

Subject identification through Neural SDEs on human gaze trajectories

Natural Interaction and Affective Computing

Mattia Vinciguerra and Ivan Coppola (45962A, 46647A)

September 29, 2025





Table of Contents

1 Introduction

▶ Introduction

- Methodology
- Results and Conclusions



- Biometric identification through gaze trajectories
- How eye-movements reflecting behavioral and cognitive patterns
- Challenges: stochastic, variable-length trajectories influenced by perception, cognition and micro eye-movements
 - Randomness in gaze: neural noise, perceptual variability, environmental factors
- Stochastic Differential Equations (SDEs): drift + diffusion to describe temporal dynamics
- Neural SDEs: parameterize drift and diffusion via neural networks
- Results: stochastic deep models capture dynamics, accuracy above baseline
- Applications: biometrics, human-computer interaction, neurological assessment



Biometrics

1 Introduction

- Definition: authentication based on physical and/or behavioral characteristics
- Rapidly expanding research field for security and authentication
 - Widely adopted in daily life (fingerprint, face recognition on smartphones, laptops, personal devices)
- Traditional biometrics: fingerprints, face, iris, retina
- Eye movements as biometric traits:
 - Strongly linked to cognitive processes
 - Hard to imitate
 - Based on unique internal behavioral patterns
- Less intrusive compared to physical biometrics



Human gaze trajectories

1 Introduction

- Gaze trajectories: continuous paths of the eye while exploring the environment
- Derived from eye-tracking devices capturing non-verbal and unconscious responses
- Reflect interplay between sensory (bottom-up) and cognitive (top-down) mechanisms
- Composed of:
 - Fixations: periods of relative stability
 - Saccades: rapid movements between fixations
 - Additional events: blinks, smooth pursuit



- Defined in two main ways:
 - Functional: maintaining target on the fovea, enabling visual processing
 - Oculomotor: periods when the eye remains relatively still
- Functional view: achieved via microsaccades, drift, tremor
- Oculomotor view: emphasizes kinematic stability of eye position
- Ambiguity arises from different frames of reference (eye vs. head vs. environment)



- Rapid eye movements that redirect gaze to a new region of the scene
- Typically occur between fixations
- Definitions vary depending on fixation interpretation:
 - Functional: saccades are distinct events, fixations include all stabilization (even pursuit, VOR)
 - Oculomotor: saccades are intervals between periods of relative eye stillness
- Role: enable exploration of the environment by shifting the fovea onto new regions of interest



Individual uniqueness

1 Introduction

- **Ideal biometric traits:** unique, stable, universal, easy to measure, cost-effective, acceptable
- No single biometric satisfies all criteria:
 - Fingerprints/retina: highly distinctive but intrusive
 - Voice/face: easy to capture but less discriminative

• Gaze as biometric:

- Gaze trajectories are partly random, partly guided by cognition
- Reflect personal adaptation and unique internal models
- Each individual shows distinctive fixation patterns

FIFA Dataset:

- Eye-tracking on natural images, same for all participants
- Originally for predicting gaze locations
- Our use: identify individuals by unique individual fixation patterns



The Foraging Theory in Gaze Biometrics

1 Introduction

- Ecological individuality: unique combination of traits, interactions, and behaviours
- Foraging behaviour: animals balance search, consumption, and relocation
 - Exploration-exploitation cycle
 - Stable inter-individual differences (personality, specialization)
- From food to information: ancestral foraging strategies evolved into cognitive information foraging
- Gaze as foraging:
 - Saccades = exploration (relocation)
 - Fixations = exploitation (local resource use)
 - Eye movements shaped by stimuli, tasks, and internal states
- Implication: gaze trajectories reveal stable, individual-specific patterns analogous to foraging strategies



Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

1 Introduction

- OU process: mean-reverting Gaussian Markov process
- Linear SDE in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$d\mathbf{z}(t) = -\mathbf{\Theta}(\mathbf{z}(t) - \boldsymbol{\mu}) dt + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} d\mathbf{w}(t)$$

- Parameters:
 - Θ : mean-reversion strength
 - $-\mu$: equilibrium (fixation point)
 - $-\Sigma$: diffusion covariance (micro-saccades, tremor, drift, noise)
 - $-\mathbf{w}(t)$: standard Brownian motion
- Properties:
 - Stationary, Gaussian, ergodic
 - Closed-form transition densities
- Inductive biases for gaze modeling:
 - Mean reversion around fixation point
 - Temporally correlated Gaussian perturbations
 - Continuous-time dynamics for irregular sampling



Neural Stochastic Differential Equations (Neural SDEs)

1 Introduction

 Neural SDEs: extension of SDEs where drift and diffusion are parameterized by neural networks.

$$d\mathbf{z}(t) = f_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}(t), t) dt + g_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}(t), t) d\mathbf{w}(t),$$

where:

- $-\mathbf{z}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the latent state at time t,
- $-f_{\theta}(\mathbf{z},t)$ is the **drift** function, parameterized by neural network with parameters θ ,
- $-~g_{\phi}(\mathbf{z},t)$ is the **diffusion** function, parameterized by neural network with parameters ϕ ,
- $-\mathbf{w}(t)$ is standard Brownian motion (Wiener process).
- Applications: finance, particle systems, population dynamics, genetics
- Motivation for gaze modeling:
 - Fixations: small, stable micro-movements around targets
 - Trajectories are stochastic, variable in length, influenced by perception and cognition
 - Continuous-time dynamics: discrete-time models (e.g., RNNs) struggle with irregular sampling



Table of Contents

2 Methodology

Introduction

► Methodology



- Objective: Subject identification from gaze dynamics using Neural SDE-based architectures
- Approach: Task-independent eye movement biometrics
- Dataset: Free-viewing task with raw gaze data only
- Models: Baseline sequence models vs. Neural differential equations
- Focus: Assess whether stochastic diffusion captures user-specific patterns



Dataset: Fixations In Faces (FiFa)

2 Methodology

• Source: Moran Cerf et al. - FiFa database

• Task: Free-viewing of images (2 seconds per image)

• Participants: 8 subjects

• Data: 200 images per subject = 200 scanpaths per subject

• **Structure**: Each scanpath = sequence of 2021 gaze (x, y) coordinates



Preprocessing Pipeline

- Event classification: I-VT algorithm (Velocity-Threshold Identification)
- Segmentation: Raw gaze → fixations + saccades
- Filtering: Retain only fixation events for modeling
- Centering: Zero-center fixations by subtracting scanpath mean
- OU alignment: Centered process aligns with Ornstein-Uhlenbeck prior

$$d\mathbf{z}_t = -\mathbf{z}(t) dt + {}^{1/2} d\mathbf{w}(t)$$



- RNN: Basic recurrent unit with $\mathbf{h}_t = \phi(\mathbf{W}_{hh}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{W}_{xh}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t + \mathbf{b}_h)$
- **GRU**: Gated recurrent unit with update/reset gates

$$\mathbf{h}_t = (1 - \mathbf{z}_t) \odot \mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{z}_t \odot \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_t$$

LSTM: Long short-term memory with explicit cell state

$$\mathbf{c}_t = \mathbf{f}_t \odot \mathbf{c}_{t-1} + \mathbf{i}_t \odot \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_t$$



Neural Ordinary Differential Equations

- Classical ODE: Deterministic, Markovian, continuous-time, smooth
- Neural extension: Replace parametric drift with neural network
- Formulation:

$$rac{d\mathbf{z}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{z}(t), t; heta), \quad \mathbf{z}(0) = g(\mathbf{x}_{1:T})$$

- Advantages: Memory-efficient adjoint training, adaptive computation
- Challenges: Purely deterministic, may underfit noisy gaze data



Neural Controlled Differential Equations

- Extension: ODEs driven by external control path
- Control-driven dynamics: Evolution depends on input trajectory velocity
- Formulation:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{z}(t), t) \frac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt}$$

- Advantages: Handles irregular sampling via spline interpolation
- Applications: Sequential data with nonuniform time points



Neural Stochastic Differential Equations

- Extension: Add stochastic diffusion term to capture uncertainty
- Neural parameterization: Both drift and diffusion are neural networks
- Formulation:

$$d\mathbf{z}(t) = f(\mathbf{z}(t), t; \theta_f) dt + g(\mathbf{z}(t), t; \theta_g) d\mathbf{w}(t)$$

- Components: Drift f (deterministic) + Diffusion g (stochastic)
- Goal: Model variability in human eye movement patterns



Stable Neural SDE Variants

- **Problem**: Generic Neural SDEs can be unstable
- Solution: Three stable architectures (Oh et al. 2024)
- Langevin-type (LSDE): $d\mathbf{z}(t) = \gamma(\mathbf{z}(t); \theta_{\gamma}) \, dt + \sigma(t; \theta_{\sigma}) \, d\mathbf{w}(t)$
 - Drift function not explicitly dependent on time
- Linear Noise (LNSDE): $d\mathbf{z}(t) = \gamma(t, \mathbf{z}(t); \theta_{\gamma}) dt + \sigma(t; \theta_{\sigma}) \mathbf{z}(t) d\mathbf{w}(t)$
 - Diffusion term scales linearly with the latent state
- Geometric (GSDE): $\frac{d\mathbf{z}(t)}{\mathbf{z}(t)} = \gamma(t,\mathbf{z}(t);\theta_{\gamma})\,dt + \sigma(t;\theta_{\sigma})d\mathbf{w}(t)$
 - Positive constraint and o state absorbing



Controlled Path Enhancement

- Motivation: Better capture sequential dependencies
- Augmented state: $\overline{\mathbf{z}}(t) = \zeta(t, \mathbf{z}(t), X(t); \theta_{\zeta})$
- Control path: X(t) = cubic spline interpolation of scanpath
- Integration: Replace $\mathbf{z}(t)$ with $\overline{\mathbf{z}}(t)$ in drift functions
- Benefit: Drift depends on current state + temporal history
- Limitation: Model becomes purely discriminative (no generation)



Training and Evaluation

- Classification head: Linear layer + softmax on final state \mathbf{h}_T
- Loss function: Cross-entropy $\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \log \hat{\gamma}_i$
- Hyperparameter tuning: Grid search over batch size + hidden dimension
- Metrics:
 - Epochs to convergence (validation loss minimum)
 - Cross-entropy loss
 - Fixation-level accuracy (individual fixations)
 - Scanpath-level accuracy (majority voting per sequence)



Table of Contents

3 Results and Conclusions

Introduction

▶ Methodology



Performance Comparison

3 Results and Conclusions

Table: Model performance metrics (best, second best)

Model	Epoch	Val Loss	Fix. Acc.	Scan. Acc.
RNN	19	1.970	0.255	0.312
GRU	33	1.500	0.502	0.704
LSTM	35	1.580	0.489	0.675
CDE	92	1.900	0.323	0.433
ODE	33	1.990	0.212	0.267
SDE	45	1.790	0.355	0.451
LSDE	165	1.270	0.583	0.787
LNSDE	169	1.217	0.571	0.775
GSDE	17	1.960	0.265	0.342



Key Findings: Baseline Models

- **GRU dominance**: Best among classical sequence models (\sim 50% fix. acc., \sim 70% scan. acc.)
- LSTM performance: Competitive but slightly behind GRU
- RNN limitation: Poorest performance among baselines
- Conclusion: Gating mechanisms crucial for noisy gaze sequences



Key Findings: Continuous-Time Models

- Neural ODE: Poorest overall performance
 - Deterministic dynamics insufficient for eye movement variability
- Neural CDE: Moderate performance
 - Handles irregular sampling but underfits discriminative task
- Generic Neural SDE: Marginal improvement over ODE and CDE but under the baseline (∼36% fix. acc., ∼45% scan. acc.)
 - Stochastic modeling is necessary for capturing eye movement variability but noise alone is insufficient



Key Findings: Stable Neural SDEs

- LSDE (Langevin-type): Best overall performance (\sim 58% fix. acc., \sim 79% scan. acc.)
 - Mean-reverting dynamics align with fixation behavior
- LNSDE (Linear Noise): Second best performance
 - State-dependent diffusion captures variability
- **GSDE** (**Geometric**): Underperforms stable variants
 - Constraints misaligned with our data



Limitations and Future Work

3 Results and Conclusions

• Current limitations:

- Controlled path models are purely discriminative
- Cannot generate novel gaze sequences
- Limited to fixation-based analysis

• Future directions:

- Generative extensions for sequence synthesis
- Saccade-based classification benchmarks



- Main finding: Stable Neural SDEs provide powerful framework for gaze-based identification
- Practical impact: Task-independent biometrics from raw gaze data
- Broader implications: Applicable to other irregular time series domains



Subject identification through Neural SDEs on human gaze trajectories

Thank you for listening