

CORRIGENDUM TO 'TIME-INCONSISTENT MEAN-FIELD OPTIMAL STOPPING: A LIMIT APPROACH'

BOUALEM DJEHICHE AND MATTIA MARTINI

A CORRECTION OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3

We provide a correction of the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [DM23]. This result plays a key role in the proof of the main result of [DM23], Theorem 3.4. In the proof of Theorem 3.3, we used a well known law of large numbers whose statement in Eq. (3.24) is unfortunately wrong.

First, we recall that the family of interacting Snell envelopes $\{Y^{i,n}\}_{i=1}^n$ and the family of finite horizon stopping problems $\{Y^i\}_{i \geq 1}$ are defined by (2.4) and (2.5) in [DM23]. The function h and sequence $\{\xi^i\}_{i \geq 1}$ satisfy Assumption 2.1 in [DM23]. Moreover, we assume that, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$(1.1) \quad \xi^i \geq h(\xi^i, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \xi^j), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We refer to this new set of hypotheses as Assumption 2.1 -1.1, and in what follows we suppose that it holds. For the new proof, we need to introduce a (fixed) sequence of random variables $\{\alpha_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ which are all independent of $\{\mathbb{F}^i\}_{i \geq 1}$ and for which

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] = 1 - 2^{-j}, \quad \text{Var}(\alpha_j) \leq a^j, \quad j \geq 1, \quad |\mathbb{E}[\alpha_j \alpha_k]| \leq a^{|j-k|}, \quad j, k \geq 1,$$

for a given $a \in (0, 1)$. We note that

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] - 1)^2, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var}(\alpha_j) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a^j = 0.$$

The next lemma is the main ingredient in the new proof of Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 1.1. *The following law of large numbers (LLN) holds*

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{ess sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_\tau^j]) \right)^2 \right] = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{ess sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha_j) Y_\tau^j \right)^2 \right] = 0,$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{ess sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (Y_\tau^j - Y_\tau^i) \right)^2 \right] = 0.$$

Proof. To show (1.4), we note that since

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_\tau^j]) = \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_i Y_\tau^i - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i Y_\tau^i]) + \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (\alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_\tau^j]),$$

by Dominated Convergence it suffices to show

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{ess sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (\alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_\tau^j]) \right)^2 \right] = 0.$$

By the properties of the essential supremum, for each $n \geq 2$, there exists a sequence $\{\tau_m^n\}_{m \geq 1}$ from \mathcal{T}_0^i such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (\alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_\tau^j]) \right)^2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (\alpha_j Y_{\tau_m^n}^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_{\tau_m^n}^j]) \right)^2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and by Dominated Convergence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i}^n (\alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_\tau^j]) \right)^2 \right] &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i}^n (\alpha_j Y_{\tau_m^n}^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_{\tau_m^n}^j]) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i}^n (\alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_\tau^j]) \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that, since for any $j \neq i$, Y^j is independent of τ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^j] &= \int \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^j | \tau = t] F_\tau(dt) = \int \mathbb{E}[Y_t^j | \tau = t] F_\tau(dt) = \int \mathbb{E}[Y_t^j] F_\tau(dt) \\ &= \int \mathbb{E}[Y_t^j] F_\tau(dt) := \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y_s^j] |_{s=\tau}], \end{aligned}$$

since the Y^j 's are i.i.d., where $F_\tau(dt)$ denotes the probability distribution of τ . Similar calculations yield, for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i$ and every $\ell, j \neq i$,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^\ell Y_\tau^j] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[Y_s^1])^2 |_{s=\tau}] \geq 0.$$

Moreover, the independence of (α_j, α_k) from (Y_τ^j, Y_τ^k) entails

$$\operatorname{cov}(\alpha_j Y_\tau^j, \alpha_k Y_\tau^k) = \operatorname{cov}(\alpha_j, \alpha_k) \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^j Y_\tau^k] + \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] \mathbb{E}[\alpha_k] \operatorname{cov}(Y_\tau^j, Y_\tau^k).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (\alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_\tau^j]) \right)^2 \right] &= \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \sum_{j,k, j,k \neq i}^n \operatorname{cov}(\alpha_j Y_\tau^j, \alpha_k Y_\tau^k) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \frac{2}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n a^m \right) \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^1|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This bound, being uniform in τ and in $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, yields (1.4) due to (1.3).

The limit (1.5) is derived as follows. Due to (1.2), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the independence of α_j from Y_τ^j , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha_j) Y_\tau^j \right)^2 \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha_j)^2 \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^1|^2 \right] \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^1|^2 \right] \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(\alpha_j) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] - 1)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0, by (1.3).

We now show (1.6). We have \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (Y_\tau^j - Y_\tau^i) \right| &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (Y_t^j - Y_t^i) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j Y_t^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] \mathbb{E}[Y_t^j] \right| + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j Y_t^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] \mathbb{E}[Y_t^i] \right|, \\ &\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^j] \right| + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^i] \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathbb{E}[Y_t^i] = \mathbb{E}[Y_t^j]$ for each $t \in [0, T]$, since the Y^i 's are i.i.d. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (1.8) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (Y_\tau^j - Y_\tau^i) \right)^2 \right] &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^j] \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^i] \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Following the same steps as in the proof of (1.4) (in this case the calculations are simplified), we obtain

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j Y_\tau^i - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^i] \right)^2 \right] = 0.$$

The limit (1.6) follows by further applying (1.4) to the first term of the r.h.s. of (1.8). \square

We will now state a new and correct version of [DM23, Theorem 3.3] and sketch its proof. Notice that we also substitute the condition $\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 < \frac{1}{16}$ with a new (and more efficient) one.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Assumption 2.1-1.1 holds and let us assume that γ_1 and γ_2 satisfy the new condition*

$$(1.10) \quad \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 < \frac{1}{12}.$$

Then, it holds that

$$(1.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^{i,n} - Y_t^i|^2 \right] = 0.$$

Proof. As in the proof of [DM23, Theorem 3.3], we have that for any $t \leq T$,

$$(1.12) \quad |Y_t^{i,n} - Y_t^i| \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\gamma_1 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s^{i,n} - Y_s^i| + \frac{\gamma_2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s^{j,n} - Y_s^j| + \gamma_2 G^{i,n} \mid \mathcal{F}_t^i \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G^{i,n} := & \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha_j Y_\tau^j - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_j Y_\tau^j]) \right| + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (Y_\tau^j - Y_\tau^i) \right| \\ & + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^i} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha_j) Y_\tau^j \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[\alpha_j] - 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s^j| \right] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the exchangeability of $\{Y^{j,n}, Y^j\}_{j=1}^n$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Doob's inequality, if we set $C := 12\gamma_2^2(1 - 12(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2))^{-1}$, by (1.2) and Lemma 1.1 we have

$$(1.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^{i,n} - Y_t^i|^2 \right] \leq C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}[(G^{i,n})^2] = 0.$$

Now, from (1.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 12\gamma_1^2) \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^{i,n} - Y_t^i|^2 \right] & \leq 12\gamma_2^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^{j,n} - Y_t^j|^2 \right] \\ & \quad + 12\gamma_2^2 \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}[(G^{i,n})^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since (1.10) entails $12\gamma_1^2 < 1$ and in view of Lemma 1.1 and (1.13), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^{i,n} - Y_t^i|^2 \right] = 0.$$

\square

REFERENCES

- [Ald81] D. J. Aldous, *Weak convergence and the general theory of processes*, Preprint: <https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~aldous/Papers/weak-gtp.pdf>, 1981.
- [DM23] B. Djehiche and M. Martini, *Time-inconsistent mean-field optimal stopping: A limit approach*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **528** (2023), no. 1, 127582.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 100 44, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
Email address: boualem@kth.se

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D'AZUR, CNRS, LABORATOIRE J. A. DIEUDONNÉ, 06108 NICE, FRANCE
Email address: mattia.martini@univ-cotedazur.fr