

# February 1, 2013

---

## Recap

### Prepositions and Formulas of Prepositions (Compound Prepositions)

- Formula example,  $(P \vee Q) \wedge R$
- There 16 binary connectors (4 or 5 are mostly used) and 1 unary connector

### Precedence Order

Number	Name	Symbol
1.	Not	$\neg$
2.	Or	$\vee$
3.	And	$\wedge$
4	Implied	$\rightarrow$
5	Double implication	$\leftrightarrow$

- **Tautology** - always true
  - **Contradiction** - always false
- 

## Equivalence

- Two formulas,  $F1 \equiv F2$  if their truth values are equivalent

## De Morgan's Rule

- $\neg(P \wedge Q) \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q$
- $\neg(P \vee Q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg Q$
- $P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$

“

*This is the same because if  $P$  is false, then  $\neg P$  is true, then  $Q$  could be either true or false, it does not matter. Only one or the other matters as it is an **OR** statement. If  $\neg P$  is false,  $Q$  could still be true.*

## Identities of Equivalence

$$P \vee F \equiv P$$

---

$$P \vee T \equiv T$$

---

$$P \wedge F \equiv F$$

---

$$P \wedge T \equiv P$$

---

$$P \vee Q \equiv Q \vee P$$

---

$$P \wedge Q \equiv Q \wedge P$$

---

$$P1 \wedge P2 \vee P3 \dots \vee PK$$

- Any order can be used because of distribution

---

$$P \wedge \neg P \equiv F$$

---

$$P \wedge \neg P \equiv T$$


---

$$(P \rightarrow Q) \equiv \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$$


---

$$((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R) \equiv P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$$

#### Left Side

1.  $\neg(P \wedge Q) \vee R$
2.  $\neg P \vee \neg Q \vee R$

#### Right Side

1.  $P \rightarrow (\neg Q \vee R)$
  2.  $\neg P \vee \neg Q \vee R$
- 

$$\neg(P_1 \vee P_2) \equiv \neg P_1 \wedge \neg P_2$$

Suppose we have 3

$$\neg(P_1 \vee P_2 \vee P_3) \equiv \neg P_1 \wedge \neg P_2 \wedge \neg P_3$$

What if we have any number?

$$\neg(P_1 \vee P_2 \dots \vee P_m) \equiv \neg P_1 \wedge \neg P_2 \dots \wedge P_m$$


---

## Functional Completeness

- **Functional Complete** - Set of operators is functionally complete if every formula can be expressable

$$\wedge, \vee, \neg$$

- **Lemma** - every formula can be expressed as a *disjunction of conjunctions*
  - This is called **disjunctive normal form** (DNF)

$$(P_1 \wedge \neg P_2 \wedge P_3) \vee (\neg P_1 \wedge P_3) \wedge (P_1 \wedge \neg P_3) \wedge (\dots)$$

$$F \equiv (Q_1 \vee Q_2) \rightarrow \neg Q_3$$

$Q_1$	$Q_2$	$Q_3$	F	Expression
0	0	0	1	$\neg Q_1 \wedge \neg Q_2 \wedge \neg Q_3$
0	0	1	1	$\neg Q_1 \wedge \neg Q_2 \wedge Q_3$
0	1	0	1	$\neg Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \wedge Q_3$
0	1	1	0	Nothing
1	0	0	1	$Q_1 \wedge \neg Q_2 \wedge \neg Q_3$
1	0	1	0	Nothing
1	1	0	1	$Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \wedge \neg Q_3$
1	1	1	0	Nothing

Compute DNF of

$$P \rightarrow Q$$

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	Expression
1	1	1	$(P \wedge Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge Q) \vee (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$
1	0	0	Nothing
0	1	1	Nothing
0	0	1	Nothing