Jensen' inequality

$$f: \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$
is convex, i.e., $\forall u_0, u_1 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \theta \in [0,1]$

$$f((1-\theta)u_0 + \theta u_1) \subseteq (1-\theta)f(u_0) + \theta f(u_1)$$

a) Fix $u_1, ..., u_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\theta_1, ..., \theta_k \ge 0$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k = 1$.

Proof via induction: For K=2, the claim follows from the definition of convexity.

Shep: $K \rightarrow K+1$. W.L.o.g. we assume that $\theta_{K+2} \neq 1$ ($\theta_{i}u_{i} + ... + \theta_{K+2}u_{K+2} = u_{K+2}$ in that case -7 thivia()

Of fine $\widetilde{U} := \frac{1}{1-\theta_{K+2}} \sum_{i=2}^{K} \theta_{i} u_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{M}$

With this, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \theta_j u_j = (1 - \theta_{k+1}) \widehat{u} + \theta_{k+1} u_{k+1}$

we check that
$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \widetilde{\Theta}_{j} = \frac{1}{1-\theta_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Theta_{i} = \frac{1-\theta_{k+1}}{1-\theta_{k+1}} = 1$$
Thus, we continue our estimate above by using the inequality for k as
$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \Theta_{j} u_{i}\right) \subseteq \left(1-\theta_{k+1}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{k} \widetilde{\Theta}_{j} f\left(u_{j}\right) + \Theta_{k+1} f\left(u_{k+1}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Theta_{j} f\left(u_{k+1}\right) + \Theta_{k+1} f\left(u_{k+1}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Theta_{j} f\left(u_{k+1}\right) + \Theta_{k+1} f\left(u_{k+1}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Theta_{j} f\left(u_{k+1$$

u(x) = \(\hat{\su}_{i=1}^{\text{\text{\$\general}}} u_i \mathcal{\mathcal{K}}_{A_i}\) with uie \(\mathcal{R}^n\)

and A; cs measurable and A: 11A; = \$

f(\(\Sigma_{\text{j=2}}^{\text{k+2}}\)) \((1-\text{O}_{\text{k+2}})\) f(\(\wideta\)) + \(\text{O}_{\text{k+2}}\) f(\(\wideta\))

Consider $\widetilde{\Theta}_{i} := \frac{1}{1-\theta_{k+1}} \theta_{i}$ i = 1, ..., K

From the case K=2, we get

with the elaim follows from a) since $\frac{1}{vol(s)}\int_{S}u(x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{K}\theta_{k}u_{k}$ and $\overline{vo((x))} \int_{\Sigma} f(u) dx = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \theta_k f(u_k)$ c) Assume that f: R - R is continuous. Originally, the exercise was conceived to use an approximation argument via simple functions. However, the limit $f(u_k)dx \rightarrow f(u_k)dx$ is too complicated for the purpose of the exercise (no Lebesque or Beppo-levi thm.) Instead, we use the following more general argument: We show that for every a GRM there exists \$GRM such that $\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^m : f(v) \ge f(a) + \xi_a (v - a)$ (*) For v = u(x) and $\alpha = \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_{\Sigma} u(x) dx$ we get

after integration over 52 If (alw) dr = vol (SZ) f (vol(s) Ju(x) dr) + \$ a. (Juw dx - Juw dr) (2i(e). Thus, we show (+) define Epigraph of f $f(u) \leq \alpha$ $epi(f) = \{(u, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R} \mid$ check: epi(f) is convex? It holds that (u, flu)+1) = epiff) We use "Hyperplane seperation theorem" (Hahn-Banach) X Banach space, A, BCX non-empty (i) int (A) + Ø, (ii) A,B convex (iii) Brint(A) = Ø

$$= \} \exists L \in X', \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$L(b) \nleq \alpha \nleq L(a) \ \forall \ \alpha \in \text{in} \ f(A), \ b \in B$$

$$L(b) \subseteq \beta \subseteq L(a) \ \forall \ \alpha \in A, \ b \in B$$

$$\text{Pick} \ X = \mathbb{R}^m, \ A = epi(f), \ B = \{(u, f(u))\}$$

 $\forall (v, \beta) \in in + lepi(f)$

¥ (v,p) = epi (f)

=> 3 L = (\$, y) e R * x R

(\(\xi \), \(\xi \) \(\xi \) \(\xi \)

if holds then that
$$\tilde{\xi} \cdot u + \chi \cdot f(u) \neq \tilde{\xi} \cdot u + \chi \cdot (f(u) + 1)$$
=> $\chi > 1$!

If follows for every $(v, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ that

 $(v, \beta) = (u, f(u) + 1) \in intepi(f)$

$$f(u) \leq \beta + \frac{1}{7}\tilde{\xi}(v-u)$$
 such that for $\beta = I(u)$ we get $f(u) \leq f(v) + \frac{1}{7}\tilde{\xi}\cdot(v-u)$

=:\frac{\x}{\x}

Courtien: If f is only lower semicontinuous, one has to show that int(epi(p)) + p.