

MCMC: basics

So far we can:

- Sample some standard r.v.
(Gaussians, log normals, uniform etc)
- we can compute Gaussian approximations
- we can do importance sampling.

Another technique: Markov Chain Monte Carlo.

generate the samples
using a Markov Chain.

use samples to compute
expected values

$$E_p[f(x)] \approx \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} f(x_j)$$

$j=1, \dots, N_c$

What is a Markov Chain?

Define a "transition probab. q " : $q(x_k | x_{k-1})$

A Markov Chain is a random sequence $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_c}\}$
where $x_j \sim q(x_j | x_{j-1})$.

Examples: (i)

$$x_j = x_{j-1} + v_j$$

$$v_j \text{ iid } N(0,1)$$

Defines a Markov Chain

(ii)

$$x_j = f(x_{j-1}) + \sqrt{\Delta t} v_j, \quad v_j \text{ iid}$$

$v_j \sim N(0, I)$

\nearrow L'83 or L'95 dynamics

Defines a Markov Chain

(iii)

$$x_j \sim N(0,1)$$

also defines a MC b-t transition
distribution is independent of x_j

This is an
importance
sample! \Rightarrow

Properties of Markov Chains

- Markov Chain specified by initial value & transition probability.
- $X_n = f(X_{n-1}) + \text{noise}$: ~~the~~ next state depends only on immediately preceding state

Irreducible Markov Chain:

for any x and y , the chain ^{can} get from x to y in $n \geq 0$ steps and with non-zero probability

Notation: $P_{xy}^{(n)}$ - n step transition probability
 \hookrightarrow probability that $P(X_{t+n} = y | X_t = x)$

Above statement becomes:

For any x, y there exists an $n \geq 0$ such that
 $P_{xy}^{(n)} > 0$

Aperiodic Markov chain

For each x , define a "period d_x " by the greatest common ^{divisor} ~~divisor~~ of the numbers $n \geq 0$ for which

$P_{xx}^{(n)} > 0$. If $d_x = 1$, then the chain is aperiodic.

Stationary distribution:

What if $P(X_t = y | X_0 = x_0)$ becomes independent of x_0 ?

\hookrightarrow The chain "forgets" where it started

Suppose in addition that

$$P(X_t = y \mid X_0 = x_0)$$

also becomes independent of t . Then

$$P(X_t = y \mid X_0 = x_0) = \phi$$

↳ a distribution independent of t or x_0 .

We call ϕ the stationary distribution.

If a Markov Chain is irreducible & aperiodic, then it has a stationary distribution. This describes convergence of the chain. ⊛

Idea: to sample a given pdf ϕ ,
run a Markov Chain whose stationary distribution is ϕ .

⊛ Ergodic Theorem:

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be samples of an irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain with stationary distribution ϕ .

Then: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n u(X_k) \rightarrow E_{\phi}[u(x)]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark

How can we construct a Markov chain whose stationary distribution is ϕ ?

Perron-Frobenius Theorem

A Markov chain is said to preserve \int ^{the distribution} ϕ .

$$X_k \sim \int, \text{ then } X_{k+1} \sim \int.$$

If \int ^{the chain} preserves \int and is aperiodic and irreducible, then \int is the stationary distribution.

Result: this will make our life easier!

We don't have to design chains which converge to ϕ .

We only have to design chains that preserve ϕ .

Detailed balance:

Suppose that:

$$q(X_{k-1} | X_k) \phi(X_k) = q(X_k | X_{k-1}) \phi(X_{k-1})$$

"probab. of going from X_k to X_{k-1} " = "probab. to go from X_{k-1} to X_k "

must hold for all X_k, X_{k-1}

Integrate X_{k-1} :

$$\int q(X_{k-1} | X_k) \phi(X_k) dX_{k-1} = \int q(X_k | X_{k-1}) \phi(X_{k-1}) dX_{k-1}$$

$$\phi(X_k) = \int q(X_k | X_{k-1}) \phi(X_{k-1}) dX_{k-1}$$

This says that $\Rightarrow x_{n-1} \sim \phi$, then $x_n \sim \phi$ and, hence, all subsequent samples are also samples from ϕ .

\hookrightarrow We can design Markov chains that satisfy detailed balance (this is easy, we will see many examples later) and all of them have ϕ as their stationary distribution.

Note: there are Markov chains that do not satisfy detailed balance, but whose stationary distribution is also ϕ .

Detailed balance implies that the chain preserves ϕ but it's not necessary!

(balance does not need detailed).

We will mostly deal with Markov chains that satisfy detailed balance.

Metropolis-Hastings

A (large) family of MCMC.

Picks a proposal distribution $q(x_n | x_{n-1})$ to propose a sample x' .

Then: $x' \sim q(\cdot | x_{n-1})$ \swarrow propose.

Set: $x_n = x'$ with prob. $\alpha(x' | x_{n-1})$ \swarrow move/accept
 $x_n = x_{n-1}$ with prob. $1 - \alpha(x' | x_{n-1})$ \swarrow stay/reject.

where ~~$\alpha(x, y) = \min\left(1, \frac{\phi(y) q(x|y)}{\phi(x) q(y|x)}\right)$~~

$$\alpha(x' | x_{n-1}) = \min\left(1, \frac{\phi(x') q(x_n | x')}{\phi(x_n) q(x' | x_n)}\right)$$

Drop index on x_{k-1} .

We have:

$$\alpha(x'|x) = \min\left(1, \frac{\phi(x') q(x|x')}{\phi(x) q(x'|x)}\right)$$

Suppose: $\phi(x) q(x'|x) > \phi(x') q(x|x')$

$$\alpha(x'|x) = \frac{\phi(x') q(x|x')}{\phi(x) q(x'|x)}$$

$$\alpha(x'|x) q(x'|x) \phi(x) = \phi(x') q(x|x') \quad (*)$$

We also have

$$\alpha(x|x') = \min\left(1, \frac{\phi(x) q(x'|x)}{\phi(x') q(x|x')}\right) = 1$$

Put $\alpha(x|x') = 1$ on lhs of $(*)$

~~$$\alpha(x|x') \phi(x') q(x|x') = \phi(x') q(x|x') \quad (**)$$~~
~~$$(*) = (**) \quad \Rightarrow$$~~

$$(*) \quad \underbrace{\alpha(x'|x) q(x'|x)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{accept } x'}} \underbrace{\phi(x)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{propose } x'}} = \underbrace{\alpha(x|x') q(x|x') \phi(x')}_{\substack{\text{accept } x \quad \text{propose } x \\ \hline \text{move from } x' \text{ to } x}}$$

Move from x to x'

$$p(x'|x) \phi(x) = p(x|x') \phi(x')$$

\Rightarrow detailed balance!

MH-algorithm

propose $x' \sim q(x|x_k)$

accept with prob. $\alpha(x'|x_k)$

(i.e. $u \sim U[0,1]$, accept if $\alpha > u$)

$x_k = x'$

else: $x_k = x_{k-1}$.

This works for many choices of q .

Depending on how you choose proposal, your MChain can converge slowly or quickly.

We will study this and try out a few strategies.

Summary:

We pick a proposal distribution q .

We can use MH algorithm to generate a Markov Chain with stationary distribution ϕ .

We can compute averages:

$$E_{\phi}[u(x)] \approx \frac{1}{N_e} \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} u(x_j).$$

Note: $\{x_j\}$ are not independent.

If chain is short, the distribution may be different from ϕ .

↳ MCMC does not produce independent samples of ϕ , but it produces samples close enough to ϕ and which are close enough to being independent. (36)