Trollter

Matt Cole

October 7, 2016

Introduction

Internet trolls are a considered a menace in nearly all online communities by creating fruitless arguments in an attempt to generate emotional, and typically angry reactions. Motivations behind these people are unclear, but some social scientists have postulated that a strange phenomenon known as the "disinhibition effect" may be to blame. Masked behind the apparent anonymity provided by many forms, sites, and the internet in general, social reservations that facilitate normal, face-to-face conversations are gone, resulting in sometimes wild and rude behavior. Troll behavior can range from simply posting the same status repeatidly to annoy and clog streams of information to violent threats and many, many 'things' inbetween.

In this project, we focused on political trolls on Twitter, those whose tweets aim to disrupt a flow of information in the political sphere on twitter.

Data


Trolls were defined as users with tweets which appeared to both:

- inflammatory: intended to arouse angry or violent feelings
- extraneous: irrelevant or unrelated to the subject being dealt with


Data was collected from twitter using both the Twitter API and web app. Initial data collection occured on

1


most recent tweet



Troll most recent tweet



most recent tweet



```
lfit <- glm(troll~t_sent + statusesCount,data=data, family = "binomial")
summary(lfit)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = troll ~ t_sent + statusesCount, family = "binomial",
## data = data)
```

```
##
## Deviance Residuals:
     Min 1Q Median
## -0.7403 -0.4018 -0.3405 -0.2486
                                     2.7460
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -2.946e+00 4.625e-01 -6.370 1.89e-10 ***
## t_sent
               -1.287e+00 6.318e-01 -2.036 0.0417 *
## statusesCount -7.058e-07 5.812e-06 -0.121 0.9033
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 72.343 on 141 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 67.934 on 139 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 73.934
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```