

### Exercise 41

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $f(A) = (MA):A$  for some  $M \in \text{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}; \mathbb{R}^{md})$ .

a) To show the equivalence

$$f \text{ convex} \Leftrightarrow \forall A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}: f(A) \geq 0,$$

let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) &= (M[(1-\lambda)A + \lambda B]) : [(1-\lambda)A + \lambda B] \\ &= (1-\lambda) (MA) : [A + \lambda(B-A)] + \lambda (MB) : [B + (1-\lambda)(A-B)] \\ &= (1-\lambda) \underbrace{(MA) : A}_{=f(A)} + (1-\lambda)\lambda [(MA) : (B-A) + (MB) : (A-B)] + \lambda \underbrace{(MB) : B}_{=f(B)} \end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) &\leq (1-\lambda)f(A) + \lambda f(B) \\ \Leftrightarrow (MA) : (B-A) + (MB) : (A-B) &\leq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow 0 &\leq (M(A-B)) : (A-B) = f(A-B) \end{aligned}$$

This shows the assertion.

b) We want to show

$$f \text{ is polyconvex} \Leftrightarrow \exists \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{z_2(n,d)} \forall A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}: f(A) \geq \langle \beta, T_2(A) \rangle.$$

⇐ Define  $\tilde{f}(A) := f(A) - \langle \beta, T_2(A) \rangle$ . Since  $\tilde{f}$  is quadratic and

$\tilde{f}(A) \geq 0$ , part a) implies that  $\tilde{f}$  is convex. Then  $f(A) = g(T(A))$  with the convex function  $g$  defined by

$$g(T(A)) = \tilde{f}(A) + \langle \beta, T_2(A) \rangle.$$

Hence,  $f$  is polyconvex.

" $\Rightarrow$ " We have  $f(A) = g(T(A))$  for a convex function  $g: \mathbb{R}^{\tau(m,d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$ .

Since  $g$  is convex, there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau(m,d)}$  such that

$$g(X) \geq g(0) + \langle \beta, X \rangle = \langle \beta, X \rangle$$

since  $g(0) = f(0) = 0$ . Here  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{\min\{m,d\}})$  with  $\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau_j(m,d)}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 f(A) &= f(\varepsilon A) = g(T(\varepsilon A)) \\ &\geq \langle \beta, T(\varepsilon A) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{m,d\}} \langle \beta_j, T_j(\varepsilon A) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{m,d\}} \varepsilon^j \langle \beta_j, T_j(A) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

First, divide both sides by  $\varepsilon$  and pass to the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to obtain

$$0 \geq \langle \beta_1, T_1(A) \rangle = \langle \beta_1, A \rangle$$

Since  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$  is arbitrary, this implies  $\beta_1 = 0$ .

Dividing the above inequality by  $\varepsilon^2$  and passing to the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we thus obtain

$$f(A) \geq \langle \beta_2, T_2(A) \rangle.$$

c) To show that

$$f \text{ is rank-one-convex} \Leftrightarrow \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^m, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d: f(\zeta \otimes \eta) \geq 0,$$

we proceed in the same way as in a), with the restriction  $\text{rk}(A-B) = 1$ .

This shows that  $f$  is rank-one-convex if and only if

$$0 \leq f(A-B)$$

for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$  with  $\text{rk}(A-B) = 1$ , i.e., with  $A-B = \zeta \otimes \eta$

for some  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^m, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . This proves the claim.

## Exercise 42

Consider  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  given by

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $f: \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \{0, \infty\}$  with

$$f(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A \in \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To show that  $f$  is rank-one-convex, let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  with  $\text{rk}(A-B) = 1$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . We want to show

$$f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B) \quad (*)$$

We distinguish two cases:

i)  $A \notin \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$  or  $B \notin \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ . Then  $f(A) = \infty$  or  $f(B) = \infty$ , and  $(*)$  holds.

ii)  $A = A_i$  and  $B = A_j$  with  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

We have

$$A_1 - A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_1 - A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_2 - A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

all of which have full rank. Therefore,  $\text{rk}(A-B) = 1$  if and only if  $i = j$ , that is  $A = B$ . Then  $(*)$  is trivial.

In total, this shows that  $f$  is rank-one convex.

To show that  $f$  is not polyconvex, we assume the opposite. Then there exists a convex function  $g: \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such that

$$f(A) = g(A, \det A).$$

To obtain a contradiction, consider a convex combination of  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ , namely

$$A_0 := \frac{1}{3}(A_1 + A_2 + A_3) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\det A_0 = 0$ . Since also  $\det A_1 = \det A_2 = \det A_3 = 0$ , we have

$$\det A_0 = 0 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \det A_i.$$

This identity and the convexity of  $g$  imply

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &= f(A_0) = g(A_0, \det A_0) = g\left(\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i, \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \det A_i\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 g(A_i, \det A_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 f(A_i) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $f$  is not polyconvex.