Exercise 20 Cp={uell(1;1Rm) | ulx) ec for a.a. xes?} a) Let CCIRM be convex. Then Cp CLP(IL; IRM) is convex. By Kazer's buma, it suffices to draw that Cp is strongly closed. To show this, let (un) c Cp be a sequence that anyongs (strongly) to u ∈ LP(I; IR"). Then there is a subsequence (unk) such that un(x) > u(x) for a.a. KER as k-> 00. Since un(x) & C for a.a. x = SZ and all k = N and since C is closed, this implies ulx) € C for a.a. xell, so that u∈ Cp. Therefore, Cp is closed. b) Let Go be wealthy closed. (onsider a, b = C and L=(0,1). To show that (1-2) a + 26 eC, in construct a suitable expidly oscillating sequence (cf. Exercise 13 and Exercise 16). First defre a function g: [0,1] > [0,1], g(t)={1, t \in [0,\lambda], Extend g to R periodically (g(+1)=g(+)) and define gn: R > [0, 1], gn(+) = g(n+). Then gn - gar = [ g(+)dt = 1. Let D:= (to,t) ×D c of with DC IR" open, and set  $u_n(x) = a + \chi_0(x) g_n(x_n) (b-a).$ Then un & Cp since un(x) = {a,b} and I is bounded.

Moreover, for any test function vell'(1) in have

Sunk) v(k) dk = Savk) dk + S Sto guka) v(x1, x1) dx2 (b-a) dx1  $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} a \, v(k) \, dk + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \lambda(b - a) \, v(k) \, dk$ 

Hera un - u, where ule = {a+h(1-a), x ∈ D. Since Cp is wealthy doord, we have us Cp, so that a + h(b-a) & C. Exercise 21

Let ue L'(N) be fixed.

At first, consider g: N-> R" such that glk) & Df(uk) for a.a. x e.R.

For vell(1; R"), we then have

 $I(v)-I(u) = \int_{\Sigma} f(v(u)) - f(u(u)) dx \ge \int_{\Sigma} g(x)\cdot(v(u)-u(u)) dx$ [derlying  $(2^{\rho}(n;R^{\nu}))^{1}$  with  $L^{\rho}(R;R^{\nu})$  for  $\frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho} = 1$ , we have deboin

{ gele(n; Rm) | g(x) = of(u(x)) for a.o. xeno = oI(u).

To prove the converse inclusion, use the above isomorphism to identify elements of  $\partial \mathbb{I}(u)$  with functions  $g \in L^p(\Omega; |R^u|)$ .

Then ge DI(u) if

YVELP(RiRm): I(u) = I(u) + fgk)·(uk)-uk))dx.

Now fix xo+ or and let

for R>O sufficiently small and be R" outsitrary. Then

(=) 
$$f(b) - f(uk) dx \ge [f_{g(k_0)}] dx - f_{g(k_0)} dx$$
,  $g_{g(k_0)} = g_{g(k_0)} dx$ 

where & hle)de = \frac{1}{vd(\mathbb{R}\_R(k\_0))} \leftright hlx)dx.

Passing to the limit R->0, and using Lebesgue's differentiation theorem, we obtain

((b) ≥ f(ulxo)) + g(xo). [b-u(xo)] for a.a. xo∈ N.

Nok: The set where the integrals may not converge only depends on fou, g and gou, but it is independent of b! Since Kis holds for all be IR", we conclude g(x.) & Huko)

for a.a. K. E.M. This yields the converse inclusion, and we obtain

∂I(u) = { g∈ L<sup>p'</sup>(Σ; R<sup>m</sup>) : g(x) ∈ ∂f(x) for a.a. x∈Ω}.

More direct (but wrong!) argument avoiding lebesque's differentiation theorem:

As above, we condude for any open set DCS2 the inequality  $\int\limits_{D} \left[f(b) - f(uk)\right] dx \geq \int\limits_{D} g(x) \cdot (b - u(k)) dx$ 

for all be IR". Since Dis onbitmany, this yields the pointnise inequality almost everywhere, i.e.,

Y b∈ Rm: for a.a.x∈ D: f(b) - f(uk)) ≥ g(x).(b-uk))

But to conclude g(x) + of(u(x)) for a.a. xell, we need

for a.a. x∈D: Yb∈IR": f(b)-f(uk)) ≥ g(x)·(b·uk)).

This is not equivalent!

The statement ordy follows if we can choose the exceptional set (i.e., those xest, when the inequality may not hold) independently of bER, which is why we argue via Lessque's differentiation theorem.