# Machine Learning Assignment 2: Neural Networks

#### Mattia Palmiotto

### Question 1 (20 points).

I decompose the loss L into

$$L = \sum_{k=1}^{4} l_k$$
$$l_k = \frac{1}{2} (y_k - t_k)^2$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial s} = \sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{\partial l_k}{\partial s}$$

for any value s. Hence, I compute the gradients of  $l_k$  and then sum them to obtain the desired quantities.

I do the calculations using the NumPy library of Python. Fist, I initialize the needed quantities:

```
import numpy as np
```

```
x_1 = np.array([0.6,-1.0])
x_2 = np.array([0.8,-1.0])
x_3 = np.array([-0.4,0.9])
x_4 = np.array([0.2,0.0])

X = np.array([x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4])
t = np.array([-0.8, -0.1, 0.9, 0.7])

W1 = np.array([[-0.8, -0.7, 0.6],[-1.0, 0.5, -1.0]])
b1 = np.array([-0.2, -1.0, -0.7])
w2 = np.array([0.1, -1.0, 0.5])
b2 = -0.7
```

```
U1 = X @ W1 + b1
def relu(x):
   return(np.maximum(0,x))
Z1 = relu(U1)
Z1_T = np.transpose(Z1)
Y = w2 @ Z1_T + b2
diff = Y - t
\# L = (1/2)*sum(diff**2)
# Useful quantities
w2_T = w2.reshape(3,1)
def der_relu(x):
   if x>0:
       return 1
   else:
       return 0
der_relu_array = np.vectorize(der_relu)
Z1_der = der_relu_array(U1)
Derivative with respect to b^{(2)}
            \frac{\partial l_k}{\partial b^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial l_k}{\partial y_k} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial b^{(2)}} = y_k - t_k = \mathbf{w}^{(2)} f(x_k \mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)})^T + b^{(2)} - t_k
L_wrt_b2 = np.round(sum(diff),5)
print('\nThe derivative of L wrt b2 is\n',L_wrt_b2)
The derivative of L wrt b2 is
```

-2.732

## Gradient with respect to $b^{(1)}$

$$\frac{\partial l_k}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial l_k}{\partial y_k} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial z_k^{(1)}} \frac{\partial z_k^{(1)}}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{(1)}} = (\mathbf{w}^{(2)} f(x_k \mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)})^T + b^{(2)} - t_k) \cdot \mathbf{w}^{(2)} f'(x_k \mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)})^T$$

lk\_wrt\_b1 = diff\*np.transpose(w2\*Z1\_der)

L\_wrt\_b1 = np.round(np.sum(lk\_wrt\_b1,axis=1),5)

print('\nThe gradient of L wrt b1 is\n',L\_wrt\_b1)

The gradient of L wrt b1 is [0.0268 0. 0.134]

## Gradient with respect to $\mathbf{w}^{(2)}$

$$\frac{\partial l_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial l_k}{\partial y_k} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{(2)}} = (\mathbf{w}^{(2)} f(x_k \mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)})^T + b^{(2)} - t_k) f(x_k \mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)})^T$$

lk\_wrt\_w2 = diff\*Z1\_T

L\_wrt\_w2 = np.round(np.sum(lk\_wrt\_w2,axis=1),5)

print('\nThe gradient of L wrt w2 is\n',L\_wrt\_w2)

The gradient of L wrt w2 is [0.1168 0. 0.1536]

## Gradient with respect to $W^{(1)}$

$$\frac{\partial l_k}{\partial w_{mn}^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial l_k}{\partial y_k} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial z_k^{(1)}} \frac{\partial z_k^{(1)}}{\partial w_{mn}^{(1)}} = (\mathbf{w}^{(2)} f(x_k \mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)})^T + b^{(2)} - t_k) \cdot w_n^{(2)} \cdot f'(x_k \mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)})^T \cdot x_{km}$$

 $l1_wrt_W1 = diff[0] * (np.transpose(w2_T * x_1)*Z1_der[0])$ 

 $12_{\text{wrt}} = \text{diff}[1] * (\text{np.transpose}(w2_T * x_2)*Z1_der[1])$ 

 $13_{\text{wrt}} = \text{diff}[2] * (np.transpose(w2_T * x_3)*Z1_der[2])$ 

 $14_{wrt_W1} = diff[3] * (np.transpose(w2_T * x_4)*Z1_der[3])$ 

lk\_wrt\_W1 = np.array([l1\_wrt\_W1, l2\_wrt\_W1, l3\_wrt\_W1, l4\_wrt\_W1])

L\_wrt\_W1 = np.round(np.sum(lk\_wrt\_W1,axis=0),5)

print('\nThe gradient of L wrt W1 is\n',L\_wrt\_W1)

The gradient of L wrt W1 is [[ 0.0122 0. 0.061 ] [-0.0268 0. -0.134 ]]

#### Question 2 (15 points).

In the general case:

$$E = \sum_{k} e_{k}$$

$$e_{k} = -t_{k} \log \left( \frac{\exp(y_{k})}{\sum_{j} \exp(y_{j})} \right) = -\left( t_{k} \log(\exp(y_{k})) - t_{k} \log \left( \sum_{j} \exp(y_{j}) \right) \right) =$$

$$= t_{k} \log \left( \sum_{j} \exp(y_{j}) \right) - t_{k} y_{k}$$

$$\frac{\partial e_{k}}{\partial y_{i}} = \begin{cases} t_{k} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \exp(y_{j})} \cdot \exp(y_{i}) = \frac{t_{k} \exp(y_{i})}{\sum_{j} \exp(y_{j})} & \text{if } i \neq k \\ \frac{t_{k} \exp(y_{k})}{\sum_{j} \exp(y_{j})} - t_{k} & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_{i}} = \sum_{k} \frac{\partial e_{k}}{\partial y_{i}} = \left( \sum_{k} \frac{t_{k} \exp(y_{i})}{\sum_{j} \exp(y_{j})} \right) - t_{i} = \frac{\exp(y_{i})}{\sum_{j} \exp(y_{j})} \left( \sum_{k} t_{k} \right) - t_{i}$$

In the case in which the target is a one-hot vector s.t.  $t_h = 1$  and  $t_k = 0$  for each  $k \neq h$ , we have that  $e_k = 0$  for each  $k \neq h$ . Therefore, the desired quantity becomes:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\exp(y_i)}{\sum_j \exp(y_j)} t_h - t_i = \frac{\exp(y_i)}{\sum_j \exp(y_j)} - t_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\exp(y_i)}{\sum_j \exp(y_j)} & \text{if } i \neq h \\ \frac{\exp(y_i)}{\sum_j \exp(y_j)} - 1 & \text{if } i = h \end{cases}$$

#### Question 3 (15 points).

The function  $f(x) = 5x^2 + 2$  is a convex and positive function which corresponds to the equation of a parabola. The vertex of the parabola is the point (0,2), which is also the minimum of f: this is a standard result of analytic geometry, however it is also easy to check that the first and the second derivatives of f are 10x and 10 respectively. In particular, x = 0 is a stationary point and the positive second derivative imply that it is a minimum (it also implies that the function is convex). Hence, we want  $x_n$  to converge

to 0.

Let  $x_0$  be our starting point. By recursion, we have that, for each  $n \ge 1$ :

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - 10\eta f'(x_{n-1}) = x_{n-1} - 10\eta x_{n-1} = (1 - 10\eta)x_{n-1} = (1 - 10\eta)^n x_0$$

It follows that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$  if and only if  $|1 - 10\eta| < 1$ . We want that:

$$\begin{cases} 1 - 10\eta < 1 & \Leftrightarrow \eta > 0 \\ 1 - 10\eta > -1 & \Leftrightarrow \eta < 1/5 \end{cases}$$

We obtain that the range of values that  $\eta$  can take so that gradient descent converges to the minimum from any starting point is (0, 1/5).

We also observe that if  $\eta = 1/10$ , then the convergence is achieved in one single iteration. If  $\eta \neq 1/10$ , the speed of convergence is higher when the learning rate  $\eta$  is around 1/10 and lower when it is close to the extremes of the range.