

Exploring JRuby, Truffle and Graal

Virtual Machines and Execution Environments, WS2014/15

Jan Graichen, Fabio Niephaus, Matthias Springer, Malte Swart

Hasso Plattner Institute, Software Architecture Group

February 5, 2015

Overview

Recap

Truffle & Graal in Action

Truffle in Practice

Challenge: Optimize Keyword Arguments in JRuby

Summary

References

Recap: What is Truffle & Graal?

- Truffle and Graal is a tool chain to build fast VMs easily
 - Similar to RPython
- Truffle is an AST interpreter framework
- Graal is modified JVM
 - Comes with an aggressive JIT compiler written in Java
 - Profiles code and detects hot methods
 - Truffle can use these information for making assumptions
 - Compiles specified code segment into machine code
- Truffle uses node replacements for specific optimizations (like type specific actions)

Overview

Recap

Truffle & Graal in Action

Truffle in Practice

Challenge: Optimize Keyword Arguments in JRuby

Summary

References

Demo

```
def multiply(a, b)
  a * b
end

100_000.times.each do |times|
  start = Time.now
  (1..1_000_000).each do |i|
    multiply(1, 2)
  end
  end_time = Time.now
  puts "Time elapsed #{(end_time - start)*1000} ms"
end
```

Example Runtimes

Empirical Figures


1. **MRI:** 175ms
2. **JRuby:** 80ms
3. **JRuby + Truffle:** 720ms
4. **JRuby + Graal:** 180ms and then 70ms
5. **JRuby + Truffle + Graal:** 1.5ms

Warm-Up Time


Truffle and Graal end with a very low execution time per iteration, but has large boot up time

→ Only faster if there is a large number of iterations/long overall execution time

Graal VM - System Architecture



JRuby, Truffle and Graal: Overview of Threads



Overview

Recap

Truffle & Graal in Action

Truffle in Practice

Challenge: Optimize Keyword Arguments in JRuby


Summary

References


Ways to use Truffle within an existing AST Interpreter

Convert to Truffle: Translate all AST nodes to Truffle nodes

Add-On Truffle: Add an additional set of AST nodes



Method Call Nodes in (J)Ruby



- `RubyCallNode` contains:
 - Receiver object
 - Method name (fix)
 - List of argument AST nodes
 - Block AST node
- Dynamic call → Dynamic dispatch is run on every execution

Method Callee Node in (J)Ruby

1. `RubyRootNode`
2. `Catch*Nodes` (`CatchNextNode`, `CatchRetryAsErrorNode`, `CatchReturnNode` ...)
3. `SequenceNode`
 - 3.1 `CheckArityNode`
 - 3.2 `WriteLocalVariableNode` for argument 1
 - 3.3 `WriteLocalVariableNode` for argument 2
 - 3.4 `WriteLocalVariableNode` for kwargument e
 - 3.5 `WriteLocalVariableNode` for kwargument c
 - 3.6 Statement sequence itself (wrapped in `TracingNodes`, with `CyclicAssumptions`)

Nice: Every argument has a node to create its default argument, maybe a node that throws every time a exception

Overview

Recap

Truffle & Graal in Action

Truffle in Practice

Challenge: Optimize Keyword Arguments in JRuby

Problem

Solution

Summary

References

Task: Keyword Arguments in Ruby 2.x

- Shortcut to call method with dictionary as last argument:

```
method(10, e: 30, c: 40)
method(10, {:e => 30, :c => 40})
```

- Starting with Ruby 2.0, Ruby can process this dictionary automatically (so called keyword arguments):

```
def method(a, b=3, e:, c:30)
end
```

Performance Bottlenecks

- `Hash` object creation: object is created, passed as argument, then destructed again
- Inefficient code paths (e.g., multiple scans of `Hash` object)
- Code involving `Hash` objects is harder to optimize than code involving primitive objects (Graal optimizations)
- Keyword argument nodes are not optimized by Truffle (Java `equals`, Truffle boundary for `Hash` iterator)
- Execution remains in interpreter modus

Goal: Pass keyword arguments as normal arguments

Optimizations

1. Optimize implementations (efficient hash operations)
2. Store kwargs within normal arguments array, separated by marker
3. Cache kwargs mapping within dispatch chain

→ We will now look into optimization #3

Fully Optimized Keyword Arguments

Example


```
class C1s1
  def method(a:, **kwargs)
  end
end

class C1s2
  def method(a:, b:)
  end
end

[C1s1.new, C1s2.new].each do |obj|
  obj.method(a: 1, b: 2)
end
```

Recap: Type Decision Chains

Source: "Self-Optimizing AST Interpreters"




Fully Optimized Keyword Arguments


Problems

- Nodes are specific with regard to user-defined Ruby classes (cannot use Truffle DSL)
- Truffle DSL supports only specialization for language types
- Type of receiver is not known before dispatching the call

Guest Language PIC in JRuby



Argument Passing in *DispatchNode*



Evaluation

Results

Keyword arguments are as fast as position arguments
(for specific but common cases)

Overview

Recap

Truffle & Graal in Action

Truffle in Practice

Challenge: Optimize Keyword Arguments in JRuby

Summary

References

Truffle Summary

- Specific Java code cannot be translated by Graal (or it is disallowed)
- Large AST interpreters can still get unclear/distracting, knowledge is the composition of nodes, not the nodes itself
- Truffle DSL is not enough for efficient implementation of complex languages
- It is still needed to write efficient code and node implementations

Truffle and RPython - A Very Subjective Comparison

RPython

- Lightweight stack
- A little bit easier to get to work - mostly getting the correct libs in the Python path
- Difficult to debug in depth what is happening at execution

Truffle

- Heavy stack (Java, mostly multiple JDK and often maven ...)
- If you get it working, you have the full power of (debugging) Java, even Graal itself

References

- L. Stadler, G. Duboscq, H. Mössenböck, T. Wurthinger, **Compilation Queuing and Graph Caching for Dynamic Compilers**, http://lafo.ssw.uni-linz.ac.at/papers/2012_VMIL_Graal.pdf
- T. Würthinger, C. Wimmer, A. Wöb, L. Stadler, G. Duboscq, C. Humer, G. Richards, D. Simon, M. Wolczko. **One VM to Rule Them All**, 2013, http://lafo.ssw.uni-linz.ac.at/papers/2013_Onward_OneVMToRuleThemAll.pdf
- T. Würthinger, A. Wöb, L. Stadler, G. Duboscq, D. Simon, C. Wimmer. **Self-Optimizing AST Interpreters**, 2012, http://lafo.ssw.uni-linz.ac.at/papers/2012_DLS_SelfOptimizingASTInterpreters.pdf
- Graal (<http://hg.openjdk.java.net/graal/graal>)
- JRuby (<https://github.com/jruby/jruby>)
- JRuby Developers (especially Chris Seaton)
- JRuby Benchmarks (<https://github.com/jruby/bench9000>)