

WHAT ARE (PRE)SPECTRA?

MATTHEW A. NIEMIRO

ABSTRACT. In algebraic topology, a “spectrum” may refer to the objects in one of several related categories, all of which give the same category after passage to homotopy. That would be the *stable homotopy category*. Here, I define the forerunner among these “spectra,” which are sometimes called *prespectra*. Disadvantages: many, e.g. a non-commutative, non-associative smash product, and a tedious construction. Advantages: accessible definition, instructive.

These are just notes, subject to all nonliability clauses which usually preface notes. I used [K.G. Wickelgren’s notes](#) and Adams’ *Stable Homotopy and Generalized Homology*.

Definition. A **spectrum** E is a sequence of pointed spaces E_n together with maps

$$\epsilon_n : \Sigma E_n (\cong_{\text{homeo}} S^1 \vee E_n) \rightarrow E_{n+1}.$$

Remark. Let X be a pointed space, $\Omega X = [S^1, X]_*$ the space of based maps $S^1 \rightarrow X$. There is a natural equivalence $[\Sigma X, Y]_* \cong [X, \Omega Y]$; hence, a spectrum may equivalently consist of maps $\epsilon'_n : E_n \rightarrow \Omega E_{n+1}$.

Definition. A **degree r function of spectra** $f : E \rightarrow F$ is a sequence of maps $f_n : E_n \rightarrow F_{n-r}$ such that the corresponding diagram commutes (strictly, not just up to homotopy.)

With functions as morphisms, we have constructed a category of spectra. It is painfully rigid.¹ There are different constructions of a category of spectra which are better behaved (I don’t know them.) Our version of spectra here are often called **prespectra**.

In any case, we want to do homotopy theory with spectra. We will construct, from our category of prespectra, a homotopy category of prespectra and call it the **stable homotopy category**. (This is actually the same category arising from other versions of “category of spectra” after passage to homotopy.)

Definition. A spectrum E is called a **CW-spectrum** if

- (1) Each E_n is a CW-complex, and
- (2) The structure maps $\Sigma E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ are inclusions of subcomplexes.²

Definition. Let E be a CW-spectrum. A CW-spectrum F is called a **subspectrum** if F_n is a subcomplex of E_n , for all n . Furthermore, F is called **cofinal** if for each cell $e_n \in E_n$, there is an m so that $\Sigma^m e_n$ maps into F_{n+m} under the canonical map.

Definition. Let E be a CW-spectrum, E' and E'' cofinal subspectra. Functions $f' : E' \rightarrow F$ and $f'' : E'' \rightarrow F$ are called **equivalent** if they agree on $E' \cap E''$ (which is a cofinal subspectrum). This is an equivalence relation.

Definition. A **map of CW-spectra** $f : E \rightarrow F$ is an equivalence class of functions from cofinal subspectra of E to F , the relation being that above.

Fact. Taking maps of CW-spectra is well-defined with respect to the composition of functions of CW-spectra.

Definition. Let $f, g : E \rightarrow F$ be maps of CW-spectra. We will define a “homotopy” using mapping cylinders, like in baby algebraic topology. I proceed in steps.

¹For instance, we cannot extend the Hopf fibration $\eta : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ to a (degree one) function $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$.

²Note that the suspension of an n -cell is an $(n+1)$ -cell.

- (1) Denote by I^+ the disjoint union of $[0, 1]$ and a basepoint. Define a functor $\text{Cyl}(-)$ from spectra to spectra, summarized by the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & E_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & E_n & \longrightarrow & E_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{Cyl}(-) & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & I^+ \wedge E_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & I^+ \wedge E_n & \longrightarrow & I^+ \wedge E_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

The maps are $1 \wedge \epsilon_n$.

- (2) Denote by i_0, i_1 the functions $E \rightarrow \text{Cyl}(E)$ which include E_n into $\text{Cyl}(E_n)$.
 (3) Call f and g **homotopic** if there is a map $h : \text{Cyl}(E) \rightarrow F$ so that $f = h \circ i_0$ and $g = h \circ i_1$.

Now we form the category whose objects are CW-spectra and whose morphisms are homotopy classes of maps. I think this is the **stable homotopy category**. A final remark: any spectrum is weakly equivalent to a CW-spectrum, so restricting to CW-spectra does not provoke the homotopy theorists.

There is more to be said, especially if one wants to do anything useful with spectra. But we take baby steps.