# Capacity of Multi-antenna Gaussian Channels (I. E. Telatar, 1999)

#### Mattia Lecci<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Università degli Studi di Padova Department of Information Engineering (DEI-UniPD)

<sup>2</sup>Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Escola Tècnica Superior d'Engenyeria de Telecomunicació de Barcelona (UPC-ETSETB)

January 2018





## Overview

- Introduction
  - Abstract
  - Notation and Assumptions
  - Properties and Lemmas
- $oxed{2}$  Gaussian Channel with Deterministic H
  - Capacity Derivation
  - Examples
  - Formal Derivation of Capacity

## Table of Contents

- Introduction
  - Abstract
  - Notation and Assumptions
  - Properties and Lemmas
- $oxed{2}$  Gaussian Channel with Deterministic H
  - Capacity Derivation
  - Examples
  - Formal Derivation of Capacity

Introduction January 2018 3 / 20

#### **Abstract**

In this presentation I will talk about the capacity of a single-user Gaussian channel with multiple receiving and/or transmitting antennas (also known as MIMO channel).

I will talk about 3 cases:

- Deterministic channel
- Random i.i.d. ergodic channel
- Bonus: multi-user case

#### Notation I

The notation adopted is halfway between the one used during the course and the one from the original paper.

Let's denote with t the number of transmitting and with r the number of receiving antennas.

We will consider the classical linear model, where  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^t$  is the transmitted vector and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^r$  is the received vector,  $H \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times t}$  is the complex channel matrix and  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{C}^r$  is the noise

$$y = Hx + n$$

## Notation II

We assume noise at different receivers to be independent and normalized, i.e.  $E[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\dagger}] = I_r$ .

The dag  $(\dagger)$  notation is used for the conjugate-transpose operation.

We have the power constraint

$$E\left[\mathbf{x}^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}\right] = E\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\dagger}\right)\right] = \operatorname{tr}\left(E\left[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\dagger}\right]\right) \leq P$$

A complex random vector (r.ve.)  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be Complex Gaussian if its real extension  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}\{\mathbf{x}\} \\ \operatorname{Im}\{\mathbf{x}\} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is Gaussian.

The r.ve.  ${\bf x}$  will have mean and covariance respectively  $\mu=E[{\bf x}]$  and  $Q={\rm Cov}(Q)=E[({\bf x}-\mu)({\bf x}-\mu)^{\dagger}]$ 

## Notation III

Defining for a complex matrix A

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(A) & -\operatorname{Im}(A) \\ \operatorname{Im}(A) & \operatorname{Re}(A) \end{bmatrix}$$

we say that a Complex Gaussian r.ve. is circularly symmetric if  $\text{Cov}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = E[(\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \hat{\mu})(\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \hat{\mu})^T] = \frac{1}{2}\hat{Q}$ 

## pdf of circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian

The pdf of a circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian is

$$\gamma_{\mu,Q} = \det(\pi \hat{Q})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(\hat{x}-\hat{\mu})^T \hat{Q}(\hat{x}-\hat{\mu})}$$
$$= \det(\pi Q)^{-1} e^{-(x-\mu)^{\dagger} Q(x-\mu)}$$

# Properties and Lemmas I

#### Lemma 1

The following properties hold:

$$C = AB \iff \hat{C} = \hat{A}\hat{B}$$
 (1a)

$$C = A + B \iff \hat{C} = \hat{A} + \hat{B}$$
 (1b)

$$C = A^{\dagger} \iff \hat{C} = \hat{A}^{T}$$
 (1c)

$$C = A^{-1} \iff \hat{C} = \hat{A}^{-1} \tag{1d}$$

$$\det(\hat{A}) = |\det(A)|^2 = \det(AA^{\dagger})$$
 (1e)

$$z = x + y \iff \hat{z} = \hat{x} + \hat{y} \tag{1f}$$

$$y = Ax \iff \hat{y} = \hat{A}\hat{x} \tag{1g}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{x^{\dagger}y\right\} = \hat{a}^{T}\hat{y} \tag{1h}$$

# Properties and Lemmas II

## Corollary 1

A matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is unitary if and only if  $\hat{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$  is orthonormal.

## Corollary 2

If  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is positive semi-definite, then so is  $\hat{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ .

#### Lemma 2

Suppose the complex r.ve.  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is zero-mean and satisfies  $E\left[xx^\dagger\right] = Q$ . Then the differential entropy of  $\mathbf{x}$  satisfies  $h(\mathbf{x}) \leq \log \det(\pi eQ)$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x}$  is circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian with

$$E\left[xx^{\dagger}\right] = Q$$

In other words, circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian r.ve. are entropy maximizers for the class of complex random vectors.

9 / 20

# Properties and Lemmas III

#### Lemma 3

If  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian then so is y = Ax for any  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ .

#### Lemma 4

f  ${\bf x}$  and  ${\bf y}$  are independent circularly symmetric Complex Gaussians, then  ${\bf z}={\bf x}+{\bf y}$  is also circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian.

10 / 20

## Table of Contents

- Introduction
  - Abstract
  - Notation and Assumptions
  - Properties and Lemmas
- $oldsymbol{2}$  Gaussian Channel with Deterministic H
  - Capacity Derivation
  - Examples
  - Formal Derivation of Capacity

# Capacity Derivation I

We first consider the case of a deterministic (fixed) transfer function H. Note that the capacity is a function of both the transfer function and the power constraint, i.e. C(H,P). We consider the case where both receiver and transmitter know the matrix H.

## Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Any matrix  $H \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times t}$  can be decomposed as

$$H = UDV^{\dagger}$$

where  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{C}^{t \times t}$  are unitary,  $D \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times t}$  is diagonal with non-negative real entries.

In fact, the columns of U are the eigenvectors of  $HH^\dagger$ , the columns of V are the eigenvectors of  $H^\dagger H$  and the diagonal entries of D, called singular values of H, are the eigenvalues, which coincide for the two cases and given the hermitianity of such matrices, they are real and non-negative.

# Capacity Derivation II

Thus, the problem can be seen as follows

$$\mathbf{y} = UDV^{\dagger}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}$$

Therefore, preprocessing the transmitted symbol as  $\mathbf{x}=V\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}=U^{\dagger}\mathbf{y}$  and defining  $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}=U^{\dagger}\mathbf{n}$ , we get

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = D\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \tilde{\mathbf{n}}$$

as an equivalent channel.

Calling  $\sigma_i = \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$  the singular values for  $i=1,\ldots,\min(r,t)$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i = \sigma_i \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i + \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_i & 1 \le i \le \min(r, t) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_i & i > \min(r, t) \end{cases}$$

# Capacity Derivation III

It's clear that in order to maximize the capacity we need to choose  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \min(r,t)$  (actually only up to the number of non-zero singular values) to be independent, zero-mean, circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian.

It can be shown that the optimal variances must follow once again the "water-filling" equations

$$\begin{cases} E[\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i)] = E[\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i)] = \frac{1}{2} (\mu - \lambda_i^{-1})^+ \\ P = \sum_i (\mu - \lambda_i^{-1})^+ \\ C = \sum_i (\log(\mu \lambda_i))^+ \end{cases}$$

## Example

Example 1 MAYBE?????

# Formal Derivation of Capacity I

I will now proceed in the derivation of the capacity in a more formal way. This also will be used with some slight differences in the derivation of the capacity when  ${\bf H}$  is not deterministic.

Recall, the mutual information that we are interested in is

$$I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) = h(\mathbf{y}) - h(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{y}) - h(\mathbf{n})$$

Note that if  $\mathbf x$  satisfies  $E[\mathbf x^\dagger \mathbf x] \leq P$ , so does  $\mathbf x - E[\mathbf x]$ , thus we will only focus on zero-mean  $\mathbf x$ .

Furthermore, if  ${\bf x}$  is zero-mean with covariance  $E\big[{\bf x}{\bf x}^\dagger\big]=Q$ , then  ${\bf y}$  is zero-mean with covariance  $E\big[{\bf y}{\bf y}^\dagger\big]=HQH^\dagger+I_r$ , and by Lemma 2 among such  ${\bf y}$  the entropy is largest when  ${\bf y}$  is circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian, which is the case when  ${\bf x}$  is circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian (Lemmas 3 and 4).

# Formal Derivation of Capacity II

Considering, then,  ${\bf x}$  circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian, we have

$$I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) = h(\mathbf{y}) - h(\mathbf{n}) = \log \det \left( \pi e (I_r + HQH^{\dagger}) \right) - \log \det (\pi e I_r)$$
$$= \log \det \left( I_r + HQH^{\dagger} \right) = \log \det \left( I_t + QH^{\dagger} H \right)$$

where the last equality is given by *Sylvester's determinant identity*, that states  $\det(I+AB) = \det(I+BA)$ .

Since it occurs many times during the paper, we define

$$\Psi(Q, H) = \log \det \left( I_r + HQH^{\dagger} \right)$$

# Formal Derivation of Capacity III

Since we're dealing with zero-mean circularly symmetric Complex Gaussian, we only need to find a positive semi-definite matrix Q to fully determine the distribution, such that  $\operatorname{tr}(Q) \leq P$ .

Notice that  $H^\dagger H$  is hermitian, thus it can be decomposed as  $H^\dagger H = V^\dagger \Lambda V$  with unitary V and diagonal positive semi-definite  $\Lambda$ . Thus, using Sylvester's identity again we obtain

$$\log \det \left( I_t + QH^{\dagger}H \right) = \log \det \left( I_t + \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}VQV^{\dagger}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$
$$= \log \det \left( I_t + \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{Q}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

where we noticed that Q and  $\tilde{Q}$  are equivalent for both definiteness and power constraint, so we can as well optimize over  $\tilde{Q}$ .

# Formal Derivation of Capacity IV

A special case of *Hadamard's inequality* for positive semi-definite matrices, states that

$$I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) = \log \det \left( I_t + \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{Q} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \le \prod_i (1 + \tilde{Q}_{ii} \lambda_i)$$

with equality for diagonal matrices.

Thus, we see that the maximizing  $\tilde{Q}=VQV^{\dagger}$  is diagonal, and the optimal entries follow the water-filling equations, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{Q}_{ii} = (\mu - \lambda_i^{-1})^+ \\ P = \sum_i \tilde{Q}_{ii} \\ C = \sum_i (\log(\mu \lambda_i))^+ \end{cases}$$

#### References



E. Telatar, "Capacity of multi-antenna gaussian channels," *European Transactions on Telecommunications*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 585–595, 1999.