

# Technische Universität München Department of Mathematics

Bachelor's Thesis

# Sequential Monte Carlo for time-dependent Bayesian Inverse Problems

Matthieu Bulté

Supervisor: Ullmann, Elisabeth; Prof. Dr. rer. nat.

Advisor: Latz, Jonas; M.Sc

Submission Date: 15. June 2018

With my signature below, I assert that the work in this thesis has been composed by myself
independently and no source materials or aids other than those mentioned in the thesis
have been used.

München, April 22, 2018	
Place, Date	Signature

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Germany License. To view a copy of the license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/de

Or

Send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California 94105, USA.

## Abstract

Titel auf Englisch wiederholen.

Es folgt die englische Version der Kurzfassung.

*CONTENTS* ii

## Contents

Co	Contents		
1	Introduction	1	
2	Bayesian Filtering2.1 Overview2.2 Set-Up2.3 Pendulum problem2.4 Bayesian filtering	3 3 4 5	
3	Sequential Monte Carlo	6	
4	Case Study	7	
5	Conclusion	8	
$\mathbf{A}$	Appendix	9	
Li	st of Figures	10	
Li	st of Tables	10	
Re	eferences	10	

#### 1 Introduction

The study of complex systems is often done through mathematical modelling, allowing the simulation, analysis and prediction of their behaviour. These mathematical models require input parameters, for which only limited or no information is known. Finding these parameters from measurements of the system is called the *inverse problem*. Since measurements are often noisy or sparse, and the mathematical models can be complex and expensive to evaluate, developing sound and efficient mathematical frameworks to treat the inverse problem is a complicated task.

Two prominent classes of methods for attempting to address this problem are the *maximum likelihood* methods and the *Bayesian* methods. In maximum likelihood methods, the solution of the inverse problem is given as the maximizer of the likelihood of the observed data. In Bayesian methods, the system is re-modeled probabilistically with random variables. This solution is given as a marginalization of the model by the observed data using Bayes' formula, as first developed by Laplace [Lap20]. A theoretical and practical comparison of the two methods is given by Kaipio and Somersalo [KS06], including a broad introduction to solving inverse problems found in science and engineering.

The Bayesian approach is a very general modelling and inference framework allowing to address very different kinds of statistical problems. The work by Gelman et al. [GCS<sup>+</sup>14] gives a broad introduction to the field of *Bayesian data analysis*. Based on the framework presented by Stuart [Stu10] on Bayesian methods for inverse problems, we focus on the application of Bayesian inference to time-dependent inverse problems, called *Bayesian filtering*. We will demonstrate that under weak model assumptions, the solution can be shown to be well-posed, using a definition of well-posedness similar to Hadamard's [Had02].

Very often, the solution of an inverse problem given in the Bayesian framework does not admit any analytical solution. Since one is interested in obtaining summarized statistics about the solution of the inverse problem, such as mean and variance, numerical approximations will involve computing integrals over the parameter space. Since volumes grow exponentially with the number of dimensions, classical methods of numerical integration cannot be used for high-dimensional problems. This phenomenon is called the *curse of dimensionality*.

Fortunately, other numerical approximations were developed that do not suffer from the curse of dimensionality. Typically, such approximations work by generating pseudo-random values distributed according to the posterior distribution and use them to approximate the hard integral. Some variation of the law of large numbers will then provide dimensionality-free error bounds, making these methods suited for high-dimensional problems. A common class of algorithms falling in this category are the *Markov Chain Monte Carlo* (MCMC) methods, presented by Metropolis et al. [MRR<sup>+</sup>53] for a specific class of problems, and later extended to the general case by Hastings [Has70]. While having dimensionality-free error bounds, MCMC algorithms often need a lot of knowledge and tunning to properly

1 INTRODUCTION 2

operate. A simpler method to operate is *importance sampling* (IS) (NOTE: need ref), where the sampling is done by choosing an auxiliary distribution that is similar to the target distribution, but from which direct sampling is easier. The discrepancy between the generated samples and a sample generated from the posterior distribution is then corrected by assigning correction weights to the values of the sample. However, choosing an auxiliary distribution that is close to the target distribution is not always possible, and failling to do so results in a poor estimation of the posterior distribution.

Sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) [DMDJ06] is a method merging ideas of MCMC and IS samplers in an attempt to solve major problems found in these other two methods. This sampler was created to approximate sequences of distributions, such as those found in data assimilation problems. However, it can also be used on an artificial sequence of distributions to interpolate between a simple initial auxiliary distribution and the true posterior. This is done by Beskos et al. [BJMS15] for approximating the solution of a Bayesian inverse problem associated to elliptic PDEs. By drawing parallels to particle physics, Del Moral [DM13, DM04] provides convergence results of the algorithm that will be presented in this thesis.

In their work, Allmaras et al. [ABL<sup>+</sup>13] give a case study-based introduction to the whole process of Bayesian techniques for solving inverse problems. This thesis will follow a similar approach, by structuring itself around a simple time-dependent Bayesian filtering problem. The system studied is the simple pendulum, an idealized model for a pendulum in which the mass of the pendulum and the air friction are ignored. It can be described by a second-order, non-linear differential equation with a parameter representing the gravitational acceleration. We will describe the model of the pendulum, together with the inversion task of estimating the gravitational acceleration from a set of measurements taken in an experiment.

The rest of the thesis is structured as follows. In Section 2, we describe time-dependent inverse problems and Bayesian filtering. Moreover, we show how to formulate the pendulum problem in the Bayesian framework. In Section 3, we present the construction of the SMC algorithm and show the parallels to IS and MCMC. We also present a proof of convergence of the algorithm and discuss possible extensions. We conclude the section by computing and comparing numerical solutions to the pendulum problem. Finally, Section 4 discusses other application areas and current research on SMC algorithms.

## 2 Bayesian Filtering

#### 2.1 Overview

This section will introduce and describe the Bayesian for solving time-dependent inverse problems, laying out the theoretical foundations of the taken approach. In Section 2.3 we reformulate the definition of inverse problems for the finite-dimensional time-dependent case. We then present the pendulum problem, which will be studied along the whole thesis to illustrate important ideas. In Section 2.4 we present first present the classical approach for solving inverse problems and the challenges it encounters with noisy data. We next present the Bayesian approach, and show how it incorporates prior information about the structure of the problem to address uncertainty. We then adapt the definition of the pendulum problem to the Bayesian framework. Finally, Section 2.5 presents important results, including a characterization of the class of well-posed inverse problems. We conclude the section by proving that the pendulum problem is well-posed.

#### 2.2 Set-Up

We study parametrized models for which the value of the parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$  is unknown or uncertain. To model the behaviour of the system, we introduce forward response operators  $\mathcal{G}: \Theta \to Y$  mapping values of the parameter space to the data space, assuming both spaces to be finite-dimensional vector spaces.

We consider a real system described by  $\mathcal{G}$  and the true parameter  $\theta_{true} \in \Theta$ , and assume that observations  $y \in Y$  of the system are available from measurements. To model the noise often present in such measurements, we treat y as a realization of  $\mathcal{G}(\theta_{true}) + \eta$  where  $\eta$  is a mean-zero random variable, usually chosen to be Gaussian with covariance matrix  $\Gamma$ . This leaves us with the equation

$$y = \mathcal{G}(\theta_{true}) + \eta, \tag{1}$$

and the question To which extent can we find the inverse of the data y under the forward response operator G? Answering this question is known as the inverse problem.

In this thesis, we assume a specific structure on the forward response operator and on the data. The systems are assumed to be time-dependent and described as the solution of a deterministic initial value problem of the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = f(x;\theta)$$

$$x(0) = x_0,$$
(2)

where  $\theta \in \Theta$  is the parameter of the model, and the solution  $x(t;\theta) \in X$  is assumed to exist for every time  $t \geq 0$ . We further assume the measurements of the system to be sequentially taken at times  $0 \leq t_1 < \ldots < t_N$ . We use an observational operator  $\mathcal{O}: X \to Y$  to model the measurement procedure, mapping states of the system to observations. We can then define a sequence of forward response operator  $\mathcal{G}_i: \Theta \to Y$  all given by  $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{O} \circ x(t_i; \cdot)$ . We keep modeling the noise present by treating each observation  $y_i$  as the realization of adding Gaussian noise to the forward response operator, now considering the sequence of noise variables  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_N$  to be i.i.d. mean-zero Gaussian variables. Instead of trying to inverse one equation, we now consider the following set of equations

$$y_i = \mathcal{G}_i(\theta_{true}) + \eta_i. \tag{3}$$

This allows us to reformulate the question from above as *How can we use new observations* to update our knowledge about  $\theta_{true}$ ? Answering this new question is called the *filtering* problem, and solving inserve and filtering problems will be the focus of this thesis.

#### 2.3 Pendulum problem

We now introduce a filtering problem that will guide the rest of the thesis. Using a pendulum, we would like to estimate the value of the Earth's gravitational acceleration. To do this, we first had to model the behaviour of the pendulum using a model parametrized by the gravitational acceleration g. We chose to use the *simple pendulum model*, a simplified model that ignores the mass of the hanging mass and of the string, ignores the forces of friction present on the hanging mass and assumes the movement on the pendulum is only happening on one plane. This model is illustrated in Figure 1. This simplification allows to model the state of the pendulum with a single value x(t) representing the angle of the pendulum to the resting point, described by the following differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = -\frac{g}{l}\sin(x). \tag{4}$$

In this model, g is the Earth's gravitational acceleration and l is the length of the string holding the hanging mass.

We proceeded to run an experiment, in which the pendulum was let go from an initial angle  $x(0) = 5\frac{\pi}{180}$  and no initial velocity. We then measured the first N = 11 times at which the pendulum was aligned with the vertical axis, indicating a null angle. The variance of the Gaussian error was chosen to be of about one percent of a 1° angle giving  $\sigma_i^2 = 0.001$ .

#### 2.4 Bayesian filtering

The previous paragraphs focused on giving a definition of inverse and filtering problems. Before starting to discussing frameworks for expressing such problems, we define the useful concept of *well-posedness* first given by Hadamard for describing properties of models of physical phenomena.

**Definition 2.1** (Well-posedness). A problem is said to be well-posed if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. a solution exists,
- 2. the solution is unique,
- 3. the solution changes continuously with the initial condition.

A problem failing to satisfy these conditions is said to be ill-posed. In the context of inverse problems, the 3rd property should be understand as continuity of the solution of the problem with respect to the data.

A possible way to solve inverse problems is to try to find a value  $\hat{\theta} \in \Theta$  that solves the inverse problem as well as possible. This is done by replacing the inverse problem by the optimization problem

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|y - \mathcal{G}(\theta)\|_{Y}.$$

However, finding a global minimum in the presence of noise is often a difficult task since it might not exist, or the minimized function might admit multiple local minima. Solving the inverse problem by minimization is thus an ill-posed problem. While some of these problems can be addresses by regularization, two issues remain unresolved. First, regularization and the choice of the minimized norm are ad hoc decisions that are not part of the modeling process but rather tuning parameters of the optimization problem. Then, assuming that the optimization algorithm does provide an estimate  $\hat{\theta}$ , this point estimate does not contain any information about the uncertainty around this estimation, making it hard to take decisions based on this result.

We choose instead to study Bayesian methods for solving inverse problems.

# 3 Sequential Monte Carlo

4 CASE STUDY 7

# 4 Case Study

5 CONCLUSION 8

## 5 Conclusion

A APPENDIX 9

# A Appendix

LIST OF FIGURES 10

### List of Figures

#### List of Tables

#### References

- [ABL<sup>+</sup>13] Moritz Allmaras, Wolfgang Bangerth, Jean Marie Linhart, Javier Polanco, Fang Wang, Kainan Wang, Jennifer Webster, and Sarah Zedler. Estimating parameters in physical models through bayesian inversion: A complete example. SIAM Review, 55(1):149–167, 2013.
- [BJMS15] Alexandros Beskos, Ajay Jasra, Ege A Muzaffer, and Andrew M Stuart. Sequential monte carlo methods for bayesian elliptic inverse problems. *Statistics and Computing*, 25(4):727–737, 2015.
- [DM04] Pierre Del Moral. Feynman-kac formulae. Springer, 2004.
- [DM13] Pierre Del Moral. Mean field simulation for Monte Carlo integration. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2013.
- [DMDJ06] Pierre Del Moral, Arnaud Doucet, and Ajay Jasra. Sequential monte carlo samplers. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology), 68(3):411–436, 2006.
- [GCS<sup>+</sup>14] Andrew Gelman, John B Carlin, Hal S Stern, David B Dunson, Aki Vehtari, and Donald B Rubin. *Bayesian data analysis*, volume 2. CRC press Boca Raton, FL, 2014.
- [Had02] Jacques Hadamard. Sur les problèmes aux dérivés partielles et leur signification physique. *Princeton University Bulletin*, 13:49–52, 1902.
- [Has70] W Keith Hastings. Monte carlo sampling methods using markov chains and their applications. *Biometrika*, 57(1):97–109, 1970.
- [KS06] Jari Kaipio and Erkki Somersalo. Statistical and computational inverse problems, volume 160. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [Lap20] Pierre Simon Laplace. Théorie analytique des probabilités. Courcier, 1820.
- [MRR<sup>+</sup>53] Nicholas Metropolis, Arianna W Rosenbluth, Marshall N Rosenbluth, Augusta H Teller, and Edward Teller. Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines. *The journal of chemical physics*, 21(6):1087–1092, 1953.

REFERENCES 11

[Stu10] A. M. Stuart. Inverse problems: A bayesian perspective. Acta Numerica, 19:451–559, 2010.