde{\gamma} : [a, b] \rightarrow C_A(X)$ is a geodesic, we have for $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ (subdivide if necessary)

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\gamma}(t_1)\tilde{\gamma}(t_2)| + |\tilde{\gamma}(t_2)\tilde{\gamma}(t_3)| &= \sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2 - 2s_1s_2 \cos \angle x_1 o x_2} + \sqrt{s_2^2 + s_3^2 - 2s_2s_3 \cos \angle x_2 o x_3} \\ &\geq \sqrt{s_1^2 + s_3^2 - 2s_1s_3 \cos \angle x_1 o x_3} \\ &= |\tilde{\gamma}(t_1)\tilde{\gamma}(t_3)|, \end{aligned}$$

where x_1, x_2, x_3 are chosen on the Euclidean plane E^2 such that $|ox_1| = s_1$, $|ox_2| = s_2$, $|ox_3| = s_3$ and $\angle x_1 o x_2 = |\Pi(\tilde{\gamma}(t_1))\Pi(\tilde{\gamma}(t_2))|$, $\angle x_2 o x_3 = |\Pi(\tilde{\gamma}(t_2))\Pi(\tilde{\gamma}(t_3))|$. Hence the triangle inequality turns into the equality for $\Pi(\tilde{\gamma}(t_1)), \Pi(\tilde{\gamma}(t_2)), \Pi(\tilde{\gamma}(t_3))$. Thus $L[\Pi(\tilde{\gamma})] = |\Pi(\tilde{\gamma}(a))\Pi(\tilde{\gamma}(b))|$, $\gamma := \Pi(\tilde{\gamma})$ is a geodesic. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let X be a complete intrinsic metric space, then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) X is a space with curvature ≥ 1 ;
- (b) $C_A(X)$ is a space with curvature ≥ 0 .

Proof. (a) \implies (b): Suppose there is a quadruple $(\tilde{a}; \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{d})$ violates (D) in $C_A(X)$. If $\tilde{a} = A$, let $\tilde{b} = (x_1, a_1)$, $\tilde{c} = (x_2, a_2)$, $\tilde{d} = (x_3, a_3)$, then

$$|\tilde{a}\tilde{b}| = a_1, |\tilde{a}\tilde{c}| = a_2, |\tilde{a}\tilde{d}| = a_3.$$

Thus by law of cosines and Theorem 3.7, we have

$$\tilde{z}_0 \tilde{b} \tilde{a} \tilde{c} + \tilde{z}_0 \tilde{c} \tilde{a} \tilde{d} + \tilde{z}_0 \tilde{d} \tilde{a} \tilde{b} = |x_1 x_2| + |x_2 x_3| + |x_1 x_3| \leq 2\pi,$$

contradiction. So we assume A is not in the quadruple and let $a = \Pi(\tilde{a}), \dots, d = \Pi(\tilde{d})$. By applying (D'), we can find a_0, \dots, d_0 on S^2 such that $|a_0 b_0| = |ab|$, $|a_0 c_0| = |ac|$, $|a_0 d_0| = |ad|$, and $|b_0 c_0| \geq |bc|$, $|b_0 d_0| \geq |bd|$, $|c_0 d_0| \geq |cd|$. Now we regard Euclidean space E^3 as the cone $C_{A_0}(S^2)$ over S^2 with projection Π_0 . We can find a quadruple $(\tilde{a}_0; \tilde{b}_0, \tilde{c}_0, \tilde{d}_0)$ in E^3 such that $|A_0 \tilde{a}_0| = |A\tilde{a}|, \dots, |A_0 \tilde{d}_0| = |A\tilde{d}|$, and $\Pi(\tilde{a}_0) = a_0, \dots, \Pi(\tilde{d}_0) = d_0$. Thus we have $|\tilde{a}_0 \tilde{b}_0| = |\tilde{a}\tilde{b}|$, $|\tilde{a}_0 \tilde{c}_0| = |\tilde{a}\tilde{c}|$, $|\tilde{a}_0 \tilde{d}_0| = |\tilde{a}\tilde{d}|$, and $|\tilde{b}_0 \tilde{c}_0| \geq |\tilde{b}\tilde{c}|$, $|\tilde{b}_0 \tilde{d}_0| \geq |\tilde{b}\tilde{d}|$, $|\tilde{c}_0 \tilde{d}_0| \geq |\tilde{c}\tilde{d}|$. Therefore the quadruple $(\tilde{a}_0; \tilde{b}_0, \tilde{c}_0, \tilde{d}_0)$ violates (D) in E^3 , which is impossible.

(b) \implies (a): Suppose there is a quadruple $(a; b, c, d)$ violates (D') in X . By applying globalization theorem, the counterexample of quadruple can occur at any size, so we can assume the pairwise distances between the points in the quadruple are less than $\pi/2$. Let $(a_0; b_0, c_0, d_0)$ be a quadruple on S^2 such that $|a_0 b_0| = |ab|$, $|a_0 c_0| = |ac|$, $|a_0 d_0| = |ad|$, and $|b_0 c_0| < |bc|$, $|b_0 d_0| < |bd|$, $|c_0 d_0| < |cd|$, and the segments $[a_0 b_0], [a_0 c_0], [a_0 d_0]$ divide the complete angle at a_0 into three angle $\leq \pi$. Consider $E^3 = C_{A_0}(S^2)$ with projection Π_0 . We can find a quadruple $(\tilde{a}_0; \tilde{b}_0, \tilde{c}_0, \tilde{d}_0)$ on the same plane in E^3 such that

$\Pi_0(\tilde{a}_0) = a_0, \dots, \Pi_0(\tilde{d}_0) = d_0$, and quadruple $(\tilde{a}; \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{d})$ in $C_A(X)$ such that $\Pi(\tilde{a}) = a, \dots, \Pi(\tilde{d}) = d$ with $|A_0\tilde{a}_0| = |A\tilde{a}|, \dots, |A_0\tilde{d}_0| = |A\tilde{d}|$. Thus we have $|\tilde{a}_0\tilde{b}_0| = |\tilde{a}\tilde{b}|$, $|\tilde{a}_0\tilde{c}_0| = |\tilde{a}\tilde{c}|$, $|\tilde{a}_0\tilde{d}_0| = |\tilde{a}\tilde{d}|$, and $|\tilde{b}_0\tilde{c}_0| \leq |\tilde{b}\tilde{c}|$, $|\tilde{b}_0\tilde{d}_0| \leq |\tilde{b}\tilde{d}|$, $|\tilde{c}_0\tilde{d}_0| \leq |\tilde{c}\tilde{d}|$. Therefore the quadruple $(\tilde{a}; \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{d})$ violates (D') in $C_A(X)$, which is impossible. \square

Remark 4.7. We drop the condition “ $C_A(X)$ is not a straight line” in [BGP92], since we adopt the assumption that X is intrinsic. Similar conditions in following two constructions are also dropped.

The construction of cone can be modified by using spherical or hyperbolic cosine formula instead of Euclidean cosine formula.

Definition 4.8. Let X be a metric space with $\text{diam } X \leq \pi$. Define the *spherical suspension*, denoted by $S(X)$, to be the quotient space $X \times [0, \pi]/\sim$, where $(x_1, a_1) \sim (x_2, a_2)$ if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ or $a_1 = a_2 = \pi$. The metric is given by

$$|(x_1, a_1)(x_2, a_2)| = \sqrt{\cos a_1 \cos a_2 + \sin a_1 \sin a_2 \cos |x_1 x_2|}.$$

Similar conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds (now isometric to pieces on sphere).

Proposition 4.9. *Let X be a complete intrinsic metric space, then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) X is a space with curvature ≥ 1 ;
- (b) $S(X)$ is a space with curvature ≥ 1 .

Definition 4.10. Let X be a metric space with $\text{diam } X \leq \pi$. Define the *elliptic cone* over X , denoted by $EC(X)$, to be the quotient space $X \times [0, +\infty)/\sim$, where $(x_1, a_1) \sim (x_2, a_2)$ if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. The metric is given by

$$|(x_1, a_1)(x_2, a_2)| = \sqrt{\cosh a_1 \cosh a_2 - \sinh a_1 \sinh a_2 \cos |x_1 x_2|}.$$

Similar conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds (now isometric to pieces on hyperbolic plane).

Proposition 4.11. *Let X be a complete intrinsic metric space, then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) X is a space with curvature ≥ 1 ;
- (b) $EC(X)$ is a space with curvature ≥ -1 .

Proofs to Proposition 4.9 and 4.11 are just the repetition of the proof to Proposition 4.6, only need to change our prototypes to $S(S^2) = S^3$ and $EC(S^2) = H^3$ respectively.

Remark 4.12. The parabolic cone and hyperbolic cone in [BGP92] are vague, so we omit them.

4.3. Join.

Definition 4.13. Let X, Y be complete intrinsic metric spaces with diameters $\leq \pi$. Define their *join*, denoted by $X * Y$, to be the quotient space $X \times Y \times [0, \pi/2]/\sim$, where $(x_1, y_1, a_1) \sim (x_2, y_2, a_2)$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2$ and $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ or $y_1 = y_2$ and $a_1 = a_2 = \pi/2$. The metric of join is given by

$$\cos |(x_1, y_1, a_1)(x_2, y_2, a_2)| = \cos a_1 \cos a_2 \cos |x_1 x_2| + \sin a_1 \sin a_2 \cos |y_1 y_2|.$$

Proposition 4.14. *Let X, Y be complete intrinsic metric spaces with diameters $\leq \pi$, then $C_A X \times C_A Y$ is isometric to $C_A(X * Y)$.*

Proof. Notice that an isometry can be induced by

$$\begin{aligned} C_A(X * Y) &\rightarrow C_A X \times C_A Y \\ (x, y, a, b) &\mapsto (x, b \cos a, y, b \sin a). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 4.15. *If X, Y are complete intrinsic metric spaces with curvature ≥ 1 , then $X * Y$ is also a space with curvature ≥ 1 .*

4.4. Submetry. The definition of submetry in [BGP92] is not in modern form, but we do not intend to change it.

Lemma 4.16. *Let X be a metric space, $\Pi : X \rightarrow M$ be a surjection. If the fibers of Π are closed equidistance subsets of X (we say X_{μ_1} and X_{μ_2} are equidistance if $|x_1 X_{\mu_2}|$ and $|x_2 X_{\mu_1}|$ are independent from $x_i \in X_{\mu_i}$), then there is a natural metric on M given by*

$$|x_1 x_2| = \inf \{ |\tilde{x}_1 \tilde{x}_2| : \tilde{x}_1 \in \Pi^{-1}(x_1), \tilde{x}_2 \in \Pi^{-1}(x_2) \}.$$

Definition 4.17. Under above settings, $\Pi : X \rightarrow M$ is called a *submetry*.

Proposition 4.18. *Let X be a complete intrinsic metric space with curvature $\geq k$, $\Pi : X \rightarrow M$ be a submetry, then M is a complete intrinsic metric space with curvature $\geq k$.*

Lemma 4.19. *M is complete.*

Proof. Let $\{m_i\} \subset M$ be a Cauchy sequence. For each $i > 0$, let $K_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k, j > K_i$ we have

$$|m_k m_j| < \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

Choose $k_i > K_i$ increasing, and $x_i \in \Pi^{-1}(m_{k_i})$ such that

$$|x_i x_{i+1}| < |m_{k_i} m_{k_{i+1}}| + \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_i x_j| &\leq |x_i x_{i+1}| + \cdots + |x_{j-1} x_j| \\ &\leq |m_{k_i} m_{k_{i+1}}| + \cdots + |m_{k_{j-1}} m_{k_j}| + \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{i-2}} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus $\{x_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $x_i \rightarrow x$, then $m_{k_i} \rightarrow \Pi(x) =: m$. Since a Cauchy sequence converges if and only if one of its subsequences converges, $\{m_i\}$ converges. Hence M is complete. \square

Lemma 4.20. *M is intrinsic.*

Proof. Let $m_1, m_2 \in M$. Choose $x_1 \in \Pi^{-1}(m_1)$, and $x_2 \in \Pi^{-1}(m_2)$ such that

$$|x_1 x_2| < |m_1 m_2| + \frac{\varepsilon}{10}.$$

By Proposition 1.4, we can choose $x_3 \in X$ with

$$|x_1 x_3| < \frac{1}{2} |x_1 x_2| + \frac{\varepsilon}{10}, \quad |x_2 x_3| < \frac{1}{2} |x_1 x_2| + \frac{\varepsilon}{10}.$$

Let $m_3 = \Pi(x_3)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |m_1 m_3| &\leq |x_1 x_3| \\ &< \frac{1}{2} |x_1 x_2| + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \\ &< \frac{1}{2} |m_1 m_2| + \frac{3\varepsilon}{20}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$|m_2 m_3| < \frac{1}{2} |m_1 m_2| + \frac{3\varepsilon}{20}.$$

Thus by Proposition 1.4, M is intrinsic. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.18. Let $(a; b, c, d)$ in M . We assume $(a; b, c, d)$ are contained in a sufficiently small neighborhood so that the comparison angles are defined, and we apply the globalization theorem if necessary. Choose $\tilde{a}, \dots, \tilde{d} \in X$ such that $\Pi(\tilde{a}) = a, \dots, \Pi(\tilde{d}) = d$, and

$$|\tilde{a}\tilde{b}| < |ab| + \delta, |\tilde{a}\tilde{c}| < |ac| + \delta, |\tilde{a}\tilde{d}| < |ad| + \delta.$$

Moreover, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose δ so small that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k bac < \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k \tilde{b}\tilde{a}\tilde{c} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and so on. Since X has curvature $\geq k$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k \tilde{b}\tilde{a}\tilde{c} + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k \tilde{a}\tilde{c}\tilde{b} + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k \tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c} \leq 2\pi.$$

Hence we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k bac + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k acb + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k abc < 2\pi + \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, this means

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k bac + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k acb + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k abc \leq 2\pi.$$

Hence M has curvature $\geq k$. □

The proof is modified from [AKP24, Theorem 8.5].

Corollary 4.21. *Let X be a space of curvature $\geq k$, and let the group G act isometrically on X with closed orbits. Then X/G is a space with curvature $\geq k$.*

REFERENCES

- [AKP24] Stephanie Alexander, Vitali Kapovitch, and Anton Petrunin. *Alexandrov Geometry*, volume 236 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2024.
- [BB101] Dmitri Burago, Yuri Burago, and Sergei Ivanov. *A Course in Metric Geometry*, volume 33 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, June 2001.
- [BGP92] Yu Burago, M Gromov, and G Perel'man. A.D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 47(2):1–58, April 1992.
- [Pet16] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian Geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016.
- [Pla01] Conrad Plaut. Metric spaces of curvature $\geq k$. In R. J. Daverman and R. B. Sher, editors, *Handbook of Geometric Topology*, pages 819–898. North-Holland, Amsterdam, January 2001.
- [Shi93] Katsuhiko Shiohama. *An introduction to the geometry of Alexandrov spaces*, volume 8 of *Lecture Notes Series*. Seoul National University, Research Institute of Mathematics, Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul, 1993.

Email address: matthewzenm@icloud.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.