

Predictive Pod Autoscaling in the Kubernetes Container Cluster Manager

by

Matt McNaughton

Professor Jeannie Albrecht, Advisor

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the
Degree of Bachelor of Arts with Honors
in Computer Science

Williams College
Williamstown, Massachusetts

November 3, 2015

DRAFT

Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	Goals	6
1.2	Contributions	7
1.3	Contents	7
2	Background	8
2.1	Resource Intensive Computing Paradigms	8
2.2	Cluster Management Paradigms	9
2.2.1	Borg	9
2.2.2	Omega	9
2.2.3	Mesos	9
2.2.4	YARN	9
2.2.5	Kubernetes	9
2.3	Properties of Cluster Managers	9
2.3.1	Efficiency of Resource Utilization	9
2.3.2	Quality of Service	9
2.4	Summary	9
3	Appendix	10
3.1	Technologies Underlying Kubernetes	10
3.1.1	Containerization	10

Abstract

Acknowledgments

Chapter 1

Introduction

Over the past few decades, an explosion in the need for computing resources, and the existence of cheap, interconnected computers, has driven a significant increase in the feasibility and benefits of distributed systems. [8, pg. 1]

First, we consider the origin of distributed systems as a field of computer science. Before the availability of cheap, powerful microprocessors and reliable, efficient local-area networks (LANs), computational tasks could only be performed on a singular computer. [8, pg. 1] If a task was too computationally expensive for a commodity PC, the only solution was to run it on a larger, more powerful supercomputer. However, as cheap microprocessors increased computers' availability, and LANs fostered quick inter-computer communication, a new model of performing resource intensive computation, distributed systems, arose. In the distributed systems model, a collection of individual computers function as a single computer to solve a given computational task. [8, pg. 2]

Second, we consider the ever-growing interest in unlocking and implementing the benefits of distributed systems. A number of forces drove, and continue to drive, increased interest in distributed systems over the past decade. The first, and most obvious, factor is the Internet. As more people connected to the Internet, through computers, mobile phones, and tablets, an increasing number of human interactions became computerized. Consumption, communication, research, and more all became possible on the Internet. Naturally, large amounts of computing resources were needed to store the data, and perform the computational tasks, related to these interactions. Closely coupled with this trend is the rise of "Big Data". In 2013, the digital universe contained 4.4 zettabytes of data.¹ [5] Naturally, without multiple computers working together it would be impossible to store and process this incredible volume of data. Today, it is nearly impossible to do anything in modern society without interacting with a distributed system and creating new digital data. Driving a car, trading a stock, visiting a doctor, checking an email, and even playing a simple video game, are all activities that distributed systems facilitate and improve. [6, pg. 4] As life becomes more computerized, and as the volume of data humans generate and hope to process grows, distributed systems will only increase in importance. Furthermore, research into distributed systems makes it possible to continue to unlock, and make available to the general public, the incredible power of networked,

¹A zettabyte equals 10^{21} bytes, which equals 1 billion terabytes.

cooperating computers. As the distributed systems supplying massive computational power become more accessible, both because of decreased cost and increased ease of use and reliability, we can computationally address an ever increasing number of challenging, important problems.

There are a number of different models for computing tasks requiring high levels of computing resources, including supercomputing, cluster computing, and grid computing. In this thesis, we focus on cluster computing. Cluster computing groups together similar commodity PCs on the same LAN to offer a singular mass of computing resources. Specifically, we focus on the cluster manager, an integral component of cluster computing. Cluster managers are responsible for abstracting all of the management details of the distinct nodes in the cluster, and instead presenting a single mass of computing resources on which the user can run jobs or applications. In other words, a cluster manager “admits, schedules, starts, restarts, and monitors the full range of applications” on the cluster. [10, pg. 1] There are a variety of different cluster managers, the most important of which will be discussed in the background chapter, each pursuing different objectives. This thesis will ultimately focus on Kubernetes, an open-source cluster manager from Google. [3]

Cluster managers seek to accomplish a number of different goals, and as a result, multiple metrics indicate success. For example, Microsoft’s Autopilot is predominantly concerned with application uptime, and thus success is measured with respect to reliability and downtime. [7, pg. 1] Alternatively, a number of cluster managers measure themselves based on efficient resource utilization (ERU). [10, pg. 7] Essentially, efficient resource utilization relates to the percent of cluster resources which are actually being used. One such measurement of this goal, cluster compaction, examines how many computers could be removed from the cluster, while still comfortably running the cluster’s current application load. [9, pg. 5] This metric is particularly important, because the more efficient the cluster management is at utilizing resources, the less clusters cost, and the more accessible cluster computing becomes to the general public. A final important cluster management metric is quality of service (QOS). Quality of service measures the ability of an application to function at a specified performance level, despite ever-changing external factors. Again, this metric is particularly important because increasing the robustness of applications run on cluster managers means these applications can be trusted with increasingly important tasks. Cluster managers predominantly differ with respect to which metrics they optimize for, and the process by which this optimization occurs.

1.1 Goals

This thesis is most concerned with maximizing the efficient resource utilization (ERU) and quality of service (QOS) metrics with respect to the Kubernetes cluster manager. As such, this thesis pursues three goals:

1. Given an application running on a Kubernetes cluster, we seek to determine a method which ensures quality of service stays consistently high regardless of external factors. While it is difficult to make guarantees regarding quality of service, because application performance is dependent on a number of uncontrollable, varying external factors, it is possible to ensure each

application has, and is utilizing, the resources it needs to function properly. Given the cluster manager grants the application the resources it needs to function given the current external load, the cluster manager has done all it can to ensure a high quality of service.

2. A simplistic solution to the first goal of ensuring a high application quality of service is to just give each application many more resources than it requests. Yet, this overallocation is inefficient and costly. Thus, our methods for ensuring a high quality of service must also ensure the maintenance, or improvement, of the efficient resource utilization metric. Thus, we add an additional goal: given a certain number of applications running on a Kubernetes cluster, we seek to determine a method which ensures the cluster is as small as possible, while still comfortably supporting the application's current, and future, resource needs.
3. Given Kubernetes is an open-source project, we seek to implement, test, and evaluate a proposed enactment of the previous two goals. Thus, the methods we pursue will in part be dictated by the current structure and implementation of Kubernetes. Tests will be conducted using the Google Compute Engine [2] on both simulated and real Kubernetes user data. The eventual goal is for this thesis' improvements to be merged into the production version of Kubernetes used to run 1000s of applications at Google everyday.

1.2 Contributions

This thesis presents our given contributions to Kubernetes. Kubernetes seeks to ensure high application quality of service and efficient resource utilization, and our contributions look to further its ability to accomplish these goals. As such, we present not only new methodology, but also new, working implementations with the accompanying evaluation. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our modifications in comparison to the non-modified Kubernetes using both simulated and real-world datasets. Finally, we discuss the experiences of making these modifications to Kubernetes, as well as avenues for future improvements with respect to Kubernetes and cluster managers in general.

1.3 Contents

@TODO - This section can not be written until the thesis is completed.

Chapter 2

Background

2.1 Resource Intensive Computing Paradigms

As was briefly mentioned in the introduction, a number of different paradigms exist for undertaking computing tasks too resource intensive for a single computer. They are discussed in detail below:

1. Supercomputing: The supercomputing model responds to increased demands for computing resources by increasing the technical specifications of the computer far beyond the range of the traditional commodity PC. While supercomputers are able to avoid the majority of the complications resulting from the introduction of networks, most prominently reliability and security, there are naturally limits on the power of supercomputers. Importantly, constructing supercomputers is extremely expensive, and thus their computing power is not available to the general public. Furthermore, it is difficult to scale a supercomputer should the need arise. Finally, supercomputers offer a single point of failure, meaning they are not particularly robust to error. These limitations have decreased the usage of supercomputers to provide the mass of computing power needed in the “Big Data” era.
2. Cluster Computing: Cluster computing is defined as utilizing “a collection of similar workstations of PCs, loosely connected by means of a high-speed local-area network [where] each node runs the same operating system.” [8, pg. 17-18] Cluster computing can provide a mass of computing power similar to that contained in a supercomputer. Cluster computing also offers many advantages over the single supercomputer. First, and perhaps most importantly, they are much more cost-efficient, and thus much more accessible. Second, clusters are easy to scale by simply adding new commodity PCs as nodes. Finally, cluster computing is much more fault tolerant, as a single failing commodity computer will simply be removed from the cluster. Cluster computing is used in the implementation of what is colloquially referred to as *Cloud computing*, in which large amounts of computing resources are offered on a per-usage basis. [6, pg. 13] Cloud computing, as implemented by Amazon Web Services, [1] Microsoft Azure, [4] and Google Compute Engine, [2] continue to revolutionize the development and

deployment of computing applications, as developers gain access to cheap, easily accessible, and quickly scalable computing power.

3. Grid Computing: Grid computing is similar to concept in cluster computing, except it foregoes the requirement that all computers within the grid be relatively homogeneous. As such, the grid computing model accounts for a large degree of heterogeneity with respect to network membership, operating system, hardware, and more. [8, pg. 18] While grid computing systems lack of homogeneity requirements increase flexibility, the resulting heterogeneity introduces significant complexity.

Ultimately, because of simplicity, cost, and scalability, cluster computing is the most prominent resource intensive computing paradigm. Thus, cluster computing, and the accompanying cluster manager, is the focus of this thesis.

2.2 Cluster Management Paradigms

2.2.1 Borg

2.2.2 Omega

2.2.3 Mesos

2.2.4 YARN

2.2.5 Kubernetes

2.3 Properties of Cluster Managers

2.3.1 Efficiency of Resource Utilization

2.3.2 Quality of Service

2.4 Summary

Chapter 3

Appendix

3.1 Technologies Underlying Kubernetes

3.1.1 Containerization

Virtualization

Containerization

Docker

Bibliography

- [1] Amazon web services. <https://aws.amazon.com/>.
- [2] Google compute engine. <https://cloud.google.com/compute/>.
- [3] Kubernetes website. <http://kubernetes.io>.
- [4] Microsoft azure. <https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/>.
- [5] CORPORATION, I. D. The digital universe of opportunities: Rich data and the increasing value of the internet of things, 2014.
- [6] COULOURIS, G., DOLLIMORE, J., KINDBERG, T., AND BLAIR, G. *Distributed Systems: Concepts and Design*, 5th ed. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, USA, 2011.
- [7] ISARD, M. Autopilot: Automatic data center management. *SIGOPS Oper. Syst. Rev.* 41, 2 (Apr. 2007), 60–67.
- [8] TANENBAUM, A. S., AND STEEN, M. v. *Distributed Systems: Principles and Paradigms (2Nd Edition)*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2006.
- [9] VERMA, A., PEDROSA, L., KORUPOLU, M., OPPENHEIMER, D., TUNE, E., AND WILKES, J. Large-scale cluster management at google with borg. In *Proceedings of the Tenth European Conference on Computer Systems* (New York, NY, USA, 2015), EuroSys '15, ACM, pp. 18:1–18:17.
- [10] VERMA, A., PEDROSA, L., KORUPOLU, M. R., OPPENHEIMER, D., TUNE, E., AND WILKES, J. Large-scale cluster management at Google with Borg. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Systems (EuroSys)* (Bordeaux, France, 2015).